| Page 1 | Page |
|---|--|
| BEFORE THE U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES | 1 INDEX |
| | 2 VOIR WITNESSES DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS DII |
|) | 3 |
| ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION) OPA-09-2018-00002 AGENCY,) | For the Complainant: |
| Complainant,) Administrative Law Judge v.) Susan L. Biro | Daniel Meer 15 27 36 38 |
| VSS INTERNATIONAL, INC.,) | 5 |
|) Respondent.) | Joseph Swackhammer 48 91 99 107 |
| Phillip Burton Federal Building and United States | Janice Witul 121 209 241 |
| Courthouse | 8 |
| Courtroom 15, 18th Floor 450 Golden Gate Ave | 9 |
| San Francisco, California Thursday, | 10 |
| May 16, 2019 | 11 |
| The above-entitled matter came on for hearing, | 12 |
| pursuant to notice, at 9:00 a.m. | 13 |
| BEFORE: HONORABLE SUSAN L. BIRO | 15 |
| Chief Administrative Law Judge | 16 |
| APPEARANCES: | 17 |
| On Behalf of the Complainant: | 18 |
| J. ANDREW HELMLINGER, Esquire | 20 |
| REBECCA SUGERMAN, Esquire REBECCA REYNOLDS, Esquire | 21 |
| Environmental Protection Agency ORC-3 | 22 |
| 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, California | 23 |
| San Francisco, Camorina | 24 25 |
| | |
| Page 2 | Page |
| 1 APPEARANCES: (Cont'd.) | 1 EXHIBITS |
| 2 On Behalf of the Respondent: | 2 COMPLAINANT'S |
| 3 RICHARD J. McNEIL, Esquire | 3 EXHIBITS: IDENTIFIED RECEIVEI |
| Crowell Moring LLP | 4 1 Prev. 57 |
| 4 3 Park Plaza, 20th Floor Irvine California | 5 2 Prev. 26 |
| 5 | 6 4 Prev. 157 |
| 6 JORDAN LUDWIG, Esquire | 7 5 Prev. 148 |
| Crowell Moring LLP | 8 6 Prev. 183 |
| 7 515 S Flower Street, 40th Floor | 9 7 Prev. 184 |
| Los Angeles, California | 10 8 Prev. 190 |
| 9 | 11 9 Prev. 181 |
| 10 | 12 10 Prev. 153 |
| 11 | 13 12 Prev. 192 |
| 12 | 14 13 Prev. 245 |
| 13 14 | 15 16 Prev. 147 |
| 15 | 16 17 Prev. 177 |
| 16 | 17 18 Prev. 178 |
| 17 | 18 19 Prev. 192 |
| 18 | 19 20 Prev. 198 |
| 19 | 20 22 Prev. 88 |
| 20 | 21 24 Prev. 199 |
| / | 22 25 Prev. 81 |
| 21 22 | |
| 22 23 | 23 26 Prev. 83 |
| 22 | |

| | Page 5 | | Page 7 |
|--|---|--|--|
| 1 | EXHIBITS (Cont'd.) | 1 | - 3- |
| 2 | COMPLAINANT'S | 2 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay, great. Good morning. |
| 3 | EXHIBITS: IDENTIFIED RECEIVED | 3 | MR. LUDWIG: Good morning, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Are there any preliminary |
| 4 | 36 Prev. 241 | 4 | |
| 5 | 45 Prev. 196 | 5 | matters before we begin the hearing? |
| 6 | 46 Prev. 88 | 6 | MR. HELMLINGER: A couple. I think this is fortunately, I think, we don't do this a lot, so |
| 7 | 47 Prev. 88 | 7 | just a few administrative things. If we could discuss |
| 8 | 47 FIEV. 88 | 8 | - |
| 9 | pages 10-23 Prev. 203 | 9 | where witnesses sit, I think there's some general understanding on preferences, but I think we also come |
| 10 | 50 Prev. 136 | 10 | to this with some different experiences. So, Judge, I |
| 11 | 52 Prev. 165 | 11 | wanted to make clear that we're you're happy with |
| 12 | 53 Prev. 59 | 12 | how we perform this. We've got experts that are |
| 13 | RESPONDENT'S | 13 | allowed to sit in the courtroom through the whole |
| 14 | EXHIBITS IDENTIFIED RECEIVED | 14 | hearing, is that correct? |
| 15 | 2 Prev. 154 | 15 | JUDGE BIRO: Yes. |
| 16 | 6 Prev. 170 | 16 | MR. HELMLINGER: And fact witnesses, do we |
| 17 | 23 Prev. 195 | 17 | have objections to them sitting in the courtroom? |
| 18 | 50 Prev. 132 | 18 | MR. McNEIL: We don't have any objection. |
| 19 | 83 | 19 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. |
| 20 | (pages 1-2) Prev. 24 | 20 | MR. HELMLINGER: The respondents have put on |
| 21 | 87 Prev. 76 | 21 | their witness list one of our witnesses, Ms. Janice |
| 22 | 104 Prev. 217 | 22 | Witul, and I think we'd like to discuss sort of the |
| 23 | 35 Prev. 241 | 23 | order that she d be called. I think we intend to call |
| 24 | 110.11 2.12 | 24 | her as a witness, and she'd be available for cross |
| 25 | | 25 | examination by respondent. And I'm wondering if |
| | | | |
| | Page 6 | | Page 8 |
| 1 | PROCEEDINGS | 1 | there's anything that respondent if they could |
| 2 | (9:00 a.m.) | 2 | describe any scope that you wouldn't cover in that |
| 3 | JUDGE BIRO: We're going to go on the record | 3 | cross examination, so we can sort of assume that once |
| 4 | in the matter of VSS International, docket number OPA- | 4 | she's testified and there'd be cross, and we resolve |
| 5 | 09-2018-0002. Good morning, everyone. I'm Susan | 5 | that, she's sort of done. |
| 6 | Biro. I'm the chief administrative law judge of the | 6 | JUDGE BIRO: You can release her? |
| 7 | U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and I've been | 7 | MR. HELMLINGER: If we need to, yes. |
| 8 | assigned to preside over the hearing in this matter. | 8 | JUDGE BIRO: Can you complete your direct |
| 9 | Counsel for the agency, could you identify | 9 | examination of Ms. Witul for your purposes of your |
| | yourself for the record? | 10 | case on cross examination? |
| 10 | y ourself for the feedful | | |
| 10 | MS. SUGERMAN: I'm Rebecca Sugerman. | 11 | MR. McNEIL: Your Honor, we I think that |
| | | 11 12 | MR. McNEIL: Your Honor, we I think that would be our preference. We're just obviously, |
| 11 | MS. SUGERMAN: I'm Rebecca Sugerman. | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 11 12 | MS. SUGERMAN: I'm Rebecca Sugerman. THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, Your Honor, | 12 | would be our preference. We're just obviously, |
| 11 12 13 | MS. SUGERMAN: I'm Rebecca Sugerman. THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, Your Honor, could they speak into the mic? | 12 13 | would be our preference. We're just obviously, because we haven't you know, the proceedings haven't begun, we wanted to have she's you know, she's a fact witness who's been involved in the case |
| 11 12 13 14 | MS. SUGERMAN: I'm Rebecca Sugerman. THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, Your Honor, could they speak into the mic? MS. SUGERMAN: I'm Rebecca Sugerman. | 12 13 14 | would be our preference. We're just obviously, because we haven't you know, the proceedings haven't begun, we wanted to have she's you know, |
| 11 12 13 14 15 | MS. SUGERMAN: I'm Rebecca Sugerman. THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, Your Honor, could they speak into the mic? MS. SUGERMAN: I'm Rebecca Sugerman. JUDGE BIRO: Good morning, Ms. Sugerman. | 12 13 14 15 | would be our preference. We're just obviously, because we haven't you know, the proceedings haven't begun, we wanted to have she's you know, she's a fact witness who's been involved in the case for a considerable period of time. And so, as we indicated in our prehearing exchange, we believe we |
| 11 12 13 14 15 16 | MS. SUGERMAN: I'm Rebecca Sugerman. THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, Your Honor, could they speak into the mic? MS. SUGERMAN: I'm Rebecca Sugerman. JUDGE BIRO: Good morning, Ms. Sugerman. MR. HELMLINGER: And Andrew Helmlinger. | 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | would be our preference. We're just obviously, because we haven't you know, the proceedings haven't begun, we wanted to have she's you know, she's a fact witness who's been involved in the case for a considerable period of time. And so, as we indicated in our prehearing exchange, we believe we have the right to call her as a witness independently. |
| 11 12 13 14 15 16 | MS. SUGERMAN: I'm Rebecca Sugerman. THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, Your Honor, could they speak into the mic? MS. SUGERMAN: I'm Rebecca Sugerman. JUDGE BIRO: Good morning, Ms. Sugerman. MR. HELMLINGER: And Andrew Helmlinger. Good morning. | 12 13 14 15 16 17 | would be our preference. We're just obviously, because we haven't you know, the proceedings haven't begun, we wanted to have she's you know, she's a fact witness who's been involved in the case for a considerable period of time. And so, as we indicated in our prehearing exchange, we believe we have the right to call her as a witness independently. It may well be the case that we end up not |
| 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | MS. SUGERMAN: I'm Rebecca Sugerman. THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, Your Honor, could they speak into the mic? MS. SUGERMAN: I'm Rebecca Sugerman. JUDGE BIRO: Good morning, Ms. Sugerman. MR. HELMLINGER: And Andrew Helmlinger. Good morning. JUDGE BIRO: Good morning. | 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | would be our preference. We're just obviously, because we haven't you know, the proceedings haven't begun, we wanted to have she's you know, she's a fact witness who's been involved in the case for a considerable period of time. And so, as we indicated in our prehearing exchange, we believe we have the right to call her as a witness independently. It may well be the case that we end up not having anything direct for her that we can't cover on |
| 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | MS. SUGERMAN: I'm Rebecca Sugerman. THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, Your Honor, could they speak into the mic? MS. SUGERMAN: I'm Rebecca Sugerman. JUDGE BIRO: Good morning, Ms. Sugerman. MR. HELMLINGER: And Andrew Helmlinger. Good morning. JUDGE BIRO: Good morning. MS. REYNOLDS: Rebecca Reynolds. | 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | would be our preference. We're just obviously, because we haven't you know, the proceedings haven't begun, we wanted to have she's you know, she's a fact witness who's been involved in the case for a considerable period of time. And so, as we indicated in our prehearing exchange, we believe we have the right to call her as a witness independently. It may well be the case that we end up not having anything direct for her that we can't cover on cross, so I certainly would say that would be our |
| 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | MS. SUGERMAN: I'm Rebecca Sugerman. THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, Your Honor, could they speak into the mic? MS. SUGERMAN: I'm Rebecca Sugerman. JUDGE BIRO: Good morning, Ms. Sugerman. MR. HELMLINGER: And Andrew Helmlinger. Good morning. JUDGE BIRO: Good morning. MS. REYNOLDS: Rebecca Reynolds. JUDGE BIRO: Rebecca Reynolds, okay. Good | 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | would be our preference. We're just obviously, because we haven't you know, the proceedings haven't begun, we wanted to have she's you know, she's a fact witness who's been involved in the case for a considerable period of time. And so, as we indicated in our prehearing exchange, we believe we have the right to call her as a witness independently. It may well be the case that we end up not having anything direct for her that we can't cover on cross, so I certainly would say that would be our you know, that would be our preference if we can do |
| 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | MS. SUGERMAN: I'm Rebecca Sugerman. THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, Your Honor, could they speak into the mic? MS. SUGERMAN: I'm Rebecca Sugerman. JUDGE BIRO: Good morning, Ms. Sugerman. MR. HELMLINGER: And Andrew Helmlinger. Good morning. JUDGE BIRO: Good morning. MS. REYNOLDS: Rebecca Reynolds. JUDGE BIRO: Rebecca Reynolds, okay. Good morning. | 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | would be our preference. We're just obviously, because we haven't you know, the proceedings haven't begun, we wanted to have she's you know, she's a fact witness who's been involved in the case for a considerable period of time. And so, as we indicated in our prehearing exchange, we believe we have the right to call her as a witness independently. It may well be the case that we end up not having anything direct for her that we can't cover on cross, so I certainly would say that would be our you know, that would be our preference if we can do that, but we've listed her, so |
| 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | MS. SUGERMAN: I'm Rebecca Sugerman. THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, Your Honor, could they speak into the mic? MS. SUGERMAN: I'm Rebecca Sugerman. JUDGE BIRO: Good morning, Ms. Sugerman. MR. HELMLINGER: And Andrew Helmlinger. Good morning. JUDGE BIRO: Good morning. MS. REYNOLDS: Rebecca Reynolds. JUDGE BIRO: Rebecca Reynolds, okay. Good morning. And counsel for the respondent? | 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | would be our preference. We're just obviously, because we haven't you know, the proceedings haven't begun, we wanted to have she's you know, she's a fact witness who's been involved in the case for a considerable period of time. And so, as we indicated in our prehearing exchange, we believe we have the right to call her as a witness independently. It may well be the case that we end up not having anything direct for her that we can't cover on cross, so I certainly would say that would be our you know, that would be our preference if we can do that, but we've listed her, so JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Well, that's |
| 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | MS. SUGERMAN: I'm Rebecca Sugerman. THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, Your Honor, could they speak into the mic? MS. SUGERMAN: I'm Rebecca Sugerman. JUDGE BIRO: Good morning, Ms. Sugerman. MR. HELMLINGER: And Andrew Helmlinger. Good morning. JUDGE BIRO: Good morning. MS. REYNOLDS: Rebecca Reynolds. JUDGE BIRO: Rebecca Reynolds, okay. Good morning. And counsel for the respondent? MR. McNEIL: Good morning, Your Honor. Rick | 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | would be our preference. We're just obviously, because we haven't you know, the proceedings haven't begun, we wanted to have she's you know, she's a fact witness who's been involved in the case for a considerable period of time. And so, as we indicated in our prehearing exchange, we believe we have the right to call her as a witness independently. It may well be the case that we end up not having anything direct for her that we can't cover on cross, so I certainly would say that would be our you know, that would be our preference if we can do that, but we've listed her, so |

Page 9 Page 11 1 of gallons of oil just 200 feet from the Sacramento

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 wait to see.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

25

JUDGE BIRO: That's fine. I think you can wait after she completes her direct testimony. I'd give you wide latitude on cross examination. But you can certainly wait to make that decision.

MR. HELMLINGER: Sure.

JUDGE BIRO: Okay.

MR. HELMLINGER: Fair enough, Your Honor. And then finally, I think just -- again, to be clear on standards and expectations, because this case involves a fair bit of expert testimony, we may choose or desire to have one of our experts reappear as a rebuttal witness after the respondent s. Is that appropriate? Can we agree on that?

JUDGE BIRO: As long as they're not raising up a new issue that hasn't been raised before --

MR. HELMLINGER: Sure, I would not that -yeah, I would not expect that to be the case.

JUDGE BIRO: -- that would be fine. You can, you know, tell us whether you want to release the witnesses or not after, and if they remain, then they can testify in rebuttal if they're testifying actually in rebuttal to some issue that was raised in the respondent's case.

MR. HELMLINGER: Sure.

River Deep Water Shipment Channel, navigable water of the United States that flows year-round into the Sacramento River, which flows year-round into the San Francisco Bay and the Pacific Ocean. Yet VSS failed to comply with the laws and regulations designed to protect those waters. Evidence will show that it has resisted compliance despite clear notifications from federal regulators as far back as 2013, and that since that time, the facility has added capacity of another two million gallons of oil and still has not demonstrated complete compliance with the oil spill prevention regulations.

After the Exxon Valdez spilled over 11 million gallons of crude oil into the pristine waters of Prince William Sound in Alaska in 1989, Congress amended the Clean Water Act to strengthen provisions pertaining to oil pollution. This environmental disaster made clear that the United States lacked adequate resources and mechanisms for addressing oil spills. Pursuant to that new Oil Pollution Act, EPA promulgated the oil pollution prevention regulations, which require that owners and operators of the facilities like VSS take steps to prevent oil spills. These facilities must prepare and implement a Spill

Page 10

Page 12

1 JUDGE BIRO: Okay. 2

MR. HELMLINGER: Thank you.

3 JUDGE BIRO: All right.

MR. HELMLINGER: That's all that we have.

JUDGE BIRO: Mr. McNeil, is there any other issue that you'd like to raise?

MR. McNEIL: Nothing further, Your Honor.

JUDGE BIRO: Okay, thank you.

Mr. Helmlinger, would you like to make an opening statement?

MR. HELMLINGER: I would, but I'm letting Ms. Sugerman do it.

13 JUDGE BIRO: Okay.

> MR. McNEIL: Your Honor, I apologize, and not to interrupt Ms. Sugerman's opening but before she starts, our understanding is we at least could request the right to reserve our opening to the closing of the case. We'd like to make that request.

JUDGE BIRO: Okay, that's fine.

MR. McNEIL: Okay, thank you.

21 MS. SUGERMAN: Good morning, Your Honor.

22 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Is that mic on?

23 MS. SUGERMAN: Is this mic on? You can tell 24 me. Yes? VSS International, the respondent in this

case, is a sophisticated company that stores millions

1 Prevention, Control, and Countermeasures, or SPCC,

plan, and the plan must include specific content as

3 set out in the regulations, including details

4 regarding oil handling operations; spill prevention 5 practices; and the personnel, equipment, and resources

6 at the facility that are used to prevent oil spills

7 from reaching navigable water or adjoining shorelines.

> A subset of the facilities subject to SPCC requirements, those that store over a million gallons of oil and meet certain other criteria, must also maintain and implement a Facility Response Plan, or FRP. The FRP requirements are designed to ensure that these facilities storing larger quantities of oil have more robust oil spill prevention and response capabilities to reflect the increased risks associated with storing those larger quantities.

The region's complaint alleged five violations of the oil pollution prevention regulations. Four related to the adequacy of respondent's SPCC plans and one related to respondent's failure to maintain and implement a Facility Response Plan. Your order on EPA's motion for accelerated decision established that as a matter of law, respondent is subject to the oil pollution prevention regulations. The order also established

Page 13 Page 15 1 that respondent is liable for Count 1, that VSSI's 1 MR. HELMLINGER: Yes, Your Honor. The EPA 2 2012 SPCC plan was deficient. 2 calls Dan Meer. 3 3 As for the remaining four violations, the JUDGE BIRO: Mr. Meer, could you please come 4 4 facts in this matter are largely uncontested, and the to the witness stand and let the court reporter swear 5 5 law is also very clear. Through witness testimony and your testimony? 6 documentary evidence, we will show that the facts 6 THE COURT REPORTER: Please raise your right 7 7 hand. support a finding of liability for the remaining 8 8 violations alleged in the complaint. We will show Whereupon, 9 9 DANIEL MEER that the law requires specific content for a 10 10 having first been duly sworn, was called as professional engineer's certification of an SPCC plan 11 and that VSSI's plans failed to meet this requirement. 11 a witness and was examined and testified as follows: 12 We will show that adding 2.4 million gallons 12 THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you. Please have 13 13 a seat. And for the record, would you please state of oil constitutes a material change within the 14 14 and spell your first and last name? meaning of the regulations and that VSS failed to meet 15 15 THE WITNESS: Daniel Meer, D-A-N-I-E-L, M as the requirements to amend its SPCC plan within six 16 in Michael, E as in Edward, E as in Edward, R as in 16 months of such a change. We will show that VSS was 17 required, pursuant to industry standards, to document 17 Richard. 18 18 tank integrity testing at certain time intervals but THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you. 19 19 failed to do so. And we will show that VSS is DIRECT EXAMINATION 20 20 BY MR. HELMLINGER: required to maintain and implement a compliant FRP, 21 Q Good morning, Mr. Meer. but to the best of our knowledge to this date, has yet 21 22 22 A Good morning. 23 Would you state your current employer? The Clean Water Act authorizes 23 24 24 administrative action and assessment of penalties and A The United States Environmental Protection 25 sets forth statutory factors as a basis for 25 Agency, Pacific Southwest Region. Page 14 Page 16 1 calculating the penalty. Determination of the penalty 1 Q And what is your title at the United States 2 2 is the purview of the presiding officer, taking into 3 account the statutory penalty factors. In the course 3 A Assistant Director in the Superfund 4 4 of this hearing, we will show through witness Division. 5 5 testimony and documentary evidence that the facts Q And how long have you been in that position? 6 support the proposed penalty of the statutory maximum 6 A Since approximately 2001. 7 allowed in an administrative proceeding of \$230,958. 7 Q Could you describe some of the roles and 8 8 Thank you. responsibilities generally in that position? 9 9 JUDGE BIRO: Ms. Sugerman, can I ask you a A Yes. My general responsibilities are to 10 question? 10 manage and direct a branch in the Superfund Division 11 11 MS. SUGERMAN: Certainly. that's responsible for emergency response, emergency 12 JUDGE BIRO: Is the EPA continuing to allege 12 planning and preparedness for oil discharges and 13 that the respondent was required to have an FRP based 13 chemical releases. 14 14 upon an inadequate secondary containment at its Q Are you familiar with what might be known as 15 15 facility? a Spill Prevention, Countermeasure and Control plan? 16 MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, we are continuing to 16 A Yes, in general. 17 allege that. 17 Q And are you familiar with Facility Response 18 18 JUDGE BIRO: Okay, thank you. Plans? 19 Okay, Mr. McNeil, you said you wanted to 19 A Yes, in general. 20 20 O If I abbreviate those as SPCC or FRP, would reserve your right to make opening? 21 MR. McNEIL: If I may, Your Honor. 21 you know what I'm talking about? 22 22 JUDGE BIRO: Okay. A I would. 23 23 MR. McNEIL: Thank you. Q So how do those concepts or things regard to 24 JUDGE BIRO: Will the agency please call its 24 the program that you supervise? 25 first witness? 25 A So, in supervising a program that is

| | Page 17 | | Page 19 |
|----|--|----|---|
| 1 | responsible for oil and chemical releases and | 1 | Q What access does EPA have to ACPs? |
| 2 | preventing and preparing for those possibilities, SPCC | 2 | A We have open access. |
| 3 | and FRP plans are developed by facilities to ensure | 3 | Q And how does EPA use an ACP? |
| 4 | that a couple of things. One is that they have the | 4 | A So, if we were either working in an area |
| 5 | appropriate mechanisms in place to prevent discharges | 5 | that was covered by an ACP oftentimes, there are |
| 6 | and releases, and also to describe the actions that | 6 | sources that are in the inland zone, which is EPA's |
| 7 | they would take if such a discharge should occur that | 7 | jurisdiction. And so we would look to the ACP for the |
| 8 | impacts surface waters. | 8 | strategies in the coastal zone that would have to be |
| 9 | Q Can an SPCC or FRPs be important to your | 9 | harmonized with EPA's strategies. |
| 10 | program's own responses? | 10 | Q Does an ACP distinguish particular resources |
| 11 | A Absolutely. | 11 | or other matters that might be relevant to a response |
| 12 | Q And how so? | 12 | in EPA's practice? |
| 13 | A So they describe a variety of strategies | 13 | A Yes, absolutely. ACPs describe sensitive |
| 14 | that a company might undertake to mitigate a | 14 | species and critical habitat that are prioritized for |
| 15 | discharge, and our responders would in a typical | 15 | protection if a discharge should occur. |
| 16 | spill scenario, our responders are working with the | 16 | Q Are you familiar with the respondent's |
| 17 | companies' contractors or the companies' responders to | 17 | facility in West Sacramento? |
| 18 | make sure that the effects of the releases are | 18 | A Yes, generally. |
| 19 | minimized. And so the plan would inform those sorts | 19 | Q I'd like you to turn, if you would, to what |
| 20 | of decision making in real time. | 20 | is marked as CX-2 in the binders in front of you. |
| 21 | Q Are you familiar with what is known as the | 21 | Actually, before we get to CX-2, let's try CX-33 |
| 22 | National Contingency Plan, or NCP? | 22 | 33, please. |
| 23 | A I am. | 23 | JUDGE BIRO: Did you say 33? |
| 24 | Q And what is that? | 24 | MR. HELMLINGER: Yes, Your Honor. |
| 25 | A The NCP is the regulatory requirements laid | 25 | BY MR. HELMLINGER: |
| | | | |
| | Page 18 | | Page 20 |
| 1 | out in 40 CFR Part 300 that describe EPA's | 1 | Q Could you take a moment and review CX-33? |
| 2 | responsibilities, Coast Guard's responsibilities and | 2 | A Okay. |
| 3 | roles, and private industry's responsibilities and | 3 | Q Can you identify what CX-33 is? |
| 4 | roles in the for planning, preparedness, and | 4 | A CX-33 is an area map of the San Francisco |
| 5 | response to discharges. | 5 | Bay and Delta with Geographic Response Areas broken |
| 6 | Q Are you familiar with what is known as an | 6 | out. |
| 7 | Area Contingency Plan? | 7 | Q When you say Geographic Response Area, can I |
| 8 | A I am. | 8 | take it that's the abbreviation GRA |
| 9 | Q And what is that? | 9 | A Yes. |
| 10 | A So an Area Contingency Plan is a plan | 10 | Q that's noted several times in that? |
| 11 | developed by the Coast Guard for specific geographic | 11 | A Numbered 1 to 8 [sic]. One to 1 to 10, |
| 12 | districts in the marine zone. In California, it's | 12 | excuse me. |
| 13 | along the California coast. And they are developed by | 13 | Q Given your general familiarity with the |
| 14 | an area committee under the direction of a federal on- | 14 | location of the respondent's facility, can you |
| 15 | scene coordinator, the captain of the port of the | 15 | identify where if there's a particular GR location |
| 16 | Coast Guard. And the plans, again, complement and | 16 | that that facility would be in? |
| 17 | align with a Facility Response Plan in terms of how | 17 | A Given that VSS is in Sacramento, they are |
| 18 | the governments the local, state, and federal | 18 | located within GRA number 8. |
| 19 | agencies, will respond to discharges. | 19 | Q Where does this map come from? |
| 20 | Q Does EPA have a role in the creation of an | 20 | A This is the Area Contingency Plan that we |
| 21 | ACP? | 21 | described. |
| 22 | A Not a direct role. That's under the purview | 22 | MR. HELMLINGER: I'd move to enter CX-33. |
| 23 | of the captain of the port. We certainly are familiar | 23 | JUDGE BIRO: Is there any objection, Mr. |
| 24 | with the ACPs. We use the ACPs. But they are really | 24 | McNeil? |
| 25 | under Coast Guard authority and jurisdiction. | 25 | MR. McNEIL: No objection, Your Honor. |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| admitted into the record. The document referred to, previously identified as the identifying environmental sensitive sites. A This document is a narea map. It's falled and previously identified as the identifying environmental sensitive sites. A This document is a narea map. It's falled and previously identified as the identifying environmental sensitive sites. A This document is a table of contents of the section? Whis section does this previously identified as the fall previously identified as the identifying environmental sensitive sites. A This document is a table of contents of the section? Whis section does this previously identified as the fall previously identified as the identifying environmental sensitive sites. A This document is a table of contents of the section? Whis section does thi | | Page 21 | | Page 23 |
|--|--|--|--|---|
| admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as previously identified as comparison of the previously identified as previously identified as previously identified as comparison of the previously identified as previo | 1 | JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 33 is | 1 | A I could take a guess, but |
| The document referred to, previously identified as the first two pages of the section 9848, GRA-8, North Delta. A previously identified as previously identified as the first two pages of the lacking of the bacation of the VSS facility in West Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel on this map? A previously identified as previously identified as previously identified as the first two pages of the cover page on that. Given your general understanding of the location of the VSS facility in West Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel on this map? A I think I would have a little touble doing 2 of Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel, labeled 2- sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel, labeled 2- sacrament River Deep Water Ship Channel, l | 2 | admitted into the record. | 2 | • |
| A previously identified as Complainant Exhibit No. 33, was received in evidence.) A PK MR. HELMLINGER: Complainant Exhibit No. 33, Complainant Exhibit No. 34, Complainant | 3 | (The document referred to, | 3 | · |
| 6 Was received in evidence.) 7 BY MR. HELMLINGER: 8 Q If you could turn two pages in CX-33. This appears to be an index for the different Geographic appears to be an index for the different Geographic Response Areas, is it not? 11 A Yes. Table of contents. 12 Q Yeah. Do you see GRA-8 in that index? 13 A 1 do. 14 Q What number is identified with GRA-8? 15 A 98-48. 16 Q Thank you. Now if I could have you turn to 17 Respondent's Exhibit 83. 18 JUDGE BIRO: That's in the black volumes. 19 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. Yes. 20 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. Yes. 21 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yesh. 22 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yesh. 23 JUDGE BIRO: All of 83? 24 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You've got to speak. 25 JUDGE BIRO: All of 83? 26 MR. HELMLINGER: 19 JUDGE BIRO: All of 83? 27 MR. HELMLINGER: 19 JUDGE BIRO: All of 83? 28 MR. HELMLINGER: 19 JUDGE BIRO: Okay. The first two pages of 19 JUDGE BIRO: Okay. The first two pages of 19 JUDGE BIRO: Okay. The first two pages of 10 JUDGE BIRO: Okay. The first tw | 4 | | 4 | |
| Page 22 Page 3 Page 4 Page 5 Page 5 Page 5 | 5 | Complainant's Exhibit No. 33, | 5 | down the page. |
| 8 Q If your could turn two pages in CX-33. This appears to be an index for the different Geographic Response Areas, is it not? 10 Response Areas, is it not? 11 A Yes. Table of contents. 12 Q Yeah. Do you see GRA-8 in that index? 13 A I do. 14 Q What number is identified with GRA-8? 15 A 9848. 16 Q Thank you. Now if I could have you turn to 1/2 Respondent's Exhibit 83. 17 GINCE BIRO: That's in the black volumes. 18 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. Yes. 20 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. Yes. 21 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah. 22 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You've got to speak louder into the mic. It's over here. 23 In your take a minute and review this document and identify it if you can? 24 Q Do you have that in front of you? 25 BY MR. HELMLINGER: Page 22 1 Q Do you have that in front of you? 2 A Yes. 3 Q Can you take a minute and review this document and identify it if you can? 4 A This document is an area map. It's titled document and identify it if you can? 5 A This document is an area map. It's titled for the section? Which section does this— 2 Q So can you identify, then, what this document is? 1 Geographic Response Area 8, and it is identifying environmental sensitive sites. 2 Q If you turn to page 2 of this exhibit, RX- 2 Q So can you identify, then, what this document is? 1 A Section 9488, GRA-8, North Delta. 2 Q So can you identify, then, what this document is? 1 A This document is a table of contents of Goographic Response Area 8 showing—it looks like coologically sensitive and cultural and other resources at risk. 2 Q If you turn to page 1 of that—the cover page on that. Given your general understanding of the location of the VSS facility in West 2 Sacramento, can you identify the Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel, labeled 2-turn that this intentification in the map on the front page? 2 A CX-2 A Grayou can, identify the Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel, labeled 2-turn that the water of the way to on the lift sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel, labeled 2-turn that in the | 6 | was received in evidence.) | 6 | A 2-859 2-859-B. |
| 8 Q If your could turn two pages in CX-33. This appears to be an index for the different Geographic Response Areas, is it not? 10 Response Areas, is it not? 11 A Yes. Table of contents. 12 Q Yeah. Do you see GRA-8 in that index? 13 A I do. 14 Q What number is identified with GRA-8? 15 A 9848. 16 Q Thank you. Now if I could have you turn to 1/2 Respondent's Exhibit 83. 17 GINCE BIRO: That's in the black volumes. 18 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. Yes. 20 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. Yes. 21 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah. 22 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You've got to speak louder into the mic. It's over here. 23 In your take a minute and review this document and identify it if you can? 24 Q Do you have that in front of you? 25 BY MR. HELMLINGER: Page 22 1 Q Do you have that in front of you? 2 A Yes. 3 Q Can you take a minute and review this document and identify it if you can? 4 A This document is an area map. It's titled document and identify it if you can? 5 A This document is an area map. It's titled for the section? Which section does this— 2 Q So can you identify, then, what this document is? 1 Geographic Response Area 8, and it is identifying environmental sensitive sites. 2 Q If you turn to page 2 of this exhibit, RX- 2 Q So can you identify, then, what this document is? 1 A Section 9488, GRA-8, North Delta. 2 Q So can you identify, then, what this document is? 1 A This document is a table of contents of Goographic Response Area 8 showing—it looks like coologically sensitive and cultural and other resources at risk. 2 Q If you turn to page 1 of that—the cover page on that. Given your general understanding of the location of the VSS facility in West 2 Sacramento, can you identify the Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel, labeled 2-turn that this intentification in the map on the front page? 2 A CX-2 A Grayou can, identify the Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel, labeled 2-turn that the water of the way to on the lift sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel, labeled 2-turn that in the | 7 | BY MR. HELMLINGER: | 7 | Q Thank you. With that code number, can you |
| 10 Response Areas, is it not? 10 Q That's marked with a red dot, maybe the two-thirds of the way up on the left side of the page? 12 A Yeah, It's - forgive my spacial problems. 13 It's a very straight line compared to the river, so it's easily identified, now that I see it, as the ship channel. 14 Q What number is identified with GRA-8? 14 It's a very straight line compared to the river, so it's easily identified, now that I see it, as the ship channel. 16 Q Sure. And we're walking you through this a little bit to we're creating a verbal record of this as well as the exhibits. 17 It is as well as the exhibits. 18 It is as well as the exhibits. 19 It is as well as the exhibits. 18 It is as well as the exhibits. 18 It is as well as the exhibits. 18 It is as well as the exhibits. 19 It is as well as the exhibits. 19 It is as well as the exhibits. 18 It is as well as the exhibits. 19 It is as well as the exhibits. 10 It is as | 8 | Q If you could turn two pages in CX-33. This | 8 | |
| 11 | 9 | appears to be an index for the different Geographic | 9 | A I hope so. Yes. |
| 12 Q Yeah. Do you see GRA-8 in that index? 13 A 1 do. 14 Q What number is identified with GRA-8? 15 A 9848. 16 Q Thank you. Now if I could have you turn to 17 Respondent's Exhibit is 3. 18 JUDGE BIRO: That's in the black volumes. 19 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. Yes. 20 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. Yes. 21 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yesh. 22 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yesh. 23 Identified, now that in front of your your your your your your your your | 10 | Response Areas, is it not? | 10 | Q That's marked with a red dot, maybe the |
| 13 A I do. 14 Q What number is identified with GRA-8? 15 A 9848. 16 Q Thank you. Now if I could have you turn to 17 Respondent's Exhibit 83. 18 JUDGE BIRO: That's in the black volumes. 19 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. Yes. 20 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. Yes. 21 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah. 22 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You've got to speak louder into the mic. It's over here. 23 Iouder into the mic. It's over here. 24 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Oh, it's over here? 25 BY MR. HELMLINGER: 26 PAGE 22 1 Q Do you have that in front of you? 27 A Yes. 3 Q Can you take a minute and review this document and identify it if you can? 4 A This document is an area map. It's titled Area Map ACP 2, Geographic Response Area 8, and it is identifying environmental sensitive sites. 3 Q If you turn to page 2 of this exhibit, RX- 9 33, do you see at the top of the page the caption for the section? Which section does this - 10 Q So can you identify, then, what this document is? Q If you turn to page 2 of this exhibit, RX- 9 33, do you see at the top of the page the caption for the section? Which section does this - 10 Q So can you identify, then, what this document is? Q If you turn to page 2 of this exhibit, RX- 9 Gographic Response Area 8 showing it looks like ecologically sensitive and cultural and other ecover page on that. Given your general understanding of the location of the VSS facility in West 20 A This location of the VSS facility in West 21 Sacramento, can you identify the Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel, labeled 2- 22 Water Ship Channel on this map? 3 A I think I would have a little trouble doing 4 A Think I knowld have a little trouble doing 4 A Think I knowld have a little trouble doing 4 A I think I would have a little trouble doing 4 A Think I knowld have a little trouble doing 4 A Think I knowld have a little trouble doing | 11 | A Yes. Table of contents. | 11 | two-thirds of the way up on the left side of the page? |
| 14 | 12 | Q Yeah. Do you see GRA-8 in that index? | 12 | A Yeah, it's forgive my spacial problems. |
| channel. A 9848. A 9848. C Thank you. Now if I could have you turn to I for Respondent's Exhibit 83. JUDGE BIRO: That's in the black volumes. JUDGE BIRO: That's in the black volumes. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Is her mic on? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Vesh. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Vesh. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Vou've got to speak louder into the mic. It's over here. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Oh, it's over here? BY MR. HELMLINGER: Page 22 Page 24 Q Do you have that in front of you? A Yes. Q Can you take a minute and review this document and identify it if you can? A This document is an area map. It's titled for a way App ACP 2, Georgraphic Response Area 8, and it is identifying environmental sensitive sites. Q If you turn to page 2 of this exhibit, RX- 3 3, do you see at the top of the page the caption for the section? Which section does this — 10 the section? Which section does this — 11 A Section 9848, GRA-8, North Delta. 12 Q So can you identify, then, what this document is? 13 document is? A This document is a table of contents of Geographic Response Area 8 showing — it looks like ecologically sensitive and cultural and other resources at risk. Q If you turn back to page 1 of that — the cover page on that. Given your general understanding of the location of the VSS facility in West Sacramento, can you identify the Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel on this map? A think I would have a little trouble doing that. This document is resources at is sailed with those first two pages of Respondent's Exhibit 83 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as the first two pages of Respondent's Exhibit No. 83, was received in evidence.) BY MR. HELMLINGER: I'd move to admit those first two pages of Respondent's Exhibit 83 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as the first two pages of Respondent's Exhibit 83. BY MR. | 13 | A I do. | 13 | It's a very straight line compared to the river, so |
| 16 Q Thank you. Now if I could have you turn to Respondent's Exhibit 83. 17 18 JUDGE BIRO: That's in the black volumes. 18 JUDGE BIRO: That's in the black volumes. 18 Ititle bit to — we're creating a verbal record of this as well as the exhibits. 18 JUDGE BIRO: All of 83? 19 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Ves. Ves. 19 MR. HELMLINGER: I'd move to admit Respondent's Exhibit 83. JUDGE BIRO: All of 83? MR. HELMLINGER: I would be satisfied with those first two pages. MR. McNEIL: No objection, Your Honor. 25 JUDGE BIRO: Okay. The first two pages of 10 MR. McNEIL: No objection, Your Honor. 26 JUDGE BIRO: Okay. The first two pages of 10 MR. McNEIL: No objection of the record. 12 MR. McNEIL: No objection of the record. 13 MR. McNEIL: No objection of the record. 14 MR. McNEIL: No objection of the record. 15 MR. McNEIL: No objection, Your Honor. 16 MR. McNEIL: No objection, Your Honor. 17 MR. McNEIL: No objection of the record. 16 MR. McNEIL: No objection of the record. 17 MR. McNEIL: No objection, Your Honor. 18 MR. McNEIL: No objection, Your Honor. 19 MR. McNEIL: No objection, Your Honor. 10 MR. McNEIL: No objection object of the Neadon of the Respondent's Exhibit No. 83, was receiv | 14 | Q What number is identified with GRA-8? | 14 | it's easily identified, now that I see it, as the ship |
| Respondent's Exhibit 83. JUDGE BIRO: That's in the black volumes. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes, Yes, UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Is her mic on? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You've got to speak louder into the mic. It's over here. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Oh, it's over here? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Oh, it's over here? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Oh, it's over here? BY MR. HELMLINGER: I would be satisfied with those first two pages. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Oh, it's over here? BY MR. HELMLINGER: I would be satisfied with those first two pages. Page 22 Page 24 Respondent's Exhibit 83 is admitted into the record. TUDGE BIRO: Okay. The first two pages of Into the mic. It's over here? A Yes. Can you take a minute and review this document and identify it if you can? A This document is an area map. It's titled Area Map ACP 2, Geographic Response Area 8, and it is identifying environmental sensitive sites. Q If you turn to page 2 of this exhibit, RX- Jid A Section 9848, GRA-8, North Delta. Q So can you identify, then, what this document is? A This document is a table of contents of the section? Which section does this - Cooperaphic Response Area 8 showing it looks like ecologically sensitive and cultural and other resources at risk. Q If you turn back to page 1 of that the cover page on that. Given your general understanding of the location of the VSS facility in West Sacramento, can you identify the Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel on this map? A Think I would have a little trouble doing A Think I would have a little trouble doing A Think I would have a little trouble doing A Think I would have a little trouble doing A Think I would have a little trouble doing A Think I would have a little trouble doing A Think I would have a little trouble doing A Think I would have a little trouble doing A Think I would have a little trouble doing A Think I would have a little trouble doing A Think I would have a little trouble doing A Think I would have a little trouble doing A Think I would have a little tro | 15 | A 9848. | 15 | channel. |
| JUDGE BIRO: That's in the black volumes. 18 | 16 | Q Thank you. Now if I could have you turn to | 16 | Q Sure. And we're walking you through this a |
| UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. Ls her mic on? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Is her mic on? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You've got to speak louder into the mic. It's over here. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Oh, it's over here? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Oh, it's over here? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Oh, it's over here? BY MR. HELMLINGER: I would be satisfied with those first two pages. Page 22 Page 24 Q Do you have that in front of you? A Yes. Q Can you take a minute and review this document and identify it if you can? A This document is an area map. It's titled Area Map ACP 2, Geographic Response Area 8, and it is identifying environmental sensitive sites. Q If you turn to page 2 of this exhibit, RX- Q So can you identify, then, what this document is? A This document is a table of contents of Geographic Response Area 8 showing — it looks like ecologically sensitive and cultural and other resources at risk. Q If you turn back to page I of that — the cologically sensitive and cultural and other resources at risk. Q If you turn back to page I of that — the socion? Water Ship Channel on this map? A I think I would have a little trouble doing A I think I would have a little trouble doing A I think I would have a little trouble doing A I think I would have a little trouble doing A I think I would have a little trouble doing A I think I would have a little trouble doing A Section SPEAKER: Poth very each of that. Page 22 Respondent's Exhibit R3. MR. HELMLINGER: I would be satisfied with those first two pages. MR. HELMLINGER: I would be satisfied with those first two pages. MR. HELMLINGER: I would be satisfied with those first two pages. MR. HELMLINGER: I would be satisfied with those first two pages. MR. HELMLINGER: I would be satisfied with those first two pages. MR. HELMLINGER: I would be satisfied with those first two pages. MR. HELMLINGER: I would be satisfied with those first two pages. MR. HELMLINGER: I would be satisfied with those first two pages. MR. HELMLINGER: I would be satisfied with those first two | 17 | Respondent's Exhibit 83. | 17 | little bit to we're creating a verbal record of |
| UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Is her mic on? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You've got to speak louder into the mic. It's over here. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You've got to speak louder into the mic. It's over here. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Oh, it's over here? BY MR. HELMLINGER: Page 22 Page 24 Q Do you have that in front of you? A Yes. Q Can you take a minute and review this document and identify it if you can? A This document is an area map. It's titled for it he section? Which section does this — G If you turn to page 2 of this exhibit, RX- A Section 9848, GRA-8, North Delta. A Section 9848, GRA-8, North Delta. A This document is a table of contents of Geographic Response Area 8 showing — it looks like ecologically sensitive and cultural and other resources at risk. Q If you turn back to page 1 of that — the cover page on that. Given you general understanding of that. Water Ship Channel on this map? Water Ship Channel on this map? A I think I would have a little trouble doing the contents of that. Link By MR. HELMLINGER: Which section with the section? Water Ship Channel, labeled 2- Respondent's Exhibit R3. JUDGE BIRO: All of 83? MR. HELMLINGER: I would be satisfied with those first two pages. MR. HELMLINGER: I would be satisfied with those first two pages. MR. HELMLINGER: I would be satisfied with those first two pages of these first two pages of these first two pages of mark in front of you, Cx-2 was a demander of the section? Which section to you want to get those out of your way. All right, do you want to get those out of your way. All right, do you have that in front of you, CX-2? A Cx-2. A Cink Bernal Indentify it is a satisfied with those first two pages of these satisfied with those first two pages of these satisfied with those first two pages of these first two pages of these satisfied with those first two pages of page 2 Respondent's Exhibit No. 83, was receive | 18 | JUDGE BIRO: That's in the black volumes. | 18 | this as well as the exhibits. |
| UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You've got to speak louder into the mic. It's over here. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Oh, it's over here? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Oh, it's over here? BYMR. HELMLINGER: Page 22 Page 24 Respondent's Exhibit 83 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as the first two pages of Respondent's Exhibit 83 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as the first two pages of Respondent's Exhibit 83 is admitted into the record. The document and identify it if you can? A This document and identify it if you can? A This document is an area map. It's titled A Area Map ACP 2, Geographic Response Area 8, and it is identifying environmental sensitive sites. Q If you turn to page 2 of this exhibit, RX- 33, do you see at the top of the page the caption for the section? Which section does this A Section 9848, GRA-8, North Delta. A This document is: A CX-2. Q So can you identify, then, what this document is? A This document is a table of contents of Geographic Response Area 8 showing it looks like coologically sensitive and cultural and other resources at risk. Q If you turn back to page 1 of that the CX-2 and, if you can, identify what it is. A T'm sorry, CX-2 is it a tab? Q Right, I'm sorry, you were thinking binder number 2. A I think I would have a little trouble doing A I think I would have a little trouble doing A I think I would have a little trouble doing A I think I would have a little trouble doing A I think I would have a little trouble doing A I think I would have a little trouble doing A I think I would have a little trouble doing A I think I would have a little trouble doing | 19 | UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. Yes. | 19 | MR. HELMLINGER: I'd move to admit |
| UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You've got to speak louder into the mic. It's over here. 23 WR. HELMLINGER: 1 would be satisfied with those first two pages. 24 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Oh, it's over here? 25 BY MR. HELMLINGER: 25 JUDGE BIRO: Okay. The first two pages of 26 Page 22 1 Q Do you have that in front of you? 2 A Yes. 2 Respondent's Exhibit 83 is admitted into the record. 2 A Yes. 2 (The document referred to, previously identified as the document and identify it if you can? 4 Gozument and identify it if you can? 5 A This document is an area map. It's titled 5 Respondent's Exhibit No. 83, was received in evidence.) 6 Area Map ACP 2, Geographic Response Area 8, and it is identifying environmental sensitive sites. 8 Q If you turn to page 2 of this exhibit, RX- 3 do you see at the top of the page the caption for the section? Which section does this - 10 you want to get those out of your way. All right, do you have that in front of you, CX-2? 12 Q So can you identify, then, what this 10 you have that in front of you, CX-2? 13 document is? 10 Q Ray. Could you take a minute and review 11 CX-2 and, if you can, identify what it is. 12 Q Right. I'm sorry, CX-2 is it a tab? 12 Q Right. I'm sorry, you were thinking binder 12 number 2. 13 Q All roght, in morry, you were thinking binder 14 CX-2 and, if you can, identify what it is. 15 A Tab number 2. 16 Q Right. I'm sorry, you were thinking binder 19 number 2. 17 A Tab number 2. 18 Q Right. I'm sorry, you were thinking binder 19 number 2. 19 Q Alot of documents. 19 Nature 19 CX-2 is a site summary of the 19 CX-2 that. 19 CX-2 is a site summary of the 19 CX-2 that. 19 CX-2 is a site summary of the 19 CX-2 that. 19 CX-2 is a site summary of the 19 CX-2 that. 19 CX-2 is a site summary of the 19 CX-2 that. 19 CX-2 is a site summary of the 19 CX-2 that. 19 CX-2 is a site summary of the 19 CX-2 that. 19 CX-2 is a site summary of the 19 CX-2 that. 19 CX-2 is a site summary of the 19 CX-2 that. 19 CX-2 is a site summary of the 19 CX-2 that. 19 CX-2 is a site summary of the 19 CX- | 20 | UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Is her mic on? | 20 | Respondent's Exhibit 83. |
| louder into the mic. It's over here. 23 | 21 | UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah. | 21 | JUDGE BIRO: All of 83? |
| UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Oh, it's over here? BY MR. HELMLINGER: Page 22 Page 24 Respondent's Exhibit 83 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as the first two pages of A Yes. Q Can you take a minute and review this document and identify it if you can? A This document is an area map. It's titled Area Map ACP 2, Geographic Response Area 8, and it is identifying environmental sensitive sites. Q If you turn to page 2 of this exhibit, RX- 3 33, do you see at the top of the page the caption for the section? Which section does this - 10 4 A Section 9848, GRA-8, North Delta. 11 A Section 9848, GRA-8, North Delta. 12 Q So can you identify, then, what this document is? 13 document is? 14 A This document is a table of contents of Geographic Response Area 8 showing it looks like ecologically sensitive and cultural and other 16 Geographic Response Area 8 showing it looks like ecologically sensitive and cultural and other 17 resources at risk. Q If you turn back to page 1 of that the 18 Q If you turn back to page 1 of that the 19 cover page on that. Given your general understanding of the location of the VSS facility in West 20 A I was thinking binder 2. 21 Sacramento, can you identify the Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel on this map? 22 Water Ship Channel on this map? 23 A I think I would have a little trouble doing 24 that. | 22 | UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You've got to speak | 22 | MR. HELMLINGER: I would be satisfied with |
| Page 22 Page 24 Page 24 Page 24 Respondent's Exhibit 83 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as the first two pages of document and identify it if you can? A Tes document and identify it if you can? A This document is an area map. It's titled for the section? Which section does this - A Section 9848, GRA-8, North Delta. Q So can you identify, then, what this document is? A This document is a table of contents of cologically sensitive and cultural and other cologically sensitive and cultural and other cover page on that. Given your general understanding of the location of the VSS facility in West Sacramento, can you identify the work of the page 2 of that. Page 24 Respondent's Exhibit 83 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as the first two pages of the sechibit RN. B Respondent's Exhibit 83 is admitted into the record. (The document ferred to, previously identified as the first two pages of the first two pages of the first two pages of the sechibit RN. B Wars HELMLINGER: A Tes under - you're done with 33 and RX-83 if you want to get those out of your way. All right, do you want to get those out of your way. All right, do you have that in front of you, CX-2? A CX-2. A CX-2. A This document is a table of contents of the cologically sensitive and cultural and other to the cologically sensitive and cultural and other | 23 | louder into the mic. It's over here. | 23 | those first two pages. |
| Page 22 1 Q Do you have that in front of you? 2 A Yes. 3 Q Can you take a minute and review this 4 document and identify it if you can? 5 A This document is an area map. It's titled 6 Area Map ACP 2, Geographic Response Area 8, and it is 7 identifying environmental sensitive sites. 8 Q If you turn to page 2 of this exhibit, RX- 9 33, do you see at the top of the page the caption for 10 the section? Which section does this 11 A Section 9848, GRA-8, North Delta. 12 Q So can you identify, then, what this 13 document is? 14 A This document is a table of contents of 15 Geographic Response Area 8 showing it looks like 16 ecologically sensitive and cultural and other 17 resources at risk. 18 Q If you turn back to page 1 of that the 19 cover page on that. Given your general understanding 20 of the location of the VSS facility in West 21 Sacramento, can you identify the Sacramento River Deep 22 Water Ship Channel on this map? 23 A I think I would have a little trouble doing 24 that. Page 24 Respondent's Exhibit to the record. (The document is Exhibit to the record. (The document is a table into the record. (The document is a table into the record. (The document is end. (The document fies Exhibit to the record. (The document is end. (The document is Exhibit to the record. (The document is end. (The document is a table first two pages of Respondent's Exhibit to the record. (The document is a table first two pages of Respondent's Exhibit to the record. (The document is a table first two pages of Respondent's Exhibit to, 83 Respondent's Exhibit to the record. (The document is a table first two pages of Respondent's Exhibit too she first two pages of Respondent's Exhibit too pages of Respondent's Exhibit for a the first two pages of Respondent's Exhibit for a the first two pages of Respondent's Exhibit for an termination of the section of the page she had it is first two pages of Respondent's Exhibit for an entire first two pages of Respondent's Exhibit for an entire first two pages of Respondent's E | 24 | UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Oh, it's over here? | 24 | MR. McNEIL: No objection, Your Honor. |
| 1 Q Do you have that in front of you? 2 A Yes. 3 Q Can you take a minute and review this 4 document and identify it if you can? 5 A This document is an area map. It's titled 6 Area Map ACP 2, Geographic Response Area 8, and it is 6 identifying environmental sensitive sites. 7 identifying environmental sensitive sites. 8 Q If you turn to page 2 of this exhibit, RX- 9 33, do you see at the top of the page the caption for 10 the section? Which section does this 11 A Section 9848, GRA-8, North Delta. 12 Q So can you identify, then, what this 13 document is? 14 A This document is a table of contents of 15 Geographic Response Area 8 showing it looks like 16 ceclologically sensitive and cultural and other 17 resources at risk. 18 Q If you turn back to page 1 of that the 19 cover page on that. Given your general understanding 20 of the location of the VSS facility in West 21 A I think I would have a little trouble doing 22 Water Ship Channel on this map? 24 that. 24 Respondent's Exhibit 83 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as the first two pages of Respondent's Exhibit No. 83, was received in evidence.) First two pages of Respondent's Exhibit No. 83, was received in evidence.) Respondent's Exhibit Ro. Previously identified as the first two pages of Respondent's Exhibit No. 83, was received in evidence.) Respondent's Exhibit No. 83, was received in | 25 | BY MR. HELMLINGER: | 25 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. The first two pages of |
| 2 A Yes. 3 Q Can you take a minute and review this 4 document and identify it if you can? 5 A This document is an area map. It's titled 5 Respondent's Exhibit No. 83, 6 Area Map ACP 2, Geographic Response Area 8, and it is 7 identifying environmental sensitive sites. 8 Q If you turn to page 2 of this exhibit, RX- 9 33, do you see at the top of the page the caption for 10 the section? Which section does this 11 A Section 9848, GRA-8, North Delta. 12 Q So can you identify, then, what this 13 document is? 14 A This document is a table of contents of 15 Geographic Response Area 8 showing it looks like 16 ecologically sensitive and cultural and other 17 resources at risk. 18 Q If you turn back to page 1 of that the 19 cover page on that. Given your general understanding 20 of the location of the VSS facility in West 21 A I think I would have a little trouble doing 22 that. 22 (The document referred to, 3 previously identified as the 16 previously identified as the 16 first two pages of 18 Respondent's Exhibit No. 83, 4 (First two pages of 18 Respondent's Exhibit No. 83, 4 (Pase pondent's Exhibit No. 84, 4 (| | Page 22 | | Page 24 |
| Q Can you take a minute and review this document and identify it if you can? A This document is an area map. It's titled Area Map ACP 2, Geographic Response Area 8, and it is identifying environmental sensitive sites. Q If you turn to page 2 of this exhibit, RX- 3 3, do you see at the top of the page the caption for the section? Which section does this Q So can you identify, then, what this A This document is a table of contents of Geographic Response Area 8 showing it looks like ceologically sensitive and cultural and other resources at risk. Q If you turn back to page 1 of that the of the location of the VSS facility in West A I think I would have a little trouble doing A I think I would have a little trouble doing A Think I would have a little trouble doing A Think document, and interest the first two pages of Respondent's Exhibit No. 83, Respondent's Exhibit No. 83, Was received in evidence.) BY MR. HELMLINGER: A Poww, Mr. Meer, if I could have you turn to CX-2, and we're you're done with 33 and RX-83 if you want to get those out of your way. All right, do you have that in front of you, CX-2? A CX-2. A CX-2. A CX-2. A CX-2. A CX-2. A I'm sorry, CX-2 is it a tab? CY-2 and, if you can, identify what it is. A I'm sorry, CX-2 is it a tab? A I was thinking binder 2. A I was thinking binder 2. A I was thinking binder 2. A Okay, CX-2 is a site summary of the Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel on this map? A I think I would have a little trouble doing A Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel, labeled 2- that. | | | | |
| document and identify it if you can? A This document is an area map. It's titled Area Map ACP 2, Geographic Response Area 8, and it is identifying environmental sensitive sites. Q If you turn to page 2 of this exhibit, RX- BY MR. HELMLINGER: Q Now, Mr. Meer, if I could have you turn to CX-2, and we're you're done with 33 and RX-83 if you want to get those out of your way. All right, do you have that in front of you, CX-2? A Section 9848, GRA-8, North Delta. Q So can you identify, then, what this A This document is a table of contents of Geographic Response Area 8 showing it looks like ecologically sensitive and cultural and other resources at risk. Q If you turn back to page 1 of that the cover page on that. Given your general understanding of the location of the VSS facility in West A I think I would have a little trouble doing A I think I would have a little trouble doing A Think I would have a little trouble doing 4 Grespondent's Exhibit No. 83, Respondent's Exhibit No. 83, Was received in evidence.) BY MR. HELMLINGER: BY MR. HELMLINGER: A Pown, Mr. Meer, if I could have you turn to CX-2, and we're you're done with 33 and RX-83 if you want to get those out of your way. All right, do you have that in front of you, CX-2? A CX-2. A CX-2. A CX-2. A CX-2. A CX-2. A CX-2. A I'm sorry, CX-2 is it a tab? CY-2 and, if you can, identify what it is. A I'm sorry, CX-2 is it a tab? A I was thinking binder 2. A I was thinking binder 2. A I was thinking binder 2. A Okay, CX-2 is a site summary of the A I think I would have a little trouble doing A I think I would have a little trouble doing A I think I would have a little trouble doing | 1 | Q Do you have that in front of you? | 1 | Respondent's Exhibit 83 is admitted into the record. |
| A This document is an area map. It's titled Area Map ACP 2, Geographic Response Area 8, and it is identifying environmental sensitive sites. Q If you turn to page 2 of this exhibit, RX- 33, do you see at the top of the page the caption for the section? Which section does this Q So can you identify, then, what this Q So can you identify, then, what this Cacographic Response Area 8 showing it looks like cologically sensitive and cultural and other resources at risk. Q If you turn back to page 1 of that the Cover page on that. Given your general understanding of the location of the VSS facility in West A I think I would have a little trouble doing A I think I would have a little trouble doing A Respondent's Exhibit No. 83, was received in evidence.) BY MR. HELMLINGER: BY MR. HELMLINGER: Q Now, Mr. Meer, if I could have you turn to CX-2, and we're you're done with 33 and RX-83 if you want to get those out of your way. All right, do you have that in front of you, CX-2? A CX-2. Q Okay. Could you take a minute and review CX-2 and, if you can, identify what it is. CX-2 and, if you can, identify what it is. A I'm sorry, CX-2 is it a tab? Q It's tab number 2. A Tab number 2. A Tab number 2. A I was thinking binder 2. A I was thinking binder 2. A Okay, CX-2 is a site summary of the Sacramento, can you identify the Sacramento River Deep A I think I would have a little trouble doing A I think I would have a little trouble doing A I think I would have a little trouble doing A Section 9848, And I was thinking binder Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel, labeled 2- that. | | | | - |
| Area Map ACP 2, Geographic Response Area 8, and it is identifying environmental sensitive sites. Q If you turn to page 2 of this exhibit, RX- 33, do you see at the top of the page the caption for the section? Which section does this A Section 9848, GRA-8, North Delta. Q So can you identify, then, what this document is? A This document is a table of contents of Geographic Response Area 8 showing it looks like ecologically sensitive and cultural and other resources at risk. Q If you turn boack to page 1 of that the cover page on that. Given your general understanding of the location of the VSS facility in West A I think I would have a little trouble doing A I think I would have a little trouble doing A Rea Map ACP 2, Geographic Response Area 8, and it is identifying the was received in evidence.) BY MR. HELMLINGER: B Q Now, Mr. Meer, if I could have you turn to CX-2, and we're you're done with 33 and RX-83 if you wan to get those out of your way. All right, do you have that in front of you, CX-2? A CX-2. A CX-2. A CX-2. A I'm sorry, CX-2 is it a tab? Q Right. I'm sorry, you were thinking binder number 2. A I was thinking binder 2. A I was thinking binder 2. A Okay, CX-2 is a site summary of the Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel, labeled 2-that. | 2 | A Yes. | 2 | (The document referred to, |
| didentifying environmental sensitive sites. 7 | 2 | A Yes.Q Can you take a minute and review this | 2 | (The document referred to, previously identified as the |
| Q If you turn to page 2 of this exhibit, RX- 33, do you see at the top of the page the caption for the section? Which section does this Q So can you identify, then, what this document is? A This document is a table of contents of Geographic Response Area 8 showing it looks like ecologically sensitive and cultural and other resources at risk. Q If you turn back to page 1 of that the Q If you turn back to page 1 of that the Q Of the location of the VSS facility in West Water Ship Channel on this map? A I think I would have a little trouble doing A I think I would have a little trouble doing A Section 9848, GRA-8, North Delta. D OK-2, and we're you're done with 33 and RX-83 if You want to get those out of your way. All right, do you want to get those out of your way. All right, do you have that in front of you, CX-2? A CX-2. O Okay. Could you take a minute and review CX-2 and, if you can, identify what it is. A I'm sorry, CX-2 is it a tab? A I'm sorry, CX-2 is it a tab? A Tab number 2. A Tab number 2. A I was thinking binder 2. A I was thinking binder 2. A I was thinking binder 2. A Okay, CX-2 is a site summary of the Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel, labeled 2- that. | 2 3 4 | A Yes. Q Can you take a minute and review this document and identify it if you can? | 2 3 4 | (The document referred to, previously identified as the first two pages of |
| 9 33, do you see at the top of the page the caption for 10 the section? Which section does this 11 A Section 9848, GRA-8, North Delta. 11 you want to get those out of your way. All right, do 11 you have that in front of you, CX-2? 12 Q So can you identify, then, what this 13 document is? 14 A This document is a table of contents of 15 Geographic Response Area 8 showing it looks like 16 ecologically sensitive and cultural and other 17 resources at risk. 18 Q If you turn back to page 1 of that the 19 cover page on that. Given your general understanding 20 of the location of the VSS facility in West 21 Sacramento, can you identify the Sacramento River Deep 22 Water Ship Channel on this map? 23 A I think I would have a little trouble doing 24 that. 26 CX-2, and we're you're done with 33 and RX-83 if 20 you want to get those out of your way. All right, do 30 you have that in front of you, CX-2? A CX-2. A CX-2. A CX-2. A CX-2. A I'm sorry, CX-2 is it a tab? Q It's tab number 2. A Tab number 2. Q Right. I'm sorry, you were thinking binder 19 number 2. A I was thinking binder 2. Q A lot of documents. A Okay, CX-2 is a site summary of the 23 Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel, labeled 2- 24 that. 24 859-B. | 2 3 4 5 | A Yes. Q Can you take a minute and review this document and identify it if you can? A This document is an area map. It's titled | 2 3 4 5 | (The document referred to, previously identified as the first two pages of Respondent's Exhibit No. 83, |
| the section? Which section does this A Section 9848, GRA-8, North Delta. Q So can you identify, then, what this document is? A This document is a table of contents of Geographic Response Area 8 showing it looks like ecologically sensitive and cultural and other resources at risk. Q If you turn back to page 1 of that the cover page on that. Given your general understanding of the location of the VSS facility in West Water Ship Channel on this map? A Section 9848, GRA-8, North Delta. 10 you want to get those out of your way. All right, do you have that in front of you, CX-2? A CX-2. A CX-2. Q Okay. Could you take a minute and review CX-2 and, if you can, identify what it is. A I'm sorry, CX-2 is it a tab? Q It's tab number 2. A Tab number 2. Q Right. I'm sorry, you were thinking binder number 2. A I was thinking binder 2. Q A lot of documents. A Okay, CX-2 is a site summary of the Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel, labeled 2- that. | 2 3 4 5 | A Yes. Q Can you take a minute and review this document and identify it if you can? A This document is an area map. It's titled Area Map ACP 2, Geographic Response Area 8, and it is | 2 3 4 5 6 | (The document referred to, previously identified as the first two pages of Respondent's Exhibit No. 83, was received in evidence.) |
| 11 A Section 9848, GRA-8, North Delta. 12 Q So can you identify, then, what this 13 document is? 14 A This document is a table of contents of 15 Geographic Response Area 8 showing it looks like 16 ecologically sensitive and cultural and other 17 resources at risk. 18 Q If you turn back to page 1 of that the 19 cover page on that. Given your general understanding 19 of the location of the VSS facility in West 20 A I was thinking binder 2. 21 Sacramento, can you identify the Sacramento River Deep 22 Water Ship Channel on this map? 23 A I think I would have a little trouble doing 24 that. 26 Divou have that in front of you, CX-2? A CX-2. A CX-2. A CX-2. A CX-2. A CX-2. A I'm sorry, CX-2 is it a tab? Q It's tab number 2. A Tab number 2. Q Right. I'm sorry, you were thinking binder number 2. A I was thinking binder 2. Q A lot of documents. A Okay, CX-2 is a site summary of the Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel, labeled 2- 24 859-B. | 2 3 4 5 6 | A Yes. Q Can you take a minute and review this document and identify it if you can? A This document is an area map. It's titled Area Map ACP 2, Geographic Response Area 8, and it is identifying environmental sensitive sites. Q If you turn to page 2 of this exhibit, RX- | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | (The document referred to, previously identified as the first two pages of Respondent's Exhibit No. 83, was received in evidence.) BY MR. HELMLINGER: Q Now, Mr. Meer, if I could have you turn to |
| 12 Q So can you identify, then, what this 13 document is? 14 A This document is a table of contents of 15 Geographic Response Area 8 showing it looks like 16 ecologically sensitive and cultural and other 17 resources at risk. 18 Q If you turn back to page 1 of that the 19 cover page on that. Given your general understanding 20 of the location of the VSS facility in West 21 Sacramento, can you identify the Sacramento River Deep 22 Water Ship Channel on this map? 23 A I think I would have a little trouble doing 24 that. 20 Okay. Could you take a minute and review CX-2 and, if you can, identify what it is. 26 I'm sorry, CX-2 is it a tab? 27 Q It's tab number 2. 28 Q Right. I'm sorry, you were thinking binder 29 number 2. 20 A I was thinking binder 2. 21 Q A lot of documents. 22 A Okay, CX-2 is a site summary of the 23 Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel, labeled 2- 24 859-B. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | A Yes. Q Can you take a minute and review this document and identify it if you can? A This document is an area map. It's titled Area Map ACP 2, Geographic Response Area 8, and it is identifying environmental sensitive sites. Q If you turn to page 2 of this exhibit, RX-33, do you see at the top of the page the caption for | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | (The document referred to, previously identified as the first two pages of Respondent's Exhibit No. 83, was received in evidence.) BY MR. HELMLINGER: Q Now, Mr. Meer, if I could have you turn to CX-2, and we're you're done with 33 and RX-83 if |
| document is? A This document is a table of contents of Geographic Response Area 8 showing it looks like ecologically sensitive and cultural and other resources at risk. Q If you turn back to page 1 of that the cover page on that. Given your general understanding of the location of the VSS facility in West Sacramento, can you identify the Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel on this map? A I think I would have a little trouble doing the CX-2 and, if you can, identify what it is. CX-2 and, if you can, identify what it is. A I'm sorry, CX-2 is it a tab? Q It's tab number 2. Q Right. I'm sorry, you were thinking binder number 2. A I was thinking binder 2. Q A lot of documents. A Okay, CX-2 is a site summary of the Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel, labeled 2- that. Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel, labeled 2- 24 859-B. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | A Yes. Q Can you take a minute and review this document and identify it if you can? A This document is an area map. It's titled Area Map ACP 2, Geographic Response Area 8, and it is identifying environmental sensitive sites. Q If you turn to page 2 of this exhibit, RX-33, do you see at the top of the page the caption for the section? Which section does this | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | (The document referred to, previously identified as the first two pages of Respondent's Exhibit No. 83, was received in evidence.) BY MR. HELMLINGER: Q Now, Mr. Meer, if I could have you turn to CX-2, and we're you're done with 33 and RX-83 if you want to get those out of your way. All right, do |
| A This document is a table of contents of Geographic Response Area 8 showing it looks like 15 Geographic Response Area 8 showing it looks like 16 ecologically sensitive and cultural and other 16 Part of the location of the VSS facility in West 20 Of the location of the VSS facility in West 21 Sacramento, can you identify the Sacramento River Deep 22 Water Ship Channel on this map? 23 A I think I would have a little trouble doing 24 that. 26 CX-2 and, if you can, identify what it is. 27 A I'm sorry, CX-2 is it a tab? 28 Q It's tab number 2. 29 Q Right. I'm sorry, you were thinking binder 29 number 2. 20 A I was thinking binder 2. 21 Q A lot of documents. 22 A Okay, CX-2 is a site summary of the 23 Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel, labeled 2- 24 859-B. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A Yes. Q Can you take a minute and review this document and identify it if you can? A This document is an area map. It's titled Area Map ACP 2, Geographic Response Area 8, and it is identifying environmental sensitive sites. Q If you turn to page 2 of this exhibit, RX-33, do you see at the top of the page the caption for the section? Which section does thisA Section 9848, GRA-8, North Delta. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | (The document referred to, previously identified as the first two pages of Respondent's Exhibit No. 83, was received in evidence.) BY MR. HELMLINGER: Q Now, Mr. Meer, if I could have you turn to CX-2, and we're you're done with 33 and RX-83 if you want to get those out of your way. All right, do you have that in front of you, CX-2? |
| Geographic Response Area 8 showing it looks like ecologically sensitive and cultural and other resources at risk. Q If you turn back to page 1 of that the cover page on that. Given your general understanding of the location of the VSS facility in West Sacramento, can you identify the Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel on this map? A I think I would have a little trouble doing the location of the VSS facility in West A I was thinking binder 2. A Okay, CX-2 is it a tab? A Tab number 2. Right. I'm sorry, CX-2 is it a tab? A Tab number 2. A Tab number 2. A I was thinking binder 2. A I was thinking binder 2. A Okay, CX-2 is a site summary of the Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel, labeled 2- that. 24 859-B. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | A Yes. Q Can you take a minute and review this document and identify it if you can? A This document is an area map. It's titled Area Map ACP 2, Geographic Response Area 8, and it is identifying environmental sensitive sites. Q If you turn to page 2 of this exhibit, RX-33, do you see at the top of the page the caption for the section? Which section does this A Section 9848, GRA-8, North Delta. Q So can you identify, then, what this | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | (The document referred to, previously identified as the first two pages of Respondent's Exhibit No. 83, was received in evidence.) BY MR. HELMLINGER: Q Now, Mr. Meer, if I could have you turn to CX-2, and we're you're done with 33 and RX-83 if you want to get those out of your way. All right, do you have that in front of you, CX-2? A CX-2. |
| ecologically sensitive and cultural and other resources at risk. Q If you turn back to page 1 of that the cover page on that. Given your general understanding of the location of the VSS facility in West Sacramento, can you identify the Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel on this map? A I think I would have a little trouble doing that. Let Y be a cologically sensitive and cultural and other A Tab number 2. Regight. I'm sorry, you were thinking binder number 2. A I was thinking binder 2. A I was thinking binder 2. A Okay, CX-2 is a site summary of the Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel, labeled 2- that. Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel, labeled 2- 24 859-B. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | A Yes. Q Can you take a minute and review this document and identify it if you can? A This document is an area map. It's titled Area Map ACP 2, Geographic Response Area 8, and it is identifying environmental sensitive sites. Q If you turn to page 2 of this exhibit, RX- 33, do you see at the top of the page the caption for the section? Which section does this A Section 9848, GRA-8, North Delta. Q So can you identify, then, what this document is? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | (The document referred to, previously identified as the first two pages of Respondent's Exhibit No. 83, was received in evidence.) BY MR. HELMLINGER: Q Now, Mr. Meer, if I could have you turn to CX-2, and we're you're done with 33 and RX-83 if you want to get those out of your way. All right, do you have that in front of you, CX-2? A CX-2. Q Okay. Could you take a minute and review |
| resources at risk. Q If you turn back to page 1 of that the cover page on that. Given your general understanding of the location of the VSS facility in West Sacramento, can you identify the Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel on this map? A Tab number 2. Right. I'm sorry, you were thinking binder number 2. A I was thinking binder 2. Q A lot of documents. A Okay, CX-2 is a site summary of the Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel, labeled 2- that. 24 859-B. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | A Yes. Q Can you take a minute and review this document and identify it if you can? A This document is an area map. It's titled Area Map ACP 2, Geographic Response Area 8, and it is identifying environmental sensitive sites. Q If you turn to page 2 of this exhibit, RX- 33, do you see at the top of the page the caption for the section? Which section does this A Section 9848, GRA-8, North Delta. Q So can you identify, then, what this document is? A This document is a table of contents of | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | (The document referred to, previously identified as the first two pages of Respondent's Exhibit No. 83, was received in evidence.) BY MR. HELMLINGER: Q Now, Mr. Meer, if I could have you turn to CX-2, and we're you're done with 33 and RX-83 if you want to get those out of your way. All right, do you have that in front of you, CX-2? A CX-2. Q Okay. Could you take a minute and review CX-2 and, if you can, identify what it is. |
| Q If you turn back to page 1 of that the cover page on that. Given your general understanding of the location of the VSS facility in West Sacramento, can you identify the Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel on this map? A I think I would have a little trouble doing that. Q Right. I'm sorry, you were thinking binder A I was thinking binder 2. Q A lot of documents. A Okay, CX-2 is a site summary of the Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel, labeled 2- 24 859-B. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | A Yes. Q Can you take a minute and review this document and identify it if you can? A This document is an area map. It's titled Area Map ACP 2, Geographic Response Area 8, and it is identifying environmental sensitive sites. Q If you turn to page 2 of this exhibit, RX- 33, do you see at the top of the page the caption for the section? Which section does this A Section 9848, GRA-8, North Delta. Q So can you identify, then, what this document is? A This document is a table of contents of Geographic Response Area 8 showing it looks like | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | (The document referred to, previously identified as the first two pages of Respondent's Exhibit No. 83, was received in evidence.) BY MR. HELMLINGER: Q Now, Mr. Meer, if I could have you turn to CX-2, and we're you're done with 33 and RX-83 if you want to get those out of your way. All right, do you have that in front of you, CX-2? A CX-2. Q Okay. Could you take a minute and review CX-2 and, if you can, identify what it is. A I'm sorry, CX-2 is it a tab? |
| cover page on that. Given your general understanding of the location of the VSS facility in West Sacramento, can you identify the Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel on this map? A I think I would have a little trouble doing that. 19 number 2. A I was thinking binder 2. Q A lot of documents. A Okay, CX-2 is a site summary of the Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel, labeled 2- 24 that. 25 Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel, labeled 2- 26 Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel, labeled 2- | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | A Yes. Q Can you take a minute and review this document and identify it if you can? A This document is an area map. It's titled Area Map ACP 2, Geographic Response Area 8, and it is identifying environmental sensitive sites. Q If you turn to page 2 of this exhibit, RX-33, do you see at the top of the page the caption for the section? Which section does this A Section 9848, GRA-8, North Delta. Q So can you identify, then, what this document is? A This document is a table of contents of Geographic Response Area 8 showing it looks like ecologically sensitive and cultural and other | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | (The document referred to, previously identified as the first two pages of Respondent's Exhibit No. 83, was received in evidence.) BY MR. HELMLINGER: Q Now, Mr. Meer, if I could have you turn to CX-2, and we're you're done with 33 and RX-83 if you want to get those out of your way. All right, do you have that in front of you, CX-2? A CX-2. Q Okay. Could you take a minute and review CX-2 and, if you can, identify what it is. A I'm sorry, CX-2 is it a tab? Q It's tab number 2. |
| of the location of the VSS facility in West 20 A I was thinking binder 2. 21 Sacramento, can you identify the Sacramento River Deep 22 Water Ship Channel on this map? 23 A I think I would have a little trouble doing 24 that. 20 A I was thinking binder 2. 21 Q A lot of documents. 22 A Okay, CX-2 is a site summary of the 23 Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel, labeled 2- 24 859-B. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | A Yes. Q Can you take a minute and review this document and identify it if you can? A This document is an area map. It's titled Area Map ACP 2, Geographic Response Area 8, and it is identifying environmental sensitive sites. Q If you turn to page 2 of this exhibit, RX- 33, do you see at the top of the page the caption for the section? Which section does this A Section 9848, GRA-8, North Delta. Q So can you identify, then, what this document is? A This document is a table of contents of Geographic Response Area 8 showing it looks like ecologically sensitive and cultural and other resources at risk. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | (The document referred to, previously identified as the first two pages of Respondent's Exhibit No. 83, was received in evidence.) BY MR. HELMLINGER: Q Now, Mr. Meer, if I could have you turn to CX-2, and we're you're done with 33 and RX-83 if you want to get those out of your way. All right, do you have that in front of you, CX-2? A CX-2. Q Okay. Could you take a minute and review CX-2 and, if you can, identify what it is. A I'm sorry, CX-2 is it a tab? Q It's tab number 2. A Tab number 2. |
| Sacramento, can you identify the Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel on this map? A I think I would have a little trouble doing that. Q A lot of documents. A Okay, CX-2 is a site summary of the Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel, labeled 2- 24 859-B. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A Yes. Q Can you take a minute and review this document and identify it if you can? A This document is an area map. It's titled Area Map ACP 2, Geographic Response Area 8, and it is identifying environmental sensitive sites. Q If you turn to page 2 of this exhibit, RX-33, do you see at the top of the page the caption for the section? Which section does this A Section 9848, GRA-8, North Delta. Q So can you identify, then, what this document is? A This document is a table of contents of Geographic Response Area 8 showing it looks like ecologically sensitive and cultural and other resources at risk. Q If you turn back to page 1 of that the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | (The document referred to, previously identified as the first two pages of Respondent's Exhibit No. 83, was received in evidence.) BY MR. HELMLINGER: Q Now, Mr. Meer, if I could have you turn to CX-2, and we're you're done with 33 and RX-83 if you want to get those out of your way. All right, do you have that in front of you, CX-2? A CX-2. Q Okay. Could you take a minute and review CX-2 and, if you can, identify what it is. A I'm sorry, CX-2 is it a tab? Q It's tab number 2. A Tab number 2. Q Right. I'm sorry, you were thinking binder |
| Water Ship Channel on this map? 22 A Okay, CX-2 is a site summary of the 23 A I think I would have a little trouble doing 24 that. 22 A Okay, CX-2 is a site summary of the 23 Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel, labeled 2- 24 859-B. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | A Yes. Q Can you take a minute and review this document and identify it if you can? A This document is an area map. It's titled Area Map ACP 2, Geographic Response Area 8, and it is identifying environmental sensitive sites. Q If you turn to page 2 of this exhibit, RX-33, do you see at the top of the page the caption for the section? Which section does this A Section 9848, GRA-8, North Delta. Q So can you identify, then, what this document is? A This document is a table of contents of Geographic Response Area 8 showing it looks like ecologically sensitive and cultural and other resources at risk. Q If you turn back to page 1 of that the cover page on that. Given your general understanding | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | (The document referred to, previously identified as the first two pages of Respondent's Exhibit No. 83, was received in evidence.) BY MR. HELMLINGER: Q Now, Mr. Meer, if I could have you turn to CX-2, and we're you're done with 33 and RX-83 if you want to get those out of your way. All right, do you have that in front of you, CX-2? A CX-2. Q Okay. Could you take a minute and review CX-2 and, if you can, identify what it is. A I'm sorry, CX-2 is it a tab? Q It's tab number 2. A Tab number 2. Q Right. I'm sorry, you were thinking binder number 2. |
| 23 A I think I would have a little trouble doing 23 Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel, labeled 2- 24 that. 24 859-B. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | A Yes. Q Can you take a minute and review this document and identify it if you can? A This document is an area map. It's titled Area Map ACP 2, Geographic Response Area 8, and it is identifying environmental sensitive sites. Q If you turn to page 2 of this exhibit, RX-33, do you see at the top of the page the caption for the section? Which section does this A Section 9848, GRA-8, North Delta. Q So can you identify, then, what this document is? A This document is a table of contents of Geographic Response Area 8 showing it looks like ecologically sensitive and cultural and other resources at risk. Q If you turn back to page 1 of that the cover page on that. Given your general understanding of the location of the VSS facility in West | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | (The document referred to, previously identified as the first two pages of Respondent's Exhibit No. 83, was received in evidence.) BY MR. HELMLINGER: Q Now, Mr. Meer, if I could have you turn to CX-2, and we're you're done with 33 and RX-83 if you want to get those out of your way. All right, do you have that in front of you, CX-2? A CX-2. Q Okay. Could you take a minute and review CX-2 and, if you can, identify what it is. A I'm sorry, CX-2 is it a tab? Q It's tab number 2. A Tab number 2. Q Right. I'm sorry, you were thinking binder number 2. A I was thinking binder 2. |
| 24 that. 24 859-B. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | A Yes. Q Can you take a minute and review this document and identify it if you can? A This document is an area map. It's titled Area Map ACP 2, Geographic Response Area 8, and it is identifying environmental sensitive sites. Q If you turn to page 2 of this exhibit, RX-33, do you see at the top of the page the caption for the section? Which section does this A Section 9848, GRA-8, North Delta. Q So can you identify, then, what this document is? A This document is a table of contents of Geographic Response Area 8 showing it looks like ecologically sensitive and cultural and other resources at risk. Q If you turn back to page 1 of that the cover page on that. Given your general understanding of the location of the VSS facility in West Sacramento, can you identify the Sacramento River Deep | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | (The document referred to, previously identified as the first two pages of Respondent's Exhibit No. 83, was received in evidence.) BY MR. HELMLINGER: Q Now, Mr. Meer, if I could have you turn to CX-2, and we're you're done with 33 and RX-83 if you want to get those out of your way. All right, do you have that in front of you, CX-2? A CX-2. Q Okay. Could you take a minute and review CX-2 and, if you can, identify what it is. A I'm sorry, CX-2 is it a tab? Q It's tab number 2. A Tab number 2. Q Right. I'm sorry, you were thinking binder number 2. A I was thinking binder 2. Q A lot of documents. |
| | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A Yes. Q Can you take a minute and review this document and identify it if you can? A This document is an area map. It's titled Area Map ACP 2, Geographic Response Area 8, and it is identifying environmental sensitive sites. Q If you turn to page 2 of this exhibit, RX-33, do you see at the top of the page the caption for the section? Which section does this A Section 9848, GRA-8, North Delta. Q So can you identify, then, what this document is? A This document is a table of contents of Geographic Response Area 8 showing it looks like ecologically sensitive and cultural and other resources at risk. Q If you turn back to page 1 of that the cover page on that. Given your general understanding of the location of the VSS facility in West Sacramento, can you identify the Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel on this map? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | (The document referred to, previously identified as the first two pages of Respondent's Exhibit No. 83, was received in evidence.) BY MR. HELMLINGER: Q Now, Mr. Meer, if I could have you turn to CX-2, and we're you're done with 33 and RX-83 if you want to get those out of your way. All right, do you have that in front of you, CX-2? A CX-2. Q Okay. Could you take a minute and review CX-2 and, if you can, identify what it is. A I'm sorry, CX-2 is it a tab? Q It's tab number 2. A Tab number 2. Q Right. I'm sorry, you were thinking binder number 2. A I was thinking binder 2. Q A lot of documents. A Okay, CX-2 is a site summary of the |
| And that is the same code that we saw for the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | A Yes. Q Can you take a minute and review this document and identify it if you can? A This document is an area map. It's titled Area Map ACP 2, Geographic Response Area 8, and it is identifying environmental sensitive sites. Q If you turn to page 2 of this exhibit, RX-33, do you see at the top of the page the caption for the section? Which section does this A Section 9848, GRA-8, North Delta. Q So can you identify, then, what this document is? A This document is a table of contents of Geographic Response Area 8 showing it looks like ecologically sensitive and cultural and other resources at risk. Q If you turn back to page 1 of that the cover page on that. Given your general understanding of the location of the VSS facility in West Sacramento, can you identify the Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel on this map? A I think I would have a little trouble doing | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | (The document referred to, previously identified as the first two pages of Respondent's Exhibit No. 83, was received in evidence.) BY MR. HELMLINGER: Q Now, Mr. Meer, if I could have you turn to CX-2, and we're you're done with 33 and RX-83 if you want to get those out of your way. All right, do you have that in front of you, CX-2? A CX-2. Q Okay. Could you take a minute and review CX-2 and, if you can, identify what it is. A I'm sorry, CX-2 is it a tab? Q It's tab number 2. A Tab number 2. Q Right. I'm sorry, you were thinking binder number 2. A I was thinking binder 2. Q A lot of documents. A Okay, CX-2 is a site summary of the Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel, labeled 2- |
| | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | A Yes. Q Can you take a minute and review this document and identify it if you can? A This document is an area map. It's titled Area Map ACP 2, Geographic Response Area 8, and it is identifying environmental sensitive sites. Q If you turn to page 2 of this exhibit, RX-33, do you see at the top of the page the caption for the section? Which section does this A Section 9848, GRA-8, North Delta. Q So can you identify, then, what this document is? A This document is a table of contents of Geographic Response Area 8 showing it looks like ecologically sensitive and cultural and other resources at risk. Q If you turn back to page 1 of that the cover page on that. Given your general understanding of the location of the VSS facility in West Sacramento, can you identify the Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel on this map? A I think I would have a little trouble doing that. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | (The document referred to, previously identified as the first two pages of Respondent's Exhibit No. 83, was received in evidence.) BY MR. HELMLINGER: Q Now, Mr. Meer, if I could have you turn to CX-2, and we're you're done with 33 and RX-83 if you want to get those out of your way. All right, do you have that in front of you, CX-2? A CX-2. Q Okay. Could you take a minute and review CX-2 and, if you can, identify what it is. A I'm sorry, CX-2 is it a tab? Q It's tab number 2. A Tab number 2. Q Right. I'm sorry, you were thinking binder number 2. A I was thinking binder 2. Q A lot of documents. A Okay, CX-2 is a site summary of the Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel, labeled 2-859-B. |

| | Page 25 | | Page 27 |
|---|---|--|---|
| 1 | Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel | 1 | updating every five years, I believe. |
| 2 | A Correct. | 2 | Q Does EPA approve Facility Response Plans? |
| 3 | Q in the index for the Area Contingency | 3 | A EPA reviews and approves Facility Response |
| 4 | Plan, is that right? | 4 | Plans if the resources allow. |
| 5 | A Correct. | 5 | Q If EPA has not approved a Facility Response |
| 6 | Q And what so where does this document come | 6 | Plan, what impact does that have on EPA's |
| 7 | from? | 7 | expectations? |
| 8 | A This is from the Area Contingency Plan. | 8 | MR. McNEIL: Objection, Your Honor. |
| 9 | Q May I have you look about a third of the way | 9 | Hypothetical question. |
| 10 | down the page; the caption "Resources of Primary | 10 | JUDGE BIRO: Overruled. |
| 11 | Concern"? Could you read that for our record, please? | 11 | Go ahead. |
| 12 | A Sure. "The site has marshy margins with | 12 | THE WITNESS: EPA's review and approval or |
| 13 | occasional shrub-scrub throughout its length. | 13 | non-review and non-approval does not change the |
| 14 | Anadromous fish use the slough for upstream migration. | 14 | facility's requirement to maintain and implement its |
| 15 | A large variety of water birds use this area. The | 15 | Facility Response Plan develop, maintain, and |
| 16 | habitat is suitable for semiaquatic species including | 16 | implement, I should say. |
| 17 | beavers, muskrat, amphibians, et cetera. Salmon and | 17 | MR. HELMLINGER: I have no more questions. |
| 18 | other migratory species concentrate in this channel | 18 | JUDGE BIRO: Thank you. |
| 19 | during migratory periods." | 19 | Mr. McNeil, would you like to conduct cross |
| 20 | Q So how would EPA use this document in | 20 | examination? |
| 21 | conducting a response to the to any spill into the | 21 | MR. McNEIL: Yes, Your Honor, thank you. |
| 22 | Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel? | 22 | JUDGE BIRO: Please proceed. |
| 23 | A So typically, what responders do is they | 23 | CROSS EXAMINATION |
| 24 | prioritize areas for protection, and they protect them | 24 | BY MR. McNEIL: |
| 25 | by doing things like placing a boom to prevent oil | 25 | Q Good morning, Mr. Meer. |
| | | | |
| | Page 26 | | Page 28 |
| 1 | from migrating into those areas. And the plan | 1 4 | |
| | | 1 | A Good morning. |
| 2 | because it identifies the sensitive sites that are the | 2 | Q How are you? |
| 2 | | | Q How are you? A I'm okay. How about you? |
| | because it identifies the sensitive sites that are the highest priority for protection because, as described, they are used by fish and wildlife of all kinds, we | 2 | Q How are you? A I'm okay. How about you? Q I'm fine, thank you. I'd like to ask you a |
| 3 | because it identifies the sensitive sites that are the highest priority for protection because, as described, | 2 3 | Q How are you? A I'm okay. How about you? |
| 3 4 | because it identifies the sensitive sites that are the highest priority for protection because, as described, they are used by fish and wildlife of all kinds, we would design our response strategies to preferentially protect those areas. And so having them described and | 2 3 4 | Q How are you? A I'm okay. How about you? Q I'm fine, thank you. I'd like to ask you a |
| 3 4 5 | because it identifies the sensitive sites that are the highest priority for protection because, as described, they are used by fish and wildlife of all kinds, we would design our response strategies to preferentially protect those areas. And so having them described and identified on maps is highly useful to a responder. | 2 3 4 5 | Q How are you? A I'm okay. How about you? Q I'm fine, thank you. I'd like to ask you a couple of questions about the exhibits that Mr. Helmlinger showed you earlier. Would that be all right? |
| 3 4 5 6 | because it identifies the sensitive sites that are the highest priority for protection because, as described, they are used by fish and wildlife of all kinds, we would design our response strategies to preferentially protect those areas. And so having them described and | 2 3 4 5 6 | Q How are you? A I'm okay. How about you? Q I'm fine, thank you. I'd like to ask you a couple of questions about the exhibits that Mr. Helmlinger showed you earlier. Would that be all |
| 3 4 5 6 7 | because it identifies the sensitive sites that are the highest priority for protection because, as described, they are used by fish and wildlife of all kinds, we would design our response strategies to preferentially protect those areas. And so having them described and identified on maps is highly useful to a responder. MR. HELMLINGER: I'd move to admit CX-2 into the record. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Q How are you? A I'm okay. How about you? Q I'm fine, thank you. I'd like to ask you a couple of questions about the exhibits that Mr. Helmlinger showed you earlier. Would that be all right? A Sure. Q Okay. The first one that I believe he |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 | because it identifies the sensitive sites that are the highest priority for protection because, as described, they are used by fish and wildlife of all kinds, we would design our response strategies to preferentially protect those areas. And so having them described and identified on maps is highly useful to a responder. MR. HELMLINGER: I'd move to admit CX-2 into | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | Q How are you? A I'm okay. How about you? Q I'm fine, thank you. I'd like to ask you a couple of questions about the exhibits that Mr. Helmlinger showed you earlier. Would that be all right? A Sure. Q Okay. The first one that I believe he referenced and asked you to look at was CX-33, which |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 | because it identifies the sensitive sites that are the highest priority for protection because, as described, they are used by fish and wildlife of all kinds, we would design our response strategies to preferentially protect those areas. And so having them described and identified on maps is highly useful to a responder. MR. HELMLINGER: I'd move to admit CX-2 into the record. JUDGE BIRO: Any objection? MR. McNEIL: No objection, Your Honor. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | Q How are you? A I'm okay. How about you? Q I'm fine, thank you. I'd like to ask you a couple of questions about the exhibits that Mr. Helmlinger showed you earlier. Would that be all right? A Sure. Q Okay. The first one that I believe he |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | because it identifies the sensitive sites that are the highest priority for protection because, as described, they are used by fish and wildlife of all kinds, we would design our response strategies to preferentially protect those areas. And so having them described and identified on maps is highly useful to a responder. MR. HELMLINGER: I'd move to admit CX-2 into the record. JUDGE BIRO: Any objection? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Q How are you? A I'm okay. How about you? Q I'm fine, thank you. I'd like to ask you a couple of questions about the exhibits that Mr. Helmlinger showed you earlier. Would that be all right? A Sure. Q Okay. The first one that I believe he referenced and asked you to look at was CX-33, which depicts GRA-8. Are you able to locate that exhibit still? |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | because it identifies the sensitive sites that are the highest priority for protection because, as described, they are used by fish and wildlife of all kinds, we would design our response strategies to preferentially protect those areas. And so having them described and identified on maps is highly useful to a responder. MR. HELMLINGER: I'd move to admit CX-2 into the record. JUDGE BIRO: Any objection? MR. McNEIL: No objection, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. CX-2 is admitted into the record. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | Q How are you? A I'm okay. How about you? Q I'm fine, thank you. I'd like to ask you a couple of questions about the exhibits that Mr. Helmlinger showed you earlier. Would that be all right? A Sure. Q Okay. The first one that I believe he referenced and asked you to look at was CX-33, which depicts GRA-8. Are you able to locate that exhibit |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | because it identifies the sensitive sites that are the highest priority for protection because, as described, they are used by fish and wildlife of all kinds, we would design our response strategies to preferentially protect those areas. And so having them described and identified on maps is highly useful to a responder. MR. HELMLINGER: I'd move to admit CX-2 into the record. JUDGE BIRO: Any objection? MR. McNEIL: No objection, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. CX-2 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | Q How are you? A I'm okay. How about you? Q I'm fine, thank you. I'd like to ask you a couple of questions about the exhibits that Mr. Helmlinger showed you earlier. Would that be all right? A Sure. Q Okay. The first one that I believe he referenced and asked you to look at was CX-33, which depicts GRA-8. Are you able to locate that exhibit still? A You're referring to the area map? Q Correct. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | because it identifies the sensitive sites that are the highest priority for protection because, as described, they are used by fish and wildlife of all kinds, we would design our response strategies to preferentially protect those areas. And so having them described and identified on maps is highly useful to a responder. MR. HELMLINGER: I'd move to admit CX-2 into the record. JUDGE BIRO: Any objection? MR. McNEIL: No objection, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. CX-2 is admitted into the record. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | Q How are you? A I'm okay. How about you? Q I'm fine, thank you. I'd like to ask you a couple of questions about the exhibits that Mr. Helmlinger showed you earlier. Would that be all right? A Sure. Q Okay. The first one that I believe he referenced and asked you to look at was CX-33, which depicts GRA-8. Are you able to locate that exhibit still? A You're referring to the area map? Q Correct. A Yes. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | because it identifies the sensitive sites that are the highest priority for protection because, as described, they are used by fish and wildlife of all kinds, we would design our response strategies to preferentially protect those areas. And so having them described and identified on maps is highly useful to a responder. MR. HELMLINGER: I'd move to admit CX-2 into the record. JUDGE BIRO: Any objection? MR. McNEIL: No objection, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. CX-2 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 2, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Q How are you? A I'm okay. How about you? Q I'm fine, thank you. I'd like to ask you a couple of questions about the exhibits that Mr. Helmlinger showed you earlier. Would that be all right? A Sure. Q Okay. The first one that I believe he referenced and asked you to look at was CX-33, which depicts GRA-8. Are you able to locate that exhibit still? A You're referring to the area map? Q Correct. A Yes. Q Very good, thank you. And GRA-8, I believe |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | because it identifies the sensitive sites that are the highest priority for protection because, as described, they are used by fish and wildlife of all kinds, we would design our response strategies to preferentially protect those areas. And so having them described and identified on maps is highly useful to a responder. MR. HELMLINGER: I'd move to admit CX-2 into the record. JUDGE BIRO: Any objection? MR. McNEIL: No objection, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. CX-2 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Q How are you? A I'm okay. How about you? Q I'm fine, thank you. I'd like to ask you a couple of questions about the exhibits that Mr. Helmlinger showed you earlier. Would that be all right? A Sure. Q Okay. The first one that I believe he referenced and asked you to look at was CX-33, which depicts GRA-8. Are you able to locate that exhibit still? A You're referring to the area map? Q Correct. A Yes. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | because it identifies the sensitive sites that are the highest priority for protection because, as described, they are used by fish and wildlife of all kinds, we would design our response strategies to preferentially protect those areas. And so having them described and identified on maps is highly useful to a responder. MR. HELMLINGER: I'd move to admit CX-2 into the record. JUDGE BIRO: Any objection? MR. McNEIL: No objection, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. CX-2 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 2, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Q How are you? A I'm okay. How about you? Q I'm fine, thank you. I'd like to ask you a couple of questions about the exhibits that Mr. Helmlinger showed you earlier. Would that be all right? A Sure. Q Okay. The first one that I believe he referenced and asked you to look at was CX-33, which depicts GRA-8. Are you able to locate that exhibit still? A You're referring to the area map? Q Correct. A Yes. Q Very good, thank you. And GRA-8, I believe |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | because it identifies the sensitive sites that are the highest priority for protection because, as described, they are used by fish and wildlife of all kinds, we would design our response strategies to preferentially protect those areas. And so having them described and identified on maps is highly useful to a responder. MR. HELMLINGER: I'd move to admit CX-2 into the record. JUDGE BIRO: Any objection? MR. McNEIL: No objection, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. CX-2 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 2, was received in evidence.) BY MR. HELMLINGER: Q Mr. Meer, I'm going to turn the subject just | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Q How are you? A I'm okay. How about you? Q I'm fine, thank you. I'd like to ask you a couple of questions about the exhibits that Mr. Helmlinger showed you earlier. Would that be all right? A Sure. Q Okay. The first one that I believe he referenced and asked you to look at was CX-33, which depicts GRA-8. Are you able to locate that exhibit still? A You're referring to the area map? Q Correct. A Yes. Q Very good, thank you. And GRA-8, I believe you testified, would be that area that, in your view, would be — would coincide with the physical location of the VSS facility. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | because it identifies the sensitive sites that are the highest priority for protection because, as described, they are used by fish and wildlife of all kinds, we would design our response strategies to preferentially protect those areas. And so having them described and identified on maps is highly useful to a responder. MR. HELMLINGER: I'd move to admit CX-2 into the record. JUDGE BIRO: Any objection? MR. McNEIL: No objection, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. CX-2 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 2, was received in evidence.) BY MR. HELMLINGER: | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Q How are you? A I'm okay. How about you? Q I'm fine, thank you. I'd like to ask you a couple of questions about the exhibits that Mr. Helmlinger showed you earlier. Would that be all right? A Sure. Q Okay. The first one that I believe he referenced and asked you to look at was CX-33, which depicts GRA-8. Are you able to locate that exhibit still? A You're referring to the area map? Q Correct. A Yes. Q Very good, thank you. And GRA-8, I believe you testified, would be that area that, in your view, would be would coincide with the physical location |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | because it identifies the sensitive sites that are the highest priority for protection because, as described, they are used by fish and wildlife of all kinds, we would design our response strategies to preferentially protect those areas. And so having them described and identified on maps is highly useful to a responder. MR. HELMLINGER: I'd move to admit CX-2 into the record. JUDGE BIRO: Any objection? MR. McNEIL: No objection, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. CX-2 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 2, was received in evidence.) BY MR. HELMLINGER: Q Mr. Meer, I'm going to turn the subject just | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Q How are you? A I'm okay. How about you? Q I'm fine, thank you. I'd like to ask you a couple of questions about the exhibits that Mr. Helmlinger showed you earlier. Would that be all right? A Sure. Q Okay. The first one that I believe he referenced and asked you to look at was CX-33, which depicts GRA-8. Are you able to locate that exhibit still? A You're referring to the area map? Q Correct. A Yes. Q Very good, thank you. And GRA-8, I believe you testified, would be that area that, in your view, would be would coincide with the physical location of the VSS facility. A I believe so, yes. Q Okay. Sir, have you been to the VSS |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | because it identifies the sensitive sites that are the highest priority for protection because, as described, they are used by fish and wildlife of all kinds, we would design our response strategies to preferentially protect those areas. And so having them described and identified on maps is highly useful to a responder. MR. HELMLINGER: I'd move to admit CX-2 into the record. JUDGE BIRO: Any objection? MR. McNEIL: No objection, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. CX-2 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 2, was received in evidence.) BY MR. HELMLINGER: Q Mr. Meer, I'm going to turn the subject just a little bit back to Facility Response Plans in | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Q How are you? A I'm okay. How about you? Q I'm fine, thank you. I'd like to ask you a couple of questions about the exhibits that Mr. Helmlinger showed you earlier. Would that be all right? A Sure. Q Okay. The first one that I believe he referenced and asked you to look at was CX-33, which depicts GRA-8. Are you able to locate that exhibit still? A You're referring to the area map? Q Correct. A Yes. Q Very good, thank you. And GRA-8, I believe you testified, would be that area that, in your view, would be would coincide with the physical location of the VSS facility. A I believe so, yes. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | because it identifies the sensitive sites that are the highest priority for protection because, as described, they are used by fish and wildlife of all kinds, we would design our response strategies to preferentially protect those areas. And so having them described and identified on maps is highly useful to a responder. MR. HELMLINGER: I'd move to admit CX-2 into the record. JUDGE BIRO: Any objection? MR. McNEIL: No objection, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. CX-2 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 2, was received in evidence.) BY MR. HELMLINGER: Q Mr. Meer, I'm going to turn the subject just a little bit back to Facility Response Plans in general. What is the process for submitting an FRP to | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Q How are you? A I'm okay. How about you? Q I'm fine, thank you. I'd like to ask you a couple of questions about the exhibits that Mr. Helmlinger showed you earlier. Would that be all right? A Sure. Q Okay. The first one that I believe he referenced and asked you to look at was CX-33, which depicts GRA-8. Are you able to locate that exhibit still? A You're referring to the area map? Q Correct. A Yes. Q Very good, thank you. And GRA-8, I believe you testified, would be that area that, in your view, would be would coincide with the physical location of the VSS facility. A I believe so, yes. Q Okay. Sir, have you been to the VSS facility? A I have not. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | because it identifies the sensitive sites that are the highest priority for protection because, as described, they are used by fish and wildlife of all kinds, we would design our response strategies to preferentially protect those areas. And so having them described and identified on maps is highly useful to a responder. MR. HELMLINGER: I'd move to admit CX-2 into the record. JUDGE BIRO: Any objection? MR. McNEIL: No objection, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. CX-2 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 2, was received in evidence.) BY MR. HELMLINGER: Q Mr. Meer, I'm going to turn the subject just a little bit back to Facility Response Plans in general. What is the process for submitting an FRP to EPA? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Q How are you? A I'm okay. How about you? Q I'm fine, thank you. I'd like to ask you a couple of questions about the exhibits that Mr. Helmlinger showed you earlier. Would that be all right? A Sure. Q Okay. The first one that I believe he referenced and asked you to look at was CX-33, which depicts GRA-8. Are you able to locate that exhibit still? A You're referring to the area map? Q Correct. A Yes. Q Very good, thank you. And GRA-8, I believe you testified, would be that area that, in your view, would be would coincide with the physical location of the VSS facility. A I believe so, yes. Q Okay. Sir, have you been to the VSS facility? |

| | Page 29 | | Page 31 |
|---|--|---|---|
| 1 | A I believe I saw the address on Channel | 1 | A If a facility's on the border between the |
| 2 | Drive, but I don't recall the number. | 2 | demarcation line? |
| 3 | Q Okay. On this exhibit before you, CX-33, | 3 | Q On the border. |
| 4 | can you describe for me where within GRA-8 the VSS | 4 | A That is the demarcation line. |
| 5 | facility would generally be located within this | 5 | Q Is that in or out? |
| 6 | roughly rectangular GRA-8 red-lined box? Do you see | 6 | A So then it's a question of EPA and the Coast |
| 7 | that box? | 7 | Guard deciding. |
| 8 | A Yes. No, I would not be able to do that. | 8 | Q You're not here to testify about that today. |
| 9 | Q Okay. And do you see the do you see on | 9 | A No. |
| 10 | the on this CX-33 where the City of Sacramento is | 10 | Q Okay. Would you take a look, please, at RX- |
| 11 | depicted? | 11 | 83, which I think Mr. Helmlinger also asked you to |
| 12 | A Yes. | 12 | take a look at earlier? |
| 13 | Q Okay. And do you see that the boundary line | 13 | A That's your the respondent RX-83. |
| 14 | I'm not I'm not stating this; I'm just asking | 14 | Q Correct. |
| 15 | you, but you tell me if you think it's otherwise. But | 15 | A Sure. |
| 16 | the boundary line for GRA-8 appears to transect the | 16 | THE WITNESS: Your Honor, is it all right if |
| 17 | physical word "Sacramento," at least a portion of it, | 17 | I stand up? |
| 18 | depicted here. Do you see that? | 18 | JUDGE BIRO: Of course. |
| 19 | A Yeah. The boundary line is pretty thick, | 19 | THE WITNESS: Okay. |
| 20 | and it cuts off the top of the S, I guess you'd say. | 20 | MR. McNEIL: Okay. |
| 21 | Q Based on your experience, a facility that is | 21 | BY MR. McNEIL: |
| 22 | within that is within the borders of the red line, | 22 | Q And do you recall that you had earlier |
| 23 | that would be within the GRA-8 zone, correct? | 23 | identified for Mr. Helmlinger the area designated as |
| 24 | A Could you I'm not sure I understand that | 24 | 2-859? |
| 25 | question. | 25 | A Yes, I do. |
| | | | |
| | Page 30 | | Page 32 |
| 1 | Q Sure. So you see the red I'm going to | 1 | Q And do you still see that? |
| 2 | call it roughly rectangular. It's a | 2 | A I do. |
| 3 | A So, yeah, the rectangular roughly | 3 | Q And do you see that it again, in your own |
| / | mantamarulan hay CDA 0 | 1 | |
| 4 | rectangular box GRA-8. | 4 | words, that it's it roughly coincides with here, |
| 5 | Q Okay, so if something is if a facility is | 5 | words, that it's it roughly coincides with here, it's here, it's depicted as a kind of a bull's- |
| 5 6 | Q Okay, so if something is if a facility is within the red line, then it's covered, right? | 5 6 | words, that it's it roughly coincides with here, it's here, it's depicted as a kind of a bull's-eye, right? A red bull's-eye? Do you see that? |
| 5 6 7 | Q Okay, so if something is if a facility is within the red line, then it's covered, right? A In the in the in the perimeter of GRA- | 5 6 7 | words, that it's it roughly coincides with here, it's here, it's depicted as a kind of a bull'seye, right? A red bull's-eye? Do you see that? A Yes. |
| 5 6 7 8 | Q Okay, so if something is if a facility is within the red line, then it's covered, right? A In the in the in the perimeter of GRA-8, yes, it would be covered. Yeah. | 5 6 7 8 | words, that it's it roughly coincides with here, it's here, it's depicted as a kind of a bull's-eye, right? A red bull's-eye? Do you see that? A Yes. Q Okay. But the red bull's-eye is on the |
| 5 6 7 8 9 | Q Okay, so if something is if a facility is within the red line, then it's covered, right? A In the in the in the perimeter of GRA-8, yes, it would be covered. Yeah. Q And if it's outside the red line, it's not | 5 6 7 8 9 | words, that it's it roughly coincides with here, it's here, it's depicted as a kind of a bull's-eye, right? A red bull's-eye? Do you see that? A Yes. Q Okay. But the red bull's-eye is on the Sacramento River Deep Water Shipping Channel, correct? |
| 5 6 7 8 9 | Q Okay, so if something is if a facility is within the red line, then it's covered, right? A In the in the in the perimeter of GRA-8, yes, it would be covered. Yeah. Q And if it's outside the red line, it's not covered, right? | 5 6 7 8 9 | words, that it's it roughly coincides with here, it's here, it's depicted as a kind of a bull's-eye, right? A red bull's-eye? Do you see that? A Yes. Q Okay. But the red bull's-eye is on the Sacramento River Deep Water Shipping Channel, correct? A Yes, it appears to be. |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 | Q Okay, so if something is if a facility is within the red line, then it's covered, right? A In the in the in the perimeter of GRA-8, yes, it would be covered. Yeah. Q And if it's outside the red line, it's not covered, right? A Well, it's not covered under this ACP, but | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | words, that it's it roughly coincides with here, it's here, it's depicted as a kind of a bull's-eye, right? A red bull's-eye? Do you see that? A Yes. Q Okay. But the red bull's-eye is on the Sacramento River Deep Water Shipping Channel, correct? A Yes, it appears to be. Q Okay. So the red bull's-eye does it, in |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | Q Okay, so if something is if a facility is within the red line, then it's covered, right? A In the in the in the perimeter of GRA-8, yes, it would be covered. Yeah. Q And if it's outside the red line, it's not covered, right? A Well, it's not covered under this ACP, but it would be covered under the Clean Water Act and OPA | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | words, that it's it roughly coincides with here, it's here, it's depicted as a kind of a bull's-eye, right? A red bull's-eye? Do you see that? A Yes. Q Okay. But the red bull's-eye is on the Sacramento River Deep Water Shipping Channel, correct? A Yes, it appears to be. Q Okay. So the red bull's-eye does it, in your experience, tell you the northerly or southerly |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | Q Okay, so if something is if a facility is within the red line, then it's covered, right? A In the in the in the perimeter of GRA-8, yes, it would be covered. Yeah. Q And if it's outside the red line, it's not covered, right? A Well, it's not covered under this ACP, but it would be covered under the Clean Water Act and OPA and the it's just a question of inland | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | words, that it's it roughly coincides with here, it's here, it's depicted as a kind of a bull'seye, right? A red bull's-eye? Do you see that? A Yes. Q Okay. But the red bull's-eye is on the Sacramento River Deep Water Shipping Channel, correct? A Yes, it appears to be. Q Okay. So the red bull's-eye does it, in your experience, tell you the northerly or southerly boundaries of 2-859? |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Q Okay, so if something is if a facility is within the red line, then it's covered, right? A In the in the in the perimeter of GRA-8, yes, it would be covered. Yeah. Q And if it's outside the red line, it's not covered, right? A Well, it's not covered under this ACP, but it would be covered under the Clean Water Act and OPA and the it's just a question of inland jurisdiction, inland zone versus coastal zone. | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | words, that it's it roughly coincides with here, it's here, it's depicted as a kind of a bull'seye, right? A red bull's-eye? Do you see that? A Yes. Q Okay. But the red bull's-eye is on the Sacramento River Deep Water Shipping Channel, correct? A Yes, it appears to be. Q Okay. So the red bull's-eye does it, in your experience, tell you the northerly or southerly boundaries of 2-859? A Well, it's a circle, so |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Q Okay, so if something is if a facility is within the red line, then it's covered, right? A In the in the in the perimeter of GRA-8, yes, it would be covered. Yeah. Q And if it's outside the red line, it's not covered, right? A Well, it's not covered under this ACP, but it would be covered under the Clean Water Act and OPA and the it's just a question of inland jurisdiction, inland zone versus coastal zone. Q Right, and it wouldn't necessarily be, but | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | words, that it's it roughly coincides with here, it's here, it's depicted as a kind of a bull'seye, right? A red bull's-eye? Do you see that? A Yes. Q Okay. But the red bull's-eye is on the Sacramento River Deep Water Shipping Channel, correct? A Yes, it appears to be. Q Okay. So the red bull's-eye does it, in your experience, tell you the northerly or southerly boundaries of 2-859? A Well, it's a circle, so Q Yes. |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Q Okay, so if something is if a facility is within the red line, then it's covered, right? A In the in the in the perimeter of GRA-8, yes, it would be covered. Yeah. Q And if it's outside the red line, it's not covered, right? A Well, it's not covered under this ACP, but it would be covered under the Clean Water Act and OPA and the it's just a question of inland jurisdiction, inland zone versus coastal zone. Q Right, and it wouldn't necessarily be, but it might be, is what you mean to say, right? | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | words, that it's it roughly coincides with here, it's here, it's depicted as a kind of a bull's-eye, right? A red bull's-eye? Do you see that? A Yes. Q Okay. But the red bull's-eye is on the Sacramento River Deep Water Shipping Channel, correct? A Yes, it appears to be. Q Okay. So the red bull's-eye does it, in your experience, tell you the northerly or southerly boundaries of 2-859? A Well, it's a circle, so Q Yes. A I don't know if it would determine north- |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Q Okay, so if something is if a facility is within the red line, then it's covered, right? A In the in the in the perimeter of GRA-8, yes, it would be covered. Yeah. Q And if it's outside the red line, it's not covered, right? A Well, it's not covered under this ACP, but it would be covered under the Clean Water Act and OPA and the it's just a question of inland jurisdiction, inland zone versus coastal zone. Q Right, and it wouldn't necessarily be, but it might be, is what you mean to say, right? A No, no. I mean to say that the demarcation | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | words, that it's it roughly coincides with here, it's here, it's depicted as a kind of a bull'seye, right? A red bull's-eye? Do you see that? A Yes. Q Okay. But the red bull's-eye is on the Sacramento River Deep Water Shipping Channel, correct? A Yes, it appears to be. Q Okay. So the red bull's-eye does it, in your experience, tell you the northerly or southerly boundaries of 2-859? A Well, it's a circle, so Q Yes. A I don't know if it would determine north-south, but I would say it generally locates that |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Q Okay, so if something is if a facility is within the red line, then it's covered, right? A In the in the in the perimeter of GRA-8, yes, it would be covered. Yeah. Q And if it's outside the red line, it's not covered, right? A Well, it's not covered under this ACP, but it would be covered under the Clean Water Act and OPA and the it's just a question of inland jurisdiction, inland zone versus coastal zone. Q Right, and it wouldn't necessarily be, but it might be, is what you mean to say, right? A No, no. I mean to say that the demarcation line between the inland zone and the coastal zone is | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | words, that it's it roughly coincides with here, it's here, it's depicted as a kind of a bull's-eye, right? A red bull's-eye? Do you see that? A Yes. Q Okay. But the red bull's-eye is on the Sacramento River Deep Water Shipping Channel, correct? A Yes, it appears to be. Q Okay. So the red bull's-eye does it, in your experience, tell you the northerly or southerly boundaries of 2-859? A Well, it's a circle, so Q Yes. A I don't know if it would determine north-south, but I would say it generally locates that particular environmentally sensitive site, if I'm |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Q Okay, so if something is if a facility is within the red line, then it's covered, right? A In the in the in the perimeter of GRA-8, yes, it would be covered. Yeah. Q And if it's outside the red line, it's not covered, right? A Well, it's not covered under this ACP, but it would be covered under the Clean Water Act and OPA and the it's just a question of inland jurisdiction, inland zone versus coastal zone. Q Right, and it wouldn't necessarily be, but it might be, is what you mean to say, right? A No, no. I mean to say that the demarcation line between the inland zone and the coastal zone is established. That establishes Coast Guard versus EPA | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | words, that it's it roughly coincides with here, it's here, it's depicted as a kind of a bull's-eye, right? A red bull's-eye? Do you see that? A Yes. Q Okay. But the red bull's-eye is on the Sacramento River Deep Water Shipping Channel, correct? A Yes, it appears to be. Q Okay. So the red bull's-eye does it, in your experience, tell you the northerly or southerly boundaries of 2-859? A Well, it's a circle, so Q Yes. A I don't know if it would determine north-south, but I would say it generally locates that particular environmentally sensitive site, if I'm interpreting this correctly. |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Q Okay, so if something is if a facility is within the red line, then it's covered, right? A In the in the in the perimeter of GRA-8, yes, it would be covered. Yeah. Q And if it's outside the red line, it's not covered, right? A Well, it's not covered under this ACP, but it would be covered under the Clean Water Act and OPA and the it's just a question of inland jurisdiction, inland zone versus coastal zone. Q Right, and it wouldn't necessarily be, but it might be, is what you mean to say, right? A No, no. I mean to say that the demarcation line between the inland zone and the coastal zone is established. That establishes Coast Guard versus EPA jurisdiction, and facilities fall within one of those | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | words, that it's it roughly coincides with here, it's here, it's depicted as a kind of a bull'seye, right? A red bull's-eye? Do you see that? A Yes. Q Okay. But the red bull's-eye is on the Sacramento River Deep Water Shipping Channel, correct? A Yes, it appears to be. Q Okay. So the red bull's-eye does it, in your experience, tell you the northerly or southerly boundaries of 2-859? A Well, it's a circle, so Q Yes. A I don't know if it would determine north-south, but I would say it generally locates that particular environmentally sensitive site, if I'm interpreting this correctly. Q All right. And let me ask you to have a |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Q Okay, so if something is if a facility is within the red line, then it's covered, right? A In the in the in the perimeter of GRA-8, yes, it would be covered. Yeah. Q And if it's outside the red line, it's not covered, right? A Well, it's not covered under this ACP, but it would be covered under the Clean Water Act and OPA and the it's just a question of inland jurisdiction, inland zone versus coastal zone. Q Right, and it wouldn't necessarily be, but it might be, is what you mean to say, right? A No, no. I mean to say that the demarcation line between the inland zone and the coastal zone is established. That establishes Coast Guard versus EPA jurisdiction, and facilities fall within one of those jurisdictions, and then they fall within one of the | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | words, that it's it roughly coincides with here, it's here, it's depicted as a kind of a bull'seye, right? A red bull's-eye? Do you see that? A Yes. Q Okay. But the red bull's-eye is on the Sacramento River Deep Water Shipping Channel, correct? A Yes, it appears to be. Q Okay. So the red bull's-eye does it, in your experience, tell you the northerly or southerly boundaries of 2-859? A Well, it's a circle, so Q Yes. A I don't know if it would determine north-south, but I would say it generally locates that particular environmentally sensitive site, if I'm interpreting this correctly. Q All right. And let me ask you to have a look now at the third exhibit that Mr. Helmlinger |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Q Okay, so if something is if a facility is within the red line, then it's covered, right? A In the in the in the perimeter of GRA-8, yes, it would be covered. Yeah. Q And if it's outside the red line, it's not covered, right? A Well, it's not covered under this ACP, but it would be covered under the Clean Water Act and OPA and the it's just a question of inland jurisdiction, inland zone versus coastal zone. Q Right, and it wouldn't necessarily be, but it might be, is what you mean to say, right? A No, no. I mean to say that the demarcation line between the inland zone and the coastal zone is established. That establishes Coast Guard versus EPA jurisdiction, and facilities fall within one of those jurisdictions, and then they fall within one of the area plan areas as defined. | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | words, that it's it roughly coincides with here, it's here, it's depicted as a kind of a bull'seye, right? A red bull's-eye? Do you see that? A Yes. Q Okay. But the red bull's-eye is on the Sacramento River Deep Water Shipping Channel, correct? A Yes, it appears to be. Q Okay. So the red bull's-eye does it, in your experience, tell you the northerly or southerly boundaries of 2-859? A Well, it's a circle, so Q Yes. A I don't know if it would determine northsouth, but I would say it generally locates that particular environmentally sensitive site, if I'm interpreting this correctly. Q All right. And let me ask you to have a look now at the third exhibit that Mr. Helmlinger showed you. That was CX-2. Do you recall that or do |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Q Okay, so if something is if a facility is within the red line, then it's covered, right? A In the in the in the perimeter of GRA-8, yes, it would be covered. Yeah. Q And if it's outside the red line, it's not covered, right? A Well, it's not covered under this ACP, but it would be covered under the Clean Water Act and OPA and the it's just a question of inland jurisdiction, inland zone versus coastal zone. Q Right, and it wouldn't necessarily be, but it might be, is what you mean to say, right? A No, no. I mean to say that the demarcation line between the inland zone and the coastal zone is established. That establishes Coast Guard versus EPA jurisdiction, and facilities fall within one of those jurisdictions, and then they fall within one of the area plan areas as defined. Q Oh, okay. That's fine. And what if a | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | words, that it's it roughly coincides with here, it's here, it's depicted as a kind of a bull's-eye, right? A red bull's-eye? Do you see that? A Yes. Q Okay. But the red bull's-eye is on the Sacramento River Deep Water Shipping Channel, correct? A Yes, it appears to be. Q Okay. So the red bull's-eye does it, in your experience, tell you the northerly or southerly boundaries of 2-859? A Well, it's a circle, so Q Yes. A I don't know if it would determine north-south, but I would say it generally locates that particular environmentally sensitive site, if I'm interpreting this correctly. Q All right. And let me ask you to have a look now at the third exhibit that Mr. Helmlinger showed you. That was CX-2. Do you recall that or do you have that? |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Q Okay, so if something is if a facility is within the red line, then it's covered, right? A In the in the in the perimeter of GRA-8, yes, it would be covered. Yeah. Q And if it's outside the red line, it's not covered, right? A Well, it's not covered under this ACP, but it would be covered under the Clean Water Act and OPA and the it's just a question of inland jurisdiction, inland zone versus coastal zone. Q Right, and it wouldn't necessarily be, but it might be, is what you mean to say, right? A No, no. I mean to say that the demarcation line between the inland zone and the coastal zone is established. That establishes Coast Guard versus EPA jurisdiction, and facilities fall within one of those jurisdictions, and then they fall within one of the area plan areas as defined. Q Oh, okay. That's fine. And what if a facility is right on the red line? Where does it | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | words, that it's it roughly coincides with here, it's here, it's depicted as a kind of a bull'seye, right? A red bull's-eye? Do you see that? A Yes. Q Okay. But the red bull's-eye is on the Sacramento River Deep Water Shipping Channel, correct? A Yes, it appears to be. Q Okay. So the red bull's-eye does it, in your experience, tell you the northerly or southerly boundaries of 2-859? A Well, it's a circle, so Q Yes. A I don't know if it would determine north-south, but I would say it generally locates that particular environmentally sensitive site, if I'm interpreting this correctly. Q All right. And let me ask you to have a look now at the third exhibit that Mr. Helmlinger showed you. That was CX-2. Do you recall that or do you have that? A Yes. |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Q Okay, so if something is if a facility is within the red line, then it's covered, right? A In the in the in the perimeter of GRA-8, yes, it would be covered. Yeah. Q And if it's outside the red line, it's not covered, right? A Well, it's not covered under this ACP, but it would be covered under the Clean Water Act and OPA and the it's just a question of inland jurisdiction, inland zone versus coastal zone. Q Right, and it wouldn't necessarily be, but it might be, is what you mean to say, right? A No, no. I mean to say that the demarcation line between the inland zone and the coastal zone is established. That establishes Coast Guard versus EPA jurisdiction, and facilities fall within one of those jurisdictions, and then they fall within one of the area plan areas as defined. Q Oh, okay. That's fine. And what if a | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | words, that it's it roughly coincides with here, it's here, it's depicted as a kind of a bull's-eye, right? A red bull's-eye? Do you see that? A Yes. Q Okay. But the red bull's-eye is on the Sacramento River Deep Water Shipping Channel, correct? A Yes, it appears to be. Q Okay. So the red bull's-eye does it, in your experience, tell you the northerly or southerly boundaries of 2-859? A Well, it's a circle, so Q Yes. A I don't know if it would determine north-south, but I would say it generally locates that particular environmentally sensitive site, if I'm interpreting this correctly. Q All right. And let me ask you to have a look now at the third exhibit that Mr. Helmlinger showed you. That was CX-2. Do you recall that or do you have that? |

| 1 | Page 33 | | Page 35 |
|---|--|--|---|
| | you would. | 1 | depending on the response necessary for a spill. Is |
| 2 | A Okay. | 2 | that is that fair? |
| 3 | Q Okay. So Mr on page 1, Mr. Helmlinger | 3 | A In terms of skimmers. |
| 4 | asked you about the "Resources of Primary Concern" | 4 | Q Yes, in terms of just strategy 3, right. |
| 5 | heading about a quarter of the way down on the page. | 5 | Which is skimmers, right? |
| 6 | Do you recall that? | 6 | A Strategy 2.859 2-859 |
| 7 | A Yes. | 7 | O Point three. |
| 8 | Q Okay. What I'd like you to do is take a | 8 | A point three is oil recovery with |
| 9 | look at the next page, which is page 2 of 3 of CX-2, | 9 | shoreside skimming. |
| 10 | and also about a quarter of the way down, ask you if | 10 | O That's what we're |
| 11 | you see a heading called "Site Strategies." | 11 | A Deploy skimming if oil accumulates in |
| 12 | A Yes, I do. | 12 | skimmable quantities. Consult with the incident |
| 13 | Q Okay. And do you see under "Site | 13 | commander prior to initiation of this strategy. |
| 14 | Strategies" three subsections? | 14 | Q Okay, so then |
| 15 | A I do. | 15 | A So that the location of the skimmer will |
| 16 | Q And what are those what are those titled? | 16 | be informed and dictated by where the skimmable |
| 17 | You can just give me the strategy number. You don't | 17 | quantities of oil exist. |
| 18 | have to give me the full title. | 18 | Q Okay, very good. |
| 19 | A Actually, I only see under Site | 19 | MR. McNEIL: I have no further questions, |
| 20 | Strategies, I see Strategy 2.1, Strategy 2.2, and | 20 | Your Honor. |
| 21 | | 21 | Thank you, Mr. Meer. |
| | Strategy 2.3 | 22 | JUDGE BIRO: Is there redirect? |
| 22 | Q Okay. And would you look at the next page, | 23 | MR. HELMLINGER: There is redirect. |
| 23 | which is Exhibit CX-2, page 3 of 3, and if your set is | 24 | // |
| 24 | the same as mine, you should see an aerial photograph | 25 | // |
| 25 | that depicts a portion of the channel. Do you see | 23 | " |
| | Page 34 | | Page 36 |
| 1 | that? | 1 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION |
| 2 | A Yes, I do. | 2 | BY MR. HELMLINGER: |
| 3 | Q Okay. And do you see cross-hatched on that | 1 | |
| | | 3 | Q A few questions to follow up, Mr. Meer. If |
| 4 | exhibit, in black letters with a kind of a red | 3 4 | |
| 4 5 | | | Q A few questions to follow up, Mr. Meer. If I could have you turn to CX-2 it's the one you just |
| | underline, any of the strategies from the prior page | 4 | Q A few questions to follow up, Mr. Meer. If I could have you turn to CX-2 it's the one you just had out. Direct your attention to the very top of the |
| 5 | | 4 5 | Q A few questions to follow up, Mr. Meer. If I could have you turn to CX-2 it's the one you just |
| 5 6 | underline, any of the strategies from the prior page that you just read from? A 1 and 2. | 4 5 6 | Q A few questions to follow up, Mr. Meer. If I could have you turn to CX-2 it's the one you just had out. Direct your attention to the very top of the first page, which says "Site Description." A Yes. |
| 5 6 7 | underline, any of the strategies from the prior page that you just read from? A 1 and 2. Q Okay. Very good. Do you see number 3 on | 4 5 6 7 | Q A few questions to follow up, Mr. Meer. If I could have you turn to CX-2 it's the one you just had out. Direct your attention to the very top of the first page, which says "Site Description." A Yes. Q Could you read that paragraph under that |
| 5 6 7 8 | underline, any of the strategies from the prior page that you just read from? A 1 and 2. Q Okay. Very good. Do you see number 3 on this on this page? | 4 5 6 7 8 | Q A few questions to follow up, Mr. Meer. If I could have you turn to CX-2 it's the one you just had out. Direct your attention to the very top of the first page, which says "Site Description." A Yes. Q Could you read that paragraph under that heading, Site Description? |
| 5 6 7 8 9 | underline, any of the strategies from the prior page that you just read from? A 1 and 2. Q Okay. Very good. Do you see number 3 on this on this page? A I don't see number 3 on this page. | 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Q A few questions to follow up, Mr. Meer. If I could have you turn to CX-2 it's the one you just had out. Direct your attention to the very top of the first page, which says "Site Description." A Yes. Q Could you read that paragraph under that heading, Site Description? A "Site extends from the Port of Sacramento to |
| 5 6 7 8 9 | underline, any of the strategies from the prior page that you just read from? A 1 and 2. Q Okay. Very good. Do you see number 3 on this on this page? | 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Q A few questions to follow up, Mr. Meer. If I could have you turn to CX-2 it's the one you just had out. Direct your attention to the very top of the first page, which says "Site Description." A Yes. Q Could you read that paragraph under that heading, Site Description? A "Site extends from the Port of Sacramento to its mouth on Cache Slough. This is an artificially |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | underline, any of the strategies from the prior page that you just read from? A 1 and 2. Q Okay. Very good. Do you see number 3 on this on this page? A I don't see number 3 on this page. Q Okay. Do you know where number 3 is located? | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | Q A few questions to follow up, Mr. Meer. If I could have you turn to CX-2 it's the one you just had out. Direct your attention to the very top of the first page, which says "Site Description." A Yes. Q Could you read that paragraph under that heading, Site Description? A "Site extends from the Port of Sacramento to its mouth on Cache Slough. This is an artificially constructed deep channel for ships to access the port. |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | underline, any of the strategies from the prior page that you just read from? A 1 and 2. Q Okay. Very good. Do you see number 3 on this on this page? A I don't see number 3 on this page. Q Okay. Do you know where number 3 is located? A I'd have to look at it to see how it's | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Q A few questions to follow up, Mr. Meer. If I could have you turn to CX-2 it's the one you just had out. Direct your attention to the very top of the first page, which says "Site Description." A Yes. Q Could you read that paragraph under that heading, Site Description? A "Site extends from the Port of Sacramento to its mouth on Cache Slough. This is an artificially constructed deep channel for ships to access the port. Although relatively narrow and artificial, the margin |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | underline, any of the strategies from the prior page that you just read from? A 1 and 2. Q Okay. Very good. Do you see number 3 on this on this page? A I don't see number 3 on this page. Q Okay. Do you know where number 3 is located? A I'd have to look at it to see how it's described. | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Q A few questions to follow up, Mr. Meer. If I could have you turn to CX-2 it's the one you just had out. Direct your attention to the very top of the first page, which says "Site Description." A Yes. Q Could you read that paragraph under that heading, Site Description? A "Site extends from the Port of Sacramento to its mouth on Cache Slough. This is an artificially constructed deep channel for ships to access the port. Although relatively narrow and artificial, the margin is emergent the marsh and is emergent marsh along |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | underline, any of the strategies from the prior page that you just read from? A 1 and 2. Q Okay. Very good. Do you see number 3 on this on this page? A I don't see number 3 on this page. Q Okay. Do you know where number 3 is located? A I'd have to look at it to see how it's described. Q Please, go ahead and take a look. | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Q A few questions to follow up, Mr. Meer. If I could have you turn to CX-2 it's the one you just had out. Direct your attention to the very top of the first page, which says "Site Description." A Yes. Q Could you read that paragraph under that heading, Site Description? A "Site extends from the Port of Sacramento to its mouth on Cache Slough. This is an artificially constructed deep channel for ships to access the port. Although relatively narrow and artificial, the margin is emergent the marsh and is emergent marsh along its entire length with occasional shrub-scrub. |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | underline, any of the strategies from the prior page that you just read from? A 1 and 2. Q Okay. Very good. Do you see number 3 on this on this page? A I don't see number 3 on this page. Q Okay. Do you know where number 3 is located? A I'd have to look at it to see how it's described. Q Please, go ahead and take a look. A Strategy is deploying a skimmer if oil | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Q A few questions to follow up, Mr. Meer. If I could have you turn to CX-2 it's the one you just had out. Direct your attention to the very top of the first page, which says "Site Description." A Yes. Q Could you read that paragraph under that heading, Site Description? A "Site extends from the Port of Sacramento to its mouth on Cache Slough. This is an artificially constructed deep channel for ships to access the port. Although relatively narrow and artificial, the margin is emergent the marsh and is emergent marsh along its entire length with occasional shrub-scrub. Conjoined to the west of the port facilities is the |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | underline, any of the strategies from the prior page that you just read from? A 1 and 2. Q Okay. Very good. Do you see number 3 on this on this page? A I don't see number 3 on this page. Q Okay. Do you know where number 3 is located? A I'd have to look at it to see how it's described. Q Please, go ahead and take a look. A Strategy is deploying a skimmer if oil accumulates in skimmable quantities, and so it's | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Q A few questions to follow up, Mr. Meer. If I could have you turn to CX-2 it's the one you just had out. Direct your attention to the very top of the first page, which says "Site Description." A Yes. Q Could you read that paragraph under that heading, Site Description? A "Site extends from the Port of Sacramento to its mouth on Cache Slough. This is an artificially constructed deep channel for ships to access the port. Although relatively narrow and artificial, the margin is emergent the marsh and is emergent marsh along its entire length with occasional shrub-scrub. Conjoined to the west of the port facilities is the remnant Lake Washington, which has several acres of |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | underline, any of the strategies from the prior page that you just read from? A 1 and 2. Q Okay. Very good. Do you see number 3 on this on this page? A I don't see number 3 on this page. Q Okay. Do you know where number 3 is located? A I'd have to look at it to see how it's described. Q Please, go ahead and take a look. A Strategy is deploying a skimmer if oil accumulates in skimmable quantities, and so it's probably not it's not boom deployment, so it may | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Q A few questions to follow up, Mr. Meer. If I could have you turn to CX-2 it's the one you just had out. Direct your attention to the very top of the first page, which says "Site Description." A Yes. Q Could you read that paragraph under that heading, Site Description? A "Site extends from the Port of Sacramento to its mouth on Cache Slough. This is an artificially constructed deep channel for ships to access the port. Although relatively narrow and artificial, the margin is emergent the marsh and is emergent marsh along its entire length with occasional shrub-scrub. Conjoined to the west of the port facilities is the remnant Lake Washington, which has several acres of tule-cattail marsh." |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | underline, any of the strategies from the prior page that you just read from? A 1 and 2. Q Okay. Very good. Do you see number 3 on this on this page? A I don't see number 3 on this page. Q Okay. Do you know where number 3 is located? A I'd have to look at it to see how it's described. Q Please, go ahead and take a look. A Strategy is deploying a skimmer if oil accumulates in skimmable quantities, and so it's probably not it's not boom deployment, so it may not be it's that strategy is determined based on | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Q A few questions to follow up, Mr. Meer. If I could have you turn to CX-2 it's the one you just had out. Direct your attention to the very top of the first page, which says "Site Description." A Yes. Q Could you read that paragraph under that heading, Site Description? A "Site extends from the Port of Sacramento to its mouth on Cache Slough. This is an artificially constructed deep channel for ships to access the port. Although relatively narrow and artificial, the margin is emergent the marsh and is emergent marsh along its entire length with occasional shrub-scrub. Conjoined to the west of the port facilities is the remnant Lake Washington, which has several acres of tule-cattail marsh." Q What exclusions do you understand to be |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | underline, any of the strategies from the prior page that you just read from? A 1 and 2. Q Okay. Very good. Do you see number 3 on this on this page? A I don't see number 3 on this page. Q Okay. Do you know where number 3 is located? A I'd have to look at it to see how it's described. Q Please, go ahead and take a look. A Strategy is deploying a skimmer if oil accumulates in skimmable quantities, and so it's probably not it's not boom deployment, so it may not be it's that strategy is determined based on the conditions of a spill at the time, and so that's | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Q A few questions to follow up, Mr. Meer. If I could have you turn to CX-2 it's the one you just had out. Direct your attention to the very top of the first page, which says "Site Description." A Yes. Q Could you read that paragraph under that heading, Site Description? A "Site extends from the Port of Sacramento to its mouth on Cache Slough. This is an artificially constructed deep channel for ships to access the port. Although relatively narrow and artificial, the margin is emergent the marsh and is emergent marsh along its entire length with occasional shrub-scrub. Conjoined to the west of the port facilities is the remnant Lake Washington, which has several acres of tule-cattail marsh." Q What exclusions do you understand to be stated in that site description? |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | underline, any of the strategies from the prior page that you just read from? A 1 and 2. Q Okay. Very good. Do you see number 3 on this on this page? A I don't see number 3 on this page. Q Okay. Do you know where number 3 is located? A I'd have to look at it to see how it's described. Q Please, go ahead and take a look. A Strategy is deploying a skimmer if oil accumulates in skimmable quantities, and so it's probably not it's not boom deployment, so it may not be it's that strategy is determined based on the conditions of a spill at the time, and so that's why it may not be located on the on the map. | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Q A few questions to follow up, Mr. Meer. If I could have you turn to CX-2 it's the one you just had out. Direct your attention to the very top of the first page, which says "Site Description." A Yes. Q Could you read that paragraph under that heading, Site Description? A "Site extends from the Port of Sacramento to its mouth on Cache Slough. This is an artificially constructed deep channel for ships to access the port. Although relatively narrow and artificial, the margin is emergent the marsh and is emergent marsh along its entire length with occasional shrub-scrub. Conjoined to the west of the port facilities is the remnant Lake Washington, which has several acres of tule-cattail marsh." Q What exclusions do you understand to be stated in that site description? A Exclusions? I don't understand any |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | underline, any of the strategies from the prior page that you just read from? A 1 and 2. Q Okay. Very good. Do you see number 3 on this on this page? A I don't see number 3 on this page. Q Okay. Do you know where number 3 is located? A I'd have to look at it to see how it's described. Q Please, go ahead and take a look. A Strategy is deploying a skimmer if oil accumulates in skimmable quantities, and so it's probably not it's not boom deployment, so it may not be it's that strategy is determined based on the conditions of a spill at the time, and so that's why it may not be located on the on the map. Q Okay. If I understand your testimony, | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Q A few questions to follow up, Mr. Meer. If I could have you turn to CX-2 it's the one you just had out. Direct your attention to the very top of the first page, which says "Site Description." A Yes. Q Could you read that paragraph under that heading, Site Description? A "Site extends from the Port of Sacramento to its mouth on Cache Slough. This is an artificially constructed deep channel for ships to access the port. Although relatively narrow and artificial, the margin is emergent the marsh and is emergent marsh along its entire length with occasional shrub-scrub. Conjoined to the west of the port facilities is the remnant Lake Washington, which has several acres of tule-cattail marsh." Q What exclusions do you understand to be stated in that site description? A Exclusions? I don't understand any exclusions. |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | underline, any of the strategies from the prior page that you just read from? A 1 and 2. Q Okay. Very good. Do you see number 3 on this on this page? A I don't see number 3 on this page. Q Okay. Do you know where number 3 is located? A I'd have to look at it to see how it's described. Q Please, go ahead and take a look. A Strategy is deploying a skimmer if oil accumulates in skimmable quantities, and so it's probably not it's not boom deployment, so it may not be it's that strategy is determined based on the conditions of a spill at the time, and so that's why it may not be located on the on the map. Q Okay. If I understand your testimony, you're saying it the and again, I'm not trying | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Q A few questions to follow up, Mr. Meer. If I could have you turn to CX-2 it's the one you just had out. Direct your attention to the very top of the first page, which says "Site Description." A Yes. Q Could you read that paragraph under that heading, Site Description? A "Site extends from the Port of Sacramento to its mouth on Cache Slough. This is an artificially constructed deep channel for ships to access the port. Although relatively narrow and artificial, the margin is emergent the marsh and is emergent marsh along its entire length with occasional shrub-scrub. Conjoined to the west of the port facilities is the remnant Lake Washington, which has several acres of tule-cattail marsh." Q What exclusions do you understand to be stated in that site description? A Exclusions? I don't understand any exclusions. Q If I could have you turn to the second page |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | underline, any of the strategies from the prior page that you just read from? A 1 and 2. Q Okay. Very good. Do you see number 3 on this on this page? A I don't see number 3 on this page. Q Okay. Do you know where number 3 is located? A I'd have to look at it to see how it's described. Q Please, go ahead and take a look. A Strategy is deploying a skimmer if oil accumulates in skimmable quantities, and so it's probably not it's not boom deployment, so it may not be it's that strategy is determined based on the conditions of a spill at the time, and so that's why it may not be located on the on the map. Q Okay. If I understand your testimony, | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Q A few questions to follow up, Mr. Meer. If I could have you turn to CX-2 it's the one you just had out. Direct your attention to the very top of the first page, which says "Site Description." A Yes. Q Could you read that paragraph under that heading, Site Description? A "Site extends from the Port of Sacramento to its mouth on Cache Slough. This is an artificially constructed deep channel for ships to access the port. Although relatively narrow and artificial, the margin is emergent the marsh and is emergent marsh along its entire length with occasional shrub-scrub. Conjoined to the west of the port facilities is the remnant Lake Washington, which has several acres of tule-cattail marsh." Q What exclusions do you understand to be stated in that site description? A Exclusions? I don't understand any exclusions. |

1

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 39

Q As a supervisor for EPA's response teams, do you understand -- how do you understand these response strategies to affect your team's response decisions?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A These response strategies are designed to inform the responders about where they should be looking in an incident to deploy certain strategies to minimize the damage from an oil spill.

Q Do these response strategies apply in all situations, in any oil spill?

A No. The strategy -- it's a generalized strategy that's developed in advance because we're trying to anticipate. But wind conditions, tide, there's all kinds of conditions that might require a change in response strategy. So you have to look at -- that's the whole -- that's the job of the responders, is to assess the situation and then take a response strategy given the conditions that they face.

Q So how do these response strategies affect that responder's discretion?

A Well, they're talking about -- for example, when you set boom, they're talking about booming in certain places that will probably do two things. One is to direct the oil to a skimmable or a recoverable location, and also to protect the sensitive habitat and critical -- the critical habitat and sensitive

could you ask that -- so I'm not sure I understand

Q Okay. Well, let me ask you. Were you involved in developing the Area Contingency Plan that we're talking about here?

A I was not.

Q Okay. And do you know who was involved in developing it -- in authoring it?

A I know -- I don't know the people personally. I know that an Area Contingency Plan is done at the direction of the captain of the port. It's something that the area committee develops. And it is something that a variety of agencies and others participate in developing. They have an area committee. It meets periodically. One of their responsibilities is to develop and maintain the area plan, the Area Contingency Plan.

Q Okay, thank you. And are you, sir, a member of that committee?

A I am not.

21 Q Or have you been in the past?

> A I have not. I have attended area committee meetings, but these are Coast Guard-driven efforts.

> Q Okay. And I believe you testified earlier that EPA uses the plans, the ACPs, but I think you

Page 38

species that might be exposed.

MR. HELMLINGER: I think that's good. No further questions.

JUDGE BIRO: Okay.

Any recross, Mr. McNeil?

MR. McNEIL: Just a couple, Your Honor.

JUDGE BIRO: Of course.

MR. McNEIL: Thank you.

RECROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. McNEIL:

Q Mr. Meer, so in your -- in your understanding, what precisely is the significance of the site strategy under the Area Contingency Plan?

A The site strategy is what describes how we believe -- or the plan developers believe will be the most effective means of mitigating the effects of a discharge.

Q Okay. And let me ask you this. If certain geographical areas are identified in a site strategy -- for example, Lake Washington -- but they weren't identified for other areas, what's your understanding of the significance of that? In other words, is that something that still has to be done -- still has to be completed, for the ACP to be complete?

A I'm not sure I understand that. Are you --

Page 40

used the word "indirectly." Is that correct?

A Yes. I used the word "indirectly." And by that I meant that EPA's interests, such as protection of marshes, protection of salmon, protection of water birds would be informed by things in the Area Contingency Plan.

Q Okay. But if you wanted to -- if you had a question about the interpretation of the ACP, who would you go to to ask?

A Well, one -- I would first go to the federal on-scene coordinators in my branch. I would then go to the federal on-scene coordinator at Sector San Francisco. And I'd probably also consult with District 14 people on the regional response team.

Q And would you consider them to be more authoritative in terms of the interpretation than you have in your own experience?

A Are you asking me if the Coast Guard is more authoritative about an ACP than EPA?

Q I mean, that's -- we can phrase that and then come back to the -- that's fine. Do you have an opinion about that? Do you have a view on that?

A I don't have a strong opinion about it. I -- well, what I can say is that ACPs are developed under Coast Guard direction by an area committee.

Page 41 Page 43 1 Q All right. Do you consider yourself an 1 about whether they are, in fact, subject, because, for 2 authority in the interpretation of an Area Contingency 2 example, some of the criteria are -- do they represent 3 3 Plan? a substantial -- I'm forgetting the exact terminology 4 4 -- substantial threat to a --A I do not. 5 5 JUDGE BIRO: Of harm. Q Okay. 6 MR. McNEIL: Nothing further. Thank you. 6 THE WITNESS: -- to a navigable water, and 7 JUDGE BIRO: Mr. Helmlinger --7 so are they within a certain distance, have they 8 8 THE WITNESS: But I do -calculated what is the likelihood of a spill reaching 9 9 JUDGE BIRO: Okay, please finish. a navigable water, et cetera. And so, we often get 10 THE WITNESS: But I do consider myself 10 into the sort of regulatory debate because obviously 11 thoroughly familiar with the purpose and process and 11 if facilities can avoid being subject, they would 12 development of an ACP, since we do analogous things in 12 prefer that. They wouldn't have to spend that effort 13 13 to develop the FRP. But I believe we have the final the inland zone. 14 JUDGE BIRO: Mr. Meer, can I ask you a 14 -- we have the final say, or we take it to you. 15 couple of questions? 15 JUDGE BIRO: If a facility believes that 16 THE WITNESS: Oh, absolutely. How could I 16 it's not subject to the FRP, is it required to notify 17 turn vou down? 17 EPA to that effect? 18 18 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure. JUDGE BIRO: To whom does the EPA 19 19 regulations assign responsibility for developing an MR. HELMLINGER: Your Honor, if I may, our 20 SPCC plan? 20 very next witness, I think, can give the -- can answer 21 21 these questions. He's our headquarters coordinator THE WITNESS: To the facility. 2.2 JUDGE BIRO: And who is assigned the 22 for this program. 23 responsibility for determining if an SPCC plan should 23 JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Thank you. 24 2.4 be developed -- must be developed to be consistent Can I ask you one more thing? If you look 25 with law? 25 at Complainant's Exhibit 3 [sic] and the map --Page 42 Page 44 1 THE WITNESS: I believe that's EPA's 1 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It s CX-2. 2 2 interpretation of the Clean Water Act and OPA. JUDGE BIRO: Oh, CX-2, sorry, Complainant's 3 JUDGE BIRO: So it would be EPA's 3 Exhibit 2. 4 4 THE WITNESS: Complainant's Exhibit 2. responsibility to notify a facility that is covered by 5 the SPCC and that they need -- must develop a plan? 5 JUDGE BIRO: In the white binders. 6 THE WITNESS: Now, I think it's the 6 THE WITNESS: Oh. I'm sorry. 7 facility's responsibility to know if they're subject. 7 JUDGE BIRO: That's all right. 8 8 THE WITNESS: We're the complainant. 2 is They certainly ask us their opinion, but if I'm not 9 9 mistaken, a facility that stores 1320 gallons is the -- is the site summary. 10 subject to SPCC and is obligated to develop a plan. 10 JUDGE BIRO: Yes. 11 JUDGE BIRO: So it's the facility's 11 THE WITNESS: Yes. 12 responsibility to make a determination if they are 12 JUDGE BIRO: Okay. If you look at this map, 13 subject to the SPCC plan requirements? 13 there's -- appear to me to be two lines starting at 14 14 THE WITNESS: I believe so, but I'm not the very bottom of the map heading north that are in 15 15 light yellow. The left line sort of veers off east, sure. 16 JUDGE BIRO: Okay. 16 and there's a number of facilities that appear on 17 THE WITNESS: I'd have to ask my lawyer. 17 here. 18 JUDGE BIRO: How about for an FRP? Who does 18 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It s too quiet. 19 the regulations assign responsibility for making a 19 You've got to speak up. 20 determination whether or not a facility is required to 20 JUDGE BIRO: Do you see where it says --21 create an FRP? 21 appears Ryer Island? 22 22 THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes. THE WITNESS: Well, the regulation sets out 23 23 JUDGE BIRO: And then next to that, adjacent certain criteria that, if met, subject the facility to 24 those regulations. And so, there can often be a 24 to the left of that, there are -- there's sort of a 25 debate or a discussion between EPA and the facility 25 line going up from the bottom east?

| | Page 45 | | Page 47 |
|----------|--|----|--|
| 1 | THE WITNESS: Sort of diagonally | 1 | get to them, or do you want me to pull them up as they |
| 2 | JUDGE BIRO: Yes. | 2 | come up in testimony? |
| 3 | THE WITNESS: north-northeast? | 3 | JUDGE BIRO: You can put them up. I don't |
| 4 | JUDGE BIRO: Yes. | 4 | think we'll be confused. Do you want to mark them for |
| 5 | THE WITNESS: On the edge of the channel? | 5 | exhibits? |
| 6 | JUDGE BIRO: Yes. | 6 | MR. HELMLINGER: I thought they had been, |
| 7 | THE WITNESS: Yes. | 7 | but maybe these yes, this says 53, and this one |
| 8 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Is that the edge of the | 8 | says CX-1. It's just black script on a dark make |
| 9 | channel? | 9 | sure. |
| 10 | THE WITNESS: It certainly looks like it's | 10 | JUDGE BIRO: So they're both complainant's |
| 11 | defining the edge of the channel. | 11 | exhibits, 53 |
| 12 | JUDGE BIRO: And that is that Channel | 12 | MR. HELMLINGER: Yes. Yes. |
| 13 | Road? | 13 | JUDGE BIRO: and 1? |
| 14 | THE WITNESS: I don't know. | 14 | MR. HELMLINGER: CX-53 and CX-1. |
| 15 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Do you know whether any | 15 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. And we all agree that |
| 16 | of the facilities identified on this map are the | 16 | those are exact copies of those exhibits? |
| 17 | respondent's facility? | 17 | MR. HELMLINGER: I can give you more time to |
| 18 | THE WITNESS: I don't know. | 18 | look at them if you'd like. We represent they are. |
| 19 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Thank you. You may step | 19 | MR. McNEIL: We'll accept counsel's |
| 20 | down. | 20 | representation. |
| 21 | THE WITNESS: Thank you. | 21 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Thank you, Mr. McNeil. |
| 22 | JUDGE BIRO: Do you want to retain the right | 22 | MR. HELMLINGER: These all might be smarter |
| 23 | to recall Mr. Meer? | 23 | than me. Does that sort of work for everybody? |
| 24 | MR. HELMLINGER: We would release Mr. Meer. | 24 | JUDGE BIRO: Works for me. Do you intend to |
| 25 | JUDGE BIRO: Mr. McNeil? | 25 | have Mr. Swackhammer modify these exhibits in any way? |
| | | | |
| | Page 46 | | Page 48 |
| 1 | MR. McNEIL: That's fine with respondent. | 1 | MR. HELMLINGER: No, I do not. |
| 2 | Thank you. | 2 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. |
| 3 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. | 3 | MR. HELMLINGER: I think next time we're |
| 4 | Thank you, Mr. Meer. You're released. | 4 | going to go with a video presentation. |
| 5 | MR. HELMLINGER: Our next witness would be | 5 | UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay. |
| 6 | Mr. Troy Swackhammer. | 6 | MR. HELMLINGER: Okay. |
| 7 | JUDGE BIRO: Madam Reporter, would you | 7 | DIRECT EXAMINATION |
| 8 | please swear the witness. | 8 | BY MR. HELMLINGER: |
| 9 | THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. | 9 | Q Good morning, Mr. Swackhammer. |
| 10 | Please raise your right hand stand and | 10 | A Good morning. |
| 11 | raise your right hand. | 11 | Q Would you state for the record where you are |
| 12 | Whereupon, | 12 | employed? |
| 13 | JOSEPH SWACKHAMMER | 13 | A I'm employed at the U.S. Environmental |
| 14 | having first been duly sworn, was called as | 14 | Protection Agency in Washington, D.C., Office of |
| 15 | a witness and was examined and testified as follows: | 15 | Emergency Management, Regulations and Implementation |
| 16 | THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you. Would you | 16 | Division. |
| 17 | please have a seat? And for the record, state and | 17 | Q And what is your title with EPA? |
| 18 | spell your first and last name. | 18 | A My title is chemical engineer. |
| 19 | THE WITNESS: My name is Joseph Swackhammer, | 19 | Q And how long have you been with the EPA? |
| 20 | J-O-S-E-P-H, Swackhammer, S-W-A-C-K-H-A-M-M-E-R. | 20 | A Been with the EPA since 1997. |
| 0.1 | THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you. | 21 | Q What is your background before coming to EPA? |
| 21 | MD_HELMINICED, V11- | | |
| 22 | MR. HELMLINGER: Your Honor, how would you | 22 | |
| 22 23 | prefer I deal with some demonstratives? These are in | 23 | A Background before coming to EPA, I would say |
| 22 | | 1 | |

Page 51 Page 49 1 1 Metropolitan Washington Airports Authority. That Q What experience do you have, either 2 manages National and Dulles Airports in the 2 observing or coordinating, on responses at all? 3 3 Washington, D.C. area. A I typically -- as time allows, I attend tag-4 4 Q And what are your responsibilities with the alongs, as I call them; attend regional unannounced 5 5 exercises, Government-initiated unannounced exercises; EPA at present? 6 A My responsibilities include national lead on 6 to stay current with current procedures, to get eyes 7 Facility Response Plan coordination with the regions 7 on and stay, you know, sharp and also to ensure 8 and the regulated community. 8 consistency with the exercise requirements. 9 9 Q How long have you had this role? Q And in that experience, have you had 10 10 A Since about 2006. opportunity to get eyes on, for example, oil spills 11 Q What role do you have in creating 11 into a river? 12 regulations for EPA, if any? 12 A Not particularly, but I get eyes on in terms 13 13 A I've been involved in regulatory development of response strategies, as Mr. Meer was talking about, 14 at EPA both in terms of the Pulp and Paper Cluster 14 as related to small discharge planning level under the 15 Rule prior to joining the oil program, and with the 15 FRP rule. oil program development of the amendments to the SPCC 16 16 Q How about photographs, if not eyes on? 17 17 A Certainly, photographs, and I'm also part of 18 Q What role do you have, if any, in generating 18 a team, you know, within the Office of Emergency 19 19 any guidance within EPA for this program? Management. I get daily updates in the EOC, Emergency 20 A I'm part of the team that developed the SPCC 20 Operations Center, on oil discharges that are reported 21 21 guidance document, both the existing document and the to the National Response Center. 22 prior document as well. 22 Q And how about enforcement, different than 23 Q How does your role interact with the 10 23 response? How do you coordinate with the regions on 2.4 regions within EPA? 24 enforcement? 25 A I interact on a daily basis with the 10 25 A I coordinate both at headquarters -- there's Page 50 Page 52 1 a headquarters enforcement person on the FRP and SPCC 1 regions, depending -- as appropriate and as needed. 2 2 My role is coordination of national FRP policy and to coordinate, and also through this person's monthly 3 regulation and interpretation of the regulations, 3 calls. There's a call with the regionals -- offices 4 4 interpretation of the related PREP guidelines, so that on enforcement to coordinate nationally and to answer 5 5 my role is kind of on a daily basis, as well as questions that may arise during the court case 6 routine monthly calls with the regions, both on SPCC 6 development. 7 7 and the FRP regulation. Q How is training part of your coordination? 8 8 Q Sure. If you could help us be so clear, why A Training is an important part of our 9 9 is that coordination important to you? coordination. To train our oil inspectors, we have a 10 A Coordination's important for national 10 40-hour course that -- where we teach our respective oil inspectors on SPCC and FRP requirements. I've 11 consistency, to make sure that, you know, the FRP rule 11 12 12 is implemented consistently across the nation. instructed more than one dozen of those courses since 13 13 Q I know with EPA we may fall into jargon a my -- since joining the oil program. 14 14 little bit. You used the phrase PREP guidance. Could Q And I'm sorry if I missed it. Did you 15 you explain PREP guidance? 15 mention training within EPA? But how about training 16 A The National Preparedness for Response 16 for non-EPA personnel? 17 Exercise Program is a national set of guidelines that 17 A Sure. As requested by outside parties, like 18 18 are developed by EPA, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of National Institute of Storage Tank Management and the the Interior, and the DOT. American Petroleum Institute, have requested short 19 19 20 20 courses -- SPCC and FRP short courses, typically four Q In your role, do you work with agencies on 21 21 hours in length each. I teach -- I've taught over a 22 2.2 A Not particularly, but I do coordinate dozen of those FRP short courses, both for the Storage 23 23 Tank Institute as well as the American Petroleum

24

25

Institute.

through the PREP committee. We coordinate -- the four

agencies coordinate to ensure consistency with

preparedness through the exercise programs.

24

25

Q Assisting EPA's regions in its coordination

Page 55 Page 53 role, about how many FRP Facility Response Plans have cement. you had an opportunity to review? Q Have you observed an oil sheen? Are you A As needed, maybe couple of dozen, maybe familiar with the concept of an oil sheen? more. It just depends on what's -- in terms of A Yes.

Q And are you familiar with what might be called a comparable alternative analysis?

enforcement cases and particular issues that may arise

where I requested a copy of the FRP from the regional

A In what context?

2.4

Q For FRP applicability?

A Sure. There's a provision that would allow a owner or operator to consider an alternative formula, if that's what you're referring to, in terms of Appendix C applicability evaluations.

Q How familiar have you become in your role with asphalt storage facilities?

A I've definitely become very familiar with those facilities. We -- occasionally regions encounter them in terms of SPCC inspections as well as evaluating the need for their -- for a Facility Response Plan for these facilities.

Q What difference does it make in your program whether an asphalt material is termed asphaltic cement or hot mix asphalt?

Q Could you describe generally, just for our record, what an oil sheen might look like?

A Typically, floating on top of the water column and will provide an effervescence, a coloration per se, that you can -- it's observable by the -- by the eye.

Q Is it fair, just sort of in a common parlance, to characterize that as like the rainbow you might see in a parking lot on a rainy morning?

A Correct.

Q In your experience, had you observed asphalt to create a sheen when it interacts with water?

A We've done some recent research that yes, that can take place because of the very nature of asphalt cement. You've got lighter ends that will essentially float to the surface once it encounters the water column, versus the more denser components of asphalt cement, which would start to sink in the water column.

Q Have you had an opportunity to become familiar with the respondent's facility in West

Page 54

A We make the distinction in our SPCC guidance between hot mix asphalt, which is exempt under the SPCC rule and thus the FRP rule, versus asphalt cement and asphalt emulsions. We talk about that in section 2 of our SPCC guidance.

Q How is asphalt characterized in the oil pollution prevention regulations, in your experience?

A It's considered an oil under the oil pollution prevention and preparedness regulations.

Q Have you had opportunities to observe, either eyes on or by photos, asphalt releases --

A Yes.

Q -- that are not hot mix asphalt?

A Yes.

Q And could you describe generally, in your experience, how asphalt releases when it interacts with water?

A Typically, it's a thermoplastic material,

meaning that it needs to be heated in order to flow.

Once it encounters a water body, it will then start to cool, and because it's denser than water, it'll start

to sink in the water column and congeal. And so thusthe reason why there are separate requirements,

differentiating requirements, in Appendix E of our FRP

rule for asphalt or group 5 type oils, such as asphalt

Sacramento?

A Yes.

Q I would like to show you what is marked as CX-1. Take a moment and look at that illustration, and if you could identify for me what you believe it to be.

Page 56

A That is the VSS Emultech facility in Sacramento -- West Sacramento, California.

Q And how do you personally know this?

A Was approached by Ms. Witul after the SPCC inspection and was asked a series of questions. So one of the first things that I do is get eyes on, do an aerial view as it -- as I've exhibited here.

MR. HELMLINGER: So since there's no objection, I'll go ahead and admit this, if I don't have a full foundation of this witness for this, but are we going to have an objection to admitting CX-1 now? Or I can do it later.

MR. McNEIL: We wouldn't object.
MR. HELMLINGER: All right.
Admit CX-1?

MR. McNEIL: No objection, Your Honor.

23 MR. HELMLINGER: Thank you.

JUDGE BIRO: Okay. CX-1 is admitted into the record.

Page 59 Page 57 1 (The document referred to, BY MR. HELMLINGER: 2 2 Q Can you see this okay, Mr. Swackhammer? previously identified as 3 A Yes. 3 Complainant's Exhibit No. 1, 4 4 was received in evidence.) Q Can you take a moment and familiarize 5 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You have to repeat 5 yourself with CX-53 and, if you can, identify what it 6 6 that into the mic. 7 JUDGE BIRO: CX-1 is admitted into the 7 A This exhibit is Figure C-1 from Attachment 8 8 record. C-3 within Appendix C. 9 BY MR. HELMLINGER: 9 Q Other than the caption at the top or the CX 10 10 nomination at the bottom, is this different, in your Q I'd like to discuss with you, Mr. 11 Swackhammer, the FRP regulations that are central to 11 experience, from what appears in the regulations? 12 your role with EPA. So generally state what is an 12 A No, it is not. This is the same Figure C-1 13 13 FRP? How do you explain it at a cocktail party? in the regulation. 14 14 MR. HELMLINGER: Move to admit CX-53. A Yeah. Shorthand is it's basically an oil 15 spill response plan. It's a play book, guidebook, per 15 JUDGE BIRO: Is there any objection? 16 16 MR. McNEIL: No objection. se, for an owner or operator to guide them in an oil 17 spill once it reaches a navigable water. 17 BY MR. HELMLINGER: 18 18 Q You were in the courtroom. You may have Q So you mentioned planning distance. 19 heard Your Honor ask about who determines whether an 19 JUDGE BIRO: Wait. CX-53 is admitted into 20 FRP is applicable. Can you answer that question? 20 the record. 21 21 (The document referred to, A Sure. There are self-identification 22 criteria in the regulation, in 112.20(f)(1), that 22 previously identified as 23 enables the owner or operator of a facility that's Complainant's Exhibit No. 53, 23 24 2.4 subject to the SPCC rule to make a determination was received in evidence.) 25 whether they're also subject to the FRP rule. 25 MR. HELMLINGER: Thank you, Your Honor. I'm Page 58 Page 60 1 Q And what criteria determine whether a 1 sorry. I'm very eager to talk about planning 2 2 facility is subject to the FRP regulations? distances. 3 A Sure. The first criteria is if a facility 3 JUDGE BIRO: We all are. 4 4 THE WITNESS: I usually don't get that. stores 42,000 gallons or more and transfers to or from 5 5 BY MR. HELMLINGER: a vessel. The second one is if a facility stores one 6 6 million gallons or more and meets one or more of the Q You mentioned the planning distance earlier. 7 7 Could you explain how this illustration is useful to four harm factors under the one million gallon 8 8 considering or contemplating planning distance as the 9 9 regulations lay them out? Q And what would those four harm factors be? 10 A If the facility doesn't have adequate 10 A Sure. The role of this illustration is to 11 11 containment for any given aboveground storage tank provide a guidebook, so to speak, and it would -- to 12 12 determine whether the facility is FRP subject. The area; if a discharge from the facility could impact or 13 first planning distance, D-1, is the distance from the 13 cause injury to fish and wildlife and sensitive 14 14 nearest opportunity of discharge, say, X-1, that tank. environments downstream or within a planning distance 15 And within this consideration you could -- you would 15 of the facility. Third criteria is if a discharge 16 exclude any kind of secondary containment or dike 16 along that same planning distance could shut down a 17 17 around the tank, so that line around those four tanks drinking water intake with -- as a result of the 18 would not be considered to be there in the evaluation. 18 discharge; and if a facility has experienced a spill 19 So the evaluation has to exclude secondary 19 of 10,000 gallons or more to a navigable water within 20 20 the last five years. containment. 21 The release then occurs from the tank, goes 21 Q I'd like to turn you to what is marked as 22 22 planning distance D-1 to the storm drain, and then D-2 CX-53. We have the demonstrative here, and you could 23 is the storm drain to the navigable water. And then 23 find it in your binder. 24 planning distance D-3 -- that's what we typically 24 MR. HELMLINGER: Can everybody see that okay 25 25 refer to in terms of the main planning distance, D-3, if I move this up?

Page 63

and once it reaches the navigable water, going to shut
 down a drinking water intake, and/or impact or cause
 injury to fish and wildlife and sensitive
 environments.

Q Sure. So it is stipulated in this case, and the Court just ruled, that the Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel is navigable water, so can we -- so that would be, then, what's titled here, if we were going to apply this to the facts in this case, the navigable water on the right side of the illustration. Is that fair?

A Yes.

2.4

Q So you were in the courtroom earlier and heard the testimony of Mr. Meer, and you heard him address the Area Contingency Plan and the sensitive environment species represented therein. How does that description in that Area Contingency Plan impact your understanding of this illustration? Where would -- might that fit in anywhere?

A Yes, it does. It fits in within the fish and wildlife and sensitive environments, and then what is in the non-public facing would be the drinking water intakes, too, that might be impacted. But in the public facing, typically you will see, as Mr. Meer talked about, the identification of fish and wildlife

A I would say that they're supplementary and complementary at times, depending on the information.

Q All right. If a sensitive environment is identified in an Area Contingency Plan, do you need to look at other supplementary materials?

A You could, but that would be the primary source of information.

Q Would that be sufficient?

A It should be.

Q So could you explain -- you explained D-1, D-2, and D-3. Could you explain for us D-4, what it is on the illustration?

A Sure. D-4 is also part of the applicability evaluation. The primary evaluation is whether oil can, in fact, reach navigable water and cause injury or shut down a drinking water intake. The secondary evaluation has a substantial harm facility versus just an SPCC-only facility is an evaluation of a oil discharge or portions of a worst-case discharge impacting fish and wildlife and sensitive environments not bordering a navigable water. This might be a wetland and so forth that's not directly bordering a navigable water.

Q So if we could start to apply some facts that we know about the VSS facility to this

Page 62

rage o

and sensitive environments along the applicable navigable water. So in the -- in those two criteria under the one million gallon criterion, you would consider the planning distance, and then in terms of identifying the fish and wildlife and sensitive environments, consult the -- you know, the applicable ACP to further inform that decision-making.

Q And without regard to the VSS facility, in your experience, how do Area Contingency Plans -- how are they typically used for these reviews of planning distances?

A They're used to determine -- for the owner or operator of the facility to determine applicability and then, of course, used for plan and development in terms of plan implementation, response strategies, and so forth that Mr. Meer talked about.

Q So in your experience, how determinative is an Area Contingency Plan for analyzing the fish and wildlife and sensitive environment?

A It's certainly important. It's not necessarily the end-all. There are also NOAA resources, environmental sensitivity index maps that can also be used to consult as well.

Q Are those exclusive resources or supplementary resources, if you understand?

Page 64

illustration -- kind of work with me here. So we've
 discussed that the navigable water down the right side

3 of the illustration would be essentially the

Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel, and looking

5 at illustration CX-1, there's the rectangular form of

the facility as we discussed it. Is it fair to assume that's the rectangular form with the four tanks and

8 the two Xs in illustration CX-53?

9 A That's correct.

MR. McNEIL: Objection, Your Honor. I'm sorry. The question's vague and I don't understand the line of questioning. And just to get it out there in case this is where counsel is going, to the extent he's going to be asking this witness to make comments about VSS as based on CX --

JUDGE BIRO: Fifty-three.

MR. McNEIL: -- 53, I would lodge an
objection. We have a facility map right next to it.
It is the actual facility.

JUDGE BIRO: Sustained. Restate your question.

MR. HELMLINGER: Sure, Your Honor.
BY MR. HELMLINGER:

Q Mr. Swackhammer, I only mean to -- began to discuss the facility image that we have at CX-1 here

Page 67 Page 65 1 in the concepts that we have in CX-53 with the D-1, D-1 as well as a direct overland transport from the 2 2, D-3 sort of distances. Do you, in your 2 asphalt cement tanks, those larger tanks depicted in 3 3 professional experience, have opportunity to use the aerial view directly to the ship channel. 4 4 Google Earth as a mapping platform? Q So what measurements would be relevant, 5 5 A Yes, I do. then, in terms of getting to a conclusion whether 6 Q Do you routinely use it? 6 Facility Response Planning applies under the 7 7 A Yes, I do. regulations? 8 8 Q Have you found it to be reliable? A So within the consideration of oil transport 9 9 A Yes, I have found it to be reliable. overland, a facility within one-half mile of a 10 10 navigable water must complete the planning distance D-Q If you look at the bottom of CX-1 -- maybe 11 it's cut off in your view, but -- in your binder, if 11 3 calculation. If a facility is greater than one-half 12 you can't see it, there's a 300-foot notation, 12 mile, the nearest opportunity for discharge is greater 13 13 calibration notation. Would you understand that to be than one-half mile, then the facility can consider 14 14 a reliable representation from Google Earth? overland transport characteristics, excluding the 15 A Typically, generally, yes. 15 secondary containment structures, consider factors 16 16 Q And it's stipulated in this matter and the such as man-made depressions, natural depressions, and 17 Court has found that the facility boundary is 17 so forth that may hinder the flow of portions of a 18 approximately 200 feet from the Sacramento River Deep 18 worst-case discharge reaching a navigable water. If a 19 19 Water Ship Channel. So given that, I'd like to facility is located within that half mile demarcation 20 discuss CX-1 with you with these concepts of D-1, D-2, 20 from the navigable water, they automatically must 21 21 and D-3. So given the proximity of the facility complete the planning distance D-3 calculation using 22 looking at CX-1 and understanding that that's within 22 the formulas in Attachment C-3 in Appendix C in the 23 200 feet of the Sacramento River Deep Water Ship 23 regulation. 2.4 Channel -- it's the tongue twister of the day -- can 24 Q So D-3 then becomes really determinative --25 you state what you understand to be the Sacramento 25 A Yes. Page 66 Page 68 Q -- if -- D-4 in that context -- you said it 1 River Deep Water Ship Channel in illustration CX-1? 1 2 2 A The water body that's depicted in lower would be assumed. Is that true for D-1 and 2 also if 3 right-hand corner is the ship channel. 3 you were to calculate the distance to storm drains? 4 4 Q Right. It's the sort of uneven but Is the half mile relevant there? 5 5 generally straight parallel line running from the A Yes, indeed. 6 bottom middle to about a third high on the right. 6 O How so? 7 That area is the water? 7 A So in cases where a facility's located 8 A That's correct. 8 within -- greater than that half mile from a navigable 9 9 Q Okay. So how do these concepts of D-1, D-2, water and the distance from, say, X-1, and the D-1 10 and D-3 in the Facility Response Planning 10 distance is within a half mile to that storm inlet, 11 11 applicability regulations -- how do they, in your then they would also be required to calculate D-3. 12 experience, apply to a facility with this proximity 12 We're assuming that it's essentially an almost 13 to, for example, the Sacramento River Deep Water Ship 13 negligible time but its overland flow to the storm 14 14 Channel? drain or from concrete -- open concrete channel that 15 A Sure. Within Attachment C-3 in Appendix C, 15 would also flow to the navigable water in seeking an 16 there are four sets of calculations that an owner or 16 exit (phonetic), but essentially a negligible amount 17 operator would look at. And in addition, they would 17 of time for that oil to travel under adverse weather 18 also consider overland transport of oil. In this 18 conditions. 19 case, you'd be looking at the travel time of -- to 19 Q I believe you used the phrase "we are

20

21

22

23

24

25

assumption?

20

21

22

23

24

25

travel that planning distance D-3 along the Sacramento

evaluation of oil reaching the navigable water -- in

this case, the Sacramento Ship Channel -- you'd be

looking at both oil reaching a storm drain on site --

I understand there are storm drains at the facility --

Ship Channel, but in order for oil -- in the

assuming." Do you mean the regulations make that

Q So understanding the navigable water at

issue, whether you're considering CX-53 or looking

directly at CX-1, navigable water is the Sacramento

A Yes. The FRP regulation, yes.

Page 71 Page 69 1 River Deep Water Ship Channel. And you heard Mr. 1 required to calculate the planning distance for 2 Meer's testimony about how the Sacramento River Deep 2 applicability purposes, but you certainly would need 3 3 Water Ship Channel is treated in the Area Contingency that for plan development. 4 4 Plan. How would you go about calculating that MR. HELMLINGER: Your Honor, I'd move that 5 5 distance, D-3? Mr. Swackhammer be accepted as an expert on the point 6 A You would use the formula for moving 6 of EPA's interpretation of the FRP applicability 7 7 navigable water in Attachment C-3. 8 8 Q So if the Sacramento River Deep Water Ship JUDGE BIRO: An expert on EPA's --9 Channel is the navigable water and the fish and 9 MR. HELMLINGER: Interpretation of the FRP applicability standards. 10 wildlife and sensitive environment, what sort of 10 11 distance would you anticipate for D-3, in your 11 JUDGE BIRO: Mr. McNeil? 12 experience? 12 MR. McNEIL: Yes, Your Honor. I would 13 13 A Typically -respectfully object to that proposed expert 14 14 designation. I think, first and foremost, because I MR. McNEIL: Objection. Lack of foundation. 15 JUDGE BIRO: Overruled. 15 -- if I understand the testimony and the proposed 16 16 Go ahead. designation, that's a matter of regulatory 17 THE WITNESS: Typically, the calculation 17 interpretation from our view, and not an area as to 18 would involve the average surface velocity. We're 18 which an expert would -- I believe that's the province 19 19 assuming that oil would travel on the top of the of the Court. So this -- that's the first and most 20 water. And times, say default time T from Table 3 in 20 importantly. 21 21 the regulation, times a coefficient. So in my The -- secondarily, I don't think that EPA's 22 experience, a typical planning distance -- if you ask 22 opinion on interpretation is relevant. There's a 23 23 me that question, a typical planning distance is relatively complicated regulatory scheme in place that 2.4 24 around 20 miles. demarcates what EPA's ability to regulate under the 25 BY MR. HELMLINGER: 25 FRP program is. This witness has testified to that, Page 70 Page 72 Q So let's just use this as a hypothetical, 20 1 1 to some degree, but it -- it's a matter of regulatory 2 2 miles as a planning distance. If that fish and and statutory interpretation, not expert testimony. 3 wildlife and sensitive environment is within that 3 Thank you. 4 4 typical planning distance, what impact does that have MR. HELMLINGER: May I respond, Your Honor? 5 5 JUDGE BIRO: Sure. on determining applicability of the FRP regulations? 6 A That would render the facility subject to 6 MR. HELMLINGER: The purpose of an expert, 7 7 obviously, is not to be correct every time. It is the FRP rule. 8 8 Q Once you've determined a facility is subject certainly your province to determine whether the FRP 9 9 to the FRP rule, would you still go ahead and requirements apply here. That's the basis of our 10 calculate the full extent of D-3 even if the fish and 10 Count 5. But I -- it's really hard to imagine anybody 11 wildlife and sensitive habitat -- sensitive 11 with more experience reading, reviewing, and providing 12 environment is closer than the ultimate distance? 12 guidance on these regulations in a manner that would 13 A Yes, you typically would use the planning 13 be helpful to the Court, and that's all we're looking 14 distance for applicability evaluations, and then you 14 to do, is to give the Court a more helpful 15 re-use that planning distance for the planning 15 understanding. 16 development. It's an important component of what's 16 JUDGE BIRO: Well, in our proceedings, we 17 called the vulnerability analysis that's part of the 17 don't give any deference to EPA's own interpretation, 18 plan development. 18 because --19 Q So generally stated, is there any situation 19 MR. HELMLINGER: Absolutely not. 20 where you're developing an FRP plan that you don't 20 JUDGE BIRO: -- we're not --21 calculate D-3? 21 MR. HELMLINGER: We're not asking for that.

22

23

24

25

22

23

24

25

A I can't see that, unless they were subject

they've had a spill within the last five years of

10,000 gallons or more. And you're necessarily

to the rule based on lack of secondary containment or

JUDGE BIRO: -- the federal court and

JUDGE BIRO: But I'll let him give me his

MR. HELMLINGER: Right. Sure.

Chevron doesn't apply in that regard.

| | Page 73 | | Page 75 |
|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | opinion as to what he believes is how they're | 1 | an opinion what it is? |
| 2 | applicable | 2 | A It's the Compliance Assistance Guide to help |
| 3 | MR. HELMLINGER: Sure. That's all we're | 3 | owner or operators determine whether they're subject |
| 4 | asking for, Your Honor. | 4 | to the FRP rules, the Facility Response Plan guidance. |
| 5 | JUDGE BIRO: based on his experience and | 5 | Q And have you had any involvement to become |
| 6 | training. So, overruled. | 6 | familiar with this document in a meaningful way? |
| 7 | BY MR. HELMLINGER: | 7 | A Yes. |
| 8 | Q So, Mr. Swackhammer, given the distance of | 8 | Q And what is that opportunity? |
| 9 | the VSS facility to the Sacramento River Deep Water | 9 | A I didn't write the document, but I am |
| 10 | Ship Channel and other things you've heard and | 10 | familiar with the document. |
| 11 | testified to today, do you believe the FRP regulations | 11 | Q Do you use this guidance in any of your |
| 12 | apply to the VSS facility? | 12 | trainings for the within or without EPA? |
| 13 | A Yes, I do. | 13 | A Yes, we refer to it, and it is on our |
| 14 | Q I'm going to turn to CX-34, if I may. Could | 14 | public-facing website. |
| 15 | you take a moment and familiarize yourself with it? | 15 | Q Do you accept this as an accurate copy of |
| 16 | And then explain for the record, if you have an | 16 | the guidance? |
| 17 | opinion, what it is. | 17 | A Yes. |
| 18 | A It's the SPCC guidance for regional | 18 | MR. HELMLINGER: Move to admit RX-87 into |
| 19 | inspectors dated December 16, 2013. | 19 | the record, if I may. |
| 20 | Q How are you familiar with this guidance? | 20 | JUDGE BIRO: Mr. McNeil? |
| 21 | A I helped author the first edition in 2005, | 21 | MR. McNEIL: No objection, Your Honor. |
| 22 | and then the revised edition here in 2013. | 22 | JUDGE BIRO: RX-87 is admitted into the |
| 23 | Q Do you recognize this to be an accurate | 23 | record. |
| 24 | version of the 2013 SPCC guidance? | 24 | // |
| 25 | A Yes, it would appear so. | 25 | // |
| | 11 185, to women appear so | | |
| | Page 74 | | Page 76 |
| 1 | MR. HELMLINGER: I'd move to admit CX-34 | 1 | (The document referred to, |
| 2 | into the record. | 2 | previously identified as |
| 3 | JUDGE BIRO: Is there any objection, Mr. | 3 | Respondent's Exhibit No. 87, |
| 4 | McNeil? | 4 | was received in evidence.) |
| | | | · |
| 5 | MR. McNEIL: No objection, Your Honor. | 5 | BY MR. HELMLINGER: |
| 5 6 | MR. McNEIL: No objection, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. CX-34 is admitted into | 5 6 | BY MR. HELMLINGER: Q Thank you, Mr. Swackhammer. That's all with |
| | • | | |
| 6 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. CX-34 is admitted into | 6 | Q Thank you, Mr. Swackhammer. That's all with |
| 6 7 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. CX-34 is admitted into the record. | 6 7 | Q Thank you, Mr. Swackhammer. That's all with that document. |
| 6 7 8 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. CX-34 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 34, | 6 7 8 | Q Thank you, Mr. Swackhammer. That's all with that document. A Okay. Q The SPCC regulations that you testified that you work with require amendments when there is a |
| 6 7 8 9 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. CX-34 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 34, was received in evidence.) | 6 7 8 9 10 11 | Q Thank you, Mr. Swackhammer. That's all with that document. A Okay. Q The SPCC regulations that you testified that you work with require amendments when there is a change in design, construction, operation, or |
| 6 7 8 9 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. CX-34 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 34, was received in evidence.) BY MR. HELMLINGER: | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | Q Thank you, Mr. Swackhammer. That's all with that document. A Okay. Q The SPCC regulations that you testified that you work with require amendments when there is a change in design, construction, operation, or maintenance that may have a, and I'll quote, "material |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. CX-34 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 34, was received in evidence.) BY MR. HELMLINGER: Q If I could have you turn now RX-87 so | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Q Thank you, Mr. Swackhammer. That's all with that document. A Okay. Q The SPCC regulations that you testified that you work with require amendments when there is a change in design, construction, operation, or |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. CX-34 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 34, was received in evidence.) BY MR. HELMLINGER: Q If I could have you turn now RX-87 so it's a different binder. Respondent's Exhibit 87. | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Q Thank you, Mr. Swackhammer. That's all with that document. A Okay. Q The SPCC regulations that you testified that you work with require amendments when there is a change in design, construction, operation, or maintenance that may have a, and I'll quote, "material effect on the potential for a discharge." Do you understand that to be true? |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. CX-34 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 34, was received in evidence.) BY MR. HELMLINGER: Q If I could have you turn now RX-87 so it's a different binder. Respondent's Exhibit 87. A Eighty-seven, is | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Q Thank you, Mr. Swackhammer. That's all with that document. A Okay. Q The SPCC regulations that you testified that you work with require amendments when there is a change in design, construction, operation, or maintenance that may have a, and I'll quote, "material effect on the potential for a discharge." Do you understand that to be true? A Yes. |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. CX-34 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 34, was received in evidence.) BY MR. HELMLINGER: Q If I could have you turn now RX-87 so it's a different binder. Respondent's Exhibit 87. A Eighty-seven, is Q Eighty-seven. | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Q Thank you, Mr. Swackhammer. That's all with that document. A Okay. Q The SPCC regulations that you testified that you work with require amendments when there is a change in design, construction, operation, or maintenance that may have a, and I'll quote, "material effect on the potential for a discharge." Do you understand that to be true? A Yes. Q Could you describe in your experience what a |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. CX-34 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 34, was received in evidence.) BY MR. HELMLINGER: Q If I could have you turn now RX-87 so it's a different binder. Respondent's Exhibit 87. A Eighty-seven, is Q Eighty-seven. A Okay. | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Q Thank you, Mr. Swackhammer. That's all with that document. A Okay. Q The SPCC regulations that you testified that you work with require amendments when there is a change in design, construction, operation, or maintenance that may have a, and I'll quote, "material effect on the potential for a discharge." Do you understand that to be true? A Yes. Q Could you describe in your experience what a material effect like what are those circumstances |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. CX-34 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 34, was received in evidence.) BY MR. HELMLINGER: Q If I could have you turn now RX-87 so it's a different binder. Respondent's Exhibit 87. A Eighty-seven, is Q Eighty-seven. | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Q Thank you, Mr. Swackhammer. That's all with that document. A Okay. Q The SPCC regulations that you testified that you work with require amendments when there is a change in design, construction, operation, or maintenance that may have a, and I'll quote, "material effect on the potential for a discharge." Do you understand that to be true? A Yes. Q Could you describe in your experience what a material effect like what are those circumstances that might cause that material effect? |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. CX-34 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 34, was received in evidence.) BY MR. HELMLINGER: Q If I could have you turn now RX-87 so it's a different binder. Respondent's Exhibit 87. A Eighty-seven, is Q Eighty-seven. A Okay. Q I think it A Okay. | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Q Thank you, Mr. Swackhammer. That's all with that document. A Okay. Q The SPCC regulations that you testified that you work with require amendments when there is a change in design, construction, operation, or maintenance that may have a, and I'll quote, "material effect on the potential for a discharge." Do you understand that to be true? A Yes. Q Could you describe in your experience what a material effect like what are those circumstances that might cause that material effect? A When a facility, you know, adds a tank or |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. CX-34 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 34, was received in evidence.) BY MR. HELMLINGER: Q If I could have you turn now RX-87 so it's a different binder. Respondent's Exhibit 87. A Eighty-seven, is Q Eighty-seven. A Okay. Q I think it | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Q Thank you, Mr. Swackhammer. That's all with that document. A Okay. Q The SPCC regulations that you testified that you work with require amendments when there is a change in design, construction, operation, or maintenance that may have a, and I'll quote, "material effect on the potential for a discharge." Do you understand that to be true? A Yes. Q Could you describe in your experience what a material effect like what are those circumstances that might cause that material effect? A When a facility, you know, adds a tank or deletes tanks from the facility, they're required to |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. CX-34 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 34, was received in evidence.) BY MR. HELMLINGER: Q If I could have you turn now RX-87 so it's a different binder. Respondent's Exhibit 87. A Eighty-seven, is Q Eighty-seven. A Okay. Q I think it A Okay. Q Do you have that in front of you? A Yes, I do. | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Q Thank you, Mr. Swackhammer. That's all with that document. A Okay. Q The SPCC regulations that you testified that you work with require amendments when there is a change in design, construction, operation, or maintenance that may have a, and I'll quote, "material effect on the potential for a discharge." Do you understand that to be true? A Yes. Q Could you describe in your experience what a material effect like what are those circumstances that might cause that material effect? A When a facility, you know, adds a tank or |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. CX-34 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 34, was received in evidence.) BY MR. HELMLINGER: Q If I could have you turn now RX-87 so it's a different binder. Respondent's Exhibit 87. A Eighty-seven, is Q Eighty-seven. A Okay. Q I think it A Okay. Q Do you have that in front of you? A Yes, I do. Q Can you take a moment and familiarize | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Q Thank you, Mr. Swackhammer. That's all with that document. A Okay. Q The SPCC regulations that you testified that you work with require amendments when there is a change in design, construction, operation, or maintenance that may have a, and I'll quote, "material effect on the potential for a discharge." Do you understand that to be true? A Yes. Q Could you describe in your experience what a material effect like what are those circumstances that might cause that material effect? A When a facility, you know, adds a tank or deletes tanks from the facility, they're required to amend their plan. Q Might the size of the tank matter in that |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. CX-34 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 34, was received in evidence.) BY MR. HELMLINGER: Q If I could have you turn now RX-87 so it's a different binder. Respondent's Exhibit 87. A Eighty-seven, is Q Eighty-seven. A Okay. Q I think it A Okay. Q Do you have that in front of you? A Yes, I do. | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Q Thank you, Mr. Swackhammer. That's all with that document. A Okay. Q The SPCC regulations that you testified that you work with require amendments when there is a change in design, construction, operation, or maintenance that may have a, and I'll quote, "material effect on the potential for a discharge." Do you understand that to be true? A Yes. Q Could you describe in your experience what a material effect like what are those circumstances that might cause that material effect? A When a facility, you know, adds a tank or deletes tanks from the facility, they're required to amend their plan. Q Might the size of the tank matter in that regard? |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. CX-34 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 34, was received in evidence.) BY MR. HELMLINGER: Q If I could have you turn now RX-87 so it's a different binder. Respondent's Exhibit 87. A Eighty-seven, is Q Eighty-seven. A Okay. Q I think it A Okay. Q Do you have that in front of you? A Yes, I do. Q Can you take a moment and familiarize yourself with that? A Okay. | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Q Thank you, Mr. Swackhammer. That's all with that document. A Okay. Q The SPCC regulations that you testified that you work with require amendments when there is a change in design, construction, operation, or maintenance that may have a, and I'll quote, "material effect on the potential for a discharge." Do you understand that to be true? A Yes. Q Could you describe in your experience what a material effect like what are those circumstances that might cause that material effect? A When a facility, you know, adds a tank or deletes tanks from the facility, they're required to amend their plan. Q Might the size of the tank matter in that regard? A Certainly. |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. CX-34 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 34, was received in evidence.) BY MR. HELMLINGER: Q If I could have you turn now RX-87 so it's a different binder. Respondent's Exhibit 87. A Eighty-seven, is Q Eighty-seven. A Okay. Q I think it A Okay. Q Do you have that in front of you? A Yes, I do. Q Can you take a moment and familiarize yourself with that? | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Q Thank you, Mr. Swackhammer. That's all with that document. A Okay. Q The SPCC regulations that you testified that you work with require amendments when there is a change in design, construction, operation, or maintenance that may have a, and I'll quote, "material effect on the potential for a discharge." Do you understand that to be true? A Yes. Q Could you describe in your experience what a material effect like what are those circumstances that might cause that material effect? A When a facility, you know, adds a tank or deletes tanks from the facility, they're required to amend their plan. Q Might the size of the tank matter in that regard? |

Page 79 Page 77 if we substitute your word "oil" there for "asphaltic 1 that have a material impact, in your experience? 1 2 A That tank would not be subject to the rule. 2 cement?" 3 Our threshold is 50,000 -- 50 gallons or more. 3 A No. 4 4 Q Okay. So a 55-gallon tank, if that were Q You testified that you are experienced with 5 5 API and STI standards, is that correct? Did I have added, would that be a material --6 A Right, 55 gallons, sorry. 6 that right? 7 7 Q So do you have experience considering A That's correct. 8 whether a two million gallon tank of asphaltic cement 8 Could you explain those experiences with API 9 may cause a material effect --9 standards, how it might be relevant to the VSS A Yes. 10 10 facility? 11 Q -- on the potential for discharge? And 11 A In the development of Chapter 7 in the SPCC 12 could you describe any of those experiences? 12 guidance, we ve helped author that chapter on tank 13 13 A There are situations where if they add a integrity testing, which would include an API 653 14 14 tank, they may then become subject to the FRP rule in inspection standard as well as Steel Tank Institute's 15 15 addition to a material change to the -- you know, to SP001 inspection standard. I also participated in a 16 the facility's configuration. 16 consensus standards committee set up by Steel Tank 17 17 Institute for the development of the revisions to the Q I'm looking for some help on your 18 understanding of the word "material." Is it material 18 SP001 tank inspection standard. 19 19 -- can you describe the impact on the costs, or Q Could I have you turn to CX-25? Take a 20 20 response, or anything that occurs to you, from your moment and familiarize yourself with this document 21 21 experience, as relevant to helping us understand and, if you can, explain to us what it is. 22 what's a material change? 22 A Okay. It's a API 653 tank inspection, 23 23 A Sure. A material change as described this repair, alteration, and reconstruction standard dated 24 morning is, you know, the addition of an over two-24 September 2003. 25 25 million-gallon tank. That would be a material change Q How is -- how would this standard be Page 78 Page 80 1 to the facility's configuration that would trigger, 1 relevant to the VSS facility, in your experience? 2 2 you know, an amendment to the SPCC plan and also would A This would typically be used for the 3 be considered -- you know, determination of whether, 3 inspection of field-erected tanks, which would be 4 4 you know, the containment -- secondary containment's those two large tanks in the secondary containment 5 5 structure in that exhibit -adequate now with the addition of the tank, with --6 particularly if it's co-located in the same secondary 6 Q That exhibit meaning CX-1. 7 7 containment structure, would also then trigger A CX-1, yes. Those are typically field-8 8 additional tank inspection requirements. erected tanks, in my experience, and thus can be 9 inspected via the API 653 inspection standard, both in 9 Q How might it affect the potential for a 10 response, for example, burden on response resources? 10 terms of a monthly inspection by owner and operator, 11 11 A That would -- in terms of triggering the FRP as well as formal inspection procedures, external 12 12 inspection as well as the internal inspection rule, would that capacity -- would -- if they're 13 13 subject to the FRP rule, that would trigger an procedures outlined in API 653. 14 14 evaluation of the appropriate response resources under Q If I could have you turn to the third page Appendix D of the -- of the FRP regulation. 15 of CX-25 --15 16 A Are you referring to the table of contents? 16 Q How might it affect local governmental 17 Q I think mine printed funny. I meant the --17 response resources, the addition to a two-million-

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q

A Yes, I do.

that?

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

gallon tank?

A It could -- particularly if a facility has

-- unfortunately suffers a fire incident, and then

they would have to determine the local firefighting

resources and the -- and also coordination with the

have quite a bit more oil stored at the facility.

local emergency planning committee in terms of now you

Q Does your experience change in that regard

maybe the cover sheet. If you go from the -- there's

labeled CX-2 of 114, and then there's page 3 of 114 --

-- that really is a cover page. Do you see

that first fully in-color, if you have it that way,

certification, you're going to have a blank page

| | Page 81 | | Page 83 |
|--|--|--|---|
| 1 | Q All right. | 1 | storage tanks dated September of 2011, fifth edition. |
| 2 | MR. HELMLINGER: Your Honor, am I do you | 2 | Q And what experiences do you have with this |
| 3 | have the same page as us? | 3 | document? |
| 4 | JUDGE BIRO: Yes, I do. | 4 | A I was part of the consensus committee in the |
| 5 | BY MR. HELMLINGER: | 5 | development of this document. |
| 6 | Q Do you see a date on this document? | 6 | Q And how would this document be used relative |
| 7 | A Yes, Addendum 1, September 2003. | 7 | to the VSS facility? |
| 8 | Q Do you know this standard to be the one that | 8 | A Typically be used to form the basis for |
| 9 | had that would have been relevant for API's | 9 | inspection and testing of shop-built tanks at the |
| 10 | inspection in 2012? | 10 | facility. |
| 11 | A Yes, it can be. There also was an addition | 11 | MR. HELMLINGER: Move to admit CX-26. |
| 12 | in 2012 as well. | 12 | MR. McNEIL: No objection, Your Honor. |
| 13 | MR. HELMLINGER: Move to admit CX-25. | 13 | JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 26 is |
| 14 | MR. McNEIL: No objection, Your Honor. | 14 | admitted into the record. |
| 15 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay, CX-25 is admitted into | 15 | (The document referred to, |
| 16 | the record. | 16 | previously identified as |
| 17 | (The document referred to, | 17 | Complainant's Exhibit No. 26, |
| 18 | previously identified as | 18 | was received in evidence.) |
| 19 | Complainant's Exhibit No. 25, | 19 | BY MR. HELMLINGER: |
| 20 | was received in evidence.) | 20 | Q CX-25, the API tank inspection standards |
| 21 | BY MR. HELMLINGER: | 21 | that we looked at briefly do you understand the |
| 22 | Q If you can turn to CX-26. Take a moment and | 22 | concept of an API certification? |
| 23 | familiarize yourself with CX-26 and, if you can, state | 23 | A Yes, for the formal inspection procedures |
| 24 | your thoughts on what this document is. | 24 | under the 653. |
| 25 | A Appears to be a delegation of authority for | 25 | Q Good. And could you describe generally your |
| | Davis 00 | | Davis 04 |
| 1 | Page 82 | 1 | Page 84 |
| 1 | Region 9. | 1 | understanding of what that API certification |
| 2 | Q Maybe we have a different 26. Can you go a | 2 | authorizes? |
| 3 | tab right? Is the binder off? | 3 | A It authorizes the personnel to perform the |
| 4 5 | JUDGE BIRO: You're looking at 27. | 4 | requisite inspections that are outlined in 653, the |
| | MR. HELMLINGER: May I approach, Your Honor? | 5 | formal external and the formal internal inspections. |
| 6 | JUDGE BIRO: Yes, you may. | 6 | Q How does the standard regard inspections |
| 7 | (Pause.) | 7 | when there is no API-certified inspector? |
| 8 | JUDGE BIRO: Mr. Swackhammer, here, have my | 8 | A Those inspections would not be valid for the |
| 9 | copy. | 9 | formal inspection procedures. |
| 6.1.1 | MR. HELMLINGER: Oh, I have a copy here. I | 10 | Q In your experience, what are appropriate |
| 10 | | 1.1 | in an action must a self i1-t- 1 / 10 |
| 11 | can | 11 | inspection protocol for an insulated tank? |
| 11 12 | JUDGE BIRO: Oh, okay. | 12 | A Typically, that for an external |
| 11 12 13 | JUDGE BIRO: Oh, okay. MR. HELMLINGER: We can trade back. | 12 13 | A Typically, that for an external inspection, that would be removal of a piece of |
| 11 12 13 14 | JUDGE BIRO: Oh, okay. MR. HELMLINGER: We can trade back. JUDGE BIRO: Yeah. | 12 13 14 | A Typically, that for an external inspection, that would be removal of a piece of portions of the insulation to determine the primary |
| 11 12 13 14 15 | JUDGE BIRO: Oh, okay. MR. HELMLINGER: We can trade back. JUDGE BIRO: Yeah. MR. HELMLINGER: It looks like the witness's | 12 13 14 15 | A Typically, that for an external inspection, that would be removal of a piece of portions of the insulation to determine the primary wall thickness, and then that would be part of the |
| 11 12 13 14 15 | JUDGE BIRO: Oh, okay. MR. HELMLINGER: We can trade back. JUDGE BIRO: Yeah. MR. HELMLINGER: It looks like the witness's binder had a skip on 26. | 12 13 14 15 16 | A Typically, that for an external inspection, that would be removal of a piece of portions of the insulation to determine the primary wall thickness, and then that would be part of the external inspection, as well as looking at the valves |
| 11 12 13 14 15 16 | JUDGE BIRO: Oh, okay. MR. HELMLINGER: We can trade back. JUDGE BIRO: Yeah. MR. HELMLINGER: It looks like the witness's binder had a skip on 26. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. | 12 13 14 15 16 17 | A Typically, that for an external inspection, that would be removal of a piece of portions of the insulation to determine the primary wall thickness, and then that would be part of the external inspection, as well as looking at the valves and piping associated with the tank and other aspects |
| 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | JUDGE BIRO: Oh, okay. MR. HELMLINGER: We can trade back. JUDGE BIRO: Yeah. MR. HELMLINGER: It looks like the witness's binder had a skip on 26. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. MR. HELMLINGER: Apologize for that. | 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A Typically, that for an external inspection, that would be removal of a piece of portions of the insulation to determine the primary wall thickness, and then that would be part of the external inspection, as well as looking at the valves and piping associated with the tank and other aspects the roof of the tank and so forth. So it's a |
| 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | JUDGE BIRO: Oh, okay. MR. HELMLINGER: We can trade back. JUDGE BIRO: Yeah. MR. HELMLINGER: It looks like the witness's binder had a skip on 26. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. MR. HELMLINGER: Apologize for that. Counsel, what do you have for 26? All | 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | A Typically, that for an external inspection, that would be removal of a piece of portions of the insulation to determine the primary wall thickness, and then that would be part of the external inspection, as well as looking at the valves and piping associated with the tank and other aspects the roof of the tank and so forth. So it's a comprehensive evaluation on the external. |
| 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | JUDGE BIRO: Oh, okay. MR. HELMLINGER: We can trade back. JUDGE BIRO: Yeah. MR. HELMLINGER: It looks like the witness's binder had a skip on 26. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. MR. HELMLINGER: Apologize for that. Counsel, what do you have for 26? All right, you have the same. Okay. | 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | A Typically, that for an external inspection, that would be removal of a piece of portions of the insulation to determine the primary wall thickness, and then that would be part of the external inspection, as well as looking at the valves and piping associated with the tank and other aspects the roof of the tank and so forth. So it's a comprehensive evaluation on the external. The internal would be taking the tank out of |
| 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | JUDGE BIRO: Oh, okay. MR. HELMLINGER: We can trade back. JUDGE BIRO: Yeah. MR. HELMLINGER: It looks like the witness's binder had a skip on 26. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. MR. HELMLINGER: Apologize for that. Counsel, what do you have for 26? All right, you have the same. Okay. BY MR. HELMLINGER: | 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | A Typically, that for an external inspection, that would be removal of a piece of portions of the insulation to determine the primary wall thickness, and then that would be part of the external inspection, as well as looking at the valves and piping associated with the tank and other aspects the roof of the tank and so forth. So it's a comprehensive evaluation on the external. The internal would be taking the tank out of service, cleaning it out, entering it, and then doing |
| 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | JUDGE BIRO: Oh, okay. MR. HELMLINGER: We can trade back. JUDGE BIRO: Yeah. MR. HELMLINGER: It looks like the witness's binder had a skip on 26. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. MR. HELMLINGER: Apologize for that. Counsel, what do you have for 26? All right, you have the same. Okay. BY MR. HELMLINGER: Q So would you familiarize yourself with this | 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A Typically, that for an external inspection, that would be removal of a piece of portions of the insulation to determine the primary wall thickness, and then that would be part of the external inspection, as well as looking at the valves and piping associated with the tank and other aspects the roof of the tank and so forth. So it's a comprehensive evaluation on the external. The internal would be taking the tank out of service, cleaning it out, entering it, and then doing a formal inspection of the interior of the of the |
| 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | JUDGE BIRO: Oh, okay. MR. HELMLINGER: We can trade back. JUDGE BIRO: Yeah. MR. HELMLINGER: It looks like the witness's binder had a skip on 26. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. MR. HELMLINGER: Apologize for that. Counsel, what do you have for 26? All right, you have the same. Okay. BY MR. HELMLINGER: Q So would you familiarize yourself with this document and tell us what you think it is? | 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | A Typically, that for an external inspection, that would be removal of a piece of portions of the insulation to determine the primary wall thickness, and then that would be part of the external inspection, as well as looking at the valves and piping associated with the tank and other aspects the roof of the tank and so forth. So it's a comprehensive evaluation on the external. The internal would be taking the tank out of service, cleaning it out, entering it, and then doing a formal inspection of the interior of the of the tank. |
| 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | JUDGE BIRO: Oh, okay. MR. HELMLINGER: We can trade back. JUDGE BIRO: Yeah. MR. HELMLINGER: It looks like the witness's binder had a skip on 26. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. MR. HELMLINGER: Apologize for that. Counsel, what do you have for 26? All right, you have the same. Okay. BY MR. HELMLINGER: Q So would you familiarize yourself with this | 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A Typically, that for an external inspection, that would be removal of a piece of portions of the insulation to determine the primary wall thickness, and then that would be part of the external inspection, as well as looking at the valves and piping associated with the tank and other aspects the roof of the tank and so forth. So it's a comprehensive evaluation on the external. The internal would be taking the tank out of service, cleaning it out, entering it, and then doing a formal inspection of the interior of the of the |

Page 87 Page 85 1 A No. it should not. 1 Q When you say burden, is that the cost of 2 Q I'd like to turn you to three documents we 2 compliance burden on the regulated community? 3 3 can pull up. CX-22 we'll start with, and then we'll A The burden takes the form of the burden 4 4 follow with 46 and 47. Well, fingers crossed we have estimate for determining whether you're subject to the 5 5 the same document. Does your document start with the FRP rule, and then once you're -- if you determine 6 caption "Renewal of Information Collection Request"? 6 you're subject, the burden associated with plan 7 7 development. A Yes, it does. 8 8 Q Thank you. And take a moment and review Q How do you determine these costs to go into 9 9 these documents of the burden? that document, familiarize yourself with it, and then, 10 10 A This -- the burden estimates is based on if you can, explain what that document is. 11 A So this is a supporting statement for the 11 best professional judgment, and from past -- I 12 renewal of the information collection request, or ICR, 12 inherited the work from my prior colleague who was the 13 for implementation of the FRP regulation under the Oil 13 FRP lead. And so I bear the mantle of carrying it 14 14 Pollution Act. forward and also for making sure that the burden 15 15 estimates are correct. Q What involvement have you had with this 16 16 document? Q Sure. Are these burden estimates based on 17 A I have been maintaining the ICR renewals, 17 specific facilities or averages? Could you explain 18 and so I authored this document. 18 sort of any composition of them? 19 19 Q What is the purpose of an ICR renewal? A Yes, we differentiate on types of 20 20 facilities, production facilities versus onshore A In order to require the owner or operators 21 21 of facilities to prepare and submit FRPs, you have to facilities, tunneling (phonetic) facilities, soybean, 22 have a valid OMB control number. 22 oilseed processors, asphalt cement facilities, and so 23 23 forth. So a variety of facilities are part of the Q OMB -- is that the Office of Management and 2.4 Budget? 24 review process. 25 A Correct. 25 Q Right. On a spectrum of conservative to not Page 86 Page 88 conservative, could you describe how you think these 1 Q Can you turn to CX-46? Do you have that in 1 2 2 front of you? burdens represent -- are represented to any specific 3 A Yes, I do. 3 facility across the country? 4 4 Q Can you take a moment and familiarize A As you might imagine, we're trying to 5 5 yourself with that document and explain, if you can, provide a national level estimate, so we don't get 6 6 what it is? into the detail on the complexity of the facilities, a 7 7 A It's also the supporting -- renewal refinery versus a facility of this size, similar to 8 supporting statement for the renewal of the ICR. 8 the VSS facility. They're national level estimates, 9 Q And if you turn to 47, take a moment, review 9 so we try to make them as -- you know, to be 10 that, and explain for us, if you can, what it is. 10 conservative, so they're representative. But 11 A It looks like a very -- a similar document. 11 certainly, a larger facility would have potentially I've got the supporting statement for the renewal of 12 12 more burden versus a smaller facility. 13 the ICR; ICR numbers 16 to 30.13. 13 MR. HELMLINGER: Move to admit CX-42 [sic] 14 Q Can you state your involvement with these 14 -- or, I'm sorry, 22, 46, and 47. 15 documents, 46 and 47? 15 MR. McNEIL: No objection, Your Honor. 16 A I authored the documents. 16 JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibits 22, 46, 17 Q And for what purpose? and 47 are admitted into the record. 17 18 A To support the renewal of the ICR with OMB. 18 (The documents referred to, 19 Q So what goes into preparing these documents, 19 previously identified as 20 46 and 47? 20 Complainant's Exhibits No. 21 A An update of the prior supporting statement 21 22, 46, and 47, were received 22 to get -- with current labor rates. Also, I reach out 22 in evidence.) 23 to -- up to nine plan orders to inform whether their 23 MR. HELMLINGER: Thank you. Your Honor, 10 24 burden estimates are correct or up to date within the 24 seconds, if I may. 25 ICR supporting statement. JUDGE BIRO: You may. 25

| | Page 89 | | Page 91 |
|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | MR. HELMLINGER: No further questions, Your | 1 | CROSS EXAMINATION |
| 2 | Honor. | 2 | BY MR. McNEIL: |
| 3 | JUDGE BIRO: Thank you. | 3 | Q Good morning, Mr. Swackhammer. |
| 4 | Any cross? | 4 | A Good morning. |
| 5 | MR. McNEIL: May I have just a moment? | 5 | Q I just have a few questions following up on |
| 6 | JUDGE BIRO: Sure, of course. Would you | 6 | Mr. Helmlinger's questions that he asked you. If I |
| 7 | would you like to take a 10-minute break? | 7 | heard correctly, did you state that you authored a |
| 8 | MR. McNEIL: That would that would be | 8 | portion of the SPCC regulations? |
| 9 | ideal. | 9 | A Of the amendments the 2008 and 2009 |
| 10 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay, we'll stand in recess for | 10 | amendments, that's correct. |
| 11 | 10 minutes. | 11 | Q Okay. I wanted to ask you, if you recall, |
| 12 | Mr. Swackhammer, please don't discuss your | 12 | what portions you authored. |
| 13 | testimony with anybody while we're on break. | 13 | A The pesticide equipment exemption, container |
| 14 | THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. | 14 | exemption, and the tanks associated with the nuclear |
| 15 | JUDGE BIRO: Even with counsel. Okay. | 15 | facilities exemption, and in general participated in |
| 16 | (Whereupon, at 10:48 a.m., the hearing in | 16 | development of the regulatory impact analysis |
| 17 | the above-entitled matter adjourned, to reconvene at | 17 | alongside our economist, and in general just, you |
| 18 | 11:02 a.m. the same day, May 16, 2019.) | 18 | know, provided technical assistance as an engineer in |
| 19 | JUDGE BIRO: Please be seated. We'll wait | 19 | the group. |
| 20 | on Mr. McNeil. We'll give him another five minutes, | 20 | Q All right, thank you. And then if I |
| 21 | and then we'll assume he's conceding and we'll move | 21 | understood, you have reviewed aerial or satellite |
| 22 | on. | 22 | photographs of the facility but have not actually been |
| 23 | MR. HELMLINGER: I think they left their | 23 | to the facility. Is that correct? |
| 24 | computers behind. They'll be back. | 24 | A That's correct. |
| 25 | JUDGE BIRO: You're just that intimidating, | 25 | Q Okay. And you mentioned earlier in one of |
| | | | |
| | Page 90 | | Page 92 |
| | | | |
| 1 | Mr. Helmlinger. | 1 | the questions that Mr. Helmlinger posed to you a |
| 1 2 | MR. HELMLINGER: Right. Sorry your trip out | 1 2 | reference to excluding secondary containment. Do you |
| | | 2 | reference to excluding secondary containment. Do you recall that? |
| 2 3 4 | MR. HELMLINGER: Right. Sorry your trip out here is with the rain. It's not usually our rainy season now. | 2 3 4 | reference to excluding secondary containment. Do you recall that? A Yes, in the applicability evaluation, which |
| 2 3 4 5 | MR. HELMLINGER: Right. Sorry your trip out here is with the rain. It's not usually our rainy season now. JUDGE BIRO: I know. I'm sad, too. Cold | 2 3 4 5 | reference to excluding secondary containment. Do you recall that? A Yes, in the applicability evaluation, which is required both for SPCC applicability as well as for |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | MR. HELMLINGER: Right. Sorry your trip out here is with the rain. It's not usually our rainy season now. JUDGE BIRO: I know. I'm sad, too. Cold and rainy | 2 3 4 5 6 | reference to excluding secondary containment. Do you recall that? A Yes, in the applicability evaluation, which is required both for SPCC applicability as well as for FRP applicability determinations. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | MR. HELMLINGER: Right. Sorry your trip out here is with the rain. It's not usually our rainy season now. JUDGE BIRO: I know. I'm sad, too. Cold and rainy MR. HELMLINGER: Right. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | reference to excluding secondary containment. Do you recall that? A Yes, in the applicability evaluation, which is required both for SPCC applicability as well as for FRP applicability determinations. Q Right, and could you could you please |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | MR. HELMLINGER: Right. Sorry your trip out here is with the rain. It's not usually our rainy season now. JUDGE BIRO: I know. I'm sad, too. Cold and rainy MR. HELMLINGER: Right. JUDGE BIRO: just seems very unfair. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | reference to excluding secondary containment. Do you recall that? A Yes, in the applicability evaluation, which is required both for SPCC applicability as well as for FRP applicability determinations. Q Right, and could you could you please explain for me what you were referring to here, if you |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | MR. HELMLINGER: Right. Sorry your trip out here is with the rain. It's not usually our rainy season now. JUDGE BIRO: I know. I'm sad, too. Cold and rainy MR. HELMLINGER: Right. JUDGE BIRO: just seems very unfair. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | reference to excluding secondary containment. Do you recall that? A Yes, in the applicability evaluation, which is required both for SPCC applicability as well as for FRP applicability determinations. Q Right, and could you could you please explain for me what you were referring to here, if you recall that question? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | MR. HELMLINGER: Right. Sorry your trip out here is with the rain. It's not usually our rainy season now. JUDGE BIRO: I know. I'm sad, too. Cold and rainy MR. HELMLINGER: Right. JUDGE BIRO: just seems very unfair. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah, when it's sunny | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | reference to excluding secondary containment. Do you recall that? A Yes, in the applicability evaluation, which is required both for SPCC applicability as well as for FRP applicability determinations. Q Right, and could you could you please explain for me what you were referring to here, if you recall that question? A Sure. So if you're a facility, and you |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | MR. HELMLINGER: Right. Sorry your trip out here is with the rain. It's not usually our rainy season now. JUDGE BIRO: I know. I'm sad, too. Cold and rainy MR. HELMLINGER: Right. JUDGE BIRO: just seems very unfair. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah, when it's sunny back in D.C., yeah. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | reference to excluding secondary containment. Do you recall that? A Yes, in the applicability evaluation, which is required both for SPCC applicability as well as for FRP applicability determinations. Q Right, and could you could you please explain for me what you were referring to here, if you recall that question? A Sure. So if you're a facility, and you store oil, as Mr. Meer mentioned, over 1320 gallons, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | MR. HELMLINGER: Right. Sorry your trip out here is with the rain. It's not usually our rainy season now. JUDGE BIRO: I know. I'm sad, too. Cold and rainy MR. HELMLINGER: Right. JUDGE BIRO: just seems very unfair. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah, when it's sunny back in D.C., yeah. MR. HELMLINGER: We usually come from the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | reference to excluding secondary containment. Do you recall that? A Yes, in the applicability evaluation, which is required both for SPCC applicability as well as for FRP applicability determinations. Q Right, and could you could you please explain for me what you were referring to here, if you recall that question? A Sure. So if you're a facility, and you store oil, as Mr. Meer mentioned, over 1320 gallons, starting counting at 55 gallons, and you have a |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | MR. HELMLINGER: Right. Sorry your trip out here is with the rain. It's not usually our rainy season now. JUDGE BIRO: I know. I'm sad, too. Cold and rainy MR. HELMLINGER: Right. JUDGE BIRO: just seems very unfair. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah, when it's sunny back in D.C., yeah. MR. HELMLINGER: We usually come from the East Coast to get away from all that. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | reference to excluding secondary containment. Do you recall that? A Yes, in the applicability evaluation, which is required both for SPCC applicability as well as for FRP applicability determinations. Q Right, and could you could you please explain for me what you were referring to here, if you recall that question? A Sure. So if you're a facility, and you store oil, as Mr. Meer mentioned, over 1320 gallons, starting counting at 55 gallons, and you have a reasonable expectation of a discharge to a navigable |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | MR. HELMLINGER: Right. Sorry your trip out here is with the rain. It's not usually our rainy season now. JUDGE BIRO: I know. I'm sad, too. Cold and rainy MR. HELMLINGER: Right. JUDGE BIRO: just seems very unfair. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah, when it's sunny back in D.C., yeah. MR. HELMLINGER: We usually come from the East Coast to get away from all that. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Right, right. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | reference to excluding secondary containment. Do you recall that? A Yes, in the applicability evaluation, which is required both for SPCC applicability as well as for FRP applicability determinations. Q Right, and could you could you please explain for me what you were referring to here, if you recall that question? A Sure. So if you're a facility, and you store oil, as Mr. Meer mentioned, over 1320 gallons, starting counting at 55 gallons, and you have a reasonable expectation of a discharge to a navigable water, you're then subject to the SPCC rule. And in |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | MR. HELMLINGER: Right. Sorry your trip out here is with the rain. It's not usually our rainy season now. JUDGE BIRO: I know. I'm sad, too. Cold and rainy MR. HELMLINGER: Right. JUDGE BIRO: just seems very unfair. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah, when it's sunny back in D.C., yeah. MR. HELMLINGER: We usually come from the East Coast to get away from all that. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Right, right. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's very | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | reference to excluding secondary containment. Do you recall that? A Yes, in the applicability evaluation, which is required both for SPCC applicability as well as for FRP applicability determinations. Q Right, and could you could you please explain for me what you were referring to here, if you recall that question? A Sure. So if you're a facility, and you store oil, as Mr. Meer mentioned, over 1320 gallons, starting counting at 55 gallons, and you have a reasonable expectation of a discharge to a navigable water, you're then subject to the SPCC rule. And in that evaluation of reasonable expectation, you have to |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | MR. HELMLINGER: Right. Sorry your trip out here is with the rain. It's not usually our rainy season now. JUDGE BIRO: I know. I'm sad, too. Cold and rainy MR. HELMLINGER: Right. JUDGE BIRO: just seems very unfair. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah, when it's sunny back in D.C., yeah. MR. HELMLINGER: We usually come from the East Coast to get away from all that. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Right, right. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's very unseasonable, as they say. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | reference to excluding secondary containment. Do you recall that? A Yes, in the applicability evaluation, which is required both for SPCC applicability as well as for FRP applicability determinations. Q Right, and could you could you please explain for me what you were referring to here, if you recall that question? A Sure. So if you're a facility, and you store oil, as Mr. Meer mentioned, over 1320 gallons, starting counting at 55 gallons, and you have a reasonable expectation of a discharge to a navigable water, you're then subject to the SPCC rule. And in that evaluation of reasonable expectation, you have to exclude the presence of secondary containment. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | MR. HELMLINGER: Right. Sorry your trip out here is with the rain. It's not usually our rainy season now. JUDGE BIRO: I know. I'm sad, too. Cold and rainy MR. HELMLINGER: Right. JUDGE BIRO: just seems very unfair. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah, when it's sunny back in D.C., yeah. MR. HELMLINGER: We usually come from the East Coast to get away from all that. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Right, right. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's very unseasonable, as they say. MR. HELMLINGER: My daughter's on a week- | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | reference to excluding secondary containment. Do you recall that? A Yes, in the applicability evaluation, which is required both for SPCC applicability as well as for FRP applicability determinations. Q Right, and could you could you please explain for me what you were referring to here, if you recall that question? A Sure. So if you're a facility, and you store oil, as Mr. Meer mentioned, over 1320 gallons, starting counting at 55 gallons, and you have a reasonable expectation of a discharge to a navigable water, you're then subject to the SPCC rule. And in that evaluation of reasonable expectation, you have to exclude the presence of secondary containment. Q Okay. And does that also apply in the FRP |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | MR. HELMLINGER: Right. Sorry your trip out here is with the rain. It's not usually our rainy season now. JUDGE BIRO: I know. I'm sad, too. Cold and rainy MR. HELMLINGER: Right. JUDGE BIRO: just seems very unfair. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah, when it's sunny back in D.C., yeah. MR. HELMLINGER: We usually come from the East Coast to get away from all that. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Right, right. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's very unseasonable, as they say. MR. HELMLINGER: My daughter's on a weeklong school camp-out right now. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | reference to excluding secondary containment. Do you recall that? A Yes, in the applicability evaluation, which is required both for SPCC applicability as well as for FRP applicability determinations. Q Right, and could you could you please explain for me what you were referring to here, if you recall that question? A Sure. So if you're a facility, and you store oil, as Mr. Meer mentioned, over 1320 gallons, starting counting at 55 gallons, and you have a reasonable expectation of a discharge to a navigable water, you're then subject to the SPCC rule. And in that evaluation of reasonable expectation, you have to exclude the presence of secondary containment. Q Okay. And does that also apply in the FRP context? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | MR. HELMLINGER: Right. Sorry your trip out here is with the rain. It's not usually our rainy season now. JUDGE BIRO: I know. I'm sad, too. Cold and rainy MR. HELMLINGER: Right. JUDGE BIRO: just seems very unfair. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah, when it's sunny back in D.C., yeah. MR. HELMLINGER: We usually come from the East Coast to get away from all that. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Right, right. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's very unseasonable, as they say. MR. HELMLINGER: My daughter's on a weeklong school camp-out right now. (Pause.) | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | reference to excluding secondary containment. Do you recall that? A Yes, in the applicability evaluation, which is required both for SPCC applicability as well as for FRP applicability determinations. Q Right, and could you could you please explain for me what you were referring to here, if you recall that question? A Sure. So if you're a facility, and you store oil, as Mr. Meer mentioned, over 1320 gallons, starting counting at 55 gallons, and you have a reasonable expectation of a discharge to a navigable water, you're then subject to the SPCC rule. And in that evaluation of reasonable expectation, you have to exclude the presence of secondary containment. Q Okay. And does that also apply in the FRP context? A Yes, it does. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | MR. HELMLINGER: Right. Sorry your trip out here is with the rain. It's not usually our rainy season now. JUDGE BIRO: I know. I'm sad, too. Cold and rainy MR. HELMLINGER: Right. JUDGE BIRO: just seems very unfair. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah, when it's sunny back in D.C., yeah. MR. HELMLINGER: We usually come from the East Coast to get away from all that. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Right, right. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's very unseasonable, as they say. MR. HELMLINGER: My daughter's on a weeklong school camp-out right now. (Pause.) JUDGE BIRO: Do you not have watches? What | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | reference to excluding secondary containment. Do you recall that? A Yes, in the applicability evaluation, which is required both for SPCC applicability as well as for FRP applicability determinations. Q Right, and could you could you please explain for me what you were referring to here, if you recall that question? A Sure. So if you're a facility, and you store oil, as Mr. Meer mentioned, over 1320 gallons, starting counting at 55 gallons, and you have a reasonable expectation of a discharge to a navigable water, you're then subject to the SPCC rule. And in that evaluation of reasonable expectation, you have to exclude the presence of secondary containment. Q Okay. And does that also apply in the FRP context? A Yes, it does. Q Okay. And what's your understanding of how |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | MR. HELMLINGER: Right. Sorry your trip out here is with the rain. It's not usually our rainy season now. JUDGE BIRO: I know. I'm sad, too. Cold and rainy MR. HELMLINGER: Right. JUDGE BIRO: just seems very unfair. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah, when it's sunny back in D.C., yeah. MR. HELMLINGER: We usually come from the East Coast to get away from all that. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Right, right. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's very unseasonable, as they say. MR. HELMLINGER: My daughter's on a weeklong school camp-out right now. (Pause.) JUDGE BIRO: Do you not have watches? What are we doing here? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | reference to excluding secondary containment. Do you recall that? A Yes, in the applicability evaluation, which is required both for SPCC applicability as well as for FRP applicability determinations. Q Right, and could you could you please explain for me what you were referring to here, if you recall that question? A Sure. So if you're a facility, and you store oil, as Mr. Meer mentioned, over 1320 gallons, starting counting at 55 gallons, and you have a reasonable expectation of a discharge to a navigable water, you're then subject to the SPCC rule. And in that evaluation of reasonable expectation, you have to exclude the presence of secondary containment. Q Okay. And does that also apply in the FRP context? A Yes, it does. Q Okay. And what's your understanding of how the exclusion for secondary containment applies within |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | MR. HELMLINGER: Right. Sorry your trip out here is with the rain. It's not usually our rainy season now. JUDGE BIRO: I know. I'm sad, too. Cold and rainy MR. HELMLINGER: Right. JUDGE BIRO: just seems very unfair. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah, when it's sunny back in D.C., yeah. MR. HELMLINGER: We usually come from the East Coast to get away from all that. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Right, right. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's very unseasonable, as they say. MR. HELMLINGER: My daughter's on a weeklong school camp-out right now. (Pause.) JUDGE BIRO: Do you not have watches? What are we doing here? MR. McNEIL: Right, right, my apologies. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | reference to excluding secondary containment. Do you recall that? A Yes, in the applicability evaluation, which is required both for SPCC applicability as well as for FRP applicability determinations. Q Right, and could you could you please explain for me what you were referring to here, if you recall that question? A Sure. So if you're a facility, and you store oil, as Mr. Meer mentioned, over 1320 gallons, starting counting at 55 gallons, and you have a reasonable expectation of a discharge to a navigable water, you're then subject to the SPCC rule. And in that evaluation of reasonable expectation, you have to exclude the presence of secondary containment. Q Okay. And does that also apply in the FRP context? A Yes, it does. Q Okay. And what's your understanding of how the exclusion for secondary containment applies within the FRP applicability analysis? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | MR. HELMLINGER: Right. Sorry your trip out here is with the rain. It's not usually our rainy season now. JUDGE BIRO: I know. I'm sad, too. Cold and rainy MR. HELMLINGER: Right. JUDGE BIRO: just seems very unfair. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah, when it's sunny back in D.C., yeah. MR. HELMLINGER: We usually come from the East Coast to get away from all that. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Right, right. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's very unseasonable, as they say. MR. HELMLINGER: My daughter's on a weeklong school camp-out right now. (Pause.) JUDGE BIRO: Do you not have watches? What are we doing here? MR. McNEIL: Right, right, my apologies. JUDGE BIRO: Please proceed. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | reference to excluding secondary containment. Do you recall that? A Yes, in the applicability evaluation, which is required both for SPCC applicability as well as for FRP applicability determinations. Q Right, and could you could you please explain for me what you were referring to here, if you recall that question? A Sure. So if you're a facility, and you store oil, as Mr. Meer mentioned, over 1320 gallons, starting counting at 55 gallons, and you have a reasonable expectation of a discharge to a navigable water, you're then subject to the SPCC rule. And in that evaluation of reasonable expectation, you have to exclude the presence of secondary containment. Q Okay. And does that also apply in the FRP context? A Yes, it does. Q Okay. And what's your understanding of how the exclusion for secondary containment applies within the FRP applicability analysis? A So specifically, when as an example, when |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | MR. HELMLINGER: Right. Sorry your trip out here is with the rain. It's not usually our rainy season now. JUDGE BIRO: I know. I'm sad, too. Cold and rainy MR. HELMLINGER: Right. JUDGE BIRO: just seems very unfair. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah, when it's sunny back in D.C., yeah. MR. HELMLINGER: We usually come from the East Coast to get away from all that. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Right, right. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's very unseasonable, as they say. MR. HELMLINGER: My daughter's on a weeklong school camp-out right now. (Pause.) JUDGE BIRO: Do you not have watches? What are we doing here? MR. McNEIL: Right, right, my apologies. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | reference to excluding secondary containment. Do you recall that? A Yes, in the applicability evaluation, which is required both for SPCC applicability as well as for FRP applicability determinations. Q Right, and could you could you please explain for me what you were referring to here, if you recall that question? A Sure. So if you're a facility, and you store oil, as Mr. Meer mentioned, over 1320 gallons, starting counting at 55 gallons, and you have a reasonable expectation of a discharge to a navigable water, you're then subject to the SPCC rule. And in that evaluation of reasonable expectation, you have to exclude the presence of secondary containment. Q Okay. And does that also apply in the FRP context? A Yes, it does. Q Okay. And what's your understanding of how the exclusion for secondary containment applies within the FRP applicability analysis? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | MR. HELMLINGER: Right. Sorry your trip out here is with the rain. It's not usually our rainy season now. JUDGE BIRO: I know. I'm sad, too. Cold and rainy MR. HELMLINGER: Right. JUDGE BIRO: just seems very unfair. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah, when it's sunny back in D.C., yeah. MR. HELMLINGER: We usually come from the East Coast to get away from all that. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Right, right. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's very unseasonable, as they say. MR. HELMLINGER: My daughter's on a weeklong school camp-out right now. (Pause.) JUDGE BIRO: Do you not have watches? What are we doing here? MR. McNEIL: Right, right, my apologies. JUDGE BIRO: Please proceed. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | reference to excluding secondary containment. Do you recall that? A Yes, in the applicability evaluation, which is required both for SPCC applicability as well as for FRP applicability determinations. Q Right, and could you could you please explain for me what you were referring to here, if you recall that question? A Sure. So if you're a facility, and you store oil, as Mr. Meer mentioned, over 1320 gallons, starting counting at 55 gallons, and you have a reasonable expectation of a discharge to a navigable water, you're then subject to the SPCC rule. And in that evaluation of reasonable expectation, you have to exclude the presence of secondary containment. Q Okay. And does that also apply in the FRP context? A Yes, it does. Q Okay. And what's your understanding of how the exclusion for secondary containment applies within the FRP applicability analysis? A So specifically, when as an example, when you're considering oil transport over land to |

Page 95

- 1 regulation in Section 5 of Attachment C-3 --
 - O 5.0.

2.4

- 3 A -- right, right --
 - Q Okay.
 - A -- to exclude secondary containment. You can consider man-made depressions and man-made structures beyond containment that may restrain or hinder portions of a worst-case discharge reaching navigable waters, and that's for a facility that would -- if they're subject to an FRP rule, would be considered as a substantial harm facility. So we differentiated between an SPCC facility versus a substantial harm facility. So there's a bit more of a barrier to entry, so to speak, to be considered a substantial harm facility.
 - Q And just so I'm 100 percent clear, what do you consider to be secondary containment? What would be some examples?
 - A Secondary containment could take the form of a containment dike, a engineered structure surrounding the tanks, and then with sufficient freeboard for a ring and sufficiently impervious would be considered adequate containment, so to speak. You can also have remote impounding, and that would also satisfy containment as well.

that applies to?

- A Typically, once material reaches the storm drain, it's assumed that there's a negligible amount of time for that material to reach navigable waters.
- Q Okay, and the assumption is with -- contained within the regulations, is that right?
 - A That's right.
- 8 Q Okay. So in other words, and correct me if
 9 I'm wrong, but you don't have any additional overlay
 10 or interpretation that you personally are adding to
 11 that language here today. You were more referring
 12 just to the regulations itself.
 - A Yeah. There's also language about, you know, travel time, both a low range and a high range, both in terms of material in the storm drain and material in an open concrete channel, as an example. That's also discussed in that same section, Attachment C-3. So there's some sort of upper bound and lower bound in terms of velocities, and that would inform travel time. And so the regulation speaks to a negligible amount of time, but then it also provides some detail that would guide the owner or operator to -- in terms of the calculation within that time frame, you know, of travel time per se.
 - Q And you also mentioned -- I believe you used
- Page 94
- Q In your experience and your understanding of the regulations, is it possible to encounter a situation in which you have both a man-made depression and secondary containment in the same location? Have you ever seen that?
- A Sure, there could be situations where that man-made or natural depression may be, you know, outside of containment and would be considered -- be -- would potentially be in the flow path of portions of a worst-case discharge.
 - Q And could it be inside the containment?
- A It could be inside the containment, yeah. It could be.
- Q Okay. And then I do want to ask you about -- sorry, I think it's CX-53, is the one closer to you, the planning distance flow chart.
 - A Yes.
- Q Yeah, okay. Just a couple of questions. I think you had testified earlier something to the effect of an assumption in the regulation about a "negligible time" for a discharge to reach a navigable water. Do you recall that?
- A Yes, that s language in the regulation in Attachment C.
 - Q Right, and what's your understanding of what

Page 96

- the word "automatically," and I believe you were referring about facilities that are either within a half a mile of a navigable water on the one hand, and facilities that are a half mile or more away from the navigable water. Do you recall that?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Okay. And when you were talking about -- when you were responding to Mr. Helmlinger, you -- when you used the word "automatically," I understood your testimony -- but please, correct me if I've misunderstood it. I understood your testimony to be referring to the requirement to conduct the D-3 planning calculation, and not to the ultimate question of the applicability of an FRP. Is that correct?
 - A Yeah. What I was referring to is the applicability evaluation. So the language in Attachment C-3 in Section 5.0, that talks about that half-mile demarcation. Basically states that if a facility is within a half mile of a navigable water, the nearest point to a discharge to navigable water, the owner or operator must calculate the planning distance D-3.
 - Q Right, and just to refine that, you -- it was not your testimony -- and again, correct me if I'm wrong -- that any facility within a half mile of a

Page 99

navigable water automatically has to prepare an FRP.

A Speaking straight from the regulatory language, the language says, must prepare their calculation D-3.

Q Yes, I'm sorry. Let me rephrase my question. That's what I understood you to say, but what I was asking was you were not saying that just because a facility is within a half a mile, it must conduct -- actually prepare an FRP.

A If a facility within the half mile needs to do the evaluation, you know, calculate the planning distance D-3, it is part of that evaluation of planning distance. The calculation of D-3 -- then determine whether an oil discharge from the facility within that planning distance D-3 could shut down a drinking water intake or impact or cause injury to fish and wildlife and sensitive environments within that planning distance D-3.

Q Right. In other words, if you're within a half a mile, you're required to do the planning distance, and doing the planning distance is part of answering the ultimate question of whether an FRP is required.

A That's correct.

Q Okay. One last question. Have you ever

necessarily assume, but verify that, indeed, the storm drain does, you know, discharge to the navigable water in your -- you know, in your evaluations.

Q Okay.

MR. McNEIL: I have no further questions, Your Honor.

JUDGE BIRO: Is there any redirect?

MR. HELMLINGER: Short, Your Honor.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. HELMLINGER:

Q Since it's fresh in my mind, Mr.
Swackhammer, this Minnesota release, could you be clear? I wasn't quite sure. The release to the storm drain there -- did the storm drain itself sort of blank off and not go to the navigable water, or did the discharge not reach the navigable water? I was unclear

A It wasn't actually a discharge from the facility. It was just an evaluation of whether any discharge from the facility could reach the Mississippi River. And so there was a question about once it reached -- there were storm inlets on site, and those storm inlets -- we weren't sure during the site visit whether or not they daylighted to the Mississippi River. And then the subsequent evaluation

Page 98

Page 100

seen a situation where a facility might be doing both a 5.0 overland transport analysis and a D-3 navigable water analysis as part of answering the ultimate question of an FRP?

A Certainly, and that's part of the reason for including Section 5.0 in consideration of oil transport over land. So that's definitely envisioned. Even though it's not depicted here in Figure C-1, certainly the nearest opportunity, if there is no storm drain within that particular flow path, then it would be a oil transport over land flow path to the navigable water, be it a sheet flow or via open channel congruent flow, something along those lines.

Q Okay. Just one last question. When the regulations say that something is assumed, like in the case of the storm drain discharge we were talking about. What does that mean to you, that it's assumed?

A In the regulation, it does say it assumes that once it reaches a storm drain that it would -- you know, it would daylight into a navigable water. I have had the experience where an FRP facility in Minnesota -- where the storm inlets on site did not drain to the Mississippi River. So it was a unique configuration. I have seen that. So in my training to our own inspectors, my advice to them is to not

with the local authorities verified that the actual storm outlets basically went to the north of the facility and not to the east to the Mississippi River. It was an unusual configuration.

Q So in that instance, it was just physically impossible for that storm drain to reach the water.

A To reach the Mississippi River, that was correct.

Q Is that relevant to your understanding of the FRP applicability to this facility -- the VSS facility?

A It certainly would be relevant, yes.

13 O How so?

A I believe there are storm drains at the facility that drain to the Sacramento Ship Channel.

Q Right, and so it's the opposite, in fact.

If they do actually drain to the Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel.

A That's correct. If that's the situation, which I believe is the situation, then they drain to the Sacramento Ship Channel.

Q You discussed with Mr. McNeil the idea of man-made depressions -- this idea that man-made depressions can be considered in your flow path or flow pattern. How is that relevant to the half mile

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 103

assumption that you also discussed with Mr. McNeil?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A Sure. So excluding secondary containment -let's just say, for instance, there is a failure of one of the tanks, the asphalt cement tanks. Excluding secondary containment, you are to do the evaluation of where that oil would go, and portions of -- you know, oil doesn't always go the way you think it does, but there will be portions, you know, in a -- in a -- in a catastrophic tank failure, with over two million gallons of oil or, in this case, cement -- asphalt cement, heated material, there will be a release and then once it reached -- you know, it could be a radial event, that portions of a worst-case discharge would be expected to travel towards the Sacramento Ship Channel.

And in that evaluation, you would consider both any -- outside of containment, any man-made depressions, man-made structures, as well as the roughness, so to speak, of the ground between the facility and the ship channel.

Q Sure. The coefficients of the ground and man-made depressions like that, those are relevant to the D-4 calculation, is that right?

A Of course, the flow calculations. Right. Overland transport of oil.

JUDGE BIRO: Okay. So if you look at Complainant's Exhibit 53, assuming tank that's marked X-1 catastrophically failed and all the oil in it was released, for planning purposes with an FRP, do you assume it's -- all the oil is being released in the direction of the navigable water? Is that the catastrophic scenario?

THE WITNESS: We use in the regulation the term "portions" of a worst-case discharge leading from the tank, and as you might imagine, oil will go in multiple directions. So there may be a component from X-1 following a D-1 pathway to a storm drain. There also might be another component of portions of a worst-case discharge traveling overland from X-1 to the navigable water. So there could be multiple flow paths of a worst-case discharge.

JUDGE BIRO: Okay. So if there were -- if it's within a half a mile, do you actually do the D-1 and D-2 calculations assuming there's a storm drain, or you just assume under the regulations that it reaches from X-1 to the navigable water?

THE WITNESS: The regulations state that if you're within a half mile of a navigable water, that portions of a worst-case discharge will reach. It's already -- it's assumed in the regulation that it

Page 102

Page 104

Q Right. But if the facility is within a half mile of a navigable water, do those same considerations still apply?

A Typically, no. They would not apply because according to the regulation, if you're within a half mile of a navigable water, you have to -- you know, you must calculate the planning distance D-3, because it's assumed that given that location that oil will, indeed, reach the navigable water.

Q Thank you.

MR. HELMLINGER: No other questions.

12 JUDGE BIRO: Any recross?

MR. McNEIL: No, Your Honor.

JUDGE BIRO: Mr. Swackhammer, can I just ask a few questions?

THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.

JUDGE BIRO: You used the term "daylighted."

18 What does that mean?

> THE WITNESS: That means that the storm drain empties into the water body.

JUDGE BIRO: Daylighted? That's the definition of that term?

THE WITNESS: It's a term of art that engineers use for daylighting of the storm drain to

either another culvert or to a water body, yes.

would reach --

2 JUDGE BIRO: Okay, so that's considered a 3 given.

THE WITNESS: Right.

JUDGE BIRO: It doesn't matter, really, what the contours of the land is. If you're within a half mile, that's all irrelevant.

THE WITNESS: It's assumed that oil would reach navigable waters, right.

JUDGE BIRO: Okay. And if the termination point of D-2 on the map, the far left -- the far right line, is a sensitive environment, is within the ACP, do you have to do the D-3 calculation?

THE WITNESS: You still would need to do the D-3 calculation because even though the navigable water itself may be considered a sensitive environment, you would need the D-3 calculation for plan development, in addition to applicability evaluations. So you wouldn't necessarily just stop right at the outflow there. You would need to determine where that oil would go downstream and what would be impacted. Particularly if it's going to impact -- shut down a drinking water intake.

So that would be an additional harm factor. So you would need the planning distance D-3. If you

Page 107 Page 105 1 already know that the navigable water is a sensitive 1 Did my questions raise any questions for 2 2 environment per se, okay, so then you would check one you? 3 3 of the questions "yes" in Attachment C-2. But you'd MR. HELMLINGER: They did not. They did 4 4 also want to know if there's a drinking water intake not. 5 5 JUDGE BIRO: Okay. downstream. So it may not be immediately right next 6 to the facility. There might be a drinking water 6 Mr. McNeil? 7 intake downstream where you would need the planning 7 MR. McNEIL: I do have one, Your Honor --8 8 distance to be able to determine whether you should JUDGE BIRO: Please proceed. 9 9 RECROSS EXAMINATION check "yes" to that harm factor as well. 10 10 BY MR. McNEIL: JUDGE BIRO: Okay. So for the purpose of 11 determining whether you need to do an FRP, the D-3 11 Q Mr. Swackhammer, could I please ask you to 12 calculation wouldn't matter, but it would matter for 12 look at Exhibit RX-86 at page 6? And this has to do 13 13 the purpose of determining the harm and the scope of with the issue we've been discussing about facilities 14 14 the harm, is that correct? are within a one-half mile distance of a navigable 15 THE WITNESS: True. Determining whether the 15 water. JUDGE BIRO: I'm sorry, Mr. McNeil, what 16 16 harm or injury to fish and wildlife and sensitive 17 environments could occur, or shutting down of a 17 page? 18 MR. McNEIL: It's page 6 of 17 of RX-86. drinking water intake could occur for applicability 18 19 purposes. And then for plan development purposes, the 19 MR. HELMLINGER: Page 6. 20 -- to inform the development of the vulnerability 20 THE WITNESS: You're referring to Attachment 21 21 analysis portion of the FRP. C-3, is that correct? 22 JUDGE BIRO: Okay. If you're a facility and 22 MR. McNEIL: Yes. Section 1.0. 23 you do your analysis for FRP, and you determine that 23 THE WITNESS: Okay. 2.4 you are not subject to it, do you have to notify the 2.4 BY MR. McNEIL: 25 25 Q Do you have that before you now? agency? Page 106 Page 108 THE WITNESS: If there was an alternative 1 1 A Yes, I do. Yeah. 2 2 formula used in the evaluation that deviates from Q Okay. Could you look at the last sentence 3 those formulas in Attachment C-3 in Appendix C, then 3 of Section 1.3? 4 4 yes, you would need to inform the regional office that A Okay. 5 5 yes, an alternative formula was used to determine the Q And read it. You can read it to yourself or 6 evaluation in Attachment C-2. 6 you can read it out loud so that we're all on the same 7 JUDGE BIRO: Okay. And is there a time 7 page, what we're talking about. 8 8 frame for doing that? A The full paragraph, or --9 9 THE WITNESS: No, I don't believe there is a Q No, no, no, just the last sentence. 10 specific time frame in the regulation for that. 10 A Okay. "For facilities that do not meet the 11 11 JUDGE BIRO: Is there a form for doing that? substantial harm criteria for secondary containment or 12 THE WITNESS: Yes. The Attachment C-2 form 12 oil spill history as listed in the flow chart, 13 would be something -- typically, what happens in this 13 calculation of a planning distance for a proximity to 14 14 process, the owner or operator would use the formulas fish and wildlife and sensitive environments and in Appendix C as an example, Attachment C-3. If they 15 15 public drinking water intake is required, unless it is 16 check "no" to all the questions in Attachment C-2, and 16 clear without performing the calculation that these 17 just -- you know, that's the F-1 criteria that I 17 areas would be impacted." 18 18 referred to earlier in my testimony, they check "no" Q Right, and there's a parenthetical there, 19 to those questions, then they would put that in their 19 you see, that says the facility's located in a 20 20 SPCC plan and maintain that in their SPCC plan. wetland. Do you see that? 21 21 If they use the alternative formulas to A Correct. 22 22 arrive at a determination in Attachment C-2, they Q That's a -- the example that the regulations 23 23 would need to inform the regional administrator that use -- is using to give -- for where it's clear that 24 yes, they did that. 24 the substantial harm criteria is met. Do you see 25 JUDGE BIRO: Okay. 25 that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

25

Page 111

A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Q Okay. So when you consider this section juxtaposed with the half mile distance that we were talking about earlier, I just wanted to be clear, is there something in the regulations that you know about specifically that says that it -- and I'm asking you to exclude from your answer this situation where the facility is in the wetlands. Pretend like we don't have that, okay? That's not -- so the question is: other than that, is there something in the regulations that you're aware of that says that a facility has to prepare a Facility Response Plan based solely on the fact that it's within a half mile? Or do you then go back to Section 5.0 and 5-3 -- or D-3 and do that analysis?

A I think it might be helpful to refer to the Attachment C-1 that's referenced in 1.3. Is that contained in this copy of the --

Q That -- well, that -- I think that's CX-53.

A Well, I'm looking at this exhibit, 86,

21 right?

22 (Someone sneezes.)

THE WITNESS: Bless you.

2.4 BY MR. McNEIL:

Q Oh, you mean the other flow chart.

answer is yes to that -- a million gallons or more, then if the answer is yes, then following the flow chart, you would talk about does the facility lack secondary containment. Then if the answer is yes, then you would need to submit the response plan. If no, then you would continue down and do the evaluation of whether a -- could cause injury to fish and wildlife and sensitive environments, and you would need -- obviously need to the planning distance to

more than a million gallons, then you -- and if the

11 inform that evaluation. And so if the answer is yes 12 to that, then you would need to submit a response 13 plan. So following along the logic, if you met the

14 first criteria, then yes, the response plan would be 15

needed.

BY MR. McNEIL:

Q Sure, and I appreciate that, and all I'm asking you is -- we've talked a couple times about the half mile demarcation, and my question is really is: there anything that you're aware of in the regulations that says -- putting apart the situation where the facility is in the wetlands, because it talks about that. So putting that aside, that if you're within a half mile of a navigable water, then in and of itself that fact alone requires you to do an FRP? Can you --

Page 110

Page 112

A Yeah, the Attachment C-3. Actually, there's an attachment C-1 that's referenced here in 1.3 that helps provide an overview of the applicability evaluation. Is that included here in the exhibit?

MR. HELMLINGER: Is that page 4 on RX-86? THE WITNESS: Okay. Yes, I see that. Okay. So we have Attachment C-1 that's referred to in this -- in paragraph 1.3, okay, and I think this helps to inform the discussion.

MR. McNEIL: Okay.

THE WITNESS: So I know in my version, in my copy here, it's a little hard to read, but it starts out by saying, "Does the facility transfer over water and have aggregate volume of 42,000 gallons or greater?" And if the answer is yes, then you would need to submit a response plan.

MR. HELMLINGER: If this is hard to read, I have a clear copy --

JUDGE BIRO: That's all right.

20 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We have an exhibit 21

too.

22 JUDGE BIRO: I'm familiar with it. It's 23 okay.

24 THE WITNESS: Okay. So just following the 25 logic here, and then once -- if the facility stores

1 is there any -- or do you have to do other -- take 2 other steps first?

> A Well, it's part of the evaluation of -- for the owner or operator to determine whether they are FRP-subject, thus requiring the submission of a response plan. It's not in itself the only criteria, and that's why I refer you to the flow chart and kind of looking at also Attachment C-2. In order to answer the questions in Attachment C-2, then that's where that half mile demarcation would play a role in determining, you know, whether the facility must calculate the planning distance D-3 or, if greater than a half mile, also consider oil transport overland considerations as well, in that overall evaluation.

Q Okay. Oh, so is it your testimony that 5.0 is not available in a situation where you're within a half mile? If so, can you show me where that is?

A What -- I don't understand.

Q 5.0, the overland transport.

20 A Okay. Could you repeat your question? I'm 21 at the section now.

> Q Sure. My understanding from your testimony earlier was that certain facilities might do both a 5.0 overland transport analysis and a D-3 planning distance calculation, which I understood. What I'm

asking now is, are you saying that you're not eligible to do a 5.0 overland transport if you're within a half a mile, or can you still do that as part of your analysis? That's the question.

2.4

A Yeah. I think it would be helpful to look at the language of Section 5.0. In 5.1, it says, "Facility owner or operators must evaluate the potential for oil to be transported overland to navigable waters of the United States. The owner or operator must evaluate the likelihood that portions of a worst-case discharge will reach navigable waters via open channel flow or from sheet flow across land or would be prevented from reaching navigable waters and be trapped in natural or man-made depressions, excluding secondary containment structures." So that's sort of the guiding language at front -- at the beginning of the section.

And then it talks -- speaks to, "As oil discharge -- as discharged oil travels over land, it may enter a storm drain or open channel intended to drain into navigable waters. It may -- it is assumed that once oil reaches an inlet, it will flow into the receiving water during a storm event, which is needed to be considered in the over -- in the evaluation as well. It is highly probable that the oil will either

oil transport overland to -- as consideration, you consider topography, drainage patterns, man-made barriers and soil distribution, and so forth.

So essentially, the demarcation is an important distinction in the regulation between 5.5 and 5.6. But 5.5 says if your facility and your nearest opportunity for discharge is within a half mile of a navigable water, you must complete the planning distance D-3 for the type of navigable water near the facility. So that's -- I'm just speaking from the actual regulatory language.

Q Sure, and again, my question is just simply is there anything that you're aware of in 5.0 that prevents an owner from doing both an overland transport and a planning distance as part of their FRP analysis?

A I would figure that would fall into the guise of an alternative formula. If you were considering saying, "Okay, we think that we understand 5.5, and so we're calculating the planning distance, but we're also going to use an alternative formula and that alternative formula may take the form of an oil transport overland evaluation."

Q Okay, and what's your basis for saying that if you do a 5.0 overland transport that that would

Page 114

flow into drainage structures or will follow the natural contours of land and flow into navigable water. Expected minimum and maximum velocities are provided as an example of open concrete channel and pipe flow. These ranges listed below reflect the minimum and maximum velocities." And then it goes on to talk about those.

And then it says, in 5.5 of this section, "A facility owner or operator whose nearest opportunity for discharge is located within a half mile of a navigable water must complete the planning distance calculation D-3 for the type of navigable water near the facility, or use a comparable formula."

Q Sure. And again, my question is simply, is there anything in Section 5 that precludes you from doing both the planning distance and the overland transport analyses as part of the ultimate applicability of the FRP question?

A So it goes on to say that, "A facility that's located greater than a half mile from a navigable water must also calculate the planning distance if it is in close proximity, D-1 is less than one half-mile, and other factors that are conducive to oil travel overland to storm drains that flow to navigable waters." And you can -- you can consider

Page 116

need to be a comparable formula?

A And there are some formulas within Section 5.0 that talk about open concrete channel flow, and once it gets into a storm drain, there are default velocities and times depicted there. So if there were -- again, that's for open concrete channels and for storm drains. Even though on this Figure C-1 there isn't an open concrete channel depicted, but it is talked about here, and it is talked about in Section 5.0 on overland transport. So even though the Figure C-1 does not depict an overland transport route to a navigable water, there might potentially be that route. But it's assumed that if you're within a half mile, that oil will travel to -- overland to a navigable water. That's the purpose of this language, 5.5.

Q That's how you interpret 5.5.

A Yes. There's language earlier in the -- that speaks to that.

Q And would -- sorry, where was that, the earlier language? Because there's certainly language in 1.3 that says that if you're in a wet -- if a facility's in a wetlands, then you have to do an FRP. That's in 1.3 that you read earlier.

A Yeah, so it talks about -- in Section 1.2,

| | Page 117 | | Page 119 |
|---|--|---|---|
| 1 | it says, "EPA's formulas were designed to be simple to | 1 | expertise and experience with these regulations may be |
| 2 | use," obviously trying to apply nationally to a | 2 | useful to have him hang around if the Court has |
| 3 | variety of facilities. "However, facility owners or | 3 | further questions as things come up. |
| 4 | operators may calculate planning distances using more | 4 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. |
| 5 | sophisticated formulas which take into account broader | 5 | You're not released. Please remain. |
| 6 | scientific or engineering principles or local | 6 | It's 11:41. Could we break for lunch? How |
| 7 | conditions. Such comparable formulas may result in | 7 | long do you think you'll need for lunch? There's a |
| 8 | different planning distances than EPA formulas. In | 8 | cafeteria in this building. Could we take a half |
| 9 | the event that an alternative formula that's | 9 | hour? Is that Mr. McNeil, can we really take a |
| 10 | comparable to one contained in this appendix is used | 10 | half hour? Could we come back by 12:15-ish? |
| 11 | to evaluate the criterion in 40 CFR 112.20(f)(1)," | 11 | MR. McNEIL: Yes, Your Honor. |
| 12 | these two criteria regarding "the owner or operator | 12 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. All right. We'll stand |
| 13 | shall attach documentation to the response plan cover | 13 | in recess till 12:15. |
| 14 | sheet that's contained in Appendix F to this part that | 14 | MR. HELMLINGER: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 15 | demonstrates the reliability and analytical soundness | 15 | (Whereupon, at 11:42 a.m., the hearing in |
| 16 | of the alternative formula and shall notify the RA, | 16 | the above-entitled matter adjourned, to reconvene at |
| 17 | the Regional Administrator, in writing that an | 17 | 12:16 p.m. the same day, May 16, 2019.) |
| 18 | alternative formula was used." | 18 | // |
| 19 | So there's a the ability for the owner or | 19 | // |
| 20 | operator to, you know, provide a an alternative, a | 20 | // |
| 21 | deviation, per se, in the typical process here in | 21 | // |
| 22 | Attachment C-3. | 22 | // |
| 23 | Q Sure, but | 23 | // |
| 24 | A Similar to what you see in SPCC where you | 24 | // |
| 25 | talk we talk about environmental equivalents, you | 25 | // |
| | Page 118 | | Page 120 |
| 1 | know? | 1 | AFTERNOON SESSION |
| 2 | Q I understand that, and I appreciate that. | 2 | (12:16 p.m.) |
| 3 | I'm just saying that's not required by simply by | 3 | JUDGE BIRO: Mr. Helmlinger, will you call |
| 4 | virtue of the fact that you're within a half a mile, | 4 | your next witness? |
| 5 | correct? | 5 | MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, Janice Witul, please. |
| 6 | A Could you restate the question? | 6 | MR. HELMLINGER: There's a pitcher of water |
| 7 | Q The comparable formula it's not required | 7 | up there, right? |
| 8 | simply by virtue of the fact that you're within a half | | |
| 0 | | 8 | UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Oh, yeah. |
| 9 | mile. | 9 | UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Oh, yeah. JUDGE BIRO: Yes. |
| | mile. A So, if you're within the half mile, the | | |
| 9 | | 9 | JUDGE BIRO: Yes. |
| 9 10 | A So, if you're within the half mile, the language in the rule says you have to calculate the planning distance, assuming that | 9 | JUDGE BIRO: Yes. MR. HELMLINGER: She's having some allergy |
| 9 10 11 | A So, if you're within the half mile, the language in the rule says you have to calculate the planning distance, assuming that Q Yes. | 9 10 11 | JUDGE BIRO: Yes. MR. HELMLINGER: She's having some allergy dry throat issues. |
| 9 10 11 12 | A So, if you're within the half mile, the language in the rule says you have to calculate the planning distance, assuming that Q Yes. A Yes, that's correct. | 9 10 11 12 | JUDGE BIRO: Yes. MR. HELMLINGER: She's having some allergy dry throat issues. MS. SUGERMAN: And I'm having non-allergy |
| 9 10 11 12 13 | A So, if you're within the half mile, the language in the rule says you have to calculate the planning distance, assuming that Q Yes. | 9 10 11 12 13 | JUDGE BIRO: Yes. MR. HELMLINGER: She's having some allergy dry throat issues. MS. SUGERMAN: And I'm having non-allergy dry throat issues. |
| 9 10 11 12 13 | A So, if you're within the half mile, the language in the rule says you have to calculate the planning distance, assuming that Q Yes. A Yes, that's correct. Q Okay, thank you. JUDGE BIRO: Any more questions? | 9 10 11 12 13 14 | JUDGE BIRO: Yes. MR. HELMLINGER: She's having some allergy dry throat issues. MS. SUGERMAN: And I'm having non-allergy dry throat issues. THE COURT REPORTER: Please raise your right |
| 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | A So, if you're within the half mile, the language in the rule says you have to calculate the planning distance, assuming that Q Yes. A Yes, that's correct. Q Okay, thank you. JUDGE BIRO: Any more questions? MR. McNEIL: No, Your Honor. | 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | JUDGE BIRO: Yes. MR. HELMLINGER: She's having some allergy dry throat issues. MS. SUGERMAN: And I'm having non-allergy dry throat issues. THE COURT REPORTER: Please raise your right hand. |
| 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | A So, if you're within the half mile, the language in the rule says you have to calculate the planning distance, assuming that Q Yes. A Yes, that's correct. Q Okay, thank you. JUDGE BIRO: Any more questions? MR. McNEIL: No, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Okay, thank you. | 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | JUDGE BIRO: Yes. MR. HELMLINGER: She's having some allergy dry throat issues. MS. SUGERMAN: And I'm having non-allergy dry throat issues. THE COURT REPORTER: Please raise your right hand. Whereupon, |
| 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A So, if you're within the half mile, the language in the rule says you have to calculate the planning distance, assuming that Q Yes. A Yes, that's correct. Q Okay, thank you. JUDGE BIRO: Any more questions? MR. McNEIL: No, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Okay, thank you. Do you have any questions you want to ask? | 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | JUDGE BIRO: Yes. MR. HELMLINGER: She's having some allergy dry throat issues. MS. SUGERMAN: And I'm having non-allergy dry throat issues. THE COURT REPORTER: Please raise your right hand. Whereupon, JANICE WITUL |
| 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A So, if you're within the half mile, the language in the rule says you have to calculate the planning distance, assuming that Q Yes. A Yes, that's correct. Q Okay, thank you. JUDGE BIRO: Any more questions? MR. McNEIL: No, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Okay, thank you. Do you have any questions you want to ask? MR. HELMLINGER: For Mr. Swackhammer, no. | 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | JUDGE BIRO: Yes. MR. HELMLINGER: She's having some allergy dry throat issues. MS. SUGERMAN: And I'm having non-allergy dry throat issues. THE COURT REPORTER: Please raise your right hand. Whereupon, JANICE WITUL having first been duly sworn, was called as a witness and was examined and testified as follows: THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you. Please have |
| 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | A So, if you're within the half mile, the language in the rule says you have to calculate the planning distance, assuming that Q Yes. A Yes, that's correct. Q Okay, thank you. JUDGE BIRO: Any more questions? MR. McNEIL: No, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Okay, thank you. Do you have any questions you want to ask? MR. HELMLINGER: For Mr. Swackhammer, no. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. All right. | 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | JUDGE BIRO: Yes. MR. HELMLINGER: She's having some allergy dry throat issues. MS. SUGERMAN: And I'm having non-allergy dry throat issues. THE COURT REPORTER: Please raise your right hand. Whereupon, JANICE WITUL having first been duly sworn, was called as a witness and was examined and testified as follows: THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you. Please have a seat. For the record, would you please state and |
| 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | A So, if you're within the half mile, the language in the rule says you have to calculate the planning distance, assuming that Q Yes. A Yes, that's correct. Q Okay, thank you. JUDGE BIRO: Any more questions? MR. McNEIL: No, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Okay, thank you. Do you have any questions you want to ask? MR. HELMLINGER: For Mr. Swackhammer, no. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. All right. Thank you, Mr. Swackhammer. You may step | 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | JUDGE BIRO: Yes. MR. HELMLINGER: She's having some allergy dry throat issues. MS. SUGERMAN: And I'm having non-allergy dry throat issues. THE COURT REPORTER: Please raise your right hand. Whereupon, JANICE WITUL having first been duly sworn, was called as a witness and was examined and testified as follows: THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you. Please have a seat. For the record, would you please state and spell your first and last name? |
| 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | A So, if you're within the half mile, the language in the rule says you have to calculate the planning distance, assuming that Q Yes. A Yes, that's correct. Q Okay, thank you. JUDGE BIRO: Any more questions? MR. McNEIL: No, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Okay, thank you. Do you have any questions you want to ask? MR. HELMLINGER: For Mr. Swackhammer, no. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. All right. Thank you, Mr. Swackhammer. You may step down. | 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | JUDGE BIRO: Yes. MR. HELMLINGER: She's having some allergy dry throat issues. MS. SUGERMAN: And I'm having non-allergy dry throat issues. THE COURT REPORTER: Please raise your right hand. Whereupon, JANICE WITUL having first been duly sworn, was called as a witness and was examined and testified as follows: THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you. Please have a seat. For the record, would you please state and spell your first and last name? THE WITNESS: Janice, J-A-N-I-C-E, Witul, |
| 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | A So, if you're within the half mile, the language in the rule says you have to calculate the planning distance, assuming that Q Yes. A Yes, that's correct. Q Okay, thank you. JUDGE BIRO: Any more questions? MR. McNEIL: No, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Okay, thank you. Do you have any questions you want to ask? MR. HELMLINGER: For Mr. Swackhammer, no. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. All right. Thank you, Mr. Swackhammer. You may step down. Are we going to release this witness? | 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | JUDGE BIRO: Yes. MR. HELMLINGER: She's having some allergy dry throat issues. MS. SUGERMAN: And I'm having non-allergy dry throat issues. THE COURT REPORTER: Please raise your right hand. Whereupon, JANICE WITUL having first been duly sworn, was called as a witness and was examined and testified as follows: THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you. Please have a seat. For the record, would you please state and spell your first and last name? THE WITNESS: Janice, J-A-N-I-C-E, Witul, W-I-T-U-L, Whiskey, India, Tango, Uniform, Lima. |
| 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | A So, if you're within the half mile, the language in the rule says you have to calculate the planning distance, assuming that Q Yes. A Yes, that's correct. Q Okay, thank you. JUDGE BIRO: Any more questions? MR. McNEIL: No, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Okay, thank you. Do you have any questions you want to ask? MR. HELMLINGER: For Mr. Swackhammer, no. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. All right. Thank you, Mr. Swackhammer. You may step down. | 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | JUDGE BIRO: Yes. MR. HELMLINGER: She's having some allergy dry throat issues. MS. SUGERMAN: And I'm having non-allergy dry throat issues. THE COURT REPORTER: Please raise your right hand. Whereupon, JANICE WITUL having first been duly sworn, was called as a witness and was examined and testified as follows: THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you. Please have a seat. For the record, would you please state and spell your first and last name? THE WITNESS: Janice, J-A-N-I-C-E, Witul, |

Page 123 Page 121 1 MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you, Janice. 1 program. 2 DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 Q Did you have any sort of training in order 3 BY MS. SUGERMAN: 3 to perform inspection for those programs? 4 4 A Yes, there was general training for Q Will you tell us, who do you work for? 5 A I work for U.S. EPA Region 9 in San 5 inspections and then specific program-related 6 6 Francisco. training. Q And how -- moving on to your time with EPA, 7 Q And how long have you been with EPA? 7 8 I've been in EPA since 2003. 8 have you always been an oil program inspector in the 9 9 Q And what is your current position with EPA? enforcement division at EPA? 10 10 A I'm the oil -- an inspector with the oil A No, I started at EPA inspecting in the same 11 program in the enforcement division. 11 programs I had been with SAIC in the Superfund 12 Q Okay. Will you please turn to CX-50? CX-50 12 13 13 in the binders? Q Did you work in -- were you conducting 14 14 A Five zero? inspections as well while that was your job? 15 15 Q Five zero, yeah. A Yes. 16 16 Thank you. Okay. Okay. And do you remember sort of how long, 17 O Will you take a moment and describe this 17 what the range of time was, that you were in that 18 document? 18 program? 19 A This is my CV. 19 A I was there from February 2003 until October 20 20 2009. Q It's your r, sum,. Is it a true and correct 21 Q And then what did you switch to in 2009? 21 copy of your r,sum,? 22 22 A In 2009, I went to a different section of A Yes, it appears to be. 23 23 Q Okay. the Superfund Division and worked as a civil MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to enter into 24 24 investigator and oil program inspector. 25 evidence CX-50. 25 Q And how long did you hold that role? Page 122 Page 124 JUDGE BIRO: Is there any objection? 1 1 A From 2000 -- I think it was October 2008 to 2 MR. McNEIL: No objection, Your Honor. 2 February 2013. 3 JUDGE BIRO: CX-50 is admitted into the 3 Q And then in 2013, where did you move to? 4 4 record. A The oil program inspectors were put into the 5 5 (The document referred to, enforcement division, so I was no longer a civil 6 previously identified as 6 investigator. I concentrated on inspections and 7 7 Complainant's Exhibit No. 50, enforcement for the oil program, the oil pollution 8 8 was received in evidence.) prevention regulations at 40 CFR 112. 9 9 BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q Okay. So how would you describe your 10 Q Where did you work before you worked at EPA? 10 current position? 11 A I was employed at SAIC, Science Applications 11 A I am employed in the enforcement division. 12 International Corporation --12 I do a bit of compliance outreach, but mostly 13 inspections and enforcement cases, if necessary, of 13 Q And who was your main --14 A -- in Middletown (phonetic). 14 facilities that are regulated under SPCC or FRP 15 1.5 Q In Middletown. And who was your main client regulations. 16 Q How does one become an inspector? 16 at SAIC? 17 A My main client there was EPA. 17 A At EPA, one becomes an inspector -- there's 18 some experience required, but there's quite a lot of 18 What was -- what were the main -- what's the 19 19 work -- main work you did for U.S. EPA? training, and there's an EPA order, 3500.1, that sets 20 20 A Mainly, I did inspections and some out requirements for -- by program, what they -- what 21 the requirements are to obtain and maintain a 21 enforcement work pertaining to Sections 302 through 22 22 312 of the Emergency Planning and Community Right-tocredential as an EPA inspector. 23 Q What does that mean to have a credential? 2.3 Know Act; Section 103 of the CERCLA, the Comprehensive 24 A It means you're federally credentialed to 24 Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability 25 25 perform inspections for a certain program. Do you Act; and the Clean Air Act 112, our risk management

Page 127 Page 125 1 1 BY MS. SUGERMAN: want me to --2 2 Q So just to confirm, this is the FRP Q That's fine for now. Are you a credentialed 3 3 federal inspector? guidance. Is this something you use, you refer to, in 4 4 A Yes, I am. your practice? 5 5 Q And how long have you been a credentialed A Occasionally, when facilities need 6 6 assistance with the Facility Response Plan federal inspector? 7 A I've been credentialed at EPA since I 7 regulations. 8 8 started at EPA in 2003. I held a credential as a Q Okay. Did you have to take any tests to get 9 9 consultant for EPA before that. For the oil program, your credential? 10 10 A The basic inspector training is online. I finished my training for the oil program in 2009. 11 Q Can you recall what general courses you took 11 There are tests in there. And there is a test also 12 to become a credentialed inspector? 12 associated with the 40-hour course. There's also on-13 13 the-job training which is working with a lead A There's a basic inspector course. I've also 14 14 had an advanced inspector course. For the oil inspector, and depending on whether or not you're 15 15 program, I had a 40-hour course. There are adjudged competent in there, I guess that could be 16 16 requirements for regulatory self-study of the considered a test. 17 regulations, of the Federal Register notices that 17 Q Okay. And you say working with a lead 18 inspector. Is that -- are you performing inspections 18 apply to the oil program regulations, study of the 19 19 SPCC inspector guidance, keeping updated with the or you're following along on inspections? 20 20 A There's a minimum of three inspections where regulations, and then ongoing requirements for 21 you work with the inspector, kind of tagging along and 21 training every year. We have four-hour refreshers 22 given several times a year for the oil program, and 22 shadowing them, and then leading at least one 23 23 then modules of the basic inspector training are also inspection and writing at least one report on your own 24 24 required. under their guidance. 25 25 Q And I think you mentioned this when you were Q As part of these courses, did you cover Page 126 Page 128 1 discussing your training, but is there something you 1 industry standards regarding oil tanks? 2 2 A Yes. I was familiar with industry standards need to do to maintain your credentials? 3 in my previous work, but the 40-hour training goes 3 A Yes. There's, of course, staying current 4 4 over the most common industry standards, especially with the regulations and any developments pertaining 5 5 API 653 and STI SP001, both the standards themselves to the oil program. Also, taking at least one of the 6 and reviewing reports that are based on those 6 four-hour refresher courses that are given by EPA 7 7 standards. headquarters oil program. And then taking at least 8 8 Q You mentioned the SPCC inspector guidance. one module of basic inspector training per year. 9 Just to confirm, will you look at CX-34? This has 9 Also, in my job, maintaining the HAZWOPER, Hazardous 10 already been entered into evidence, but just to 10 Waste Emergency Response certificate, by taking an 11 confirm this is the same document you were referring 11 eight-hour refresher on that. 12 to. It's very easy to find. This is the 921-page 12 Q Okay. And you mentioned a four-hour 13 document that takes up most of the binder. 13 refresher training. Is that also annual? 14 A That seems to be it. 14 A Yes, taking at least one of those four-hour 15 Q That seems to be it, okay. So that CX-34 is 15 courses. 16 the same SPCC inspector guidance. 16 Q Okay. Since becoming an oil pollution 17 A Yes. prevention inspector in 2009, could you estimate how 17 18 Q Will you please turn to RX-87? So in the 18 many SPCC inspections you've conducted? 19 black binders now. 19 A It's over 250. 20 A The Facility Response Plan and guide? 20 Q And could you estimate how many FRP

21

22

23

24

25

inspections you've conducted?

exercises with the FRP facilities?

A I think about 40 at this point.

facilities, do you participate in any response

Q In addition to performing inspections at FRP

21

22

23

24

25

admitted that.

JUDGE BIRO: Yes.

MS. SUGERMAN: Okay.

MS. SUGERMAN: And I believe this also has

already been entered into evidence, RX-87? Andrew

Page 131

A I do participate sometimes on behalf of the EPA in a Government-initiated unannounced exercise that the Coast Guard might lead. It could be led by an EPA on-scene coordinator. I also participate in facility-led exercises, either tabletop exercises of their Facility Response Plan, where they literally sit at a table and go through what a response would be, or a facility-initiated drill where they invite agencies to participate, and that's like the -- somewhat like the Government-initiated unannounced exercise in that they're actually performing actions, making calls to NRC and the state stating that it's a drill, and then deploying their equipment.

Q Okay. The facility-led exercise drills, is that a requirement for FRP facilities?

A It's under the PREP guidelines, so yes, it is a requirement.

Q I know we did the PREP acronym earlier, but do you remember what the PREP stands for?

A That's the National --

Q No, all right.

22 A -- no, I -- Preparedness --

23 Q Response. And --

24 A -- Response Exercise Program, perhaps.

Q We can correct the record later if that does

a drill, give them the opportunity to -- well, they'll tell them what the scenario is, based on your Facility Response Plan -- it's one of the scenarios in there, non-worst-case, generally -- and then require the facility to go through the motions, as I said, calling the National Response Center and, depending on where they're located, the state or local agencies that would have to respond, filling out all the necessary incident command system forms, interacting with other agencies.

Often with the -- with those Government-initiated unannounced exercises, local and state agencies are invited to participate. And with the GIUEs, often we -- requirement is to actually engage their Oil Spill Response Organization. This is a -- the OSRO is a contracted company that helps with response, everything from -- might help them filling out forms, but certainly helps them with equipment and response work.

And they're actually kind of graded on this.

So if they don't perform all these actions
satisfactorily, get -- if there's boom involved, they
have to get out a certain amount of boom within a
certain amount of time. They can fail and they can -and it can affect their standing with their Facility

Page 130

not match the first one. And then do you have an estimate about how many requests a year the region gets to participate in those facility-led exercises?

A We probably get a dozen or more a year. Depending on the availability and our schedule, we're not able to participate. There are basically two inspectors at EPA at present who are qualified to participate in those, myself and a colleague.

Q So do you personally participate in any of those exercises?

A Yes.

Q Could you estimate how many per year you -or, you know, is there a way to estimate how many
you've done?

A I try to get as many as I can, based on my availability. It's usually only about three a year, though.

Q Okay. You also mentioned Government-initiated unannounced exercises, which for the record I'll mention you also sometimes call GIUEs, I believe. Can you describe what that is for me?

A That's an exercise, as it implies, unannounced, where a federal on-scene coordinator, either EPA or Coast Guard, generally, will show up at a facility and tell the facility that they are having Page 132

Response Plan.

Q Could you summarize for me why it's important to -- that facilities go through these facility-led exercises and the GIUEs?

A If a facility isn't -- well, for one, they have to be trained in it, but if they're not familiar with how to operate in the case of a discharge and how to work with other responding agencies in the case of a discharge, whatever damage might happen with the oil discharge could be a lot greater because it would be -- it could get out of hand.

Q Do you -- as part of your job, do you see records regarding oil reports generally in the region?

A Yes. I -- daily, I look at the oil spill reports that come in to the reporting center at EPA through the National Response Center, and also for -- from the California Office of Emergency Services.

Q Would there be --

A And those are just pertaining to the oil spills rather than the chemical releases.

Q Would you be able to estimate for me generally how many spill reports you think you've seen over the course of your time doing this job?

A At an average of 10 a day, for the amount of time I've been doing it, over 30,000.

| | Page 133 | | Page 135 |
|--|---|---|--|
| 1 | Q Do you as part of your training, do you | 1 | regulations and these industry standards? |
| 2 | stay up with national issues and updates on the oil | 2 | A The oil pollution prevention regulations at |
| 3 | pollution prevention program? | 3 | 40 CFR 112 require that inspections and testing be |
| 4 | A Yes, there's there are emails that come, | 4 | performed with consideration of industry standards. |
| 5 | but also there are a couple of different venues for | 5 | And those two that you mentioned are the most common |
| 6 | staying up to date. We have a national call with EPA | 6 | industry standards for the inspections and testing of |
| 7 | headquarters monthly, and then and that's the | 7 | aboveground storage tanks. |
| 8 | Office of Land and Emergency Management with Troy | 8 | Q Will you please turn to RX-50? That's in |
| 9 | Swackhammer is one of those people. And then the | 9 | the black binders. |
| 10 | part of the Office of Enforcement and Compliance | 10 | A Five zero? |
| 11 | Assistance has an oil program call monthly. | 11 | Q Five oh. Can you just excuse me. Can |
| 12 | Q Are there in addition to these internal | 12 | you describe this document for me? |
| 13 | EPA communications, do you attend conferences or | 13 | A This is a I guess I'd call it a fact |
| 14 | meetings both either within EPA or with industry | 14 | sheet that was prepared by EPA concerning the |
| 15 | outside EPA? | 15 | inspections for bulk containers bulk storage |
| 16 | A Yes. For the past several years, I've been | 16 | containers. |
| 17 | attending and presenting training tracks at the | 17 | Q Have you used this document? |
| 18 | California training workshop that's held for the | 18 | A I have. I've also referred members of the |
| 19 | Certified Unified Program managers in California, | 19 | regulated community to this. |
| 20 | those agencies, and there's one specific track for oil | 20 | Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate |
| 21 | regulations at the federal and state level. I also | 21 | copy of this document? |
| 22 | attend trainings for or conferences where trainings | 22 | A Yes, it does. |
| 23 | are given for oil spills, and also tank conferences | 23 | MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into |
| 24 | and with trainings. | 24 | evidence RX-50. |
| 25 | Q Do you, at the you said the Certified | 25 | MR. McNEIL: No objection. |
| | Page 134 | | Page 136 |
| 1 | Unified Program agencies. I'm going to use the | 1 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Respondent's Exhibit 50 |
| 2 | acronym CUPA. Have you also been a presenter at CUPA | 2 | is admitted into the record. |
| 3 | workshops? | 3 | (The document referred to, |
| 4 | A Yes, I present. It's a week-long training | 4 | previously identified as |
| 5 | or four-day training. I usually present at least four | 5 | Respondent's Exhibit No. 50, |
| 6 | or five, six trainings there. | 6 | was received in evidence.) |
| 7 | Q Are there any specific trainings that are | 7 | BY MS. SUGERMAN: |
| 8 | you more commonly present related to SPCC, the SPCC | | BT MS. SUGERMAN. |
| | you more commonly present related to SFCC, the SFCC | 8 | Q What what's the purpose of tank integrity |
| 9 | program? | 8 9 | |
| 9 10 | | 1 | Q What what's the purpose of tank integrity |
| | program? | 9 | Q What what's the purpose of tank integrity testing? |
| 10 | program? A There's there are tracks that in the | 9 | Q What what's the purpose of tank integrity testing? A Tank integrity testing, either the formal or |
| 10 11 | program? A There's there are tracks that in the oil program that have to do with state regulations and | 9 10 11 | Q What what's the purpose of tank integrity testing? A Tank integrity testing, either the formal or the that is performed by certified inspectors, and |
| 10 11 12 | program? A There's there are tracks that in the oil program that have to do with state regulations and federal regulations, so I would concentrate more on | 9 10 11 12 | Q What what's the purpose of tank integrity testing? A Tank integrity testing, either the formal or the that is performed by certified inspectors, and the periodic inspections that are performed by |
| 10 11 12 13 | program? A There's there are tracks that in the oil program that have to do with state regulations and federal regulations, so I would concentrate more on the federal, obviously, and one in particular that | 9 10 11 12 13 | Q What what's the purpose of tank integrity testing? A Tank integrity testing, either the formal or the that is performed by certified inspectors, and the periodic inspections that are performed by facility personnel are important to judge the |
| 10 11 12 13 14 | program? A There's there are tracks that in the oil program that have to do with state regulations and federal regulations, so I would concentrate more on the federal, obviously, and one in particular that talks about the difference between the federal and | 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Q What what's the purpose of tank integrity testing? A Tank integrity testing, either the formal or the that is performed by certified inspectors, and the periodic inspections that are performed by facility personnel are important to judge the condition of tanks and also their fitness for |
| 10 11 12 13 14 15 | program? A There's there are tracks that in the oil program that have to do with state regulations and federal regulations, so I would concentrate more on the federal, obviously, and one in particular that talks about the difference between the federal and state, and also I would give a federal update of | 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Q What what's the purpose of tank integrity testing? A Tank integrity testing, either the formal or the that is performed by certified inspectors, and the periodic inspections that are performed by facility personnel are important to judge the condition of tanks and also their fitness for continued service so that the tank won't fail and |
| 10 11 12 13 14 15 | program? A There's there are tracks that in the oil program that have to do with state regulations and federal regulations, so I would concentrate more on the federal, obviously, and one in particular that talks about the difference between the federal and state, and also I would give a federal update of various changes in the regulation or expected changes | 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Q What what's the purpose of tank integrity testing? A Tank integrity testing, either the formal or the that is performed by certified inspectors, and the periodic inspections that are performed by facility personnel are important to judge the condition of tanks and also their fitness for continued service so that the tank won't fail and cause a discharge. |
| 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | program? A There's there are tracks that in the oil program that have to do with state regulations and federal regulations, so I would concentrate more on the federal, obviously, and one in particular that talks about the difference between the federal and state, and also I would give a federal update of various changes in the regulation or expected changes in the regulation. | 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Q What what's the purpose of tank integrity testing? A Tank integrity testing, either the formal or the that is performed by certified inspectors, and the periodic inspections that are performed by facility personnel are important to judge the condition of tanks and also their fitness for continued service so that the tank won't fail and cause a discharge. Q How would a facility know which type of integrity testing is appropriate for particular tanks at their facility? |
| 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | program? A There's there are tracks that in the oil program that have to do with state regulations and federal regulations, so I would concentrate more on the federal, obviously, and one in particular that talks about the difference between the federal and state, and also I would give a federal update of various changes in the regulation or expected changes in the regulation. Q You previously excuse me. You previously | 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Q What what's the purpose of tank integrity testing? A Tank integrity testing, either the formal or the that is performed by certified inspectors, and the periodic inspections that are performed by facility personnel are important to judge the condition of tanks and also their fitness for continued service so that the tank won't fail and cause a discharge. Q How would a facility know which type of integrity testing is appropriate for particular tanks at their facility? A If the if a facility doesn't have |
| 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | program? A There's there are tracks that in the oil program that have to do with state regulations and federal regulations, so I would concentrate more on the federal, obviously, and one in particular that talks about the difference between the federal and state, and also I would give a federal update of various changes in the regulation or expected changes in the regulation. Q You previously excuse me. You previously stated as part of your training to receive federal | 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Q What what's the purpose of tank integrity testing? A Tank integrity testing, either the formal or the that is performed by certified inspectors, and the periodic inspections that are performed by facility personnel are important to judge the condition of tanks and also their fitness for continued service so that the tank won't fail and cause a discharge. Q How would a facility know which type of integrity testing is appropriate for particular tanks at their facility? A If the if a facility doesn't have information on a specific tank based on the |
| 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | program? A There's there are tracks that in the oil program that have to do with state regulations and federal regulations, so I would concentrate more on the federal, obviously, and one in particular that talks about the difference between the federal and state, and also I would give a federal update of various changes in the regulation or expected changes in the regulation. Q You previously excuse me. You previously stated as part of your training to receive federal credentials that you learned about tank standards. I | 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Q What what's the purpose of tank integrity testing? A Tank integrity testing, either the formal or the that is performed by certified inspectors, and the periodic inspections that are performed by facility personnel are important to judge the condition of tanks and also their fitness for continued service so that the tank won't fail and cause a discharge. Q How would a facility know which type of integrity testing is appropriate for particular tanks at their facility? A If the if a facility doesn't have information on a specific tank based on the manufacturer or on records at the facility from the |
| 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | program? A There's there are tracks that in the oil program that have to do with state regulations and federal regulations, so I would concentrate more on the federal, obviously, and one in particular that talks about the difference between the federal and state, and also I would give a federal update of various changes in the regulation or expected changes in the regulation. Q You previously excuse me. You previously stated as part of your training to receive federal credentials that you learned about tank standards. I think you specifically said API 653 and STI SP001, is | 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Q What what's the purpose of tank integrity testing? A Tank integrity testing, either the formal or the that is performed by certified inspectors, and the periodic inspections that are performed by facility personnel are important to judge the condition of tanks and also their fitness for continued service so that the tank won't fail and cause a discharge. Q How would a facility know which type of integrity testing is appropriate for particular tanks at their facility? A If the if a facility doesn't have information on a specific tank based on the manufacturer or on records at the facility from the from when the tank was put into service, they would |
| 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | program? A There's there are tracks that in the oil program that have to do with state regulations and federal regulations, so I would concentrate more on the federal, obviously, and one in particular that talks about the difference between the federal and state, and also I would give a federal update of various changes in the regulation or expected changes in the regulation. Q You previously excuse me. You previously stated as part of your training to receive federal credentials that you learned about tank standards. I think you specifically said API 653 and STI SP001, is that right? | 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Q What what's the purpose of tank integrity testing? A Tank integrity testing, either the formal or the that is performed by certified inspectors, and the periodic inspections that are performed by facility personnel are important to judge the condition of tanks and also their fitness for continued service so that the tank won't fail and cause a discharge. Q How would a facility know which type of integrity testing is appropriate for particular tanks at their facility? A If the if a facility doesn't have information on a specific tank based on the manufacturer or on records at the facility from the |

Page 139

Page 140

Q As part of your inspection generally, your investigations when you look at facilities, would you review tank reports that document the required tank testing?

A Yes.

1.5

Q And which standards are generally used in those reports?

A Generally, those are API 653, American Petroleum Institute 653; and the Steel Tank Institute's STI SP001.

Q Have you taken any training specific to those API standards?

A I have taken training that's been given at conferences and workshops. I'm -- I've also taken the Steel Tank Institute's SP001 training for inspections.

Q We've been sort of offhandedly talking about these industry standards. Who publishes the industry standards?

A The industry standards are published by the institutes, the American Petroleum Institute and the Steel Tank Institute.

Q Okay. And just -- I don't know that we need to flip to them, but these have already been entered into evidence. The CX-25 was API 653, and CX-26 was ST SP001. and more than me, by the basis of her training, education, and experience on inspections and compliance with SPCC and FRP requirements, and aboveground storage tanks, so I'll qualify her as an expert.

MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. BY MS. SUGERMAN:

Q I would like to discuss your general inspection format. So when you prepare for an inspection at a particular facility, do you typically contact the facility in advance?

A Yes.

Q And what do you -- do you have standard information that you ask of them up front?

A Yes, I send an email requesting -- once an inspection time and place and date is ironed out, I send a request by email asking them to have the relevant plans, SPCC and/or FRP plans, and then to have implementing information available, implementing records available for me to review. Those would be training records and tank inspection and testing reports.

Q And then aside from what you're asking the facility to prepare, do you -- prepare in advance, do you consult any other outside sources to gather

Page 138

MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to propose

Janice as an expert for -- regarding EPA, SPCC and FRP inspections, and compliance, and in her knowledge of

ASTs as it relates to SPCC requirements.

JUDGE BIRO: SPCC inspections and compliance, and then --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Can you speak louder, Judge?

JUDGE BIRO: Sure, sorry. As an expert in SPCC inspections and compliance, and AST --

MS. SUGERMAN: I'm sorry, aboveground storage tanks as that relates to SPCC inspections.

And as to the first part, it was SPCC and FRP

And as to the first part, it was SPCC and inspections and compliance.

JUDGE BIRO: Is there any objection?

MR. McNEIL: Your Honor, respondent respectfully objects. We don't believe these are areas of expert testimony. She's an inspector.

That's her job. She certainly can testify. We have no objection to her testifying about her job

description or job duties or job performance. But we don't view that as anything that requires or warrants an expert designation.

JUDGE BIRO: Okay. It's overruled. I think that she certainly knows more than the average layman,

information?

A Yes, I review -- I search spill reports for any spills relevant to the facility and then look at aerial photographs or aerial images of the facility, getting an idea of the facility and the proximity to the water, and I sometimes contact local agencies regarding the facility.

Q Do you ever use Google Earth or something like that to gather information about a facility, look at satellite photos that way?

A Yes, I do.

Q Okay. In the course of your inspections, does one begin with an opening conference?

A Yes. When I arrive at the facility, there's an opening conference where I review the procedure for the day and present credentials.

Q And then what do you typically do after the opening conference?

A Some of their -- depending on the facility itself and their needs, it may go to a site walk immediately. Generally, I prefer to review the plan and the records a bit and look at the facility, take photographs and notes, and interview facility personnel, and then perhaps review the documents a little further, and then end with a out-briefing, and

Page 143 Page 141 1 we now use the -- or forms to document the inspection, 1 this was in 2012 -- because they had a large tank that 2 a notice of inspection and any deficiencies that we ve 2 was not regulated by the state but would be regulated 3 3 by EPA. 4 4 Q You mentioned that one of the things you Q Okay. Can we -- can we take a minute and 5 5 review is the plan. Is that the Spill Prevention, talk about that difference there? So you mentioned 6 Control, and Countermeasures plan? 6 something might be regulated by the state that's not 7 7 regulated by EPA. Can you tell me what that means? A Spill Prevention, Control, and 8 8 Countermeasure plan, SPCC plan, and if it's a joint A The state has jurisdiction under the 9 9 inspection at a facility that has a Facility Response Aboveground -- California's Aboveground Petroleum 10 Plan, then we'd be looking at the FRP also. 10 Storage Act. As the title of the statute implies, 11 Q Great. So if you could turn to CX-1, which 11 it's for petroleum products in aboveground storage. 12 -- I believe that image is the same as CX-1 -- it's 12 It's not tied to navigable water at all, and it also 13 13 black on -- and I just don't recall if this has has exclusions for certain substances. One of those 14 14 already been entered into evidence, but are you exclusions is thermoplastics. So, because asphaltic 15 familiar with this image? 15 cement is a heated substance, it's not regulated by 16 16 A Yes. the state, so the local agency wouldn't have 17 Q And what does this show? 17 jurisdiction. The exclusion is materials, even 18 A It's a fairly recent image of the VSS 18 petroleum oil materials, that are not liquid at 19 19 facility in West Sacramento. ambient temperature are not regulated by the state. 20 20 Q Did you obtain this photo? Q In addition to -- so the Aboveground A I believe I did, from --21 21 Petroleum Storage Act we often call APSA. In addition 22 Q Do you --22 to APSA, does the state -- does Michael Sears also 23 23 have authority to implement the federal oil pollution A -- Google Earth. 24 prevention program? 2.4 Q Does that appear to be a true and accurate 25 photo for the facility? 25 A APSA actually quotes the requirements under Page 142 Page 144 1 40 CFR 112, but it's -- the oil pollution prevention 1 A It does. 2 2 regulations -- EPA's regulations, are not delegated. O Okay. 3 MS. SUGERMAN: If not already entered, my 3 So the state has only the authority under the 4 4 apologies, but if it has, I move to enter CX-1. Aboveground Petroleum Storage Act. They don't have 5 5 the authority to -- they don't have the authority to JUDGE BIRO: It's been admitted. 6 MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. 6 enforce any of the federal regulations other than 7 7 having the SPCC plan in accordance with SPCC BY MS. SUGERMAN: 8 8 Q How did you become familiar with the VSS regulations. 9 facility? 9 Q So APSA requires an SPCC plan, and the 10 A When I plan inspections in an area, I use 10 federal program requires an SPCC plan. If a 11 the -- I start looking at the Facility Response Plan 11 facility's in compliance with its APSA SPCC plan, does that necessarily mean it's also in compliance with the 12 database at EPA, because those facilities are required 12 13 to be inspected every so often, and then select at 13 federal equivalent? 14 least one of those in the area I'm going to, and then 14 A No. They could -- as I said, they could 15 usually reach out to local agencies to see if they 15 have heated oils that aren't regulated under the APSA, 16 have any suggestions or any facilities that they'd 16 Aboveground Petroleum Storage Act. They could have 17 like help with, and invite them along on the 17 non-petroleum oils: animal fats; vegetable oils; nut 18 inspection in most cases. 18 and seed oils. So there's -- while there's 19 Q And in this instance, did you contact a 19 overlapping, they could -- they could be in total 20 local inspector? 20 compliance with the Aboveground Petroleum Storage Act 21 A Yes. In this case, I had contacted Yolo 21 and almost totally out of compliance with the federal 22 County -- and I think it's Environmental Health, the 22 regulations. 23 Certified Unified Program Agency, and talked to 23 Q So if this facility had a compliant SPCC 24 Michael Sears, and he suggested one of the facilities 24 plan under APSA, then -- or is it true that this 25 I might want to visit is -- was VSS at the time --25 facility could have a compliant SPCC under APSA but

| | Page 145 | | Page 147 |
|--|--|--|---|
| 1 | that SPCC plan would not even address the four- | 1 | (The document referred to, |
| 2 | million-gallon-plus tanks of asphalt cement here? | 2 | previously identified as |
| 3 | A That's correct. | 3 | Complainant's Exhibit No. 16, |
| 4 | Q Okay. Then you we talked about that | 4 | was received in evidence.) |
| 5 | Michael Sears doesn't have authority under the federal | 5 | BY MS. SUGERMAN: |
| 6 | program. Does he have any sort of federal inspection | 6 | Q After you reviewed the SPCC plan, did you |
| 7 | credentials for the EPA oil program? | 7 | conduct a walk-through of the site? |
| 8 | A No. | 8 | A Yes. |
| 9 | Q Okay. When did you first inspect the VSS | 9 | Q And did you record your observations? |
| 10 | facility? | 10 | A Yes. |
| 11 | A In November of 2012. | 11 | Q Will you please turn to CX-5? Do you |
| 12 | Q And we went over your typical process for | 12 | recognize this document? |
| 13 | inspections. Did you follow your typical process when | 13 | A These are photos a photo log of the |
| 14 | you prepared for this inspection? | 14 | images I took at the inspection. |
| 15 | A Yes. | 15 | Q And just to confirm what you just said, you |
| 16 | Q Okay. Can you I'd like you to walk me | 16 | took these photographs yourself? |
| 17 | through the day of the inspection. Do you know what | 17 | A Yes, I did. |
| 18 | time it began? | 18 | Q Did you also prepare this log after the |
| 19 | A It was about 1 o'clock, 1 p.m. | 19 | inspection? |
| 20 | Q And did you do an opening conference? | 20 | A Yes. |
| 21 | A Yes. | 21 | Q Do you did you edit the photos at all as |
| 22 | Q Do you recall if VSS provided you with the | 22 | part of preparing the log? |
| 23 | documents you had requested? | 23 | A Oh, no. There's we've got a EPA has a |
| 24 | A I believe they provided me with the SPCC | 24 | digital camera policy that requires that any |
| 25 | plan. | 25 | manipulation of photos be documented, and I didn't, so |
| | | | |
| | Page 146 | | Page 148 |
| 1 | Page 146 O Are there other documents you normally | 1 | Page 148 there's no documentation to that effect |
| 1 2 | Q Are there other documents you normally | 1 2 | there's no documentation to that effect. |
| 1 2 3 | Q Are there other documents you normally request? | 1 2 3 | there's no documentation to that effect. Q Okay. Does CX-5 appear to be a true and |
| 2 | Q Are there other documents you normally request? A The training information. I'm not sure if | 2 | there's no documentation to that effect. Q Okay. Does CX-5 appear to be a true and accurate copy of your photo log? |
| 2 | Q Are there other documents you normally request? A The training information. I'm not sure if they had that. As far as inspections and tests, | 2 | there's no documentation to that effect. Q Okay. Does CX-5 appear to be a true and accurate copy of your photo log? A It does. |
| 2 3 4 | Q Are there other documents you normally request? A The training information. I'm not sure if they had that. As far as inspections and tests, there s seemed to have been a problem with the records | 2 3 4 | there's no documentation to that effect. Q Okay. Does CX-5 appear to be a true and accurate copy of your photo log? |
| 2 3 4 5 | Q Are there other documents you normally request? A The training information. I'm not sure if they had that. As far as inspections and tests, there s seemed to have been a problem with the records being missing. | 2 3 4 5 | there's no documentation to that effect. Q Okay. Does CX-5 appear to be a true and accurate copy of your photo log? A It does. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence CX-5. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | Q Are there other documents you normally request? A The training information. I'm not sure if they had that. As far as inspections and tests, there s seemed to have been a problem with the records being missing. Q Did they I think you just said you | 2 3 4 5 6 | there's no documentation to that effect. Q Okay. Does CX-5 appear to be a true and accurate copy of your photo log? A It does. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence CX-5. MR. McNEIL: No objection. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Q Are there other documents you normally request? A The training information. I'm not sure if they had that. As far as inspections and tests, there s seemed to have been a problem with the records being missing. Q Did they I think you just said you reviewed the SPCC plan. Did they provide you with an | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | there's no documentation to that effect. Q Okay. Does CX-5 appear to be a true and accurate copy of your photo log? A It does. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence CX-5. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | Q Are there other documents you normally request? A The training information. I'm not sure if they had that. As far as inspections and tests, there s seemed to have been a problem with the records being missing. Q Did they I think you just said you | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | there's no documentation to that effect. Q Okay. Does CX-5 appear to be a true and accurate copy of your photo log? A It does. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence CX-5. MR. McNEIL: No objection. JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 5 is admitted into the record. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | Q Are there other documents you normally request? A The training information. I'm not sure if they had that. As far as inspections and tests, there s seemed to have been a problem with the records being missing. Q Did they I think you just said you reviewed the SPCC plan. Did they provide you with an SPCC plan for this purpose, to review for this | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | there's no documentation to that effect. Q Okay. Does CX-5 appear to be a true and accurate copy of your photo log? A It does. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence CX-5. MR. McNEIL: No objection. JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 5 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Q Are there other documents you normally request? A The training information. I'm not sure if they had that. As far as inspections and tests, there s seemed to have been a problem with the records being missing. Q Did they I think you just said you reviewed the SPCC plan. Did they provide you with an SPCC plan for this purpose, to review for this inspection? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | there's no documentation to that effect. Q Okay. Does CX-5 appear to be a true and accurate copy of your photo log? A It does. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence CX-5. MR. McNEIL: No objection. JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 5 is admitted into the record. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | Q Are there other documents you normally request? A The training information. I'm not sure if they had that. As far as inspections and tests, there s seemed to have been a problem with the records being missing. Q Did they I think you just said you reviewed the SPCC plan. Did they provide you with an SPCC plan for this purpose, to review for this inspection? A Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | there's no documentation to that effect. Q Okay. Does CX-5 appear to be a true and accurate copy of your photo log? A It does. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence CX-5. MR. McNEIL: No objection. JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 5 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | Q Are there other documents you normally request? A The training information. I'm not sure if they had that. As far as inspections and tests, there s seemed to have been a problem with the records being missing. Q Did they I think you just said you reviewed the SPCC plan. Did they provide you with an SPCC plan for this purpose, to review for this inspection? A Yes. Q Will you please turn to CX-16, starting at | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | there's no documentation to that effect. Q Okay. Does CX-5 appear to be a true and accurate copy of your photo log? A It does. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence CX-5. MR. McNEIL: No objection. JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 5 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 5, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Q Are there other documents you normally request? A The training information. I'm not sure if they had that. As far as inspections and tests, there s seemed to have been a problem with the records being missing. Q Did they I think you just said you reviewed the SPCC plan. Did they provide you with an SPCC plan for this purpose, to review for this inspection? A Yes. Q Will you please turn to CX-16, starting at page 3? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | there's no documentation to that effect. Q Okay. Does CX-5 appear to be a true and accurate copy of your photo log? A It does. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence CX-5. MR. McNEIL: No objection. JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 5 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 5, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Q Are there other documents you normally request? A The training information. I'm not sure if they had that. As far as inspections and tests, there s seemed to have been a problem with the records being missing. Q Did they I think you just said you reviewed the SPCC plan. Did they provide you with an SPCC plan for this purpose, to review for this inspection? A Yes. Q Will you please turn to CX-16, starting at page 3? A Okay. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | there's no documentation to that effect. Q Okay. Does CX-5 appear to be a true and accurate copy of your photo log? A It does. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence CX-5. MR. McNEIL: No objection. JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 5 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 5, was received in evidence.) |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Q Are there other documents you normally request? A The training information. I'm not sure if they had that. As far as inspections and tests, there s seemed to have been a problem with the records being missing. Q Did they I think you just said you reviewed the SPCC plan. Did they provide you with an SPCC plan for this purpose, to review for this inspection? A Yes. Q Will you please turn to CX-16, starting at page 3? A Okay. Q Do you recognize this document? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | there's no documentation to that effect. Q Okay. Does CX-5 appear to be a true and accurate copy of your photo log? A It does. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence CX-5. MR. McNEIL: No objection. JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 5 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 5, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q During your 2012 inspection, did you observe |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Q Are there other documents you normally request? A The training information. I'm not sure if they had that. As far as inspections and tests, there s seemed to have been a problem with the records being missing. Q Did they I think you just said you reviewed the SPCC plan. Did they provide you with an SPCC plan for this purpose, to review for this inspection? A Yes. Q Will you please turn to CX-16, starting at page 3? A Okay. Q Do you recognize this document? A This is an SPCC plan that was prepared for | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | there's no documentation to that effect. Q Okay. Does CX-5 appear to be a true and accurate copy of your photo log? A It does. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence CX-5. MR. McNEIL: No objection. JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 5 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 5, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q During your 2012 inspection, did you observe two 2.4-million-gallon tanks? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Q Are there other documents you normally request? A The training information. I'm not sure if they had that. As far as inspections and tests, there s seemed to have been a problem with the records being missing. Q Did they I think you just said you reviewed the SPCC plan. Did they provide you with an SPCC plan for this purpose, to review for this inspection? A Yes. Q Will you please turn to CX-16, starting at page 3? A Okay. Q Do you recognize this document? A This is an SPCC plan that was prepared for VSS by Condor. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | there's no documentation to that effect. Q Okay. Does CX-5 appear to be a true and accurate copy of your photo log? A It does. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence CX-5. MR. McNEIL: No objection. JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 5 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 5, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q During your 2012 inspection, did you observe two 2.4-million-gallon tanks? A Yes. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Q Are there other documents you normally request? A The training information. I'm not sure if they had that. As far as inspections and tests, there s seemed to have been a problem with the records being missing. Q Did they I think you just said you reviewed the SPCC plan. Did they provide you with an SPCC plan for this purpose, to review for this inspection? A Yes. Q Will you please turn to CX-16, starting at page 3? A Okay. Q Do you recognize this document? A This is an SPCC plan that was prepared for VSS by Condor. Q Does this appear to be the plan that you | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | there's no documentation to that effect. Q Okay. Does CX-5 appear to be a true and accurate copy of your photo log? A It does. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence CX-5. MR. McNEIL: No objection. JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 5 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 5, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q During your 2012 inspection, did you observe two 2.4-million-gallon tanks? A Yes. Q And is there anything in these photos that |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Q Are there other documents you normally request? A The training information. I'm not sure if they had that. As far as inspections and tests, there s seemed to have been a problem with the records being missing. Q Did they I think you just said you reviewed the SPCC plan. Did they provide you with an SPCC plan for this purpose, to review for this inspection? A Yes. Q Will you please turn to CX-16, starting at page 3? A Okay. Q Do you recognize this document? A This is an SPCC plan that was prepared for VSS by Condor. Q Does this appear to be the plan that you reviewed at that 2012 inspection? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | there's no documentation to that effect. Q Okay. Does CX-5 appear to be a true and accurate copy of your photo log? A It does. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence CX-5. MR. McNEIL: No objection. JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 5 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 5, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q During your 2012 inspection, did you observe two 2.4-million-gallon tanks? A Yes. Q And is there anything in these photos that represent what you saw? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Q Are there other documents you normally request? A The training information. I'm not sure if they had that. As far as inspections and tests, there s seemed to have been a problem with the records being missing. Q Did they I think you just said you reviewed the SPCC plan. Did they provide you with an SPCC plan for this purpose, to review for this inspection? A Yes. Q Will you please turn to CX-16, starting at page 3? A Okay. Q Do you recognize this document? A This is an SPCC plan that was prepared for VSS by Condor. Q Does this appear to be the plan that you reviewed at that 2012 inspection? A Yes, it does. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | there's no documentation to that effect. Q Okay. Does CX-5 appear to be a true and accurate copy of your photo log? A It does. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence CX-5. MR. McNEIL: No objection. JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 5 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 5, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q During your 2012 inspection, did you observe two 2.4-million-gallon tanks? A Yes. Q And is there anything in these photos that represent what you saw? A Photo 1 shows the two large tanks, yes. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Q Are there other documents you normally request? A The training information. I'm not sure if they had that. As far as inspections and tests, there s seemed to have been a problem with the records being missing. Q Did they I think you just said you reviewed the SPCC plan. Did they provide you with an SPCC plan for this purpose, to review for this inspection? A Yes. Q Will you please turn to CX-16, starting at page 3? A Okay. Q Do you recognize this document? A This is an SPCC plan that was prepared for VSS by Condor. Q Does this appear to be the plan that you reviewed at that 2012 inspection? A Yes, it does. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | there's no documentation to that effect. Q Okay. Does CX-5 appear to be a true and accurate copy of your photo log? A It does. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence CX-5. MR. McNEIL: No objection. JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 5 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 5, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q During your 2012 inspection, did you observe two 2.4-million-gallon tanks? A Yes. Q And is there anything in these photos that represent what you saw? A Photo 1 shows the two large tanks, yes. Q What was your understanding during the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Q Are there other documents you normally request? A The training information. I'm not sure if they had that. As far as inspections and tests, there s seemed to have been a problem with the records being missing. Q Did they I think you just said you reviewed the SPCC plan. Did they provide you with an SPCC plan for this purpose, to review for this inspection? A Yes. Q Will you please turn to CX-16, starting at page 3? A Okay. Q Do you recognize this document? A This is an SPCC plan that was prepared for VSS by Condor. Q Does this appear to be the plan that you reviewed at that 2012 inspection? A Yes, it does. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence CX-16. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | there's no documentation to that effect. Q Okay. Does CX-5 appear to be a true and accurate copy of your photo log? A It does. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence CX-5. MR. McNEIL: No objection. JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 5 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 5, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q During your 2012 inspection, did you observe two 2.4-million-gallon tanks? A Yes. Q And is there anything in these photos that represent what you saw? A Photo 1 shows the two large tanks, yes. Q What was your understanding during the inspection as to whether these tanks were in service? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Q Are there other documents you normally request? A The training information. I'm not sure if they had that. As far as inspections and tests, there s seemed to have been a problem with the records being missing. Q Did they I think you just said you reviewed the SPCC plan. Did they provide you with an SPCC plan for this purpose, to review for this inspection? A Yes. Q Will you please turn to CX-16, starting at page 3? A Okay. Q Do you recognize this document? A This is an SPCC plan that was prepared for VSS by Condor. Q Does this appear to be the plan that you reviewed at that 2012 inspection? A Yes, it does. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence CX-16. MR. McNEIL: No objection, Your Honor. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | there's no documentation to that effect. Q Okay. Does CX-5 appear to be a true and accurate copy of your photo log? A It does. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence CX-5. MR. McNEIL: No objection. JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 5 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 5, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q During your 2012 inspection, did you observe two 2.4-million-gallon tanks? A Yes. Q And is there anything in these photos that represent what you saw? A Photo 1 shows the two large tanks, yes. Q What was your understanding during the inspection as to whether these tanks were in service? A It was my understanding that the uninsulated |

Page 151 Page 149 1 the -- to the right, in the background more, was in 1 construction, and the tanks, the rail lines, the 2 2 railcars, the transfer racks were not included in the operation, in service. 3 Q And why did you believe at the time of that 3 plan, but I was doing an inspection in November. The 4 4 inspection that Tank 2001 was in service? plan was dated April, actually around the time that 5 5 A Judging from the appearance, it was one of the tanks reportedly went into service, so the 6 insulated, and there was piping there, but I mostly 6 tank should have -- the SPCC plan should have been 7 relied on the evidence of the facility personnel, who 7 updated by then. 8 8 said it had been in service for several months. I also noted that if the tank -- if the 9 9 large tank held asphaltic cement rather than hot mix Q Was there anything associated -- anything 10 else associated with the tank that was new and related 10 asphalt, that a Facility Response Plan would be 11 to the tank? Like did you observe rail lines or rail 11 required for the facility. 12 12 Q Did you make any formal determination of 13 13 A There is no a photograph that clearly shows violations at that inspection? 14 it, but there were rail lines -- railroad tracks and 14 A No. Violations are something that are 15 -- let me see if the -- also, the lines running from 15 determined by enforcement and ORC management. 16 the tracks to the -- or from the -- from that area to 16 Q Do you have the authority to make a 17 the area of the tanks. 17 determination of violation? 18 Q When you say lines, is that piping, 18 A No. We -- I can point out areas of 19 essentially? 19 noncompliance and deficiencies, but not violations. 20 A Piping. 20 Q Okay. Will you please turn to CX-10? 21 21 Q Do you need a minute? A Okay. 22 JUDGE BIRO: Yeah. 22 Q That was fast. Can you describe this 23 23 MS. SUGERMAN: Have a drink -document? 24 JUDGE BIRO: Ms. Witul, do you want to take 24 A This is a letter that was sent to VSS from 25 25 EPA. It's a fairly standard letter asking for -- an a break? Page 150 Page 152 THE WITNESS: I think I'm good. 1 information request asking for more information 1 2 2 JUDGE BIRO: Okay. following up on the inspection and questions that were 3 Andrea, can we get Ms. Witul some more 3 unanswered by reviewing the plan and at the 4 4 water? inspection. 5 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah. 5 Q Did you prepare the questions that are part 6 JUDGE BIRO: Okav. 6 of this letter? 7 BY MS. SUGERMAN: 7 A I prepared facility-specific questions, yes. 8 8 Q Did you observe transfer racks associated Q And do you -- did you sign this letter? 9 with the tank? 9 A No. This letter goes out from management, 10 A I believe I did. I mean, I don't see a 10 actually. It was signed by the enforcement division photograph of them, but I believe there were transfer 11 11 director, or assistant director. 12 12 racks. There are certainly transfer racks at other Q Did you prepare the rest of the language of 13 13 places at the facility. the letter? You prepared some of the questions. Did 14 14 Q Okay. Did you have a closing conference? you prepare the rest of the language? 15 15 A Yes. A A lot of it is standard language for an 16 Q Do you recall any potential areas of 16 information request and legal language. 17 noncompliance that you communicated during the closing 17 Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate 18 conference? 18 copy of the information request that was sent to the 19 A The areas that I communicated were the fact 19 VSS? 20 that the SPCC plan did not address testing -- or 20 A Yes. 21 inspections and testing of any of the tanks, and the 21 Okay. 22 22 MS. SUGERMAN: I move to have CX-10 entered fact that the two point -- this says 2.5 -- 2.38-23 23 million-gallon tank that was in service was not into evidence. 24 included in the plan. The plan did state that at the 24 MR. McNEIL: No objection. 25 time the plan was prepared the tanks were under 25 JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 10 is

| | Page 153 | | Page 155 |
|----|---|----|---|
| 1 | admitted into the record. | 1 | subject to FRP? What were the specific factors? |
| 2 | (The document referred to, | 2 | A Actually, in this plan, and then the plan |
| 3 | previously identified as | 3 | that I reviewed, the Condor plan, there was a |
| 4 | Complainant's Exhibit No. 10, | 4 | statement that there was insufficient secondary |
| 5 | was received in evidence.) | 5 | containment, so that would be one of the requirements. |
| 6 | BY MS. SUGERMAN: | 6 | Obviously, this facility doesn't transfer over water, |
| 7 | Q Do you recall if you received a response to | 7 | but it has over a million gallons. It had inadequate |
| 8 | this information request? | 8 | secondary containment, according to the plan, and then |
| 9 | A I did receive a response. It was not | 9 | was situated in an area that could cause injury to |
| 10 | exactly within the time specified. I believe there | 10 | fish, wildlife, and sensitive environment. |
| 11 | were extensions given, but yes, we did receive a | 11 | Q Just to confirm your perspective on the |
| 12 | response. | 12 | facility, what's the closest water to the facility? |
| 13 | Q Will you please turn to RX-2? | 13 | A The Sacramento River Deep Water Ship |
| 14 | A I have it. | 14 | Channel. |
| 15 | Q Do you recognize this document? | 15 | Q And how did you determine that the channel |
| 16 | A Yes, this appears to be the response to the | 16 | was also a fish and wildlife and sensitive |
| 17 | information request. | 17 | environment? |
| 18 | Q Do you recall if you reviewed this response | 18 | A Looking at a couple of different documents. |
| 19 | when it came in? | 19 | One was the Area Contingency Plan, and then another |
| 20 | A Yes. | 20 | was a document published by NOAA that has to do with |
| 21 | Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate | 21 | sensitive environments. |
| | | 22 | |
| 22 | copy of the information response? A It does. | 23 | Q Did you rely on the ACP in making your determination, that Area Contingency Plan? |
| 23 | | 24 | |
| 24 | Q Okay. | | A To a large degree, yes. |
| 25 | MS. SUGERMAN: I move to have RX-2 entered | 25 | Q Since you determined that the facility is |
| | Page 154 | | Page 156 |
| 1 | into evidence. | 1 | within 200 feet of the Sacramento River Deep Water |
| 2 | MR. McNEIL: No objection. | 2 | Shipping [sic] Channel, did you calculate a planning |
| 3 | JUDGE BIRO: RX-2 is admitted into the | 3 | distance? |
| 4 | record. | 4 | A I did not. It's up to the facility to |
| 5 | (The document referred to, | 5 | calculate a planning distance. |
| 6 | previously identified as | 6 | Q Had the facility calculated a planning |
| 7 | Respondent's Exhibit No. 2, | 7 | distance? |
| 8 | was received in evidence.) | 8 | A No. |
| 9 | BY MS. SUGERMAN: | 9 | Q So without that, and without even having |
| 10 | Q Based on your observations during the | 10 | calculated one, how did you make the determination |
| 11 | inspection and your review of the information request | 11 | that they were required to have an FRP? |
| 12 | response, did you believe the facility was subject to | 12 | A The fact that the water was visible from the |
| 13 | the FRP requirements? | 13 | facility, and I also relied on the statement at I |
| 14 | A Based on their response, I wouldn't say, but | 14 | believe it's Attachment C that was gone over in Mr. |
| 15 | based on my inspection and the regulatory | 15 | Swackhammer's testimony, that if the if it's clear |
| 16 | requirements, I believed them that they should have | 16 | that the if it's clear that fish, wildlife, and |
| 17 | a Facility Response Plan. | 17 | sensitive environment could be affected, a planning |
| 18 | Q Just to confirm I heard that correctly, you | 18 | distance calculation isn't necessary. |
| 19 | felt that based on your observations and the | 19 | Q Okay. Please turn to CX-4. |
| 20 | regulations, they should it should apply. | 20 | A Okay. |
| 21 | A Yes, and partially based on their response | 21 | Q Okay. Can you describe this document for |
| 22 | in that the tank had been in service, was in service, | 22 | me? |
| 23 | had been in service, and that it contained asphaltic | 23 | A I'm sorry, I was looking at R exhibits. |
| 24 | cement. | 24 | Q CX. |
| 25 | Q And why did you believe the facility was | 25 | A This is a SPCC field inspection plan review |
| | | 1 | 1 F |

| | Page 157 | | Page 159 |
|----------|--|----------|--|
| 1 | checklist and serves as a report after an SPCC | 1 | put some of the information in these comment boxes? |
| 2 | inspection. | 2 | A Yes. |
| 3 | Q What's the date that this checklist was | 3 | Q Did you complete this checklist during the |
| 4 | completed? | 4 | inspection? |
| 5 | A This was finalized in September 2013. | 5 | A During the inspection, I would have had a |
| 6 | Q And did you complete this checklist? | 6 | copy that took notes on. |
| 7 | A Yes. | 7 | Q If you would turn to page 5 of 26 here, can |
| 8 | Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate | 8 | you explain |
| 9 | copy of the of your inspection checklist? | 9 | A I'm |
| 10 | A Yes, it does. | 10 | Q Oh, sorry. 5 of 17, sorry. |
| 11 | MS. SUGERMAN: I intend to move into | 11 | A Thank you. |
| 12 | evidence CX-4. | 12 | Q Actually, it's CX 5 of 18, to make it even |
| 13 | MR. McNEIL: No objection. | 13 | more confusing. And it is the page that starts at |
| 14 | JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 4 is | 14 | top, "Amendment of SPCC Plan by Regional |
| 15 | admitted into the record. | 15 | Administrator." Is that are we on the same page, |
| 16 | (The document referred to, | 16 | CX-4, page |
| 17 | previously identified as | 17 | A Yes. |
| 18 | Complainant's Exhibit No. 4, | 18 | Q 5 of 18? Okay. |
| 19 | was received in evidence.) | 19 | A Yes. |
| 20 | BY MS. SUGERMAN: | 20 | Q I see that there is text in the comment box. |
| 21 | Q Where does the template for this checklist | 21 | Can you take a look at that text and explain that |
| 22 | come from? | 22 | concern to me? |
| 23 | A It's found it well, there's a newer | 23 | A So the comment box at the bottom states that |
| 24 | version, so this one isn't online, but the inspector | 24 | regarding 40 CFR 112.5(a) that the facility had put |
| 25 | guidance, the SPCC inspector guidance one of the | 25 | one 2.5-million-gallon tank and the transfer rack into |
| | Page 158 | | Page 160 |
| 1 | attachments is the this SPCC checklist. As I said, | 1 | service, which were not covered in the plan. Also, |
| 2 | this one's been superseded by a newer one. | 2 | that the five-year review was addressed and there had |
| 3 | Q So this form or the more recent version is | 3 | been a 2007 plan, so that part was in compliance. |
| 4 | used nationally during SPCC inspections? | 4 | Q And just to clarify, this section |
| 5 | A Yes. | 5 | specifically, 112.5, what is the requirement of 112.5 |
| 6 | Q Can you look starting with the main | 6 | at issue here? |
| 7 | content here, explain to me how the checklist is | 7 | A 112.5(a) requires if there's a change at the |
| 8 | organized? | 8 | facility that materially affects the potential for |
| 9 | A After the first substantial page, which | 9 | discharge that the plan needs to be amended within six |
| 10 | would be page 2, CX-4, page 2, after that it goes | 10 | months. |
| 11 | through the regulatory citation provisions | 11 | Q I'm moving on. If you would look at CX-4 |
| 12 | sequentially, and then it starts out just with the | 12 | page 8. Same thing, if you could take a look at the |
| 13 | plan requirements, the plan itself, with a yes, no, or | 13 | comments you have in the comment box, and you have |
| 14 | an N/A checklist with the spaces in between to for | 14 | some relating to transfer racks. Can you explain |
| 15 | comments, and then later it goes into both the field | 15 | those for me? |
| 16 | and plan columns. So you can if the plan was | 16 | A The last comment? |
| 17 | covered an area but it wasn't implemented in the | 17 | Q Yes. |
| 18 | field, there that could be indicated. Again, it | 18 | A (Clears throat.) Excuse me. This is under |
| 19 | has yes, no, or not applicable; areas for comments; | 19 | pertaining to 112.7(c), that the rack for railcars |
| 20 | and then at the end, going through the various | 20 | is not specifically addressed as having sized |
| 21 | citation, or the various provisions of 20 CFR 112, | 21 | containment. |
| 22 | there's an area for additional comments and fill in | 22 | Q And what does that mean? What's the concern |
| 23 | all of the information. | 23 | there? |
| 24 25 | Q So in additional to in addition to | 24 25 | A The requirement for bulk storage containers |
| 23 | completing the checkmarked boxes, did you also have | 23 | and transfer racks is that they have sized containment |

Page 163 Page 161 1 in order -- in other words, they have to have 1 A Yes, but --2 containment that would be able to hold the contents of 2 Q Can you tell me how you obtained this photo? 3 3 the largest container. So in this case, it would be A I obtained this photo within the past year 4 4 -- at a rail transfer rack, it would be one railroad using the historical portion of Google Earth. This is 5 5 not -- I didn't take this at -- I didn't capture this car. And that wasn't addressed at the -- in the plan. 6 The rack actually wasn't -- and the railcars weren't 6 at the time of the inspection. 7 addressed at all. But if there is not sized 7 Q Is Google Earth publicly available 8 containment, then the contents of a railcar could be 8 information? 9 9 discharged and leave the facility and go into the A Yes, it is. 10 10 Q And it's -- I think you mentioned this navigable water. 11 Q And just to clarify, we're talking about 11 earlier, but looking at Google Earth images is 12 containment as shorthand for the secondary containment 12 something you do regularly as part of your facility 13 13 requirement -investigations, generally? 14 14 A That's correct. A Yes, I use either Google Earth or 15 O Is that correct? 15 DigitalGlobe. 16 16 Yes. Q Okay. 17 Q Okay. Can you tell me more about the 17 MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to admit CX-52 18 loading rack? What was this used for? 18 into evidence. 19 A There are several loading racks throughout 19 MR. McNEIL: Your Honor, we object. There's 20 the facility in various areas, but this one is 20 a lack of foundation. I understand that the witness 21 21 has testified that she downloaded it within the past specific to the railcars. And so a loading rack is --22 there's -- it's described in the regulations as a 22 year, but it purports to reflect site conditions --23 transfer area that has a mechanical -- I believe 23 all we have is a legend that shows a date from May 2.4 mechanical arm or something other than a hose in order 24 2012. These are not conditions she observed. She's 25 to -- for the delivery of material, oil. 25 testified that her first visit to the site was six Page 162 Page 164 Q And was it your understanding that VSS built 1 months later. You know, Wikipedia or Google Earth --1 2 2 the railcar loading specifically to use with the new I mean, that anybody can download doesn't mean they 3 3 2.4-million-gallon asphalt tanks? represent the site conditions. Lack of foundation. 4 4 MS. SUGERMAN: May I make one responsive A Yes, according to the plan, and that was --5 5 that was my understanding, and that's been indicated comment there? 6 6 JUDGE BIRO: Yes. in the subsequent plans. 7 Q Okay. Will you please turn to CX-52? We'll 7 MS. SUGERMAN: There is the possibility that 8 8 give everyone else a second to get there. given the technology in the room, we can provide a 9 9 A Digital images? demonstration at some point of somebody accessing 10 Q What's that? 10 Google Earth and using the historical feature to 11 11 document how that feature works and how this shows the A These are digital images. 12 12 O Okay. We're just going to look at page 1 2012 conditions. 13 13 for a moment. So you describe this exhibit as digital JUDGE BIRO: But let me just clarify. 14 14 images. What's it an image of? You didn't take this photograph. 15 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 15 A This is a Google Earth image of the VSS 16 facility. The imagery date is 5/19/2012. It shows 16 JUDGE BIRO: Okay. And does this image on 17 the -- there has been an addition to this image. I 17 this photograph reflect what you saw at the time you 18 18 indicated in red a certain tank. Otherwise, I didn't conducted the inspection or another time that you were 19 manipulate this at all. It shows the tanks at the 19 at the VSS facility? 20 20 THE WITNESS: It appears to. I wasn't in a facility, specifically the two large ones. The one on 21 the left is an insulated tank that has piping. The 21 position that I could see from above, but it does

22

23

24

25

22

23

24

25

tracks.

rail lines are shown to the left of that tank. And it

looks like nine railcars are visible there along the

Q Did you obtain this photo?

JUDGE BIRO: Where the tanks were present?

JUDGE BIRO: And the pipes were present?

appear like the general conditions.

THE WITNESS: That's correct.

Page 167 Page 165 1 1 THE WITNESS: Yes. it, I can walk through it page by page and have her 2 2 JUDGE BIRO: How about the railroad cars? discuss each of her findings. 3 3 THE WITNESS: I don't --JUDGE BIRO: Mm-hmm. 4 4 JUDGE BIRO: Did you --MS. SUGERMAN: And we thought it would save 5 5 THE WITNESS: I can't remember if there were time just to adopt the checklist rather than doing 6 railroad cars on the day I was there. The racks were 6 7 7 JUDGE BIRO: And do you object to that? MR. McNEIL: We strenuously object to that, 8 JUDGE BIRO: Okay. 8 9 9 I'm going to admit the exhibit. I think it Your Honor. This is an 18-page document that was 10 fairly and accurately depicts what she saw on the day, 10 created years ago, and I don't know what her testimony 11 so I'm going to overrule the objection. 11 is. I don't know what she saw and what she didn't 12 (The document referred to, 12 see. If she want -- if they want to actually use that 13 13 previously identified as as evidence, she needs to back it up. 14 14 JUDGE BIRO: Well, I think our rules allow Complainant's Exhibit No. 52, 15 15 for the admission of written testimony in lieu of oral was received in evidence.) 16 16 BY MS. SUGERMAN: testimony if the witness adopts it at the hearing. Q Is there anything in this photo that informs 17 17 MR. McNEIL: Well, I guess we just don't 18 your thoughts about whether Tank 2001 was in 18 know what she's, you know -- they're trying to 19 19 operation? establish liability based on a document, when they 20 20 have a live witness here. She's saying -- I mean, A There are several things. The fact that 21 21 there is piping to the tank, the fact that the tank is it's kind of the same point as the Google Earth, you 22 insulated, then most importantly the tank that there 22 know, which -- she wasn't even there for that. 23 23 I understand -- I respect Your Honor's are -- the fact that there are several railcars there. 24 Q Okay. Rather than necessarily going though 24 ruling, but at the same time, she's here. So if she's 25 25 going to corroborate an allegation about a violation each page of the inspection report, I wonder if you Page 166 Page 168 1 1 would be willing to adopt this checklist as your that they're seeking a penalty for, like it seems to 2 2 testimony regarding your observations and conclusions me if she was there and she saw it, we need that 3 at the 2012 inspection. 3 testimony, as opposed to the wholesale adoption of an 4 4 A I would be willing to. 18-page inspection report that's six, seven years --5 5 MR. McNEIL: We -- objection, Your Honor. six or seven, depending on when she -- she's saying 6 JUDGE BIRO: Yes? 6 she took the notes in 2012, but she didn't write the 7 MR. McNEIL: We object that she be allowed 7 document until 2013. I mean, it's --8 8 to -- that she be permitted to adopt the wholesale a JUDGE BIRO: Right, I understand your 9 9 document as her -- Your Honor, we respectfully object objection. Okay. I'm going to overrule your 10 that the witness be permitted to adopt an entire, I 10 objection. I think it's consistent with our Rule 22-11 11 believe, 18-page document as her testimony. We 22 Section C providing for written testimony, that we 12 believe if there are matters in the document that 12 may admit into the record in lieu of oral testimony if it's prepared by the witness, and you're -- she's 13 pertain to the allegations that complainant has 13 14 14 alleged, those need to be elicited from the witness subject to cross examination on every part of it. So 15 15 while she's here. we're going to admit it with her affirmation that this 16 JUDGE BIRO: And what document are we 16 is the document that she completed. 17 referring to exactly, now? 17 MS. SUGERMAN: As I'm not familiar with the 18 MS. SUGERMAN: So -- sorry, CX-4 is the 18 process, do I then need to make some sort of statement 19 inspection checklist that she completed. It shows her 19 about entering it as her testimony? 20 20 observations and conclusions for the 2012 inspection. JUDGE BIRO: I think we're going to admit 21 JUDGE BIRO: So why do we need to adopt it, 21 the document into the record. We already did, 22 exactly? 22 actually.

23

24

25

MS. SUGERMAN: No, and just to be clear, I

would say anything she adopts would be available for

cross exam, but the -- and I -- in place of adopting

23

24

25

JUDGE BIRO: And she already testified that

MS. SUGERMAN: You're right.

this was what she completed, so no.

| | Page 169 | | Page 171 |
|--|--|--|---|
| 1 | MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. Thank you. | 1 | Exhibit 6 into the record. |
| 2 | BY MS. SUGERMAN: | 2 | MR. McNEIL: Oh, have we? Is that your |
| 3 | Q And will you please turn to the exhibit | 3 | question? |
| 4 | marked RX-6? So in the black binders. | 4 | JUDGE BIRO: We did. |
| 5 | A I have it. | 5 | MR. McNEIL: Oh, yes. It's fine with us, |
| 6 | Q We'll give everyone else a moment to get | 6 | yes. |
| 7 | there. | 7 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. |
| 8 | JUDGE BIRO: Sorry. One day we'll move to | 8 | MR. McNEIL: I'm sorry for the confusion. |
| 9 | electronic documents | 9 | BY MS. SUGERMAN: |
| 10 | MS. SUGERMAN: Yeah. | 10 | Q What were the two violations specifically |
| 11 | JUDGE BIRO: and it won't be nearly this | 11 | identified in the second paragraph of that letter? |
| 12 | bad. | 12 | A That the facility didn't conduct integrity |
| 13 | MS. SUGERMAN: Maybe. | 13 | testing in accordance with the industry standards as |
| 14 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. | 14 | required by 40 CFR 112.8(c)(6), and that the facility |
| 15 | MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. | 15 | did not have had not prepared and implemented a |
| 16 | BY MS. SUGERMAN: | 16 | Facility Response Plan. |
| 17 | Q So RX-6, please. Do you recognize this | 17 | Q Was this a comprehensive list of the |
| 18 | document? | 18 | concerns identified during your inspection and |
| 19 | A Yes. | 19 | subsequent investigation? |
| 20 | Q And can you describe this document for me? | 20 | A No. The letter was accompanied by the |
| 21 | A This is a letter to VSS from the EPA, and we | 21 | inspection checklist, which is CX-4, and that included |
| 22 | call this, informally, a show cause letter. It states | 22 | additional details about the noncompliance issues. |
| 23 | that the that EPA believes there were violations | 23 | Q After the facility received the show cause |
| 24 | after the inspection and reviewing the information | 24 | letter, do you know if they immediately addressed the |
| 25 | from the information request that VSS replied to. And | 25 | concerns that had been identified? |
| | | | |
| | Page 170 | | Page 172 |
| | | | |
| 1 | it gives the facility the opportunity to engage in | 1 | A I know that some of them were addressed. I |
| 2 | settlement discussions. | 2 | believe they tried to address the testing information |
| 2 | settlement discussions. Q Did you help prepare this letter? | 2 | believe they tried to address the testing information or the testing concerns. |
| 2 3 4 | settlement discussions. Q Did you help prepare this letter? A Yes. | 2 3 4 | believe they tried to address the testing information or the testing concerns. Q When you say testing, is that the tank |
| 2 3 4 5 | settlement discussions. Q Did you help prepare this letter? A Yes. Q Did you sign this letter? | 2 3 4 5 | believe they tried to address the testing information or the testing concerns. Q When you say testing, is that the tank integrity testing? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | settlement discussions. Q Did you help prepare this letter? A Yes. Q Did you sign this letter? A No. It's sent by the enforcement division | 2 3 4 5 6 | believe they tried to address the testing information or the testing concerns. Q When you say testing, is that the tank integrity testing? A Yes, I'm sorry, tank integrity testing. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | settlement discussions. Q Did you help prepare this letter? A Yes. Q Did you sign this letter? A No. It's sent by the enforcement division manager. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | believe they tried to address the testing information or the testing concerns. Q When you say testing, is that the tank integrity testing? A Yes, I'm sorry, tank integrity testing. Q And did they challenge any of the findings |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | settlement discussions. Q Did you help prepare this letter? A Yes. Q Did you sign this letter? A No. It's sent by the enforcement division manager. Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | believe they tried to address the testing information or the testing concerns. Q When you say testing, is that the tank integrity testing? A Yes, I'm sorry, tank integrity testing. Q And did they challenge any of the findings in the show cause letter? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | settlement discussions. Q Did you help prepare this letter? A Yes. Q Did you sign this letter? A No. It's sent by the enforcement division manager. Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the letter? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | believe they tried to address the testing information or the testing concerns. Q When you say testing, is that the tank integrity testing? A Yes, I'm sorry, tank integrity testing. Q And did they challenge any of the findings in the show cause letter? A They did contest the FRP requirement. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | settlement discussions. Q Did you help prepare this letter? A Yes. Q Did you sign this letter? A No. It's sent by the enforcement division manager. Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the letter? A It does. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | believe they tried to address the testing information or the testing concerns. Q When you say testing, is that the tank integrity testing? A Yes, I'm sorry, tank integrity testing. Q And did they challenge any of the findings in the show cause letter? A They did contest the FRP requirement. Q What did you understand their argument to be |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | settlement discussions. Q Did you help prepare this letter? A Yes. Q Did you sign this letter? A No. It's sent by the enforcement division manager. Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the letter? A It does. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | believe they tried to address the testing information or the testing concerns. Q When you say testing, is that the tank integrity testing? A Yes, I'm sorry, tank integrity testing. Q And did they challenge any of the findings in the show cause letter? A They did contest the FRP requirement. Q What did you understand their argument to be as to why they were not subject to FRP? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | settlement discussions. Q Did you help prepare this letter? A Yes. Q Did you sign this letter? A No. It's sent by the enforcement division manager. Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the letter? A It does. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence RX-6. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | believe they tried to address the testing information or the testing concerns. Q When you say testing, is that the tank integrity testing? A Yes, I'm sorry, tank integrity testing. Q And did they challenge any of the findings in the show cause letter? A They did contest the FRP requirement. Q What did you understand their argument to be as to why they were not subject to FRP? A Partially, it was based on the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | settlement discussions. Q Did you help prepare this letter? A Yes. Q Did you sign this letter? A No. It's sent by the enforcement division manager. Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the letter? A It does. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence RX-6. JUDGE BIRO: Is there objection? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | believe they tried to address the testing information or the testing concerns. Q When you say testing, is that the tank integrity testing? A Yes, I'm sorry, tank integrity testing. Q And did they challenge any of the findings in the show cause letter? A They did contest the FRP requirement. Q What did you understand their argument to be as to why they were not subject to FRP? A Partially, it was based on the characteristics of asphaltic cement, the fact that it |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | settlement discussions. Q Did you help prepare this letter? A Yes. Q Did you sign this letter? A No. It's sent by the enforcement division manager. Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the letter? A It does. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence RX-6. JUDGE BIRO: Is there objection? MR. McNEIL: No objection. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | believe they tried to address the testing information or the testing concerns. Q When you say testing, is that the tank integrity testing? A Yes, I'm sorry, tank integrity testing. Q And did they challenge any of the findings in the show cause letter? A They did contest the FRP requirement. Q What did you understand their argument to be as to why they were not subject to FRP? A Partially, it was based on the characteristics of asphaltic cement, the fact that it is a heated material. Also, that they had the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | settlement discussions. Q Did you help prepare this letter? A Yes. Q Did you sign this letter? A No. It's sent by the enforcement division manager. Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the letter? A It does. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence RX-6. JUDGE BIRO: Is there objection? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | believe they tried to address the testing information or the testing concerns. Q When you say testing, is that the tank integrity testing? A Yes, I'm sorry, tank integrity testing. Q And did they challenge any of the findings in the show cause letter? A They did contest the FRP requirement. Q What did you understand their argument to be as to why they were not subject to FRP? A Partially, it was based on the characteristics of asphaltic cement, the fact that it is a heated material. Also, that they had the secondary containment and didn't believe it would hit |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | settlement discussions. Q Did you help prepare this letter? A Yes. Q Did you sign this letter? A No. It's sent by the enforcement division manager. Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the letter? A It does. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence RX-6. JUDGE BIRO: Is there objection? MR. McNEIL: No objection. JUDGE BIRO: No objection. Respondent's Exhibit 6 is admitted into the record. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | believe they tried to address the testing information or the testing concerns. Q When you say testing, is that the tank integrity testing? A Yes, I'm sorry, tank integrity testing. Q And did they challenge any of the findings in the show cause letter? A They did contest the FRP requirement. Q What did you understand their argument to be as to why they were not subject to FRP? A Partially, it was based on the characteristics of asphaltic cement, the fact that it is a heated material. Also, that they had the secondary containment and didn't believe it would hit the water. And I believe at that point they were also |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | settlement discussions. Q Did you help prepare this letter? A Yes. Q Did you sign this letter? A No. It's sent by the enforcement division manager. Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the letter? A It does. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence RX-6. JUDGE BIRO: Is there objection? MR. McNEIL: No objection. JUDGE BIRO: No objection. Respondent's Exhibit 6 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | believe they tried to address the testing information or the testing concerns. Q When you say testing, is that the tank integrity testing? A Yes, I'm sorry, tank integrity testing. Q And did they challenge any of the findings in the show cause letter? A They did contest the FRP requirement. Q What did you understand their argument to be as to why they were not subject to FRP? A Partially, it was based on the characteristics of asphaltic cement, the fact that it is a heated material. Also, that they had the secondary containment and didn't believe it would hit the water. And I believe at that point they were also questioning whether the Sacramento River Deep Water |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | settlement discussions. Q Did you help prepare this letter? A Yes. Q Did you sign this letter? A No. It's sent by the enforcement division manager. Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the letter? A It does. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence RX-6. JUDGE BIRO: Is there objection? MR. McNEIL: No objection. JUDGE BIRO: No objection. Respondent's Exhibit 6 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | believe they tried to address the testing information or the testing concerns. Q When you say testing, is that the tank integrity testing? A Yes, I'm sorry, tank integrity testing. Q And did they challenge any of the findings in the show cause letter? A They did contest the FRP requirement. Q What did you understand their argument to be as to why they were not subject to FRP? A Partially, it was based on the characteristics of asphaltic cement, the fact that it is a heated material. Also, that they had the secondary containment and didn't believe it would hit the water. And I believe at that point they were also |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | settlement discussions. Q Did you help prepare this letter? A Yes. Q Did you sign this letter? A No. It's sent by the enforcement division manager. Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the letter? A It does. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence RX-6. JUDGE BIRO: Is there objection? MR. McNEIL: No objection. JUDGE BIRO: No objection. Respondent's Exhibit 6 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | believe they tried to address the testing information or the testing concerns. Q When you say testing, is that the tank integrity testing? A Yes, I'm sorry, tank integrity testing. Q And did they challenge any of the findings in the show cause letter? A They did contest the FRP requirement. Q What did you understand their argument to be as to why they were not subject to FRP? A Partially, it was based on the characteristics of asphaltic cement, the fact that it is a heated material. Also, that they had the secondary containment and didn't believe it would hit the water. And I believe at that point they were also questioning whether the Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel was a sensitive environment. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | settlement discussions. Q Did you help prepare this letter? A Yes. Q Did you sign this letter? A No. It's sent by the enforcement division manager. Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the letter? A It does. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence RX-6. JUDGE BIRO: Is there objection? MR. McNEIL: No objection. JUDGE BIRO: No objection. Respondent's Exhibit 6 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Respondent's Exhibit No. 6, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | believe they tried to address the testing information or the testing concerns. Q When you say testing, is that the tank integrity testing? A Yes, I'm sorry, tank integrity testing. Q And did they challenge any of the findings in the show cause letter? A They did contest the FRP requirement. Q What did you understand their argument to be as to why they were not subject to FRP? A Partially, it was based on the characteristics of asphaltic cement, the fact that it is a heated material. Also, that they had the secondary containment and didn't believe it would hit the water. And I believe at that point they were also questioning whether the Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel was a sensitive environment. Q Did they submit any documentation explaining |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | settlement discussions. Q Did you help prepare this letter? A Yes. Q Did you sign this letter? A No. It's sent by the enforcement division manager. Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the letter? A It does. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence RX-6. JUDGE BIRO: Is there objection? MR. McNEIL: No objection. JUDGE BIRO: No objection. Respondent's Exhibit 6 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Respondent's Exhibit No. 6, was received in evidence.) | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | believe they tried to address the testing information or the testing concerns. Q When you say testing, is that the tank integrity testing? A Yes, I'm sorry, tank integrity testing. Q And did they challenge any of the findings in the show cause letter? A They did contest the FRP requirement. Q What did you understand their argument to be as to why they were not subject to FRP? A Partially, it was based on the characteristics of asphaltic cement, the fact that it is a heated material. Also, that they had the secondary containment and didn't believe it would hit the water. And I believe at that point they were also questioning whether the Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel was a sensitive environment. Q Did they submit any documentation explaining the basis for this argument? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | settlement discussions. Q Did you help prepare this letter? A Yes. Q Did you sign this letter? A No. It's sent by the enforcement division manager. Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the letter? A It does. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence RX-6. JUDGE BIRO: Is there objection? MR. McNEIL: No objection. JUDGE BIRO: No objection. Respondent's Exhibit 6 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Respondent's Exhibit No. 6, was received in evidence.) MR. McNEIL: Pardon me? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | believe they tried to address the testing information or the testing concerns. Q When you say testing, is that the tank integrity testing? A Yes, I'm sorry, tank integrity testing. Q And did they challenge any of the findings in the show cause letter? A They did contest the FRP requirement. Q What did you understand their argument to be as to why they were not subject to FRP? A Partially, it was based on the characteristics of asphaltic cement, the fact that it is a heated material. Also, that they had the secondary containment and didn't believe it would hit the water. And I believe at that point they were also questioning whether the Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel was a sensitive environment. Q Did they submit any documentation explaining the basis for this argument? A Yes. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | settlement discussions. Q Did you help prepare this letter? A Yes. Q Did you sign this letter? A No. It's sent by the enforcement division manager. Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the letter? A It does. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence RX-6. JUDGE BIRO: Is there objection? MR. McNEIL: No objection. JUDGE BIRO: No objection. Respondent's Exhibit 6 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Respondent's Exhibit No. 6, was received in evidence.) MR. McNEIL: Pardon me? JUDGE BIRO: We admitted it into the record. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | believe they tried to address the testing information or the testing concerns. Q When you say testing, is that the tank integrity testing? A Yes, I'm sorry, tank integrity testing. Q And did they challenge any of the findings in the show cause letter? A They did contest the FRP requirement. Q What did you understand their argument to be as to why they were not subject to FRP? A Partially, it was based on the characteristics of asphaltic cement, the fact that it is a heated material. Also, that they had the secondary containment and didn't believe it would hit the water. And I believe at that point they were also questioning whether the Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel was a sensitive environment. Q Did they submit any documentation explaining the basis for this argument? A Yes. Q Can you tell me what they submitted? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | settlement discussions. Q Did you help prepare this letter? A Yes. Q Did you sign this letter? A No. It's sent by the enforcement division manager. Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the letter? A It does. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence RX-6. JUDGE BIRO: Is there objection? MR. McNEIL: No objection. JUDGE BIRO: No objection. Respondent's Exhibit 6 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Respondent's Exhibit No. 6, was received in evidence.) MR. McNEIL: Pardon me? JUDGE BIRO: We admitted it into the record. MR. McNEIL: Yeah, I'm sorry, Your Honor, I | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | believe they tried to address the testing information or the testing concerns. Q When you say testing, is that the tank integrity testing? A Yes, I'm sorry, tank integrity testing. Q And did they challenge any of the findings in the show cause letter? A They did contest the FRP requirement. Q What did you understand their argument to be as to why they were not subject to FRP? A Partially, it was based on the characteristics of asphaltic cement, the fact that it is a heated material. Also, that they had the secondary containment and didn't believe it would hit the water. And I believe at that point they were also questioning whether the Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel was a sensitive environment. Q Did they submit any documentation explaining the basis for this argument? A Yes. Q Can you tell me what they submitted? A I |

Page 173 Page 175 1 refresh your -- refresh your --1 A I did the same things, going as far as 2 A Okay. There was -- there was a report that 2 looking at spill reports. I had a -- an SPCC report 3 was --3 from 2014 which I -- in making the arrangements for 4 4 Q Turn to CX-15, please. the inspection, I sent that report as an attachment to 5 5 A What you repeat the number? Brian Orr with VSS, and asked if that was the most 6 One five. 6 recent SPCC plan. It was a consolidated plan that Q 7 A Thank you. 7 included the SPCC portion. And at that point, Brian 8 Q Fifteen. 8 replied that there was a later plan. So he sent me 9 9 I have that. the January 2016 SPCC plan in advance of the Okay. Do you recall reviewing this report? 10 10 inspection. 11 Α 11 Q Okay. Will you please turn to CX-17? 12 Q Did you agree with the conclusions in the 12 13 report? 13 Q Will you take a minute to look at that and 14 14 let me know if you think this is a copy of the 2014 A No. 15 Q Did you tell the facility at any point that 15 plan you just referenced? 16 they would not have to prepare an FRP? 16 A It appears to be. 17 17 Q Okay. When you receive files from a Q Did you consult with anybody, headquarters facility, do you have a standard practice for how you 18 18 19 19 or contractors, about the applicability of the FRP to maintain those records in your files? 20 this facility? 20 A I maintain in my -- at my desk in file 21 A Yes. After reviewing the information in the 21 cabinets, I maintained hard copies of the plans. If 22 regulation and the guidance, I also contacted the FRP 22 they are -- if they come electronically, sometimes I 23 subject matter expert at headquarters, Troy 23 print them, but often I just maintain the electronic 24 Swackhammer. 24 copy on the media they were sent -- that they arrived 25 Q And did he communicate his opinion to you? 25 Page 174 Page 176 1 Q Okay. You referred to this as a 1 A Yes. His opinion was that the facility was 2 2 consolidated plan. Can we take a minute and can you required to have a Facility Response Plan. 3 MS. SUGERMAN: Give me a moment, please. 3 explain what that means? 4 4 A This is a document that was prepared -- I'm BY MS. SUGERMAN: 5 5 Q Do you have an estimate of the quantity of not sure exactly what firm prepared it, but it is a 6 oil stored at VSS that is not heated? And I would 6 consolidated plan to meet the hazardous material 7 7 business plan requirements at the local level, state understand perfectly if you do not. 8 8 A Offhand, I don't. level, so the chemical inventory. It has a 9 9 Q Okay. But are there tanks -- of the many contingency response plan that contains the SPCC plan, 10 tanks at the tank farms, do they all contain heated 10 as noted at the bottom, Spill Prevention, Control and 11 Countermeasure plan; and it says that it contains a 11 12 A Yes, there are asphaltic emulsions that 12 Facility Response Plan also. 13 might not be heated. I know there's diesel. I 13 Q Do you recall if this consolidated plan did 14 14 believe that one of the -- at least one of the spills contain a Facility Response Plan? 15 was diesel. So yes, there are -- there are several 15 A It contains a section that says it's created 16 uninsulated, unheated tanks at the facility. 16 in order to satisfy the requirements at 40 CFR 112, 17 Q Okay. I am going to move on to the 17 Facility Response Plan requirements, but I reviewed 18 September 2016 inspection. Can you -- let's see. Can it, and it was not the proper format and didn't 18 19 19 include many of the required elements. we turn to CX -- I'll back up, sorry. And in the fall 20 of 2016, did you reinspect the facility? 20 MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into 21 21 evidence CX-17. 22 22 JUDGE BIRO: So CX-17 doesn't seem to be, in Q And did you contact the facility in advance 23 23 of the inspection? my book, what you say. 24 A I did. 24 MS. SUGERMAN: Oh, really? Is it --25 Q Do you recall what steps you took preparing? 25 THE WITNESS: Your Honor, you're looking at

| | Page 177 | | Page 179 |
|---|---|--|---|
| 1 | RX-17. | 1 | not include an FRP plan. Did you receive a separate |
| 2 | JUDGE BIRO: Oh. | 2 | FRP plan? |
| 3 | UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Oh. | 3 | A Not at this time. |
| 4 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Okay. Sorry. | 4 | Q And when did you inspect the facility? |
| 5 | MS. SUGERMAN: This is why joint exhibits | 5 | A September 30, 2016. |
| 6 | are so nice. | 6 | Q Did anyone else from EPA participate in the |
| 7 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. | 7 | inspection? |
| 8 | Is there any objection, Mr. McNeil? | 8 | A Yes, Connor Adams. |
| 9 | MR. McNEIL: No objection. | 9 | Q And what was Connor's role in this |
| 10 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. So CX-17 is admitted | 10 | inspection? |
| 11 | into the record. | 11 | A He has training for the oil program, and he |
| 12 | (The document referred to, | 12 | was along to help review records, and he took |
| 13 | previously identified as | 13 | photographs for the inspection also. |
| 14 | Complainant's Exhibit No. 17, | 14 | Q Did you review any documents at the |
| 15 | was received in evidence.) | 15 | inspection, during the inspection? |
| 16 | BY MS. SUGERMAN: | 16 | A Yes. There were there was this document. |
| 17 | Q You mentioned that the facility indicated | 17 | There were some training records, I believe, and there |
| 18 | there was a more recent consolidated plan. Can you | 18 | were tank inspection records. |
| 19 | turn to CX-17 [sic]? No, we were | 19 | Q Did you kind of have to walk through the |
| 20 | A I am at | 20 | facility during that inspection? |
| 21 | Q just at CX-17. Sorry, CX-18. | 21 | A Yes. Yes. |
| 22 | A Okay. | 22 | Q Do you recall if you observed a Tank 2002 |
| 23 | Q Do you recognize this document? | 23 | during that inspection? |
| 24 | A Yes, this seems to be the document that was | 24 | A Yes. |
| 25 | provided to me by Brian Orr, and would have been the | 25 | Q And to the best of your knowledge, was it in |
| | Page 178 | | Page 180 |
| 1 | document I also reviewed at the facility. And this is | 1 | service at that time? |
| 2 | a consolidated plan that includes the Spill | 2 | A It was. |
| 3 | Prevention, Control, and Countermeasure plan. The | 3 | O And what lad you to believe that it was in |
| | |]] | Q And what led you to believe that it was in |
| 4 | Facility Response Plan portion has been taken out. | 4 | service? |
| 4 5 | - | | |
| | Facility Response Plan portion has been taken out. | 4 | service? |
| 5 | Facility Response Plan portion has been taken out. Q I I'm going to go backwards just a second | 4 5 | service? A Again, the tank was insulated, as the first |
| 5 6 | Facility Response Plan portion has been taken out. Q I I'm going to go backwards just a second to get a little more explanation about consolidated | 4 5 6 | service? A Again, the tank was insulated, as the first tank had been during my first inspection. It was |
| 5 6 7 | Facility Response Plan portion has been taken out. Q I I'm going to go backwards just a second to get a little more explanation about consolidated plans. EPA does EPA accept consolidated plans as | 4 5 6 7 | service? A Again, the tank was insulated, as the first tank had been during my first inspection. It was insulated. Piping was complete. And also based on |
| 5 6 7 8 | Facility Response Plan portion has been taken out. Q I I'm going to go backwards just a second to get a little more explanation about consolidated plans. EPA does EPA accept consolidated plans as acceptable for the SPCC plan or the FRP plan? A As long as they meet all the regulatory requirements, yes. | 4 5 6 7 8 | service? A Again, the tank was insulated, as the first tank had been during my first inspection. It was insulated. Piping was complete. And also based on the information from facility personnel, it was in service. Q Will you please turn to CX-9? |
| 5 6 7 8 9 | Facility Response Plan portion has been taken out. Q I I'm going to go backwards just a second to get a little more explanation about consolidated plans. EPA does EPA accept consolidated plans as acceptable for the SPCC plan or the FRP plan? A As long as they meet all the regulatory requirements, yes. Q Okay. And does this appear to be a true and | 4 5 6 7 8 9 | service? A Again, the tank was insulated, as the first tank had been during my first inspection. It was insulated. Piping was complete. And also based on the information from facility personnel, it was in service. Q Will you please turn to CX-9? A (Non-verbal response). |
| 5 6 7 8 9 | Facility Response Plan portion has been taken out. Q I I'm going to go backwards just a second to get a little more explanation about consolidated plans. EPA does EPA accept consolidated plans as acceptable for the SPCC plan or the FRP plan? A As long as they meet all the regulatory requirements, yes. Q Okay. And does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the document you received, CX-18? | 4 5 6 7 8 9 | service? A Again, the tank was insulated, as the first tank had been during my first inspection. It was insulated. Piping was complete. And also based on the information from facility personnel, it was in service. Q Will you please turn to CX-9? A (Non-verbal response). Q Do you recognize this document? |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 | Facility Response Plan portion has been taken out. Q I I'm going to go backwards just a second to get a little more explanation about consolidated plans. EPA does EPA accept consolidated plans as acceptable for the SPCC plan or the FRP plan? A As long as they meet all the regulatory requirements, yes. Q Okay. And does this appear to be a true and | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | service? A Again, the tank was insulated, as the first tank had been during my first inspection. It was insulated. Piping was complete. And also based on the information from facility personnel, it was in service. Q Will you please turn to CX-9? A (Non-verbal response). Q Do you recognize this document? A Yes. |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | Facility Response Plan portion has been taken out. Q I I'm going to go backwards just a second to get a little more explanation about consolidated plans. EPA does EPA accept consolidated plans as acceptable for the SPCC plan or the FRP plan? A As long as they meet all the regulatory requirements, yes. Q Okay. And does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the document you received, CX-18? A It appears to be. Q Okay. | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | service? A Again, the tank was insulated, as the first tank had been during my first inspection. It was insulated. Piping was complete. And also based on the information from facility personnel, it was in service. Q Will you please turn to CX-9? A (Non-verbal response). Q Do you recognize this document? A Yes. Q Did you take these photos? |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | Facility Response Plan portion has been taken out. Q I I'm going to go backwards just a second to get a little more explanation about consolidated plans. EPA does EPA accept consolidated plans as acceptable for the SPCC plan or the FRP plan? A As long as they meet all the regulatory requirements, yes. Q Okay. And does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the document you received, CX-18? A It appears to be. Q Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | service? A Again, the tank was insulated, as the first tank had been during my first inspection. It was insulated. Piping was complete. And also based on the information from facility personnel, it was in service. Q Will you please turn to CX-9? A (Non-verbal response). Q Do you recognize this document? A Yes. Q Did you take these photos? A I did not. These were taken by Connor |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Facility Response Plan portion has been taken out. Q I I'm going to go backwards just a second to get a little more explanation about consolidated plans. EPA does EPA accept consolidated plans as acceptable for the SPCC plan or the FRP plan? A As long as they meet all the regulatory requirements, yes. Q Okay. And does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the document you received, CX-18? A It appears to be. Q Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence CX-18. | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | service? A Again, the tank was insulated, as the first tank had been during my first inspection. It was insulated. Piping was complete. And also based on the information from facility personnel, it was in service. Q Will you please turn to CX-9? A (Non-verbal response). Q Do you recognize this document? A Yes. Q Did you take these photos? A I did not. These were taken by Connor Adams. |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Facility Response Plan portion has been taken out. Q I I'm going to go backwards just a second to get a little more explanation about consolidated plans. EPA does EPA accept consolidated plans as acceptable for the SPCC plan or the FRP plan? A As long as they meet all the regulatory requirements, yes. Q Okay. And does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the document you received, CX-18? A It appears to be. Q Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence CX-18. MR. McNEIL: No objection. | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | service? A Again, the tank was insulated, as the first tank had been during my first inspection. It was insulated. Piping was complete. And also based on the information from facility personnel, it was in service. Q Will you please turn to CX-9? A (Non-verbal response). Q Do you recognize this document? A Yes. Q Did you take these photos? A I did not. These were taken by Connor Adams. Q Did you have any role in selecting what |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Facility Response Plan portion has been taken out. Q I I'm going to go backwards just a second to get a little more explanation about consolidated plans. EPA does EPA accept consolidated plans as acceptable for the SPCC plan or the FRP plan? A As long as they meet all the regulatory requirements, yes. Q Okay. And does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the document you received, CX-18? A It appears to be. Q Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence CX-18. MR. McNEIL: No objection. JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 18 is | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | service? A Again, the tank was insulated, as the first tank had been during my first inspection. It was insulated. Piping was complete. And also based on the information from facility personnel, it was in service. Q Will you please turn to CX-9? A (Non-verbal response). Q Do you recognize this document? A Yes. Q Did you take these photos? A I did not. These were taken by Connor Adams. Q Did you have any role in selecting what photographs to take? |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Facility Response Plan portion has been taken out. Q I I'm going to go backwards just a second to get a little more explanation about consolidated plans. EPA does EPA accept consolidated plans as acceptable for the SPCC plan or the FRP plan? A As long as they meet all the regulatory requirements, yes. Q Okay. And does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the document you received, CX-18? A It appears to be. Q Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence CX-18. MR. McNEIL: No objection. JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 18 is admitted into the record. | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | service? A Again, the tank was insulated, as the first tank had been during my first inspection. It was insulated. Piping was complete. And also based on the information from facility personnel, it was in service. Q Will you please turn to CX-9? A (Non-verbal response). Q Do you recognize this document? A Yes. Q Did you take these photos? A I did not. These were taken by Connor Adams. Q Did you have any role in selecting what photographs to take? A These the photographs were taken |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Facility Response Plan portion has been taken out. Q I I'm going to go backwards just a second to get a little more explanation about consolidated plans. EPA does EPA accept consolidated plans as acceptable for the SPCC plan or the FRP plan? A As long as they meet all the regulatory requirements, yes. Q Okay. And does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the document you received, CX-18? A It appears to be. Q Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence CX-18. MR. McNEIL: No objection. JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 18 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | A Again, the tank was insulated, as the first tank had been during my first inspection. It was insulated. Piping was complete. And also based on the information from facility personnel, it was in service. Q Will you please turn to CX-9? A (Non-verbal response). Q Do you recognize this document? A Yes. Q Did you take these photos? A I did not. These were taken by Connor Adams. Q Did you have any role in selecting what photographs to take? A These the photographs were taken throughout the facility walk, and if there was |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Facility Response Plan portion has been taken out. Q I I'm going to go backwards just a second to get a little more explanation about consolidated plans. EPA does EPA accept consolidated plans as acceptable for the SPCC plan or the FRP plan? A As long as they meet all the regulatory requirements, yes. Q Okay. And does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the document you received, CX-18? A It appears to be. Q Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence CX-18. MR. McNEIL: No objection. JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 18 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | service? A Again, the tank was insulated, as the first tank had been during my first inspection. It was insulated. Piping was complete. And also based on the information from facility personnel, it was in service. Q Will you please turn to CX-9? A (Non-verbal response). Q Do you recognize this document? A Yes. Q Did you take these photos? A I did not. These were taken by Connor Adams. Q Did you have any role in selecting what photographs to take? A These the photographs were taken throughout the facility walk, and if there was something I specifically wanted that Connor hadn't |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Facility Response Plan portion has been taken out. Q I I'm going to go backwards just a second to get a little more explanation about consolidated plans. EPA does EPA accept consolidated plans as acceptable for the SPCC plan or the FRP plan? A As long as they meet all the regulatory requirements, yes. Q Okay. And does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the document you received, CX-18? A It appears to be. Q Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence CX-18. MR. McNEIL: No objection. JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 18 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 18, | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | service? A Again, the tank was insulated, as the first tank had been during my first inspection. It was insulated. Piping was complete. And also based on the information from facility personnel, it was in service. Q Will you please turn to CX-9? A (Non-verbal response). Q Do you recognize this document? A Yes. Q Did you take these photos? A I did not. These were taken by Connor Adams. Q Did you have any role in selecting what photographs to take? A These the photographs were taken throughout the facility walk, and if there was something I specifically wanted that Connor hadn't taken an image of, I might have asked him to take that |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Facility Response Plan portion has been taken out. Q I I'm going to go backwards just a second to get a little more explanation about consolidated plans. EPA does EPA accept consolidated plans as acceptable for the SPCC plan or the FRP plan? A As long as they meet all the regulatory requirements, yes. Q Okay. And does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the document you received, CX-18? A It appears to be. Q Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence CX-18. MR. McNEIL: No objection. JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 18 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 18, was received in evidence.) | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | service? A Again, the tank was insulated, as the first tank had been during my first inspection. It was insulated. Piping was complete. And also based on the information from facility personnel, it was in service. Q Will you please turn to CX-9? A (Non-verbal response). Q Do you recognize this document? A Yes. Q Did you take these photos? A I did not. These were taken by Connor Adams. Q Did you have any role in selecting what photographs to take? A These the photographs were taken throughout the facility walk, and if there was something I specifically wanted that Connor hadn't taken an image of, I might have asked him to take that also. |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Facility Response Plan portion has been taken out. Q I I'm going to go backwards just a second to get a little more explanation about consolidated plans. EPA does EPA accept consolidated plans as acceptable for the SPCC plan or the FRP plan? A As long as they meet all the regulatory requirements, yes. Q Okay. And does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the document you received, CX-18? A It appears to be. Q Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence CX-18. MR. McNEIL: No objection. JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 18 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 18, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | service? A Again, the tank was insulated, as the first tank had been during my first inspection. It was insulated. Piping was complete. And also based on the information from facility personnel, it was in service. Q Will you please turn to CX-9? A (Non-verbal response). Q Do you recognize this document? A Yes. Q Did you take these photos? A I did not. These were taken by Connor Adams. Q Did you have any role in selecting what photographs to take? A These the photographs were taken throughout the facility walk, and if there was something I specifically wanted that Connor hadn't taken an image of, I might have asked him to take that also. Q What happens to the photos on the camera |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Facility Response Plan portion has been taken out. Q I I'm going to go backwards just a second to get a little more explanation about consolidated plans. EPA does EPA accept consolidated plans as acceptable for the SPCC plan or the FRP plan? A As long as they meet all the regulatory requirements, yes. Q Okay. And does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the document you received, CX-18? A It appears to be. Q Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence CX-18. MR. McNEIL: No objection. JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 18 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 18, was received in evidence.) | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | service? A Again, the tank was insulated, as the first tank had been during my first inspection. It was insulated. Piping was complete. And also based on the information from facility personnel, it was in service. Q Will you please turn to CX-9? A (Non-verbal response). Q Do you recognize this document? A Yes. Q Did you take these photos? A I did not. These were taken by Connor Adams. Q Did you have any role in selecting what photographs to take? A These the photographs were taken throughout the facility walk, and if there was something I specifically wanted that Connor hadn't taken an image of, I might have asked him to take that also. |

| | Page 181 | | Page 183 |
|--|---|---|---|
| 1 | A These are digital cameras, so the images are | 1 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 6 |
| 2 | downloaded without manipulation. | 2 | is admitted into the record. |
| 3 | Q Did you have a role in putting together this | 3 | (The document referred to, |
| 4 | photo log? | 4 | previously identified as |
| 5 | A My role in the photo log would have been to | 5 | Complainant's Exhibit No. 6, |
| 6 | on a peer review, to look at the captions and | 6 | was received in evidence.) |
| 7 | images and make any additions or edits or corrections. | 7 | BY MS. SUGERMAN: |
| 8 | Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate | 8 | Q And then please turn to CX-7. Do you |
| 9 | copy of the photo log? | 9 | recognize this document? |
| 10 | A It does, yes. | 10 | A Yes, it's a checklist for the summary of the |
| 11 | MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into | 11 | SPCC deficiencies. |
| 12 | evidence CX-9. | 12 | Q And what's the purpose of this document? |
| 13 | MR. McNEIL: No objection. | 13 | A This is to document deficiencies that are |
| 14 | JUDGE BIRO: CX-9 is admitted into the | 14 | noted either with the plan and/or in the field during |
| 15 | record. | 15 | an SPCC inspection. So it's a preliminary |
| 16 | (The document referred to, | 16 | determination of noncompliance issues. |
| 17 | previously identified as | 17 | Q Do you did you record do you fill out |
| 18 | Complainant's Exhibit No. 9, | 18 | this form during the inspection? |
| 19 | was received in evidence.) | 19 | A Yes. And this and the notice of inspection |
| 20 | BY MS. SUGERMAN: | 20 | documents are presented now at the at the out- |
| 21 | Q Please turn to CX-6. | 21 | briefing. In the 2012 inspection, we weren't using |
| 22 | A Okay. | 22 | these forms. |
| 23 | Q Can you describe this document? | 23 | Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate |
| 24 | A This is the notice of inspection that's | 24 | copy of CX-7? |
| 25 | given by EPA. In this case, it's a notice of FRP | 25 | A Yes, it does. |
| | Page 182 | | Page 184 |
| 1 | inspection and notice of the SPCC inspection of the | 1 | MS. SUGERMAN: I'd like to move into |
| 2 | deficiencies. | 2 | evidence CX-7. |
| 3 | Q What's the purpose of these documents? | 3 | MR. McNEIL: No objection, Your Honor. |
| 4 | A They document that an inspection official | l . | 3 / |
| | | 4 | JUDGE BIRO: CX-7 is admitted into the |
| 5 | inspection, took place at the facility. In the case | 5 | JUDGE BIRO: CX-7 is admitted into the record. |
| 5 6 | - | | |
| | inspection, took place at the facility. In the case | 5 | record. |
| 6 | inspection, took place at the facility. In the case of deficiencies, it also establishes a timeline for | 5 6 | record. (The document referred to, |
| 6 7 | inspection, took place at the facility. In the case of deficiencies, it also establishes a timeline for immediate correction, the opportunity for immediate | 5 6 7 | record. (The document referred to, previously identified as |
| 6 7 8 | inspection, took place at the facility. In the case of deficiencies, it also establishes a timeline for immediate correction, the opportunity for immediate correction of deficiencies, although it does state | 5 6 7 8 | record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 7, |
| 6 7 8 9 | inspection, took place at the facility. In the case of deficiencies, it also establishes a timeline for immediate correction, the opportunity for immediate correction of deficiencies, although it does state that even with correction of deficiencies, it | 5 6 7 8 9 | record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 7, was received in evidence.) |
| 6 7 8 9 | inspection, took place at the facility. In the case of deficiencies, it also establishes a timeline for immediate correction, the opportunity for immediate correction of deficiencies, although it does state that even with correction of deficiencies, it enforcement or inaction could | 5 6 7 8 9 | record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 7, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 | inspection, took place at the facility. In the case of deficiencies, it also establishes a timeline for immediate correction, the opportunity for immediate correction of deficiencies, although it does state that even with correction of deficiencies, it enforcement or inaction could Q Did you fill out this document? | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 7, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q Still looking at CX-7, did you identify any |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 | inspection, took place at the facility. In the case of deficiencies, it also establishes a timeline for immediate correction, the opportunity for immediate correction of deficiencies, although it does state that even with correction of deficiencies, it enforcement or inaction could Q Did you fill out this document? A The EPA portion I filled out for the FRP | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 7, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q Still looking at CX-7, did you identify any deficiencies? |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | inspection, took place at the facility. In the case of deficiencies, it also establishes a timeline for immediate correction, the opportunity for immediate correction of deficiencies, although it does state that even with correction of deficiencies, it enforcement or inaction could Q Did you fill out this document? A The EPA portion I filled out for the FRP inspection. Connor did for the SPCC inspection | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 7, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q Still looking at CX-7, did you identify any deficiencies? A Yes, quite a few. Do you want me to explain |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | inspection, took place at the facility. In the case of deficiencies, it also establishes a timeline for immediate correction, the opportunity for immediate correction of deficiencies, although it does state that even with correction of deficiencies, it enforcement or inaction could Q Did you fill out this document? A The EPA portion I filled out for the FRP inspection. Connor did for the SPCC inspection portion. And then they're signed by Randy Tilford a | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 7, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q Still looking at CX-7, did you identify any deficiencies? A Yes, quite a few. Do you want me to explain them? |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | inspection, took place at the facility. In the case of deficiencies, it also establishes a timeline for immediate correction, the opportunity for immediate correction of deficiencies, although it does state that even with correction of deficiencies, it enforcement or inaction could Q Did you fill out this document? A The EPA portion I filled out for the FRP inspection. Connor did for the SPCC inspection portion. And then they're signed by Randy Tilford a VSS representative. | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 7, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q Still looking at CX-7, did you identify any deficiencies? A Yes, quite a few. Do you want me to explain them? Q Not at this moment. I think you just had a |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | inspection, took place at the facility. In the case of deficiencies, it also establishes a timeline for immediate correction, the opportunity for immediate correction of deficiencies, although it does state that even with correction of deficiencies, it enforcement or inaction could Q Did you fill out this document? A The EPA portion I filled out for the FRP inspection. Connor did for the SPCC inspection portion. And then they're signed by Randy Tilford a VSS representative. Q Were you present when Randy Tilford signed the document? A Yes. | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 7, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q Still looking at CX-7, did you identify any deficiencies? A Yes, quite a few. Do you want me to explain them? Q Not at this moment. I think you just had a but did you have a closing conference at the end of the inspection? A Yes, that's when these forms would have been |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | inspection, took place at the facility. In the case of deficiencies, it also establishes a timeline for immediate correction, the opportunity for immediate correction of deficiencies, although it does state that even with correction of deficiencies, it enforcement or inaction could Q Did you fill out this document? A The EPA portion I filled out for the FRP inspection. Connor did for the SPCC inspection portion. And then they're signed by Randy Tilford a VSS representative. Q Were you present when Randy Tilford signed the document? A Yes. Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 7, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q Still looking at CX-7, did you identify any deficiencies? A Yes, quite a few. Do you want me to explain them? Q Not at this moment. I think you just had a but did you have a closing conference at the end of the inspection? A Yes, that's when these forms would have been completed, discussed, and signed in the case of the |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | inspection, took place at the facility. In the case of deficiencies, it also establishes a timeline for immediate correction, the opportunity for immediate correction of deficiencies, although it does state that even with correction of deficiencies, it enforcement or inaction could Q Did you fill out this document? A The EPA portion I filled out for the FRP inspection. Connor did for the SPCC inspection portion. And then they're signed by Randy Tilford a VSS representative. Q Were you present when Randy Tilford signed the document? A Yes. Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the notices of inspection? | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 7, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q Still looking at CX-7, did you identify any deficiencies? A Yes, quite a few. Do you want me to explain them? Q Not at this moment. I think you just had a but did you have a closing conference at the end of the inspection? A Yes, that's when these forms would have been completed, discussed, and signed in the case of the notice of inspections. |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | inspection, took place at the facility. In the case of deficiencies, it also establishes a timeline for immediate correction, the opportunity for immediate correction of deficiencies, although it does state that even with correction of deficiencies, it enforcement or inaction could Q Did you fill out this document? A The EPA portion I filled out for the FRP inspection. Connor did for the SPCC inspection portion. And then they're signed by Randy Tilford a VSS representative. Q Were you present when Randy Tilford signed the document? A Yes. Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the notices of inspection? A Yes. | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 7, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q Still looking at CX-7, did you identify any deficiencies? A Yes, quite a few. Do you want me to explain them? Q Not at this moment. I think you just had a but did you have a closing conference at the end of the inspection? A Yes, that's when these forms would have been completed, discussed, and signed in the case of the notice of inspections. Q And at that time, did you go over a time |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | inspection, took place at the facility. In the case of deficiencies, it also establishes a timeline for immediate correction, the opportunity for immediate correction of deficiencies, although it does state that even with correction of deficiencies, it enforcement or inaction could Q Did you fill out this document? A The EPA portion I filled out for the FRP inspection. Connor did for the SPCC inspection portion. And then they're signed by Randy Tilford a VSS representative. Q Were you present when Randy Tilford signed the document? A Yes. Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the notices of inspection? A Yes. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 7, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q Still looking at CX-7, did you identify any deficiencies? A Yes, quite a few. Do you want me to explain them? Q Not at this moment. I think you just had a but did you have a closing conference at the end of the inspection? A Yes, that's when these forms would have been completed, discussed, and signed in the case of the notice of inspections. Q And at that time, did you go over a time frame for when you expected the facility to correct |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | inspection, took place at the facility. In the case of deficiencies, it also establishes a timeline for immediate correction, the opportunity for immediate correction of deficiencies, although it does state that even with correction of deficiencies, it enforcement or inaction could Q Did you fill out this document? A The EPA portion I filled out for the FRP inspection. Connor did for the SPCC inspection portion. And then they're signed by Randy Tilford a VSS representative. Q Were you present when Randy Tilford signed the document? A Yes. Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the notices of inspection? A Yes. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence CX-6. | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 7, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q Still looking at CX-7, did you identify any deficiencies? A Yes, quite a few. Do you want me to explain them? Q Not at this moment. I think you just had a but did you have a closing conference at the end of the inspection? A Yes, that's when these forms would have been completed, discussed, and signed in the case of the notice of inspections. Q And at that time, did you go over a time frame for when you expected the facility to correct the deficiencies? |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | inspection, took place at the facility. In the case of deficiencies, it also establishes a timeline for immediate correction, the opportunity for immediate correction of deficiencies, although it does state that even with correction of deficiencies, it enforcement or inaction could Q Did you fill out this document? A The EPA portion I filled out for the FRP inspection. Connor did for the SPCC inspection portion. And then they're signed by Randy Tilford a VSS representative. Q Were you present when Randy Tilford signed the document? A Yes. Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the notices of inspection? A Yes. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence CX-6. JUDGE BIRO: Is there any objection? | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 7, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q Still looking at CX-7, did you identify any deficiencies? A Yes, quite a few. Do you want me to explain them? Q Not at this moment. I think you just had a but did you have a closing conference at the end of the inspection? A Yes, that's when these forms would have been completed, discussed, and signed in the case of the notice of inspections. Q And at that time, did you go over a time frame for when you expected the facility to correct the deficiencies? A As noted on the inspection the notice of |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | inspection, took place at the facility. In the case of deficiencies, it also establishes a timeline for immediate correction, the opportunity for immediate correction of deficiencies, although it does state that even with correction of deficiencies, it enforcement or inaction could Q Did you fill out this document? A The EPA portion I filled out for the FRP inspection. Connor did for the SPCC inspection portion. And then they're signed by Randy Tilford a VSS representative. Q Were you present when Randy Tilford signed the document? A Yes. Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the notices of inspection? A Yes. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence CX-6. | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 7, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q Still looking at CX-7, did you identify any deficiencies? A Yes, quite a few. Do you want me to explain them? Q Not at this moment. I think you just had a but did you have a closing conference at the end of the inspection? A Yes, that's when these forms would have been completed, discussed, and signed in the case of the notice of inspections. Q And at that time, did you go over a time frame for when you expected the facility to correct the deficiencies? |

| | Page 185 | | Page 187 |
|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | corrected within 30 days, EPA should be notified to | 1 | There were there was a testing plan that had been |
| 2 | make other arrangements, ongoing discussions or maybe | 2 | developed separately from the SPCC plan. It was |
| 3 | a schedule, or an extension of a short extension, | 3 | included with the plan. It had been developed by |
| 4 | generally a 30-day extension for completion. | 4 | Fletcher Consultants. And the fact that the PE didn't |
| 5 | Q Okay. I'm going to head next into the | 5 | attest that that was that those inspections and |
| 6 | inspection review checklist. | 6 | testing information hadn't been established, to me, |
| 7 | MS. SUGERMAN: Is do you need a break? | 7 | that was no it didn't ensure that the PE had |
| 8 | How are you doing? | 8 | reviewed and approved of that testing plan. |
| 9 | THE WITNESS: I'd appreciate a break. | 9 | Q So in the box above where your comments are, |
| 10 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. It's 1:50. We'll stand | 10 | where it says 112.3(d), are those the five points that |
| 11 | in recess until 2:00. | 11 | a PE is supposed to attest to? |
| 12 | UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Certainly. | 12 | A Right, that they're familiar with the |
| 13 | MS. SUGERMAN: I'm sorry, what was the time | 13 | requirements of the regulation, they visited or their |
| 14 | frame? | 14 | agent has visited and examined the facility, and the |
| 15 | JUDGE BIRO: It's according to my clock, | 15 | PE the plan is prepared with good engineering |
| 16 | it says it's 1:50. We'll stand in recess until 2:00. | 16 | practice, in accordance with good engineering |
| 17 | MS. SUGERMAN: Great, thank you. | 17 | practice. In this case, the ones that were left out |
| 18 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. | 18 | were the ones concerning the procedures for inspection |
| 19 | (Whereupon, at 1:51 p.m., the hearing in the | 19 | and testing, and also that the plan was adequate for |
| 20 | above-entitled matter adjourned, to reconvene at 2:00 | 20 | the facility had not been attested. |
| 21 | p.m. the same day, May 16, 2019.) | 21 | Q And is this language just from this |
| 22 | JUDGE BIRO: Please be seated. | 22 | checklist? Or where does that language come from, |
| 23 | Ms. Sugerman. | 23 | those five points? |
| 24 | BY MS. SUGERMAN: | 24 | A That's language in the regulation at |
| 25 | Q Are you good? | 25 | 112.3(d). |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | Page 186 | | Page 188 |
| 1 | Page 186 A Yes. | 1 | Page 188 Q Okay. |
| 1 2 | _ | 1 2 | - |
| | A Yes. | | Q Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: One moment, please. BY MS. SUGERMAN: |
| 2 | A Yes.Q Okay. Please turn to CX-8.A Okay.Q All right. Do you recognize this document? | 2 | Q Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: One moment, please. BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q If you would turn to page 8 of the same |
| 2 | A Yes. Q Okay. Please turn to CX-8. A Okay. Q All right. Do you recognize this document? A Yes, this is the SPCC checklist, and this is | 2 3 4 5 | Q Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: One moment, please. BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q If you would turn to page 8 of the same exhibit. In the comment section there for 112.7(c), |
| 2 3 4 | A Yes. Q Okay. Please turn to CX-8. A Okay. Q All right. Do you recognize this document? A Yes, this is the SPCC checklist, and this is the report after the September 2016 inspection. | 2 3 4 5 6 | Q Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: One moment, please. BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q If you would turn to page 8 of the same exhibit. In the comment section there for 112.7(c), can you read what your comments were and explain that |
| 2 3 4 5 | A Yes. Q Okay. Please turn to CX-8. A Okay. Q All right. Do you recognize this document? A Yes, this is the SPCC checklist, and this is | 2 3 4 5 | Q Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: One moment, please. BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q If you would turn to page 8 of the same exhibit. In the comment section there for 112.7(c), can you read what your comments were and explain that concern? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | A Yes. Q Okay. Please turn to CX-8. A Okay. Q All right. Do you recognize this document? A Yes, this is the SPCC checklist, and this is the report after the September 2016 inspection. Q Did you prepare this document? A Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 | Q Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: One moment, please. BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q If you would turn to page 8 of the same exhibit. In the comment section there for 112.7(c), can you read what your comments were and explain that concern? A On page 8, for 112.8? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | A Yes. Q Okay. Please turn to CX-8. A Okay. Q All right. Do you recognize this document? A Yes, this is the SPCC checklist, and this is the report after the September 2016 inspection. Q Did you prepare this document? A Yes. Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Q Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: One moment, please. BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q If you would turn to page 8 of the same exhibit. In the comment section there for 112.7(c), can you read what your comments were and explain that concern? A On page 8, for 112.8? Q 112.7(c). Am I not on page |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A Yes. Q Okay. Please turn to CX-8. A Okay. Q All right. Do you recognize this document? A Yes, this is the SPCC checklist, and this is the report after the September 2016 inspection. Q Did you prepare this document? A Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | Q Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: One moment, please. BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q If you would turn to page 8 of the same exhibit. In the comment section there for 112.7(c), can you read what your comments were and explain that concern? A On page 8, for 112.8? Q 112.7(c). Am I not on page A I'm sorry, page 8? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | A Yes. Q Okay. Please turn to CX-8. A Okay. Q All right. Do you recognize this document? A Yes, this is the SPCC checklist, and this is the report after the September 2016 inspection. Q Did you prepare this document? A Yes. Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the checklist? A It does. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | Q Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: One moment, please. BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q If you would turn to page 8 of the same exhibit. In the comment section there for 112.7(c), can you read what your comments were and explain that concern? A On page 8, for 112.8? Q 112.7(c). Am I not on page A I'm sorry, page 8? Q Page 8. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | A Yes. Q Okay. Please turn to CX-8. A Okay. Q All right. Do you recognize this document? A Yes, this is the SPCC checklist, and this is the report after the September 2016 inspection. Q Did you prepare this document? A Yes. Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the checklist? A It does. Q And just because we've discussed a couple | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | Q Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: One moment, please. BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q If you would turn to page 8 of the same exhibit. In the comment section there for 112.7(c), can you read what your comments were and explain that concern? A On page 8, for 112.8? Q 112.7(c). Am I not on page A I'm sorry, page 8? Q Page 8. A Oh, I'm looking at CX-8. Page 8. What it |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | A Yes. Q Okay. Please turn to CX-8. A Okay. Q All right. Do you recognize this document? A Yes, this is the SPCC checklist, and this is the report after the September 2016 inspection. Q Did you prepare this document? A Yes. Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the checklist? A It does. Q And just because we've discussed a couple different versions of plans, do you know which plan | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Q Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: One moment, please. BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q If you would turn to page 8 of the same exhibit. In the comment section there for 112.7(c), can you read what your comments were and explain that concern? A On page 8, for 112.8? Q 112.7(c). Am I not on page A I'm sorry, page 8? Q Page 8. A Oh, I'm looking at CX-8. Page 8. What it says there is Tank Number 8 needs an out of service |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | A Yes. Q Okay. Please turn to CX-8. A Okay. Q All right. Do you recognize this document? A Yes, this is the SPCC checklist, and this is the report after the September 2016 inspection. Q Did you prepare this document? A Yes. Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the checklist? A It does. Q And just because we've discussed a couple different versions of plans, do you know which plan this checklist was based on? I think it says it on | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Q Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: One moment, please. BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q If you would turn to page 8 of the same exhibit. In the comment section there for 112.7(c), can you read what your comments were and explain that concern? A On page 8, for 112.8? Q 112.7(c). Am I not on page A I'm sorry, page 8? Q Page 8. A Oh, I'm looking at CX-8. Page 8. What it says there is Tank Number 8 needs an out of service date to be considered permanently closed in accordance |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | A Yes. Q Okay. Please turn to CX-8. A Okay. Q All right. Do you recognize this document? A Yes, this is the SPCC checklist, and this is the report after the September 2016 inspection. Q Did you prepare this document? A Yes. Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the checklist? A It does. Q And just because we've discussed a couple different versions of plans, do you know which plan this checklist was based on? I think it says it on page 3. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Q Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: One moment, please. BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q If you would turn to page 8 of the same exhibit. In the comment section there for 112.7(c), can you read what your comments were and explain that concern? A On page 8, for 112.8? Q 112.7(c). Am I not on page A I'm sorry, page 8? Q Page 8. A Oh, I'm looking at CX-8. Page 8. What it says there is Tank Number 8 needs an out of service date to be considered permanently closed in accordance with the regulatory definition. That is a |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | A Yes. Q Okay. Please turn to CX-8. A Okay. Q All right. Do you recognize this document? A Yes, this is the SPCC checklist, and this is the report after the September 2016 inspection. Q Did you prepare this document? A Yes. Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the checklist? A It does. Q And just because we've discussed a couple different versions of plans, do you know which plan this checklist was based on? I think it says it on page 3. A It's based on the January 2016 consolidated | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Q Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: One moment, please. BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q If you would turn to page 8 of the same exhibit. In the comment section there for 112.7(c), can you read what your comments were and explain that concern? A On page 8, for 112.8? Q 112.7(c). Am I not on page A I'm sorry, page 8? Q Page 8. A Oh, I'm looking at CX-8. Page 8. What it says there is Tank Number 8 needs an out of service date to be considered permanently closed in accordance with the regulatory definition. That is a typographical error, the tank number. And it should |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | A Yes. Q Okay. Please turn to CX-8. A Okay. Q All right. Do you recognize this document? A Yes, this is the SPCC checklist, and this is the report after the September 2016 inspection. Q Did you prepare this document? A Yes. Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the checklist? A It does. Q And just because we've discussed a couple different versions of plans, do you know which plan this checklist was based on? I think it says it on page 3. A It's based on the January 2016 consolidated plan that had the SPCC incorporated. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Q Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: One moment, please. BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q If you would turn to page 8 of the same exhibit. In the comment section there for 112.7(c), can you read what your comments were and explain that concern? A On page 8, for 112.8? Q 112.7(c). Am I not on page A I'm sorry, page 8? Q Page 8. A Oh, I'm looking at CX-8. Page 8. What it says there is Tank Number 8 needs an out of service date to be considered permanently closed in accordance with the regulatory definition. That is a typographical error, the tank number. And it should have been Tank Number 865. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A Yes. Q Okay. Please turn to CX-8. A Okay. Q All right. Do you recognize this document? A Yes, this is the SPCC checklist, and this is the report after the September 2016 inspection. Q Did you prepare this document? A Yes. Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the checklist? A It does. Q And just because we've discussed a couple different versions of plans, do you know which plan this checklist was based on? I think it says it on page 3. A It's based on the January 2016 consolidated plan that had the SPCC incorporated. Q Okay. On page 3 of 26, in a comments box | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Q Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: One moment, please. BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q If you would turn to page 8 of the same exhibit. In the comment section there for 112.7(c), can you read what your comments were and explain that concern? A On page 8, for 112.8? Q 112.7(c). Am I not on page A I'm sorry, page 8? Q Page 8. A Oh, I'm looking at CX-8. Page 8. What it says there is Tank Number 8 needs an out of service date to be considered permanently closed in accordance with the regulatory definition. That is a typographical error, the tank number. And it should have been Tank Number 865. Q And what's the what does a facility need |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A Yes. Q Okay. Please turn to CX-8. A Okay. Q All right. Do you recognize this document? A Yes, this is the SPCC checklist, and this is the report after the September 2016 inspection. Q Did you prepare this document? A Yes. Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the checklist? A It does. Q And just because we've discussed a couple different versions of plans, do you know which plan this checklist was based on? I think it says it on page 3. A It's based on the January 2016 consolidated plan that had the SPCC incorporated. Q Okay. On page 3 of 26, in a comments box there, can you take a look at that and then explain | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | Q Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: One moment, please. BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q If you would turn to page 8 of the same exhibit. In the comment section there for 112.7(c), can you read what your comments were and explain that concern? A On page 8, for 112.8? Q 112.7(c). Am I not on page A I'm sorry, page 8? Q Page 8. A Oh, I'm looking at CX-8. Page 8. What it says there is Tank Number 8 needs an out of service date to be considered permanently closed in accordance with the regulatory definition. That is a typographical error, the tank number. And it should have been Tank Number 865. Q And what's the what does a facility need to do to permanently close a tank? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | A Yes. Q Okay. Please turn to CX-8. A Okay. Q All right. Do you recognize this document? A Yes, this is the SPCC checklist, and this is the report after the September 2016 inspection. Q Did you prepare this document? A Yes. Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the checklist? A It does. Q And just because we've discussed a couple different versions of plans, do you know which plan this checklist was based on? I think it says it on page 3. A It's based on the January 2016 consolidated plan that had the SPCC incorporated. Q Okay. On page 3 of 26, in a comments box there, can you take a look at that and then explain the concern to me? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Q Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: One moment, please. BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q If you would turn to page 8 of the same exhibit. In the comment section there for 112.7(c), can you read what your comments were and explain that concern? A On page 8, for 112.8? Q 112.7(c). Am I not on page A I'm sorry, page 8? Q Page 8. A Oh, I'm looking at CX-8. Page 8. What it says there is Tank Number 8 needs an out of service date to be considered permanently closed in accordance with the regulatory definition. That is a typographical error, the tank number. And it should have been Tank Number 865. Q And what's the what does a facility need to do to permanently close a tank? A Per the regulatory definition, a tank needs |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | A Yes. Q Okay. Please turn to CX-8. A Okay. Q All right. Do you recognize this document? A Yes, this is the SPCC checklist, and this is the report after the September 2016 inspection. Q Did you prepare this document? A Yes. Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the checklist? A It does. Q And just because we've discussed a couple different versions of plans, do you know which plan this checklist was based on? I think it says it on page 3. A It's based on the January 2016 consolidated plan that had the SPCC incorporated. Q Okay. On page 3 of 26, in a comments box there, can you take a look at that and then explain the concern to me? A Yes. This has to do with the PE | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Q Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: One moment, please. BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q If you would turn to page 8 of the same exhibit. In the comment section there for 112.7(c), can you read what your comments were and explain that concern? A On page 8, for 112.8? Q 112.7(c). Am I not on page A I'm sorry, page 8? Q Page 8. A Oh, I'm looking at CX-8. Page 8. What it says there is Tank Number 8 needs an out of service date to be considered permanently closed in accordance with the regulatory definition. That is a typographical error, the tank number. And it should have been Tank Number 865. Q And what's the what does a facility need to do to permanently close a tank? A Per the regulatory definition, a tank needs to be empty. It needs to be the piping needs to be |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A Yes. Q Okay. Please turn to CX-8. A Okay. Q All right. Do you recognize this document? A Yes, this is the SPCC checklist, and this is the report after the September 2016 inspection. Q Did you prepare this document? A Yes. Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the checklist? A It does. Q And just because we've discussed a couple different versions of plans, do you know which plan this checklist was based on? I think it says it on page 3. A It's based on the January 2016 consolidated plan that had the SPCC incorporated. Q Okay. On page 3 of 26, in a comments box there, can you take a look at that and then explain the concern to me? A Yes. This has to do with the PE certification. There are five points that require | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Q Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: One moment, please. BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q If you would turn to page 8 of the same exhibit. In the comment section there for 112.7(c), can you read what your comments were and explain that concern? A On page 8, for 112.8? Q 112.7(c). Am I not on page A I'm sorry, page 8? Q Page 8. A Oh, I'm looking at CX-8. Page 8. What it says there is Tank Number 8 needs an out of service date to be considered permanently closed in accordance with the regulatory definition. That is a typographical error, the tank number. And it should have been Tank Number 865. Q And what's the what does a facility need to do to permanently close a tank? A Per the regulatory definition, a tank needs to be empty. It needs to be the piping needs to be disconnected. The vents need to be closed, except for |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | A Yes. Q Okay. Please turn to CX-8. A Okay. Q All right. Do you recognize this document? A Yes, this is the SPCC checklist, and this is the report after the September 2016 inspection. Q Did you prepare this document? A Yes. Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the checklist? A It does. Q And just because we've discussed a couple different versions of plans, do you know which plan this checklist was based on? I think it says it on page 3. A It's based on the January 2016 consolidated plan that had the SPCC incorporated. Q Okay. On page 3 of 26, in a comments box there, can you take a look at that and then explain the concern to me? A Yes. This has to do with the PE certification. There are five points that require attestation. One of them is that the plan includes | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Q Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: One moment, please. BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q If you would turn to page 8 of the same exhibit. In the comment section there for 112.7(c), can you read what your comments were and explain that concern? A On page 8, for 112.8? Q 112.7(c). Am I not on page A I'm sorry, page 8? Q Page 8. A Oh, I'm looking at CX-8. Page 8. What it says there is Tank Number 8 needs an out of service date to be considered permanently closed in accordance with the regulatory definition. That is a typographical error, the tank number. And it should have been Tank Number 865. Q And what's the what does a facility need to do to permanently close a tank? A Per the regulatory definition, a tank needs to be empty. It needs to be the piping needs to be disconnected. The vents need to be closed, except for an emergency vent. And then the tank needs to be |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | A Yes. Q Okay. Please turn to CX-8. A Okay. Q All right. Do you recognize this document? A Yes, this is the SPCC checklist, and this is the report after the September 2016 inspection. Q Did you prepare this document? A Yes. Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the checklist? A It does. Q And just because we've discussed a couple different versions of plans, do you know which plan this checklist was based on? I think it says it on page 3. A It's based on the January 2016 consolidated plan that had the SPCC incorporated. Q Okay. On page 3 of 26, in a comments box there, can you take a look at that and then explain the concern to me? A Yes. This has to do with the PE certification. There are five points that require attestation. One of them is that the plan includes inspection and testing information established and | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Q Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: One moment, please. BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q If you would turn to page 8 of the same exhibit. In the comment section there for 112.7(c), can you read what your comments were and explain that concern? A On page 8, for 112.8? Q 112.7(c). Am I not on page A I'm sorry, page 8? Q Page 8. A Oh, I'm looking at CX-8. Page 8. What it says there is Tank Number 8 needs an out of service date to be considered permanently closed in accordance with the regulatory definition. That is a typographical error, the tank number. And it should have been Tank Number 865. Q And what's the what does a facility need to do to permanently close a tank? A Per the regulatory definition, a tank needs to be empty. It needs to be the piping needs to be disconnected. The vents need to be closed, except for an emergency vent. And then the tank needs to be labeled in a way that's visible that the tank is |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | A Yes. Q Okay. Please turn to CX-8. A Okay. Q All right. Do you recognize this document? A Yes, this is the SPCC checklist, and this is the report after the September 2016 inspection. Q Did you prepare this document? A Yes. Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the checklist? A It does. Q And just because we've discussed a couple different versions of plans, do you know which plan this checklist was based on? I think it says it on page 3. A It's based on the January 2016 consolidated plan that had the SPCC incorporated. Q Okay. On page 3 of 26, in a comments box there, can you take a look at that and then explain the concern to me? A Yes. This has to do with the PE certification. There are five points that require attestation. One of them is that the plan includes | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Q Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: One moment, please. BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q If you would turn to page 8 of the same exhibit. In the comment section there for 112.7(c), can you read what your comments were and explain that concern? A On page 8, for 112.8? Q 112.7(c). Am I not on page A I'm sorry, page 8? Q Page 8. A Oh, I'm looking at CX-8. Page 8. What it says there is Tank Number 8 needs an out of service date to be considered permanently closed in accordance with the regulatory definition. That is a typographical error, the tank number. And it should have been Tank Number 865. Q And what's the what does a facility need to do to permanently close a tank? A Per the regulatory definition, a tank needs to be empty. It needs to be the piping needs to be disconnected. The vents need to be closed, except for an emergency vent. And then the tank needs to be |

| Deficition of the definition o | | Page 189 | | Page 191 |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| permanently closed; the definition? A If a tank hasn't hen permanently closed, there's no documentary evidence that the tank is empty, for example. And if it's not empty, there could be a discharge. Also, if it's not — if the vents have't been closed and for some reason the tank is filled, there could be discharges that way. Or it could just be put back into service if piping is still there. Q Okay. And as with the previous checklist, I would like to asky ou, are you willing to enter this checklist as your — as your testimony on your observations and conclusions from that inspection, the 2016 inspection? A I'm willing, yes. JUDGE BIRO: Do you have the same objection, Mr. McNell: A I'm willing, yes. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. And fill overrule and have you have a continuing objection, if you'd like. Mr. McNell: Mr. Mc | 1 | Q Why does it matter if the tank isn't | 1 | MR. McNEIL: No objection, Your Honor. |
| documents that nohody has an objections to do we have the same objection. Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: Do you have the same objection. MR. MeNEIL: Your Honor, we renew the same objection. Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. And Pil overrule and have you have go go a little faster the server of the firm of the record. MR. MeNEIL: All least from respondents. MR. MeNEIL: If I may, Your Honor, we'd be happy at the next break to sit down with counsel and try to streamline that, because we — there may be some that we do, but I think the large majority we wont. JUDGE BIRO: Do you have the same objection, the long strong that no how have a continuing objection, if yourd like. MR. MeNEIL: Your Honor, we renew the same objection. Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. And Pil overrule and have you have a continuing objection, if yourd like. MR. MeNEIL: Thank you, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 8? MR. MeNEIL: Thank you, Your Honor. MR. Mener offering for admission Complainant's Exhibit No. 8, was received in evidence.) MR. Mener offering lor admission Complainant's Exhibit No. 19, was received in evidence.) MR. Mener offering lor admission Complainant's Exhibit No. 19, was received in evidence.) MR. Mener offering lor admission Complainant's Exhibit No. 19, was received in evidence.) MR. Mener offering lor admission Complainant's Exhibit No. 19, was received in evidence.) MR. Mener offering lor admission Complainant's Exhibit No. 19, was received in evidence.) MR. Mener offering lor admission Complainant's Exhibit No. 19, was received in evidence.) MR. Mener offering lor admission Complainant's Exhibit No. 19, was received in evidence.) MR. Mener offering lor admission Complainant's Exhibit No. 19, was received in evidence.) MR. Mener offering lor admission Complainant's Exhibit No. 19, was received in evidence.) MR. Mener offering lor admission Complainant's Exhibit No. 19, was received in evidence.) MR. Mener offering lor admission Complainant's Exhibit No. 19, was received in evidence.) MR. Mener | 2 | | 2 | - |
| there's no documentary evidence that the tank is empty, for example. And if it's not empty, there could be a discharge. Also, if it's not empty, there could be a discharge. Also, if it's not empty, there could be a discharge. Also, if it's not empty, there could be a discharge. Also, if it's not empty, there could be a discharge. Also, if it's not empty, there could just be put back into service if piping is still could just be put back into service if piping is still there. Q Okay. And as with the previous checklist, I checklist as your — as your testimony on your displaymant in the vectors of the your checklist as your — as your testimony on your checklist as your — as your testimone, your checklist as your — as your testimony on your displayman | 3 | | 3 | - |
| empty, for example. And if it's not empty, there could be a discharge. Also, if it's not empty, there vents haven't been closed and for some reason the tank is filled, there could be discharges that way. Or it could just be put back into service if piping is still there. Q Okay. And as with the previous checkist, I would like to ask your, are you willing to enter this checklist as your — as your testimony on your checklist as your | 4 | A If a tank hasn't been permanently closed, | 4 | |
| could be a discharge. Also, if it's not — if the vents haven't been closed and for some reason the tank is filled, there could be discharges that way. Or it could just be put back into service if piping is still there. Q Okay. And as with the previous checklist, I would like to ask you, are you willing to enter this checklist as your — as your testimony nyour checking and conclusions from that inspection, the checklist as your—a syour testimony nyour boservations and conclusions from that inspection, the life 2016 inspection? A Pin willing, yos. JUDGE BIRO: Do you have the same objection, MR. McNEIL: Your Honor, we renew the same objection. Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. And PII overrule and have you have a continuing objection, if you'd like. MR. McNEIL: Thank you, Your Honor, JUDGE BIRO: Okay. And PII overrule and have you have a continuing objection, if you'd like. MR. McNEIL: At least from respondents. JUDGE BIRO: That's great. Would have been even better if we could have reached these kind of — JUDGE BIRO: That's great. Would have been even better if we could have reached these kind of — JUDGE BIRO: That's great. Would have been even better if we could have reached these kind of — JUDGE BIRO: That's great. Would have been even better if we could have reached these kind of — JUDGE BIRO: That's great. Would have been even better if we could have reached these kind of — JUDGE BIRO: That's great. Would have been even better if we could have reached these kind of — JUDGE BIRO: That's great. Would have been even better if we could have reached these kind of — JUDGE BIRO: That's great. Would have been even better if we could have reached these kind of — JUDGE BIRO: That's great. Would have been even better if we could have reached these kind of — JUDGE BIRO: Wow. MR. McNEIL: At least from respondents. JUDGE BIRO: Wow. MR. McNEIL: At least from respondents. JUDGE BIRO: Wow. MR. McNEIL: At least from respondents. JUDGE BIRO: Wow. MR. McNEIL: At least from respondents. JUDGE BIRO: Wow. MR. McNE | 5 | there's no documentary evidence that the tank is | 5 | here? |
| vents haven't been closed and for some reason the tank is filled, there could be discharges that way. Or it could just be put back into service if priprig is still there. 2 Q Okay. And as with the previous checklist, I would like to ask you, are you willing to enter this checklist as your — as your testimony on your observations and conclusions from that inspection, the 2016 inspection? A I'm willing, yes. JUDGE BIRO: Do you have the same objection, 19 Mr. McNeil!. Your Honor, we renew the same objection. Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: Do you have the same objection, 19 Mr. McNeil!. Your Honor, we renew the same objection. Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: We're going to admit — you're Page 190 offering for admission Complainant's Exhibit 8? MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, CX-8. JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 8 is admitted into the record. The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 8, was received in evidence.) MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. O Please turn to CX-19. Can you — do you recognize this document? A This is a Facility Response Plan prepared by or for VSS. O Do you recall if you received this document? A Yes. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence covidence vidence vidence vidence vidence to from the facility? A Yes. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence vidence vidence vidence vidence vidence vidence vidence vidence. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence vidence vidence vidence vidence vidence vidence. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence vidence vidence vidence. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into vidence vidence vidence vidence. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence vidence vidence. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence vidence. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into vidence vidence. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into vidence vidence. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into vidence vidence. MS. SUGERMAN: We'll, actually, I have | 6 | empty, for example. And if it's not empty, there | 6 | MS. SUGERMAN: I'm open to suggestion. What |
| s filled, there could be discharges that way. Or it could just be put back into service if piping is still to there. 2 Q Okay. And as with the previous checklist, I would like to ask you, are you willing to enter this observations and conclusions from that inspection, the checklist as your — as your testimony on your observations and conclusions from that inspection, the 2016 inspection? 3 A Pin willing, yes. 3 JUDGE BIRO: Do you have the same objection, Pin Mr. MeNeil? 4 MR. MeNEIL: Your Honor, we renew the same objection, Pin Mr. MeNeil? 5 JUDGE BIRO: Do you have the same objection, Pin Mr. MeNeil: Your Honor, we renew the same objection. Thank you. 2 JUDGE BIRO: Okay. And I'll overrule and his potential properties of the previously identified as for previously identified as for previously identified as was received in evidence.) 6 A SuGERMAN: Yes, CK-8. 8 Was received in evidence.) 9 MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. 10 Please turn to CX-19. Can you — do you for VSS. 11 Q Des this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the January 2017 FRP that you received? 2 A Yes. 1 JUDGE BIRO: Now. 1 JUDGE BIRO: That's great. Would have been even better if we could have reached these kind of — word. 1 JUDGE BIRO: That's great. Would have been even better if we could have reached these kind of — word. 1 JUDGE BIRO: That's great. Would have been even better if we could have reached these kind of — word. 2 JUDGE BIRO: That's great. Would have been even better if we could have reached these kind of — word. 3 JUDGE BIRO: That's great. Would have been even better if we could have reached these kind of — word. 4 JUDGE BIRO: That's great. Would have been even better if we could have reached these kind of — word. 5 JUDGE BIRO: That's great. Would have been even better if we could have reached these kind of — word. 5 JUDGE BIRO: More and if the previously identified as — JUDGE BIRO: We're going to admit CX-19. 6 JUDGE BIRO: More going have feet better if word. 7 Complainant's Exhibit No. 19. 8 Was SUGERMAN: Que your ev | 7 | could be a discharge. Also, if it's not if the | 7 | does that mean? |
| could just be put back into service if piping is still there. Q Okay. And as with the previous checklist, I would like to ask you, are you willing to enter this checklist as your as your testimony on your obervations and conclusions from that inspection, the conclusions from that inspection, the conclusions from that inspection, the conclusions and conclusions from that inspection, the conclusions from that inspection that conclusions from the facility? The conclusions from that inspection, the checklist, I would like to move into evidence in the facility of the facility of the conclusions from that inspection to that? The conclusions from that inspection, the checklist, I would like to move into evidence in the facility of the faci | 8 | vents haven't been closed and for some reason the tank | 8 | MR. McNEIL: If I may, Your Honor, we'd be |
| there. Q Okay, And as with the previous checklist, I would like to ask you, are you willing to enter this checklist as your — as your testimony on your observations and conclusions from that inspection, the 2016 inspection? A I'm willing, yes. JUDGE BIRO: Do you have the same objection, I'm Mr. McNeII. Your Honor, we renew the same objection. Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. And I'll overrule and have you have a continuing objection, if you'd like. MR. McNeIII. Thank you, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: We're going to admit — you're previously identified as for previously identified as previously identified as A This is a Facility Response Plan prepared by or for VSS. Q Do you recall if you received this document from the facility? A Yes. JUDGE BIRO: CX-12 is admitted into the record. A Yes. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence CX-19. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence CX-19. MS. SUGERMAN: Ves, CX-19. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence CX-19. MS. SUGERMAN: Ves, CX-19. MS. SUGERMAN: CX-19. JUDGE BIRO: We're going to admit CX-19. MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. JUDGE BIRO: we're going to admit CX-19. JUDGE BIRO: we're going to admit CX-19. JUDGE BIRO: we're going to admit CX-19. MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: we're going to admit CX-19. JUDGE BIRO: we're going to admit CX-19. MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: we're going to admit CX-19. MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: we're going to admit CX-19. MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: we're going to admit CX-19. MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: we're going to admit CX-19. MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: we're going to admit CX-19. MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: we're going to admit CX-19. MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: we're going to adm | 9 | is filled, there could be discharges that way. Or it | 9 | happy at the next break to sit down with counsel and |
| 12 Q Okay. And as with the previous checklist, I would like to ask you, are you willing to enter this checklist as your -as your testimony on your observations and conclusions from that inspection, the 16 2016 inspection? | 10 | could just be put back into service if piping is still | 10 | try to streamline that, because we there may be |
| would like to ask you, are you willing to enter this checklist as your as your testimony on your checklist as your as your testimony on your 144 MR. MonEIL: At least from respondents. JUDGE BIRO: Mow. MR. MonEIL: At least from respondents. JUDGE BIRO: That's great. Would have been conclusions from that inspection, the 2016 inspection? 16 even better if we could have reached these kind of MS. SUGERMAN: We will try JUDGE BIRO: Do you have the same objection, MR. McNEIL: Your Honor, we renew the same objection. Thank you. 20 JUDGE BIRO: Okay. And I'll overrule and have you have a continuing objection, if you'd like. 21 JUDGE BIRO: We're going to admit you're 22 JUDGE BIRO: We're going to admit you're 23 MS. SUGERMAN: And then I move CX-19 into evidence. 31 JUDGE BIRO: We're going to admit you're 32 JUDGE BIRO: We're going to admit CX-19 | 11 | there. | 11 | some that we do, but I think the large majority we |
| checklist as your—as your testimony on your observations and conclusions from that inspection, the 2016 inspection? A I'm willing, yes. JUDGE BIRO: Do you have the same objection, 16 | 12 | Q Okay. And as with the previous checklist, I | 12 | won't. |
| observations and conclusions from that inspection, the 2016 inspection? 16 2016 inspection? 17 A I'm willing, yes. 18 JUDGE BIRO: Do you have the same objection, 19 Mr. McNeil? 20 MR. McNEIL: Your Honor, we renew the same objection. Thank you. 21 JUDGE BIRO: Okay. And I'll overrule and have you have a continuing objection, if you'd like. 22 JUDGE BIRO: Okay. And I'll overrule and have you have a continuing objection, if you'd like. 23 MR. McNEIL: Thank you, Your Honor. 24 MR. McNEIL: Thank you, Your Honor. 25 JUDGE BIRO: We're going to admit — you're Page 190 1 offering for admission Complainant's Exhibit 8? 2 MS. SUGERMAN: Ves, CX-8. 3 JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 8? 4 is admitted into the record. 5 (The document referred to, previously identified as 6 | 13 | would like to ask you, are you willing to enter this | 13 | JUDGE BIRO: Wow. |
| 2016 inspection? 17 A I'm willing, yes. 18 JUDGE BIRO: Do you have the same objection, 19 Mr. McNeil? 20 MR. McNEIL: Your Honor, we renew the same objection. Thank you. 21 objection. Thank you, Sudger Biro: Okay. 22 JUDGE BIRO: Okay. And I'll overrule and have you have a continuing objection, if you'd like. 24 MR. McNEIL: Thank you, Your Honor. 25 JUDGE BIRO: We're going to admit you're 26 MS. SUGERMAN: And then I move CX-19 into evidence. 27 JUDGE BIRO: We're going to admit you're 28 MS. SUGERMAN: And then I move CX-19 into evidence. 29 JUDGE BIRO: We're going to admit CX-19 20 OP JUDGE BIRO: Chay. Complainant's Exhibit 8? 20 MS. SUGERMAN: Chay. 21 JUDGE BIRO: We're going to admit CX-19 22 JUDGE BIRO: We're going to admit CX-19 23 JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 8? 24 MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, CX-8. 25 JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 8. 26 MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. 27 JUDGE BIRO: - into evidence 28 JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Omplainant's Exhibit 8. 29 MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. 30 JUDGE BIRO: - into evidence 31 JUDGE BIRO: - into evidence 32 JUDGE BIRO: - into evidence 33 JUDGE BIRO: - into evidence 34 MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. 36 MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. 37 Complainant's Exhibit No. 8, 38 Was received in evidence.) 39 MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. 30 MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. 31 A This is a facility Response Plan prepared by or for VSS. 31 Q Please turn to CX-19. Can you do you recall if you received this document? 31 A This is a facility Response Plan prepared by or for VSS. 31 Q And did you review this document? 32 MR. McNEIL: I do not, your Honor. 33 JUDGE BIRO: CX-12 is admitted into the record. 34 MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, please. 35 JUDGE BIRO: CX-12 is admitted into the record. 36 MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence objection of that? 39 MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence objection of that? 30 MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence objection of that? 34 MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, please. 35 JUDGE BIRO: Water achieved to that? 36 MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence objection | 14 | checklist as your as your testimony on your | 14 | MR. McNEIL: At least from respondents. |
| 17 A I'm willing, yes. 18 JUDGE BIRO: Do you have the same objection, 19 Mr. McNeil? 20 MR. McNeill.: Your Honor, we renew the same objection. Thank you. 21 JUDGE BIRO: Okay. And I'll overrule and have you have a continuing objection, if you'd like. 22 MR. McNeill.: Thank you, Your Honor. 23 have you have a continuing objection, if you'd like. 24 MR. McNeill.: Thank you, Your Honor. 25 JUDGE BIRO: We're going to admit — you're 26 JUDGE BIRO: We're going to admit — you're 27 JUDGE BIRO: We're going to admit - you're 28 MS. SUGERMAN: And then I move CX-19 into evidence. 29 JUDGE BIRO: We're going to admit CX-19 — Page 190 20 offering for admission Complainant's Exhibit 8? 21 MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. 22 JUDGE BIRO: We're going to admit CX-19 — Page 190 21 offering for admission Complainant's Exhibit 8? 22 MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. 23 JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 8? 24 JUDGE BIRO: We're going to admit CX-19 — 15 (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 8, was received in evidence.) 26 MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. 27 JUDGE BIRO: — I think without objection. 28 Was received in evidence.) 39 MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. 30 BY MS. SUGERMAN: 31 A This is a Facility Response Plan prepared by or for VSS. 31 Q Do you recall if you received this document? 32 A Yes. 33 Q And I'll overrule and accurate copy of the January 2017 FRP that you received? 34 A Yes. 35 MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into cvidence CX-19. 36 MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into cvidence CX-19. 37 MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into cvidence CX-19. 38 MS. SUGERMAN: I have the same objection, if you'd like. 49 MS. SUGERMAN: Well, actually, I have | 15 | observations and conclusions from that inspection, the | 15 | JUDGE BIRO: That's great. Would have been |
| JUDGE BIRO: Do you have the same objection, Mr. McNeil? MR. McNEIL: Your Honor, we renew the same objection. Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. And I'll overrule and have you have a continuing objection, if you'd like. MR. McNEIL: Thank you, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: We're going to admit you're Page 190 Page 190 MS. SUGERMAN: But I do think there are only a few more. And I'm sorry, was that CX-19? JUDGE BIRO: We're going to admit you're Bage 190 Page 190 Page 190 Page 190 MS. SUGERMAN: And then I move CX-19 into evidence. JUDGE BIRO: We're going to admit CX-19 JUDGE BIRO: We're going to admit CX-19 MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. JUDGE BIRO: We're going to admit CX-19 MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. JUDGE BIRO: We're going to admit CX-19 MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. Do you have | 16 | 2016 inspection? | 16 | even better if we could have reached these kind of |
| Mr. McNeil? Mr. McNeilL: Your Honor, we renew the same objection. Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. And I'll overrule and have you have a continuing objection, if you'd like. Mr. McNeilL: Thank you, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: We're going to admit — you're Page 190 Page 190 Page 190 Page 190 Page 192 Mr. S. SUGERMAN: And then I move CX-19 into evidence. JUDGE BIRO: We're going to admit CX-19 — Mr. S. SUGERMAN: Okay. JUDGE BIRO: We're going to admit CX-19 — Page 190 Page 190 Page 190 Page 190 Page 192 Mr. S. SUGERMAN: Okay. JUDGE BIRO: We're going to admit CX-19 — Mr. S. SUGERMAN: Okay. JUDGE BIRO: We're going to admit CX-19 — Mr. S. SUGERMAN: Okay. JUDGE BIRO: We're going to admit CX-19 — Mr. S. SUGERMAN: Okay. JUDGE BIRO: We're going to admit CX-19 — Mr. S. SUGERMAN: Okay. JUDGE BIRO: We're going to admit CX-19 — Mr. S. SUGERMAN: Okay. JUDGE BIRO: We're going to admit CX-19 — Mr. S. SUGERMAN: Okay. JUDGE BIRO: We're going to admit CX-19 — Mr. S. SUGERMAN: Okay. JUDGE BIRO: We're going to admit CX-19 — Mr. S. SUGERMAN: Okay. JUDGE BIRO: We're going to admit CX-19 — Mr. S. SUGERMAN: Okay. JUDGE BIRO: We're going to admit CX-19 — Mr. S. SUGERMAN: Okay. JUDGE BIRO: We're going to admit CX-19 — Mr. S. SUGERMAN: Okay. JUDGE BIRO: We're going to admit CX-19 — Mr. S. SUGERMAN: Okay. JUDGE BIRO: We're going to admit CX-19 — Mr. S. SUGERMAN: Okay. Mr. S. SUGERMAN: Okay. Mr. S. SUGERMAN: Think without objection. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 19, was received in evidence.) Mr. S. SUGERMAN: Think without objection. Mr. McNeil: I do not, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Mr. McNeil: I do not, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Mr. McNeil: I do not, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Mr. McNeil: I do not, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Do you have any objection to that? Mr. McNeil: I do not, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Mr. SUGERMAN: Yes, gease. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. The document referred to, previously identified as Compla | 17 | A I'm willing, yes. | 17 | MS. SUGERMAN: We will try |
| MR. McNEIL: Your Honor, we renew the same objection. Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. And I'll overrule and have you have a continuing objection, if you'd like. MR. McNEIL: Thank you, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: We're going to admit — you're Page 190 Page 190 Page 190 Page 192 MS. SUGERMAN: And then I move CX-19 into evidence. JUDGE BIRO: We're going to admit CX-19 — Page 190 Page 192 MS. SUGERMAN: And then I move CX-19 into evidence. JUDGE BIRO: We're going to admit CX-19 — Page 192 MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, CX-8. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 8 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 8, was received in evidence.) MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. Page 192 MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: — into evidence — MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: — into evidence — MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: — into evidence — MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: — into evidence — MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: — into evidence — MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: — into evidence — MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: — into evidence — MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: — into evidence — MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: — into evidence — MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: — into evidence — MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: — into evidence — MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: — into evidence — MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: — into evidence — MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: — into evidence — MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: — into evidence — MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: — into evidence — MS. SUGERMAN: — into evidence — JUDGE BIRO: — into evidence — MS. SUGERMAN: — into evidence — JUDGE BIRO: — into evidence — MS. SUGERMAN: — into evidence — | 18 | JUDGE BIRO: Do you have the same objection, | 18 | JUDGE BIRO: resolutions before hearing, |
| 21 objection. Thank you. 22 JUDGE BIRO: Okay. And I'll overrule and 23 have you have a continuing objection, if you'd like. 24 MR. McNEIL: Thank you, Your Honor. 25 JUDGE BIRO: We're going to admit you're Page 190 Page 190 Page 190 Page 192 offering for admission Complainant's Exhibit 8? MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, CX-8. JUDGE BIRO: We're going to admit CX-19 Page 192 offering for admission Complainant's Exhibit 8? MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, CX-8. JUDGE BIRO: into evidence JUDGE BIRO: into evidence MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: into evidence MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: into evidence MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. MS. SUGER | 19 | Mr. McNeil? | 19 | because this is a big waste of time. Okay. |
| JUDGE BIRO: Okay. And I'll overrule and have you have a continuing objection, if you'd like. MR. McNEIL: Thank you, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: We're going to admit you're Page 190 Page 190 Page 192 MS. SUGERMAN: And then I move CX-19 into evidence. JUDGE BIRO: We're going to admit CX-19 Page 190 Page 192 MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. JUDGE BIRO: into evidence JUDGE BIRO: into evidence JUDGE BIRO: into evidence JUDGE BIRO: into evidence MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: into evidence JUDGE BIRO: into evidence JUDGE BIRO: into evidence JUDGE BIRO: into evidence MS. SUGERMAN: Think you be a true and accurate JUDGE BIRO: Okay. JUDGE BIRO | 20 | MR. McNEIL: Your Honor, we renew the same | 20 | MS. SUGERMAN: But I do think there are only |
| have you have a continuing objection, if you'd like. MR. McNEIL: Thank you, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: We're going to admit you're Page 190 Page 190 Page 190 Page 192 MS. SUGERMAN: And then I move CX-19 into evidence. JUDGE BIRO: We're going to admit CX-19 Page 192 offering for admission Complainant's Exhibit 8? MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, CX-8. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 8 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 8, was received in evidence.) MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. Page 192 MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: Into evidence J | 21 | objection. Thank you. | 21 | a few more. And I'm sorry, was that CX-19? |
| MR. McNEIL: Thank you, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: We're going to admit you're Page 190 Page 190 Page 192 MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, CX-8. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 8? JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 8 admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 8, was received in evidence.) MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. Page 192 MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: - into evidence MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: - into evidence MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: - I think without objection. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 8, was received in evidence.) MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. Page 192 MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. JUDGE BIRO: we're going to admit CX-19 MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. JUDGE BIRO: - into evidence MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: - into evidence MS. SUGERMAN: Subjection. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 19, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q Please turn to CX-12. JUDGE BIRO: how about CX-12, Mr. McNeil? Do you have any objection to that? MR. McNEIL: I do not, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Do you want to offer that exhibit? MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, please. JUDGE BIRO: CX-12 is admitted into the record. The document referred to, previously identified as complainant's Exhibit No. 12, was received in evidence.) MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence CX-19. | 22 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. And I'll overrule and | 22 | JUDGE BIRO: Yes. |
| Page 190 Page 190 Page 192 offering for admission Complainant's Exhibit 8? MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, CX-8. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 8 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 8, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. Page 192 MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. JUDGE BIRO: — into evidence — JUDGE BIRO: — into evidence — MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: — It hink without objection. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 8, was received in evidence.) MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. Page 192 MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. JUDGE BIRO: — It hink without objection. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 19, was received in evidence.) MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. Page 192 MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. JUDGE BIRO: — It hink without objection. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 19, was received in evidence.) Page 192 Page 192 MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. JUDGE BIRO: — It hink without objection. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 19, was received in evidence.) MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. Do you have any objection to that? MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. Do you want to offer that exhibit? MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, please. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Do you want to offer that exhibit? MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, please. JUDGE BIRO: CX-12 is admitted into the record. The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 12, was received in evidence.) MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence CX-19. | 23 | have you have a continuing objection, if you'd like. | 23 | MS. SUGERMAN: And then I move CX-19 into |
| Page 190 offering for admission Complainant's Exhibit 8? MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, CX-8. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 8 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 8, was received in evidence.) MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: — I think without objection. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 8, 7 Complainant's Exhibit No. 19, was received in evidence.) MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q Please turn to CX-19. Can you — do you 11 JUDGE BIRO: How about CX-12, Mr. McNeil? Page 192 MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. BY MS. SUGERMAN: 10 Q Please turn to CX-12. JUDGE BIRO: How about CX-12, Mr. McNeil? Do you have any objection to that? MR. McNEIL: I do not, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, please. JUDGE BIRO: CX-12 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 12, was received in evidence.) MS. SUGERMAN: 15 Do you want to offer that exhibit? MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, please. JUDGE BIRO: CX-12 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 12, was received in evidence.) MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence CX-19. MS. SUGERMAN: Well, actually, I have | 24 | MR. McNEIL: Thank you, Your Honor. | 24 | evidence. |
| offering for admission Complainant's Exhibit 8? MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, CX-8. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 8 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 8, was received in evidence.) MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: —I think without objection. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 8, 7 Complainant's Exhibit No. 19, was received in evidence.) MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: OPlease turn to CX-19. Can you — do you recognize this document? A This is a Facility Response Plan prepared by or for VSS. OPo you recall if you received this document from the facility? A Yes. OPO soes this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the January 2017 FRP that you received? MS. SUGERMAN: Well, actually, I have | 25 | JUDGE BIRO: We're going to admit you're | 25 | JUDGE BIRO: We're going to admit CX-19 |
| MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, CX-8. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 8 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 8, Was received in evidence.) MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: —I think without objection. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 8, Tomplainant's Exhibit No. 19, Was received in evidence.) MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: Day Was SUGERMAN: Q Please turn to CX-19. Can you — do you Please turn to CX-12. JUDGE BIRO: How about CX-12, Mr. McNeil? A This is a Facility Response Plan prepared by or for VSS. Q Do you recall if you received this document from the facility? A Yes. Q And did you review this document? A Yes. Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the January 2017 FRP that you received? A Yes. MS. SUGERMAN: Well, actually, I have | | Page 190 | | Page 192 |
| MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, CX-8. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 8 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 8, was received in evidence.) MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: —I think without objection. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 8, was received in evidence.) MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: Description of the facility? A This is a Facility Response Plan prepared by or for VSS. Q Do you recall if you received this document from the facility? A Yes. Q And did you review this document? A Yes. Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the January 2017 FRP that you received? A Yes. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence — JUDGE BIRO: —I think without objection. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 19, was received in evidence.) MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence — JUDGE BIRO: —I think without objection. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 12, was received in evidence.) JUDGE BIRO: —I think without objection. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 12, was received in evidence.) JUDGE BIRO: —I think without objection. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 12, was received in evidence.) JUDGE BIRO: Wat's the next one? MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence CX-19. | | | | <u> </u> |
| 4 is admitted into the record. 5 (The document referred to, previously identified as 6 previously identified as 7 Complainant's Exhibit No. 8, 7 Complainant's Exhibit No. 19, 8 was received in evidence.) 8 was received in evidence.) 9 MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. 9 BY MS. SUGERMAN: 10 BY MS. SUGERMAN: 10 Q Please turn to CX-12. 11 Q Please turn to CX-19. Can you do you 11 JUDGE BIRO: How about CX-12, Mr. McNeil? 12 Do you have any objection to that? 13 A This is a Facility Response Plan prepared by 13 MR. McNeIII: I do not, Your Honor. 14 or for VSS. 14 JUDGE BIRO: Okay. 15 Q Do you recall if you received this document 15 Do you want to offer that exhibit? 16 from the facility? 16 MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, please. 17 JUDGE BIRO: CX-12 is admitted into the 18 Q And did you review this document? 18 record. 19 A Yes. 19 (The document referred to, previously identified as 19 Complainant's Exhibit No. 12, was received in evidence.) 19 MS. SUGERMAN: Well, actually, I have 19 Was SUGERMAN: Well, actually, I have | 1 | offering for admission Complainant's Exhibit 8? | 1 | - |
| 5 (The document referred to, previously identified as 7 Complainant's Exhibit No. 8, 7 Complainant's Exhibit No. 19, 8 8 Was received in evidence.) 8 Was received in evidence.) 9 MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. 9 BY MS. SUGERMAN: 10 BY MS. SUGERMAN: 10 Q Please turn to CX-19. Can you do you 11 JUDGE BIRO: How about CX-12, Mr. McNeil? 12 recognize this document? 12 Do you have any objection to that? 13 A This is a Facility Response Plan prepared by 13 MR. McNEIL: I do not, Your Honor. 14 or for VSS. 14 JUDGE BIRO: Okay. 15 Q Do you recall if you received this document 15 Do you want to offer that exhibit? 16 from the facility? 16 MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, please. 17 A Yes. 17 JUDGE BIRO: CX-12 is admitted into the 18 record. 19 A Yes. 19 (The document referred to, previously identified as 19 copy of the January 2017 FRP that you received? 21 Complainant's Exhibit No. 12, was received in evidence.) 20 MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into 23 JUDGE BIRO: What's the next one? 24 evidence CX-19. | | | | MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. |
| 6 previously identified as 7 Complainant's Exhibit No. 8, 8 was received in evidence.) 8 was received in evidence.) 9 MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. 10 BY MS. SUGERMAN: 11 Q Please turn to CX-19. Can you — do you 12 recognize this document? 13 A This is a Facility Response Plan prepared by 14 or for VSS. 15 Q Do you recall if you received this document 16 from the facility? 17 A Yes. 18 Q And did you review this document? 19 A Yes. 10 Do you want to offer that exhibit? 11 MS. SUGERMAN: 12 Do you want to offer that exhibit? 13 MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, please. 14 JUDGE BIRO: Okay. 15 Do you want to offer that exhibit? 16 from the facility? 17 A Yes. 18 Q And did you review this document? 19 A Yes. 10 Do you want to offer that exhibit? 11 JUDGE BIRO: Okay. 12 Do you want to offer that exhibit? 13 MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, please. 14 JUDGE BIRO: CX-12 is admitted into the record. 15 Po you want to offer that exhibit? 16 MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, please. 17 JUDGE BIRO: CX-12 is admitted into the record. 18 Po you want to offer that exhibit? 19 A Yes. 20 Does this appear to be a true and accurate 20 previously identified as 20 previously identified as 21 copy of the January 2017 FRP that you received? 21 Complainant's Exhibit No. 12, was received in evidence.) 22 A Yes. 23 MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into 23 JUDGE BIRO: What's the next one? 24 evidence CX-19. | 2 | MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, CX-8. | 2 | MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. JUDGE BIRO: into evidence |
| Complainant's Exhibit No. 8, was received in evidence.) MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. BY MS. SUGERMAN: O Please turn to CX-19. Can you do you recognize this document? A This is a Facility Response Plan prepared by or for VSS. O Do you recall if you received this document From the facility? A Yes. O And did you review this document? A Yes. O Do set this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the January 2017 FRP that you received? A Yes. MS. SUGERMAN: Twould like to move into evidence CX-19. Complainant's Exhibit No. 19, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: O Please turn to CX-12. JUDGE BIRO: How about CX-12, Mr. McNeil? Do you have any objection to that? MR. McNEIL: I do not, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Do you want to offer that exhibit? MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, please. JUDGE BIRO: CX-12 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 12, was received in evidence.) MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence CX-19. MS. SUGERMAN: Well, actually, I have | 2 | MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, CX-8. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 8 | 2 3 | MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. JUDGE BIRO: into evidence MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. |
| was received in evidence.) MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. BY MS. SUGERMAN: OR Please turn to CX-19. Can you do you recognize this document? A This is a Facility Response Plan prepared by or for VSS. OR Do you recall if you received this document from the facility? A Yes. OR A Yes. OR Do you review this document? A Yes. OR Does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the January 2017 FRP that you received? A Yes. MS. SUGERMAN: Wesl, actually, I have | 2 3 4 | MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, CX-8. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 8 is admitted into the record. | 2 3 4 | MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. JUDGE BIRO: into evidence MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: I think without objection. |
| 9 MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. 10 BY MS. SUGERMAN: 11 Q Please turn to CX-19. Can you do you 12 recognize this document? 13 A This is a Facility Response Plan prepared by 14 or for VSS. 15 Q Do you recall if you received this document 16 from the facility? 17 A Yes. 18 Q And did you review this document? 19 A Yes. 10 Do you want to offer that exhibit? 11 MR. McNEIL: I do not, Your Honor. 12 JUDGE BIRO: Okay. 13 MR. McNEIL: I do not, Your Honor. 14 JUDGE BIRO: Okay. 15 Do you want to offer that exhibit? 16 MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, please. 17 JUDGE BIRO: CX-12 is admitted into the 18 Q And did you review this document? 18 record. 19 A Yes. 19 (The document referred to, previously identified as 20 copy of the January 2017 FRP that you received? 21 Complainant's Exhibit No. 12, 22 was received in evidence.) 23 MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into 24 evidence CX-19. 29 MS. SUGERMAN: Well, actually, I have | 2 3 4 5 | MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, CX-8. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 8 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, | 2 3 4 5 | MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. JUDGE BIRO: into evidence MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: I think without objection. (The document referred to, |
| BY MS. SUGERMAN: 10 Q Please turn to CX-12. 11 Q Please turn to CX-19. Can you do you 12 recognize this document? 13 A This is a Facility Response Plan prepared by 14 or for VSS. 15 Q Do you recall if you received this document 16 from the facility? 17 A Yes. 18 Q And did you review this document? 19 A Yes. 20 Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate 21 copy of the January 2017 FRP that you received? 22 A Yes. 23 MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into 24 evidence CX-19. 10 Q Please turn to CX-12. 11 JUDGE BIRO: How about CX-12, Mr. McNeil? 12 Do you have any objection to that? 13 MR. McNEIL: I do not, Your Honor. 14 JUDGE BIRO: Okay. 15 Do you want to offer that exhibit? MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, please. 17 JUDGE BIRO: CX-12 is admitted into the 18 record. 20 previously identified as 21 Complainant's Exhibit No. 12, 22 was received in evidence.) 31 JUDGE BIRO: What's the next one? 42 MS. SUGERMAN: Well, actually, I have | 2 3 4 5 6 | MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, CX-8. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 8 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as | 2 3 4 5 6 | MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. JUDGE BIRO: into evidence MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: I think without objection. (The document referred to, previously identified as |
| 11 Q Please turn to CX-19. Can you do you 12 recognize this document? 13 A This is a Facility Response Plan prepared by 14 or for VSS. 15 Q Do you recall if you received this document 16 from the facility? 17 A Yes. 18 Q And did you review this document? 19 A Yes. 10 Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate 20 Copy of the January 2017 FRP that you received? 21 Complainant's Exhibit No. 12, 22 A Yes. 23 MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into 24 evidence CX-19. 21 JUDGE BIRO: How about CX-12, Mr. McNeil? 26 Do you have any objection to that? 27 MR. McNEIL: I do not, Your Honor. 28 JUDGE BIRO: Okay. 29 Do you want to offer that exhibit? 20 MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, please. 21 JUDGE BIRO: CX-12 is admitted into the 20 previously identified as 21 Complainant's Exhibit No. 12, 22 was received in evidence.) 23 JUDGE BIRO: What's the next one? 24 MS. SUGERMAN: Well, actually, I have | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, CX-8. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 8 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 8, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. JUDGE BIRO: into evidence MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: I think without objection. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 19, |
| recognize this document? 12 Do you have any objection to that? 13 A This is a Facility Response Plan prepared by 13 MR. McNEIL: I do not, Your Honor. 14 or for VSS. 15 Q Do you recall if you received this document 16 from the facility? 16 MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, please. 17 A Yes. 18 Q And did you review this document? 19 A Yes. 10 Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate 20 Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate 21 copy of the January 2017 FRP that you received? 22 A Yes. 23 MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into 24 evidence CX-19. 26 Do you have any objection to that? 27 MR. McNEIL: I do not, Your Honor. 28 MR. McNEIL: I do not, Your Honor. 29 JUDGE BIRO: Okay. 20 MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, please. 21 JUDGE BIRO: CX-12 is admitted into the record. 20 previously identified as 21 Complainant's Exhibit No. 12, 22 was received in evidence.) 23 JUDGE BIRO: What's the next one? 24 Evidence CX-19. 24 MS. SUGERMAN: Well, actually, I have | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, CX-8. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 8 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 8, was received in evidence.) | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. JUDGE BIRO: into evidence MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: I think without objection. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 19, was received in evidence.) |
| A This is a Facility Response Plan prepared by or for VSS. Q Do you recall if you received this document from the facility? A Yes. Q Do you review this document? A Yes. Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the January 2017 FRP that you received? A Yes. MR. McNEIL: I do not, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, please. JUDGE BIRO: CX-12 is admitted into the record. 18 record. 19 (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 12, was received in evidence.) MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence CX-19. MS. SUGERMAN: Well, actually, I have | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, CX-8. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 8 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 8, was received in evidence.) MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. JUDGE BIRO: into evidence MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: I think without objection. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 19, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: |
| 14 or for VSS. Q Do you recall if you received this document 15 Do you want to offer that exhibit? 16 from the facility? 16 MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, please. 17 JUDGE BIRO: CX-12 is admitted into the 18 Q And did you review this document? 18 record. 19 A Yes. 19 (The document referred to, 20 Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate 21 copy of the January 2017 FRP that you received? 22 A Yes. 23 MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into 24 evidence CX-19. 24 JUDGE BIRO: Okay. 25 Do you want to offer that exhibit? 26 MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, please. 27 JUDGE BIRO: CX-12 is admitted into the 28 record. 29 previously identified as 20 previously identified as 21 Complainant's Exhibit No. 12, 22 was received in evidence.) 23 JUDGE BIRO: What's the next one? 24 MS. SUGERMAN: Well, actually, I have | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, CX-8. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 8 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 8, was received in evidence.) MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q Please turn to CX-19. Can you do you | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. JUDGE BIRO: into evidence MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: I think without objection. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 19, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q Please turn to CX-12. JUDGE BIRO: How about CX-12, Mr. McNeil? |
| Do you want to offer that exhibit? Do you want to offer that exhibit? MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, please. A Yes. A Yes. A Yes. O And did you review this document? A Yes. O Does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the January 2017 FRP that you received? A Yes. A Yes. Complainant's Exhibit No. 12, Was received in evidence.) MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence CX-19. Do you want to offer that exhibit? MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, please. 17 JUDGE BIRO: CX-12 is admitted into the record. 18 record. 19 (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 12, Was received in evidence.) JUDGE BIRO: What's the next one? MS. SUGERMAN: Well, actually, I have | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, CX-8. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 8 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 8, was received in evidence.) MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q Please turn to CX-19. Can you do you recognize this document? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. JUDGE BIRO: into evidence MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: I think without objection. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 19, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q Please turn to CX-12. JUDGE BIRO: How about CX-12, Mr. McNeil? |
| from the facility? A Yes. Q And did you review this document? A Yes. 16 MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, please. 17 JUDGE BIRO: CX-12 is admitted into the 18 record. 19 A Yes. 19 (The document referred to, previously identified as 20 previously identified as 21 copy of the January 2017 FRP that you received? 22 A Yes. 23 MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into 24 evidence CX-19. 26 MS. SUGERMAN: Well, actually, I have | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, CX-8. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 8 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 8, was received in evidence.) MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q Please turn to CX-19. Can you do you recognize this document? A This is a Facility Response Plan prepared by | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. JUDGE BIRO: into evidence MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: I think without objection. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 19, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q Please turn to CX-12. JUDGE BIRO: How about CX-12, Mr. McNeil? Do you have any objection to that? MR. McNEIL: I do not, Your Honor. |
| 17 JUDGE BIRO: CX-12 is admitted into the 18 Q And did you review this document? 19 A Yes. 19 (The document referred to, 20 Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate 21 copy of the January 2017 FRP that you received? 22 A Yes. 23 MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into 24 evidence CX-19. 27 JUDGE BIRO: CX-12 is admitted into the 28 record. 29 previously identified as 20 previously identified as 21 Complainant's Exhibit No. 12, 22 was received in evidence.) 23 JUDGE BIRO: What's the next one? 24 MS. SUGERMAN: Well, actually, I have | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, CX-8. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 8 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 8, was received in evidence.) MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q Please turn to CX-19. Can you do you recognize this document? A This is a Facility Response Plan prepared by or for VSS. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. JUDGE BIRO: into evidence MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: I think without objection. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 19, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q Please turn to CX-12. JUDGE BIRO: How about CX-12, Mr. McNeil? Do you have any objection to that? MR. McNEIL: I do not, Your Honor. |
| 18 Q And did you review this document? 19 A Yes. 19 (The document referred to, 20 Does this appear to be a true and accurate 21 copy of the January 2017 FRP that you received? 22 A Yes. 23 MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into 24 evidence CX-19. 28 record. 29 (The document referred to, 20 previously identified as 21 Complainant's Exhibit No. 12, 22 was received in evidence.) 23 JUDGE BIRO: What's the next one? 24 MS. SUGERMAN: Well, actually, I have | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, CX-8. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 8 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 8, was received in evidence.) MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q Please turn to CX-19. Can you do you recognize this document? A This is a Facility Response Plan prepared by or for VSS. Q Do you recall if you received this document | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. JUDGE BIRO: into evidence MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: I think without objection. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 19, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q Please turn to CX-12. JUDGE BIRO: How about CX-12, Mr. McNeil? Do you have any objection to that? MR. McNEIL: I do not, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Do you want to offer that exhibit? |
| 19 A Yes. 20 Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate 21 copy of the January 2017 FRP that you received? 22 A Yes. 23 MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into 24 evidence CX-19. 29 (The document referred to, 20 previously identified as 21 Complainant's Exhibit No. 12, 22 was received in evidence.) 23 JUDGE BIRO: What's the next one? 24 MS. SUGERMAN: Well, actually, I have | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, CX-8. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 8 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 8, was received in evidence.) MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q Please turn to CX-19. Can you do you recognize this document? A This is a Facility Response Plan prepared by or for VSS. Q Do you recall if you received this document from the facility? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. JUDGE BIRO: into evidence MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: I think without objection. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 19, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q Please turn to CX-12. JUDGE BIRO: How about CX-12, Mr. McNeil? Do you have any objection to that? MR. McNEIL: I do not, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Do you want to offer that exhibit? |
| Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the January 2017 FRP that you received? 21 Complainant's Exhibit No. 12, 22 A Yes. 23 MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into 24 evidence CX-19. 20 previously identified as 21 Complainant's Exhibit No. 12, 22 was received in evidence.) 23 JUDGE BIRO: What's the next one? 24 MS. SUGERMAN: Well, actually, I have | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, CX-8. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 8 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 8, was received in evidence.) MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q Please turn to CX-19. Can you do you recognize this document? A This is a Facility Response Plan prepared by or for VSS. Q Do you recall if you received this document from the facility? A Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. JUDGE BIRO: into evidence MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: I think without objection. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 19, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q Please turn to CX-12. JUDGE BIRO: How about CX-12, Mr. McNeil? Do you have any objection to that? MR. McNEIL: I do not, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Do you want to offer that exhibit? MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, please. |
| copy of the January 2017 FRP that you received? 21 Complainant's Exhibit No. 12, 22 A Yes. 23 MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into 24 evidence CX-19. 21 Complainant's Exhibit No. 12, 22 was received in evidence.) JUDGE BIRO: What's the next one? 24 MS. SUGERMAN: Well, actually, I have | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, CX-8. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 8 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 8, was received in evidence.) MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q Please turn to CX-19. Can you do you recognize this document? A This is a Facility Response Plan prepared by or for VSS. Q Do you recall if you received this document from the facility? A Yes. Q And did you review this document? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. JUDGE BIRO: into evidence MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: I think without objection. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 19, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q Please turn to CX-12. JUDGE BIRO: How about CX-12, Mr. McNeil? Do you have any objection to that? MR. McNEIL: I do not, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Do you want to offer that exhibit? MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, please. JUDGE BIRO: CX-12 is admitted into the record. |
| 22 A Yes. 22 was received in evidence.) 23 MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into 23 JUDGE BIRO: What's the next one? 24 evidence CX-19. 24 MS. SUGERMAN: Well, actually, I have | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, CX-8. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 8 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 8, was received in evidence.) MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q Please turn to CX-19. Can you do you recognize this document? A This is a Facility Response Plan prepared by or for VSS. Q Do you recall if you received this document from the facility? A Yes. Q And did you review this document? A Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. JUDGE BIRO: into evidence MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: I think without objection. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 19, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q Please turn to CX-12. JUDGE BIRO: How about CX-12, Mr. McNeil? Do you have any objection to that? MR. McNEIL: I do not, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Do you want to offer that exhibit? MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, please. JUDGE BIRO: CX-12 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, |
| 23 MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into 23 JUDGE BIRO: What's the next one? 24 evidence CX-19. 24 MS. SUGERMAN: Well, actually, I have | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, CX-8. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 8 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 8, was received in evidence.) MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q Please turn to CX-19. Can you do you recognize this document? A This is a Facility Response Plan prepared by or for VSS. Q Do you recall if you received this document from the facility? A Yes. Q And did you review this document? A Yes. Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. JUDGE BIRO: into evidence MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: I think without objection. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 19, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q Please turn to CX-12. JUDGE BIRO: How about CX-12, Mr. McNeil? Do you have any objection to that? MR. McNEIL: I do not, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Do you want to offer that exhibit? MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, please. JUDGE BIRO: CX-12 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as |
| evidence CX-19. 24 MS. SUGERMAN: Well, actually, I have | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, CX-8. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 8 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 8, was received in evidence.) MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q Please turn to CX-19. Can you do you recognize this document? A This is a Facility Response Plan prepared by or for VSS. Q Do you recall if you received this document from the facility? A Yes. Q And did you review this document? A Yes. Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the January 2017 FRP that you received? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. JUDGE BIRO: into evidence MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: I think without objection. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 19, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q Please turn to CX-12. JUDGE BIRO: How about CX-12, Mr. McNeil? Do you have any objection to that? MR. McNEIL: I do not, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Do you want to offer that exhibit? MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, please. JUDGE BIRO: CX-12 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 12, |
| | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, CX-8. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 8 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 8, was received in evidence.) MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q Please turn to CX-19. Can you do you recognize this document? A This is a Facility Response Plan prepared by or for VSS. Q Do you recall if you received this document from the facility? A Yes. Q And did you review this document? A Yes. Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the January 2017 FRP that you received? A Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. JUDGE BIRO: into evidence MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: I think without objection. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 19, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q Please turn to CX-12. JUDGE BIRO: How about CX-12, Mr. McNeil? Do you have any objection to that? MR. McNEIL: I do not, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Do you want to offer that exhibit? MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, please. JUDGE BIRO: CX-12 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 12, was received in evidence.) |
| 25 JUDGE BIRO: Is there any objection? 25 questions about this one. Could I do that first? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, CX-8. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 8 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 8, was received in evidence.) MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q Please turn to CX-19. Can you do you recognize this document? A This is a Facility Response Plan prepared by or for VSS. Q Do you recall if you received this document from the facility? A Yes. Q And did you review this document? A Yes. Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the January 2017 FRP that you received? A Yes. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. JUDGE BIRO: into evidence MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: I think without objection. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 19, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q Please turn to CX-12. JUDGE BIRO: How about CX-12, Mr. McNeil? Do you have any objection to that? MR. McNEIL: I do not, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Do you want to offer that exhibit? MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, please. JUDGE BIRO: CX-12 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 12, was received in evidence.) JUDGE BIRO: What's the next one? |
| | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, CX-8. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 8 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 8, was received in evidence.) MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q Please turn to CX-19. Can you do you recognize this document? A This is a Facility Response Plan prepared by or for VSS. Q Do you recall if you received this document from the facility? A Yes. Q And did you review this document? A Yes. Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of the January 2017 FRP that you received? A Yes. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move into evidence CX-19. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. JUDGE BIRO: into evidence MS. SUGERMAN: Thank you. JUDGE BIRO: I think without objection. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 19, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q Please turn to CX-12. JUDGE BIRO: How about CX-12, Mr. McNeil? Do you have any objection to that? MR. McNEIL: I do not, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Do you want to offer that exhibit? MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, please. JUDGE BIRO: CX-12 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 12, was received in evidence.) JUDGE BIRO: What's the next one? MS. SUGERMAN: Well, actually, I have |

| | Page 193 | | Page 195 |
|--|---|---|---|
| 1 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. | 1 | objection to RX-23? |
| 2 | BY MS. SUGERMAN: | 2 | MR. McNEIL: Which exhibit number? |
| 3 | Q Did you document your concerns with the | 3 | JUDGE BIRO: Twenty-three. |
| 4 | January 2017 FRP in this checklist? | 4 | MS. SUGERMAN: Sorry, it's a show cause |
| 5 | A Yes, I did. | 5 | letter. It's |
| 6 | Q I'd like to go through a few of those | 6 | MR. McNEIL: Oh, no objection. It's the May |
| 7 | concerns, if you would turn to page 3. | 7 | 22 |
| 8 | A Okay. | 8 | MS. SUGERMAN: The May the March 2017, |
| 9 | Q And you have made some comments in the | 9 | yes, show cause letter. |
| 10 | comment box there. Will you explain the concern | 10 | MR. McNEIL: Oh, yeah. No objection, Your |
| 11 | there? | 11 | Honor. |
| 12 | A On page 3, I indicated that there was log | 12 | JUDGE BIRO: RX-23 is admitted into the |
| 13 | form for equipment testing and deployment but no | 13 | record. |
| 14 | schedule in the plan for that testing and deployment | 14 | (The document referred to, |
| 15 | to actually happen. | 15 | previously identified as |
| 16 | Q And what's the harm of not having a testing | 16 | Respondent's Exhibit No. 23, |
| 17 | and deployment? | 17 | was received in evidence.) |
| 18 | A Without deployment, it doesn't give the | 18 | BY MS. SUGERMAN: |
| 19 | facility personnel a chance to work with the | 19 | Q Janice, will you turn to RX-23? |
| 20 | equipment, become familiar with it, and that's also | 20 | A This is a show cause letter that was sent |
| 21 | one of the times when they would inspect the equipment | 21 | after the September 2016 inspection. |
| 22 | to make sure it's working properly. | 22 | Q Can you tell me what the two findings of |
| 23 | Q And if you can look through pages 5 through | 23 | violation are identified there in the second |
| 24 | 9 generally, and look at your comment boxes there. So | 24 | paragraph? |
| 25 | on page 6, you have a comment about equipment. What's | 25 | A A failure to have an adequate Facility |
| | | | Page 196 |
| 1 | the harm of not having proper equipment? | 1 | Response Plan, and the failure to conduct integrity |
| 2 | A Without the proper equipment, it's not | | |
| _ | 71 William the proper equipment, it's not | 1 2 | testing in accordance with industry standards |
| 3 | possible to effectively respond to a discharge | 2 | testing in accordance with industry standards. O Was that a comprehensive list of the |
| 3 4 | possible to effectively respond to a discharge. O And then on page 8 here of CX-12, what's the | 3 | Q Was that a comprehensive list of the |
| 4 | Q And then on page 8 here of CX-12, what's the | 3 4 | Q Was that a comprehensive list of the violations you observed? |
| 4 5 | Q And then on page 8 here of CX-12, what's the concern there? | 3 | Q Was that a comprehensive list of the violations you observed? A No. The there were two documents at |
| 4 5 6 | Q And then on page 8 here of CX-12, what's the concern there? A The training that's required for the | 3 4 5 6 | Q Was that a comprehensive list of the violations you observed? A No. The there were two documents at least that accompanied this, the SPCC compliance |
| 4 5 6 7 | Q And then on page 8 here of CX-12, what's the concern there? A The training that's required for the response personnel there were no dates to show that | 3 4 5 6 7 | Q Was that a comprehensive list of the violations you observed? A No. The there were two documents at least that accompanied this, the SPCC compliance inspection report and the FRP plan review checklist, |
| 4 5 6 7 8 | Q And then on page 8 here of CX-12, what's the concern there? A The training that's required for the response personnel there were no dates to show that training had actually taken place. The response | 3 4 5 6 | Q Was that a comprehensive list of the violations you observed? A No. The there were two documents at least that accompanied this, the SPCC compliance inspection report and the FRP plan review checklist, and the both of those would have included the other |
| 4 5 6 7 8 | Q And then on page 8 here of CX-12, what's the concern there? A The training that's required for the response personnel there were no dates to show that training had actually taken place. The response contractor response time it says "sown." It should | 3 4 5 6 7 8 | Q Was that a comprehensive list of the violations you observed? A No. The there were two documents at least that accompanied this, the SPCC compliance inspection report and the FRP plan review checklist, and the both of those would have included the other areas of noncompliance. |
| 4 5 6 7 8 | Q And then on page 8 here of CX-12, what's the concern there? A The training that's required for the response personnel there were no dates to show that training had actually taken place. The response contractor response time it says "sown." It should be "shown." And it's to be determined. That's | 3 4 5 6 7 8 | Q Was that a comprehensive list of the violations you observed? A No. The there were two documents at least that accompanied this, the SPCC compliance inspection report and the FRP plan review checklist, and the both of those would have included the other areas of noncompliance. Q Okay. |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | Q And then on page 8 here of CX-12, what's the concern there? A The training that's required for the response personnel there were no dates to show that training had actually taken place. The response contractor response time it says "sown." It should be "shown." And it's to be determined. That's important to know when the Oil Spill Response | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Q Was that a comprehensive list of the violations you observed? A No. The there were two documents at least that accompanied this, the SPCC compliance inspection report and the FRP plan review checklist, and the both of those would have included the other areas of noncompliance. Q Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: My next document is CX-45, |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | Q And then on page 8 here of CX-12, what's the concern there? A The training that's required for the response personnel there were no dates to show that training had actually taken place. The response contractor response time it says "sown." It should be "shown." And it's to be determined. That's important to know when the Oil Spill Response Organization what the time frame is for them to | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | Q Was that a comprehensive list of the violations you observed? A No. The there were two documents at least that accompanied this, the SPCC compliance inspection report and the FRP plan review checklist, and the both of those would have included the other areas of noncompliance. Q Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: My next document is CX-45, which is the May 1, 2017 SPCC plan. |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | Q And then on page 8 here of CX-12, what's the concern there? A The training that's required for the response personnel there were no dates to show that training had actually taken place. The response contractor response time it says "sown." It should be "shown." And it's to be determined. That's important to know when the Oil Spill Response Organization what the time frame is for them to show up, because before that happens, it's up to the | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | Q Was that a comprehensive list of the violations you observed? A No. The there were two documents at least that accompanied this, the SPCC compliance inspection report and the FRP plan review checklist, and the both of those would have included the other areas of noncompliance. Q Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: My next document is CX-45, which is the May 1, 2017 SPCC plan. MR. McNEIL: No objection, Your Honor. |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Q And then on page 8 here of CX-12, what's the concern there? A The training that's required for the response personnel there were no dates to show that training had actually taken place. The response contractor response time it says "sown." It should be "shown." And it's to be determined. That's important to know when the Oil Spill Response Organization what the time frame is for them to show up, because before that happens, it's up to the facility to deal with the response. And then the | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Q Was that a comprehensive list of the violations you observed? A No. The there were two documents at least that accompanied this, the SPCC compliance inspection report and the FRP plan review checklist, and the both of those would have included the other areas of noncompliance. Q Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: My next document is CX-45, which is the May 1, 2017 SPCC plan. MR. McNEIL: No objection, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 45 is |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Q And then on page 8 here of CX-12, what's the concern there? A The training that's required for the response personnel there were no dates to show that training had actually taken place. The response contractor response time it says "sown." It should be "shown." And it's to be determined. That's important to know when the Oil Spill Response Organization what the time frame is for them to show up, because before that happens, it's up to the facility to deal with the response. And then the response team information for the facility hadn't been | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Q Was that a comprehensive list of the violations you observed? A No. The there were two documents at least that accompanied this, the SPCC compliance inspection report and the FRP plan review checklist, and the both of those would have included the other areas of noncompliance. Q Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: My next document is CX-45, which is the May 1, 2017 SPCC plan. MR. McNEIL: No objection, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 45 is admitted into the record. |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Q And then on page 8 here of CX-12, what's the concern there? A The training that's required for the response personnel there were no dates to show that training had actually taken place. The response contractor response time it says "sown." It should be "shown." And it's to be determined. That's important to know when the Oil Spill Response Organization what the time frame is for them to show up, because before that happens, it's up to the facility to deal with the response. And then the response team information for the facility hadn't been included in the plan. | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Q Was that a comprehensive list of the violations you observed? A No. The there were two documents at least that accompanied this, the SPCC compliance inspection report and the FRP plan review checklist, and the both of those would have included the other areas of noncompliance. Q Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: My next document is CX-45, which is the May 1, 2017 SPCC plan. MR. McNEIL: No objection, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 45 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Q And then on page 8 here of CX-12, what's the concern there? A The training that's required for the response personnel there were no dates to show that training had actually taken place. The response contractor response time it says "sown." It should be "shown." And it's to be determined. That's important to know when the Oil Spill Response Organization what the time frame is for them to show up, because before that happens, it's up to the facility to deal with the response. And then the response team information for the facility hadn't been included in the plan. Q Okay. As with the other checklist, are you | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Q Was that a comprehensive list of the violations you observed? A No. The there were two documents at least that accompanied this, the SPCC compliance inspection report and the FRP plan review checklist, and the both of those would have included the other areas of noncompliance. Q Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: My next document is CX-45, which is the May 1, 2017 SPCC plan. MR. McNEIL: No objection, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 45 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Q And then on page 8 here of CX-12, what's the concern there? A The training that's required for the response personnel there were no dates to show that training had actually taken place. The response contractor response time it says "sown." It should be "shown." And it's to be determined. That's important to know when the Oil Spill Response Organization what the time frame is for them to show up, because before that happens, it's up to the facility to deal with the response. And then the response team information for the facility hadn't been included in the plan. Q Okay. As with the other checklist, are you willing to adopt this checklist as your testimony | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Q Was that a comprehensive list of the violations you observed? A No. The there were two documents at least that accompanied this, the SPCC compliance inspection report and the FRP plan review checklist, and the both of those would have included the other areas of noncompliance. Q Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: My next document is CX-45, which is the May 1, 2017 SPCC plan. MR. McNEIL: No objection, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 45 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 45, |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Q And then on page 8 here of CX-12, what's the concern there? A The training that's required for the response personnel there were no dates to show that training had actually taken place. The response contractor response time it says "sown." It should be "shown." And it's to be determined. That's important to know when the Oil Spill Response Organization what the time frame is for them to show up, because before that happens, it's up to the facility to deal with the response. And then the response team information for the facility hadn't been included in the plan. Q Okay. As with the other checklist, are you willing to adopt this checklist as your testimony regarding your observations of the review of the 2017 | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | Q Was that a comprehensive list of the violations you observed? A No. The there were two documents at least that accompanied this, the SPCC compliance inspection report and the FRP plan review checklist, and the both of those would have included the other areas of noncompliance. Q Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: My next document is CX-45, which is the May 1, 2017 SPCC plan. MR. McNEIL: No objection, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 45 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 45, was received in evidence.) |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Q And then on page 8 here of CX-12, what's the concern there? A The training that's required for the response personnel there were no dates to show that training had actually taken place. The response contractor response time it says "sown." It should be "shown." And it's to be determined. That's important to know when the Oil Spill Response Organization what the time frame is for them to show up, because before that happens, it's up to the facility to deal with the response. And then the response team information for the facility hadn't been included in the plan. Q Okay. As with the other checklist, are you willing to adopt this checklist as your testimony regarding your observations of the review of the 2017 FRP plan? | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Q Was that a comprehensive list of the violations you observed? A No. The there were two documents at least that accompanied this, the SPCC compliance inspection report and the FRP plan review checklist, and the both of those would have included the other areas of noncompliance. Q Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: My next document is CX-45, which is the May 1, 2017 SPCC plan. MR. McNEIL: No objection, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 45 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 45, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Q And then on page 8 here of CX-12, what's the concern there? A The training that's required for the response personnel there were no dates to show that training had actually taken place. The response contractor response time it says "sown." It should be "shown." And it's to be determined. That's important to know when the Oil Spill Response Organization what the time frame is for them to show up, because before that happens, it's up to the facility to deal with the response. And then the response team information for the facility hadn't been included in the plan. Q Okay. As with the other checklist, are you willing to adopt this checklist as your testimony regarding your observations of the review of the 2017 FRP plan? A Yes. | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Q Was that a comprehensive list of the violations you observed? A No. The there were two documents at least that accompanied this, the SPCC compliance inspection report and the FRP plan review checklist, and the both of those would have included the other areas of noncompliance. Q Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: My next document is CX-45, which is the May 1, 2017 SPCC plan. MR. McNEIL: No objection, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 45 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 45, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q If you could turn to CX-45, please. Okay. |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Q And then on page 8 here of CX-12, what's the concern there? A The training that's required for the response personnel there were no dates to show that training had actually taken place. The response contractor response time it says "sown." It should be "shown." And it's to be determined. That's important to know when the Oil Spill Response Organization what the time frame is for them to show up, because before that happens, it's up to the facility to deal with the response. And then the response team information for the facility hadn't been included in the plan. Q Okay. As with the other checklist, are you willing to adopt this checklist as your testimony regarding your observations of the review of the 2017 FRP plan? A Yes. MS. SUGERMAN: And my next exhibit, Your | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Q Was that a comprehensive list of the violations you observed? A No. The there were two documents at least that accompanied this, the SPCC compliance inspection report and the FRP plan review checklist, and the both of those would have included the other areas of noncompliance. Q Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: My next document is CX-45, which is the May 1, 2017 SPCC plan. MR. McNEIL: No objection, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 45 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 45, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q If you could turn to CX-45, please. Okay. And did this May 27 SPCC plan address the violations |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Q And then on page 8 here of CX-12, what's the concern there? A The training that's required for the response personnel there were no dates to show that training had actually taken place. The response contractor response time it says "sown." It should be "shown." And it's to be determined. That's important to know when the Oil Spill Response Organization what the time frame is for them to show up, because before that happens, it's up to the facility to deal with the response. And then the response team information for the facility hadn't been included in the plan. Q Okay. As with the other checklist, are you willing to adopt this checklist as your testimony regarding your observations of the review of the 2017 FRP plan? A Yes. | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Q Was that a comprehensive list of the violations you observed? A No. The there were two documents at least that accompanied this, the SPCC compliance inspection report and the FRP plan review checklist, and the both of those would have included the other areas of noncompliance. Q Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: My next document is CX-45, which is the May 1, 2017 SPCC plan. MR. McNEIL: No objection, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 45 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 45, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q If you could turn to CX-45, please. Okay. And did this May 27 SPCC plan address the violations identified in the 2017 show cause? |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Q And then on page 8 here of CX-12, what's the concern there? A The training that's required for the response personnel there were no dates to show that training had actually taken place. The response contractor response time it says "sown." It should be "shown." And it's to be determined. That's important to know when the Oil Spill Response Organization what the time frame is for them to show up, because before that happens, it's up to the facility to deal with the response. And then the response team information for the facility hadn't been included in the plan. Q Okay. As with the other checklist, are you willing to adopt this checklist as your testimony regarding your observations of the review of the 2017 FRP plan? A Yes. MS. SUGERMAN: And my next exhibit, Your Honor, would be RX-23, which is just bringing that one | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Q Was that a comprehensive list of the violations you observed? A No. The there were two documents at least that accompanied this, the SPCC compliance inspection report and the FRP plan review checklist, and the both of those would have included the other areas of noncompliance. Q Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: My next document is CX-45, which is the May 1, 2017 SPCC plan. MR. McNEIL: No objection, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 45 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 45, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q If you could turn to CX-45, please. Okay. And did this May 27 SPCC plan address the violations |

| 1 2 | Page 197 | | Page 199 |
|---|--|--|--|
| 2 | Q Sorry, so is that you have those are | 1 | Q Now will you please turn to CX-24? |
| | concerns you still had after reviewing this one as | 2 | A That is a checklist for the review of the |
| 3 | compared to the previous one? | 3 | plan. |
| 4 | A Yes. | 4 | Q Was that for the plan dated May 2017? |
| 5 | Q And that was that regarding tank | 5 | A Yes. |
| 6 | integrity testing? | 6 | Q Okay. |
| 7 | A Yes. | 7 | MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move this |
| 8 | Q And have you received any documentation | 8 | into evidence, CX-24. |
| 9 | regarding tank integrity testing for Tank 2001? | 9 | MR. McNEIL: No objection. |
| 10 | A No. There was a inspection required | 10 | JUDGE BIRO: CX-24 is admitted into the |
| 11 | external inspection required, at five years and I have | 11 | record. |
| 12 | not received any verification of that test. | 12 | (The document referred to, |
| 13 | Q Will you please turn to 20 or 21? I believe | 13 | previously identified as |
| 14 | they're identical. Can you just identify that | 14 | Complainant's Exhibit No. 24, |
| 15 | document for the record? | 15 | was received in evidence.) |
| 16 | MS. SUGERMAN: And I would like to move it | 16 | BY MS. SUGERMAN: |
| 17 | into evidence. | 17 | Q Did you document concerns on this checklist? |
| 18 | THE WITNESS: This is a May 2017 Facility | 18 | Did you have concerns with the May 2017 FRP? |
| 19 | Response Plan for VSS. | 19 | A Yes. |
| 20 | MS. SUGERMAN: May I move into evidence CX- | 20 | Q And did VSS address some of the concerns |
| 21 | 21? | 21 | that you had already identified from the January 2017 |
| 22 | JUDGE BIRO: CX-21 or CX-20? | 22 | FRP? |
| 23 | MS. SUGERMAN: Sorry, what's what am I | 23 | A Some of them, yes. |
| 24 | well, I'm sorry, they're identical. | 24 | Q Do you did the facility provide |
| 25 | JUDGE BIRO: They're 20 and 21 are both | 25 | documentation of a response contract signed by a |
| | Page 198 | | Page 200 |
| 1 | the same? | 1 | response organization? |
| 2 | MS. SUGERMAN: Yes, that was an error we | 2 | A I don't believe they did. It had been |
| 3 | made, and we fixed it later. So I'll just pick one. | | |
| | CV 20 mlana | 3 | executed by the facility but not the Oil Spill |
| 4 | CX-20, please. | 3 4 | - |
| 5 | MR. McNEIL: No objection. | | executed by the facility but not the Oil Spill |
| | - | 4 | executed by the facility but not the Oil Spill Response Organization. |
| 5 | MR. McNEIL: No objection. | 4 5 | executed by the facility but not the Oil Spill Response Organization. Q Did the facility provide documentation that |
| 5 6 | MR. McNEIL: No objection. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. | 4 5 6 | executed by the facility but not the Oil Spill Response Organization. Q Did the facility provide documentation that they had performed the required drills and exercises? |
| 5 6 7 | MR. McNEIL: No objection. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 20 is admitted into | 4 5 6 7 | executed by the facility but not the Oil Spill Response Organization. Q Did the facility provide documentation that they had performed the required drills and exercises? A No. |
| 5 6 7 8 | MR. McNEIL: No objection. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 20 is admitted into the record. | 4 5 6 7 8 | executed by the facility but not the Oil Spill Response Organization. Q Did the facility provide documentation that they had performed the required drills and exercises? A No. Q And did the facility provide documentation |
| 5 6 7 8 9 | MR. McNEIL: No objection. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 20 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, | 4 5 6 7 8 9 | executed by the facility but not the Oil Spill Response Organization. Q Did the facility provide documentation that they had performed the required drills and exercises? A No. Q And did the facility provide documentation that they had performed the required training of |
| 5 6 7 8 9 | MR. McNEIL: No objection. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 20 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as | 4 5 6 7 8 9 | executed by the facility but not the Oil Spill Response Organization. Q Did the facility provide documentation that they had performed the required drills and exercises? A No. Q And did the facility provide documentation that they had performed the required training of facility personnel? |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 | MR. McNEIL: No objection. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 20 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 20, | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | executed by the facility but not the Oil Spill Response Organization. Q Did the facility provide documentation that they had performed the required drills and exercises? A No. Q And did the facility provide documentation that they had performed the required training of facility personnel? A No. |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | MR. McNEIL: No objection. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 20 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 20, was received in evidence.) | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | executed by the facility but not the Oil Spill Response Organization. Q Did the facility provide documentation that they had performed the required drills and exercises? A No. Q And did the facility provide documentation that they had performed the required training of facility personnel? A No. Q Are you willing to incorporate as your |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | MR. McNEIL: No objection. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 20 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 20, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q I'm sorry, can you again identify thiswhat this document is for me? | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | executed by the facility but not the Oil Spill Response Organization. Q Did the facility provide documentation that they had performed the required drills and exercises? A No. Q And did the facility provide documentation that they had performed the required training of facility personnel? A No. Q Are you willing to incorporate as your testimony this checklist as your testimony regarding your observations on the May 2017 FRP plan A Yes. |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | MR. McNEIL: No objection. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 20 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 20, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q I'm sorry, can you again identify this what this document is for me? A It's a May 2017 FRP | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | executed by the facility but not the Oil Spill Response Organization. Q Did the facility provide documentation that they had performed the required drills and exercises? A No. Q And did the facility provide documentation that they had performed the required training of facility personnel? A No. Q Are you willing to incorporate as your testimony this checklist as your testimony regarding your observations on the May 2017 FRP plan A Yes. Q to adopt as your testimony? Okay. Will |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | MR. McNEIL: No objection. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 20 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 20, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q I'm sorry, can you again identify this what this document is for me? A It's a May 2017 FRP Q And did you | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | executed by the facility but not the Oil Spill Response Organization. Q Did the facility provide documentation that they had performed the required drills and exercises? A No. Q And did the facility provide documentation that they had performed the required training of facility personnel? A No. Q Are you willing to incorporate as your testimony this checklist as your testimony regarding your observations on the May 2017 FRP plan A Yes. Q to adopt as your testimony? Okay. Will you please turn to CX-13? |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | MR. McNEIL: No objection. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 20 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 20, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q I'm sorry, can you again identify this what this document is for me? A It's a May 2017 FRP Q And did you A Facility Response Plan. | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | executed by the facility but not the Oil Spill Response Organization. Q Did the facility provide documentation that they had performed the required drills and exercises? A No. Q And did the facility provide documentation that they had performed the required training of facility personnel? A No. Q Are you willing to incorporate as your testimony this checklist as your testimony regarding your observations on the May 2017 FRP plan A Yes. Q to adopt as your testimony? Okay. Will you please turn to CX-13? A Okay. Yes. |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | MR. McNEIL: No objection. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 20 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 20, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q I'm sorry, can you again identify thiswhat this document is for me? A It's a May 2017 FRP Q And did you A Facility Response Plan. Q All right. Did you review this FRP plan as | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | executed by the facility but not the Oil Spill Response Organization. Q Did the facility provide documentation that they had performed the required drills and exercises? A No. Q And did the facility provide documentation that they had performed the required training of facility personnel? A No. Q Are you willing to incorporate as your testimony this checklist as your testimony regarding your observations on the May 2017 FRP plan A Yes. Q to adopt as your testimony? Okay. Will you please turn to CX-13? A Okay. Yes. Q Will you describe this email for me? |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | MR. McNEIL: No objection. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 20 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 20, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q I'm sorry, can you again identify this what this document is for me? A It's a May 2017 FRP Q And did you A Facility Response Plan. Q All right. Did you review this FRP plan as well? | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | executed by the facility but not the Oil Spill Response Organization. Q Did the facility provide documentation that they had performed the required drills and exercises? A No. Q And did the facility provide documentation that they had performed the required training of facility personnel? A No. Q Are you willing to incorporate as your testimony this checklist as your testimony regarding your observations on the May 2017 FRP plan A Yes. Q to adopt as your testimony? Okay. Will you please turn to CX-13? A Okay. Yes. Q Will you describe this email for me? A This is an email from Andrew Helmlinger to |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | MR. McNEIL: No objection. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 20 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 20, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q I'm sorry, can you again identify this what this document is for me? A It's a May 2017 FRP Q And did you A Facility Response Plan. Q All right. Did you review this FRP plan as well? A Yes. | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | executed by the facility but not the Oil Spill Response Organization. Q Did the facility provide documentation that they had performed the required drills and exercises? A No. Q And did the facility provide documentation that they had performed the required training of facility personnel? A No. Q Are you willing to incorporate as your testimony this checklist as your testimony regarding your observations on the May 2017 FRP plan A Yes. Q to adopt as your testimony? Okay. Will you please turn to CX-13? A Okay. Yes. Q Will you describe this email for me? A This is an email from Andrew Helmlinger to Richard McNeil and VSS. I was CC'd on it. EPA was |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | MR. McNEIL: No objection. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 20 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 20, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q I'm sorry, can you again identify this what this document is for me? A It's a May 2017 FRP Q And did you A Facility Response Plan. Q All right. Did you review this FRP plan as well? A Yes. Q Do you remember if you completed a checklist | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | executed by the facility but not the Oil Spill Response Organization. Q Did the facility provide documentation that they had performed the required drills and exercises? A No. Q And did the facility provide documentation that they had performed the required training of facility personnel? A No. Q Are you willing to incorporate as your testimony this checklist as your testimony regarding your observations on the May 2017 FRP plan A Yes. Q to adopt as your testimony? Okay. Will you please turn to CX-13? A Okay. Yes. Q Will you describe this email for me? A This is an email from Andrew Helmlinger to Richard McNeil and VSS. I was CC'd on it. EPA was asking for verification of items that were noted that |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | MR. McNEIL: No objection. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 20 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 20, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q I'm sorry, can you again identify thiswhat this document is for me? A It's a May 2017 FRPQ And did youA Facility Response Plan. Q All right. Did you review this FRP plan as well? A Yes. Q Do you remember if you completed a checklist for this FRP plan? | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | executed by the facility but not the Oil Spill Response Organization. Q Did the facility provide documentation that they had performed the required drills and exercises? A No. Q And did the facility provide documentation that they had performed the required training of facility personnel? A No. Q Are you willing to incorporate as your testimony this checklist as your testimony regarding your observations on the May 2017 FRP plan A Yes. Q to adopt as your testimony? Okay. Will you please turn to CX-13? A Okay. Yes. Q Will you describe this email for me? A This is an email from Andrew Helmlinger to Richard McNeil and VSS. I was CC'd on it. EPA was asking for verification of items that were noted that needed to be for compliance with the oil pollution |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | MR. McNEIL: No objection. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 20 is admitted into the record. (The document referred to, previously identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 20, was received in evidence.) BY MS. SUGERMAN: Q I'm sorry, can you again identify this what this document is for me? A It's a May 2017 FRP Q And did you A Facility Response Plan. Q All right. Did you review this FRP plan as well? A Yes. Q Do you remember if you completed a checklist | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | executed by the facility but not the Oil Spill Response Organization. Q Did the facility provide documentation that they had performed the required drills and exercises? A No. Q And did the facility provide documentation that they had performed the required training of facility personnel? A No. Q Are you willing to incorporate as your testimony this checklist as your testimony regarding your observations on the May 2017 FRP plan A Yes. Q to adopt as your testimony? Okay. Will you please turn to CX-13? A Okay. Yes. Q Will you describe this email for me? A This is an email from Andrew Helmlinger to Richard McNeil and VSS. I was CC'd on it. EPA was asking for verification of items that were noted that |

Page 201

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

Page 203

1 Spill Response Organization, and then asking for 2 specific training records. These were training 3 records that were shown -- or there was a schedule 4 that was included in the Facility Response Plan, the 5 May 2017 Facility Response Plan. So the training 6 records were requested for the trainings that should 7 have taken place by June, the date of the email. 8

Q So this email is dated June 2017. At that time, you were still asking VSS for documentation of compliance, is that what you're saying?

A That's correct.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q To this date, have you received evidence of compliance with these issues?

A Not fully complied, no.

Q What's the importance of the OSRO -- the response contractor contract?

A Unless a facility has all the equipment and all the personnel required to respond to a land and water discharge, they rely on the Oil Spill Response Organization to help with the response or to take over a lot of the response duty. And without a contract, you can -- you might be calling the company and they wouldn't necessarily respond or respond in a timely manner.

Q Okay. I would like to ask you some

A Based on the specific violations, the penalty policy is used. It sets out ranges of penalties based on the size of a company and the -size being the storage capacity of the facility, the seriousness of the violations, and the duration of the violations, and then that -- those ranges are established depending on various aspects of the -- of the facility and the compliance of the facility after the fact. There may be percentages added or subtracted to that, and then finally an economic benefit might be determined for the -- any economic benefit the facility gained by not coming into compliance earlier.

Q Okay. I have a demonstrative exhibit I'd like to put -- so this exhibit is also a copy of what's in the record as CX -- 54? Fifty-four. So you make a penalty recommendation to your management. Do they generally rely on your expertise, or what's their role once you've recommended a penalty?

A So I calculate a penalty estimate. I work with ORC to do that, Office of Regional Counsel, and then management above the enforcement division in ORC reviews that. Generally, the numbers I come up with are accepted. There may be factors that would -- that would necessitate changing that either for national

Page 202

questions about the penalty calculation. How many 1 2 SPCC and/or FRP enforcement cases have you concluded

3 or helped to finalize?

A Roughly three dozen.

Q I'm sorry, I didn't hear that.

A Roughly three dozen.

Q Three dozen?

A Over 30, anyway.

9 Q And do you have experience calculating 10 penalties for SPCC or FRP cases?

A Yes.

Q Generally, how do -- how are penalties calculated?

A With the oil program, we use a general penalty policy, the Clean Water Act 311.

Q Okay. And is there a specific training for assessing penalties?

A There's -- for that, there's some -- I've taken computer-based modules. A lot of it is on-thejob training, working with attorneys and other enforcement personnel. For one specific part that's added to that, economic benefit, I have had training from EPA headquarters personnel.

Q Can you walk me through the process of when you develop a penalty for an enforcement case?

Page 204

1 consistency or if there are other programs that are 2 taking enforcement actions at the same facility, but 3 in general, my estimate is accepted. 4

Q And over your years of calculating penalties, would you -- would you say you've received peer review training, working with your colleagues and consulting with headquarters as a sort of on-the-job training?

A Yes.

10 Q And do you have a role in ensuring other 11 regions' penalties are consistent?

> A Yes, with the -- both the Office of Compliance and the oil program headquarters monthly calls, that's exactly that type of thing that's discussed, cases that are going on in various regions, to ensure national consistency.

MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to move to have Janice recognized as an expert in assessing penalties for oil pollution prevention program cases.

20 JUDGE BIRO: Mr. McNeil?

> MR. McNEIL: Your Honor, we object. We believe that's the Court's province. There's a Clean Water Act statutory penalty document that is not directly applicable to administrative actions. And again, although Ms. Witul may have been, you know,

| | Page 205 | | Page 207 |
|---|---|--|---|
| 1 | involved in making recommendations based on the | 1 | are admitted into the record. |
| 2 | statutory factors and the guidance, that that's | 2 | (The document referred to, |
| 3 | just part of her duties. That doesn't make her an | 3 | previously identified as |
| 4 | expert in assessing penalties, from our viewpoint. | 4 | pages 10 to 23 of |
| 5 | That's the province of this tribunal. Thank you. | 5 | Complainant's Exhibit No. 48, |
| 6 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. | 6 | was received in evidence.) |
| 7 | Well, determining the penalty in this case | 7 | BY MS. SUGERMAN: |
| 8 | is my province, but I think she has knowledge and | 8 | Q Janice, one of the factors on the board here |
| 9 | experience, and based on her qualifications, that make | 9 | is economic benefit to the violator. Does your |
| 10 | her an expert. But this is really factual, so I'll | 10 | penalty calculation take this into account? |
| 11 | qualify her, but I really don't see how much opinion | 11 | A There was an economic benefit calculated for |
| 12 | gets involved in this. But go ahead. | 12 | the Facility Response Plan portion. |
| 13 | MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. | 13 | Q And how did you determine what costs to use |
| 14 | BY MS. SUGERMAN: | 14 | when determining an economic benefit? |
| 15 | Q Are you familiar with can you look at | 15 | A We did not have information from VSS; EPA |
| 16 | Exhibit CX-54, please, which is also presented here on | 16 | didn't. So we used these figures from the |
| 17 | this exhibit? And do you recognize these factors? | 17 | headquarters. I don't remember the exact title, but |
| 18 | A Yes, I recognize these. | 18 | the information request that goes out to FRP |
| 19 | Q Does the | 19 | facilities that Mr. Swackhammer had described earlier. |
| 20 | A So | 20 | Q And from this memo, can you tell me the |
| 21 | Q Okay. | 21 | total gravity plus economic benefit that was |
| 22 | A This and these were factors that were | 22 | calculated? |
| 23 | considered in the in determining this penalty. | 23 | A For SPCC FRP and the FRP, beneficial or |
| 24 | Number 6 is not applicable because there was no | 24 | economic benefit was \$257,154. |
| 25 | discharge in this case. | 25 | Q And what is the total penalty you are |
| | Page 206 | | Page 208 |
| 1 | Q Did the region complete a penalty | 1 | proposing? |
| 2 | | | |
| _ | calculation recommending a penalty in this case? | 2 | <u>-</u> |
| 3 | calculation recommending a penalty in this case? A Will you repeat the question? | | A The statutory maximum, which is \$230,958. |
| | A Will you repeat the question? | 2 | A The statutory maximum, which is \$230,958. Q In all of your communications with |
| 3 | A Will you repeat the question? | 2 | A The statutory maximum, which is \$230,958. |
| 3 4 | A Will you repeat the question? Q Did the region complete a penalty | 2 3 4 | A The statutory maximum, which is \$230,958. Q In all of your communications with respondent over the years, has the respondent |
| 3 4 5 | A Will you repeat the question? Q Did the region complete a penalty calculation a penalty recommendation, in this case? | 2 3 4 5 | A The statutory maximum, which is \$230,958. Q In all of your communications with respondent over the years, has the respondent submitted any documentation to support a claim that it |
| 3 4 5 6 | A Will you repeat the question? Q Did the region complete a penalty calculation a penalty recommendation, in this case? A Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 | A The statutory maximum, which is \$230,958. Q In all of your communications with respondent over the years, has the respondent submitted any documentation to support a claim that it is unable to pay the proposed penalty? |
| 3 4 5 6 7 | A Will you repeat the question? Q Did the region complete a penalty calculation a penalty recommendation, in this case? A Yes. Q And that recommendation, does that take into | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | A The statutory maximum, which is \$230,958. Q In all of your communications with respondent over the years, has the respondent submitted any documentation to support a claim that it is unable to pay the proposed penalty? A No. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 | A Will you repeat the question? Q Did the region complete a penalty calculation a penalty recommendation, in this case? A Yes. Q And that recommendation, does that take into account these statutory factors? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | A The statutory maximum, which is \$230,958. Q In all of your communications with respondent over the years, has the respondent submitted any documentation to support a claim that it is unable to pay the proposed penalty? A No. Q Have you looked at their website to |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A Will you repeat the question? Q Did the region complete a penalty calculation a penalty recommendation, in this case? A Yes. Q And that recommendation, does that take into account these statutory factors? A Yes, it did. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A The statutory maximum, which is \$230,958. Q In all of your communications with respondent over the years, has the respondent submitted any documentation to support a claim that it is unable to pay the proposed penalty? A No. Q Have you looked at their website to determine generally the size of this company? |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A Will you repeat the question? Q Did the region complete a penalty calculation a penalty recommendation, in this case? A Yes. Q And that recommendation, does that take into account these statutory factors? A Yes, it did. Q Okay. Will you please turn to CX-48, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A The statutory maximum, which is \$230,958. Q In all of your communications with respondent over the years, has the respondent submitted any documentation to support a claim that it is unable to pay the proposed penalty? A No. Q Have you looked at their website to determine generally the size of this company? A The website shows them to be large company. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | A Will you repeat the question? Q Did the region complete a penalty calculation a penalty recommendation, in this case? A Yes. Q And that recommendation, does that take into account these statutory factors? A Yes, it did. Q Okay. Will you please turn to CX-48, beginning on page 10? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | A The statutory maximum, which is \$230,958. Q In all of your communications with respondent over the years, has the respondent submitted any documentation to support a claim that it is unable to pay the proposed penalty? A No. Q Have you looked at their website to determine generally the size of this company? A The website shows them to be large company. I looked at publicly available records, Reference USA, |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | A Will you repeat the question? Q Did the region complete a penalty calculation a penalty recommendation, in this case? A Yes. Q And that recommendation, does that take into account these statutory factors? A Yes, it did. Q Okay. Will you please turn to CX-48, beginning on page 10? A Okay. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | A The statutory maximum, which is \$230,958. Q In all of your communications with respondent over the years, has the respondent submitted any documentation to support a claim that it is unable to pay the proposed penalty? A No. Q Have you looked at their website to determine generally the size of this company? A The website shows them to be large company. I looked at publicly available records, Reference USA, that shows they have adequate means to would have |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | A Will you repeat the question? Q Did the region complete a penalty calculation a penalty recommendation, in this case? A Yes. Q And that recommendation, does that take into account these statutory factors? A Yes, it did. Q Okay. Will you please turn to CX-48, beginning on page 10? A Okay. Q Is that does this represent the region's recommendation of a penalty for all five counts? A Starting on page 10? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | A The statutory maximum, which is \$230,958. Q In all of your communications with respondent over the years, has the respondent submitted any documentation to support a claim that it is unable to pay the proposed penalty? A No. Q Have you looked at their website to determine generally the size of this company? A The website shows them to be large company. I looked at publicly available records, Reference USA, that shows they have adequate means to would have adequate means to pay the penalty. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | A Will you repeat the question? Q Did the region complete a penalty calculation a penalty recommendation, in this case? A Yes. Q And that recommendation, does that take into account these statutory factors? A Yes, it did. Q Okay. Will you please turn to CX-48, beginning on page 10? A Okay. Q Is that does this represent the region's recommendation of a penalty for all five counts? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | A The statutory maximum, which is \$230,958. Q In all of your communications with respondent over the years, has the respondent submitted any documentation to support a claim that it is unable to pay the proposed penalty? A No. Q Have you looked at their website to determine generally the size of this company? A The website shows them to be large company. I looked at publicly available records, Reference USA, that shows they have adequate means to would have adequate means to pay the penalty. Q So from all that you've seen, do you have |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | A Will you repeat the question? Q Did the region complete a penalty calculation a penalty recommendation, in this case? A Yes. Q And that recommendation, does that take into account these statutory factors? A Yes, it did. Q Okay. Will you please turn to CX-48, beginning on page 10? A Okay. Q Is that does this represent the region's recommendation of a penalty for all five counts? A Starting on page 10? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | A The statutory maximum, which is \$230,958. Q In all of your communications with respondent over the years, has the respondent submitted any documentation to support a claim that it is unable to pay the proposed penalty? A No. Q Have you looked at their website to determine generally the size of this company? A The website shows them to be large company. I looked at publicly available records, Reference USA, that shows they have adequate means to would have adequate means to pay the penalty. Q So from all that you've seen, do you have any reason to believe this company cannot pay the proposed penalty? A No, I don't. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A Will you repeat the question? Q Did the region complete a penalty calculation a penalty recommendation, in this case? A Yes. Q And that recommendation, does that take into account these statutory factors? A Yes, it did. Q Okay. Will you please turn to CX-48, beginning on page 10? A Okay. Q Is that does this represent the region's recommendation of a penalty for all five counts? A Starting on page 10? Q Starting on page 10. A Yes. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to enter CX-48 | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A The statutory maximum, which is \$230,958. Q In all of your communications with respondent over the years, has the respondent submitted any documentation to support a claim that it is unable to pay the proposed penalty? A No. Q Have you looked at their website to determine generally the size of this company? A The website shows them to be large company. I looked at publicly available records, Reference USA, that shows they have adequate means to would have adequate means to pay the penalty. Q So from all that you've seen, do you have any reason to believe this company cannot pay the proposed penalty? A No, I don't. Q Okay. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A Will you repeat the question? Q Did the region complete a penalty calculation a penalty recommendation, in this case? A Yes. Q And that recommendation, does that take into account these statutory factors? A Yes, it did. Q Okay. Will you please turn to CX-48, beginning on page 10? A Okay. Q Is that does this represent the region's recommendation of a penalty for all five counts? A Starting on page 10? Q Starting on page 10. A Yes. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to enter CX-48 into evidence. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | A The statutory maximum, which is \$230,958. Q In all of your communications with respondent over the years, has the respondent submitted any documentation to support a claim that it is unable to pay the proposed penalty? A No. Q Have you looked at their website to determine generally the size of this company? A The website shows them to be large company. I looked at publicly available records, Reference USA, that shows they have adequate means to would have adequate means to pay the penalty. Q So from all that you've seen, do you have any reason to believe this company cannot pay the proposed penalty? A No, I don't. Q Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: Your Honor, I would like to |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | A Will you repeat the question? Q Did the region complete a penalty calculation a penalty recommendation, in this case? A Yes. Q And that recommendation, does that take into account these statutory factors? A Yes, it did. Q Okay. Will you please turn to CX-48, beginning on page 10? A Okay. Q Is that does this represent the region's recommendation of a penalty for all five counts? A Starting on page 10? Q Starting on page 10. A Yes. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to enter CX-48 into evidence. JUDGE BIRO: Do you want to enter the whole | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | A The statutory maximum, which is \$230,958. Q In all of your communications with respondent over the years, has the respondent submitted any documentation to support a claim that it is unable to pay the proposed penalty? A No. Q Have you looked at their website to determine generally the size of this company? A The website shows them to be large company. I looked at publicly available records, Reference USA, that shows they have adequate means to would have adequate means to pay the penalty. Q So from all that you've seen, do you have any reason to believe this company cannot pay the proposed penalty? A No, I don't. Q Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: Your Honor, I would like to take a few minutes to confer with my colleagues, if I |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | A Will you repeat the question? Q Did the region complete a penalty calculation a penalty recommendation, in this case? A Yes. Q And that recommendation, does that take into account these statutory factors? A Yes, it did. Q Okay. Will you please turn to CX-48, beginning on page 10? A Okay. Q Is that does this represent the region's recommendation of a penalty for all five counts? A Starting on page 10? Q Starting on page 10. A Yes. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to enter CX-48 into evidence. JUDGE BIRO: Do you want to enter the whole document or just page 10 to whatever? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | A The statutory maximum, which is \$230,958. Q In all of your communications with respondent over the years, has the respondent submitted any documentation to support a claim that it is unable to pay the proposed penalty? A No. Q Have you looked at their website to determine generally the size of this company? A The website shows them to be large company. I looked at publicly available records, Reference USA, that shows they have adequate means to would have adequate means to pay the penalty. Q So from all that you've seen, do you have any reason to believe this company cannot pay the proposed penalty? A No, I don't. Q Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: Your Honor, I would like to take a few minutes to confer with my colleagues, if I may. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A Will you repeat the question? Q Did the region complete a penalty calculation a penalty recommendation, in this case? A Yes. Q And that recommendation, does that take into account these statutory factors? A Yes, it did. Q Okay. Will you please turn to CX-48, beginning on page 10? A Okay. Q Is that does this represent the region's recommendation of a penalty for all five counts? A Starting on page 10? Q Starting on page 10. A Yes. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to enter CX-48 into evidence. JUDGE BIRO: Do you want to enter the whole document or just page 10 to whatever? MS. SUGERMAN: I do only need page 10 to 23. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A The statutory maximum, which is \$230,958. Q In all of your communications with respondent over the years, has the respondent submitted any documentation to support a claim that it is unable to pay the proposed penalty? A No. Q Have you looked at their website to determine generally the size of this company? A The website shows them to be large company. I looked at publicly available records, Reference USA, that shows they have adequate means to would have adequate means to pay the penalty. Q So from all that you've seen, do you have any reason to believe this company cannot pay the proposed penalty? A No, I don't. Q Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: Your Honor, I would like to take a few minutes to confer with my colleagues, if I may. JUDGE BIRO: Of course. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | A Will you repeat the question? Q Did the region complete a penalty calculation a penalty recommendation, in this case? A Yes. Q And that recommendation, does that take into account these statutory factors? A Yes, it did. Q Okay. Will you please turn to CX-48, beginning on page 10? A Okay. Q Is that does this represent the region's recommendation of a penalty for all five counts? A Starting on page 10? Q Starting on page 10. A Yes. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to enter CX-48 into evidence. JUDGE BIRO: Do you want to enter the whole document or just page 10 to whatever? MS. SUGERMAN: I do only need page 10 to 23. JUDGE BIRO: Is there any objection? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | A The statutory maximum, which is \$230,958. Q In all of your communications with respondent over the years, has the respondent submitted any documentation to support a claim that it is unable to pay the proposed penalty? A No. Q Have you looked at their website to determine generally the size of this company? A The website shows them to be large company. I looked at publicly available records, Reference USA, that shows they have adequate means to would have adequate means to pay the penalty. Q So from all that you've seen, do you have any reason to believe this company cannot pay the proposed penalty? A No, I don't. Q Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: Your Honor, I would like to take a few minutes to confer with my colleagues, if I may. JUDGE BIRO: Of course. MS. SUGERMAN: Your Honor, do we want to |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | A Will you repeat the question? Q Did the region complete a penalty calculation a penalty recommendation, in this case? A Yes. Q And that recommendation, does that take into account these statutory factors? A Yes, it did. Q Okay. Will you please turn to CX-48, beginning on page 10? A Okay. Q Is that does this represent the region's recommendation of a penalty for all five counts? A Starting on page 10? Q Starting on page 10. A Yes. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to enter CX-48 into evidence. JUDGE BIRO: Do you want to enter the whole document or just page 10 to whatever? MS. SUGERMAN: I do only need page 10 to 23. JUDGE BIRO: Is there any objection? MR. McNEIL: No, not with that modification. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | A The statutory maximum, which is \$230,958. Q In all of your communications with respondent over the years, has the respondent submitted any documentation to support a claim that it is unable to pay the proposed penalty? A No. Q Have you looked at their website to determine generally the size of this company? A The website shows them to be large company. I looked at publicly available records, Reference USA, that shows they have adequate means to would have adequate means to pay the penalty. Q So from all that you've seen, do you have any reason to believe this company cannot pay the proposed penalty? A No, I don't. Q Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: Your Honor, I would like to take a few minutes to confer with my colleagues, if I may. JUDGE BIRO: Of course. MS. SUGERMAN: Your Honor, do we want to take this opportunity to go through other exhibits and |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | A Will you repeat the question? Q Did the region complete a penalty calculation a penalty recommendation, in this case? A Yes. Q And that recommendation, does that take into account these statutory factors? A Yes, it did. Q Okay. Will you please turn to CX-48, beginning on page 10? A Okay. Q Is that does this represent the region's recommendation of a penalty for all five counts? A Starting on page 10? Q Starting on page 10. A Yes. MS. SUGERMAN: I would like to enter CX-48 into evidence. JUDGE BIRO: Do you want to enter the whole document or just page 10 to whatever? MS. SUGERMAN: I do only need page 10 to 23. JUDGE BIRO: Is there any objection? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | A The statutory maximum, which is \$230,958. Q In all of your communications with respondent over the years, has the respondent submitted any documentation to support a claim that it is unable to pay the proposed penalty? A No. Q Have you looked at their website to determine generally the size of this company? A The website shows them to be large company. I looked at publicly available records, Reference USA, that shows they have adequate means to would have adequate means to pay the penalty. Q So from all that you've seen, do you have any reason to believe this company cannot pay the proposed penalty? A No, I don't. Q Okay. MS. SUGERMAN: Your Honor, I would like to take a few minutes to confer with my colleagues, if I may. JUDGE BIRO: Of course. MS. SUGERMAN: Your Honor, do we want to |

| | Page 209 | | Page 211 |
|----|--|----|--|
| 1 | evidence, or do you want to continue the same I'm | 1 | had any difficulty remembering the exact events or the |
| 2 | done with this part, with Janice's direct, for now. | 2 | exact site conditions that you observed on November |
| 3 | And to the extent there are other exhibits we can | 3 | 27, 2012? |
| 4 | agree on, do you want to take care of that now, or | 4 | A I don't believe so, and the information |
| 5 | should we just move along with the testimony? | 5 | request would have helped to clarify any questions I |
| 6 | JUDGE BIRO: Why don't we do that one at the | 6 | had or anything I had trouble remembering. |
| 7 | end of today? | 7 | Q And you were asked earlier about the May 22, |
| 8 | MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. | 8 | 2014 show cause letter. Do you recall that? |
| 9 | JUDGE BIRO: And we'll just try to move | 9 | A I remember being asked about it, yes. |
| 10 | ahead with Ms. Witul's testimony. | 10 | Q Okay. And if I recall, you indicated there |
| 11 | MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. I'm done with direct. | 11 | were there were two general areas of deficiencies |
| 12 | JUDGE BIRO: Mr. McNeil, do you want to | 12 | identified. One had to do with a tank integrity |
| 13 | proceed with cross examination? | 13 | inspection and the other had to do with the Facility |
| 14 | MR. McNEIL: Yes, Your Honor. | 14 | Response Plan, is that right? |
| 15 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. | 15 | A Those were the two indicated in the letter, |
| 16 | MR. McNEIL: May I proceed? | 16 | and then the reports or report accompanying would have |
| 17 | JUDGE BIRO: Please proceed. | 17 | indicated other areas of noncompliance. |
| 18 | CROSS EXAMINATION | 18 | Q Right. And insofar as the Facility Response |
| 19 | BY MR. McNEIL: | 19 | Plan was concerned, did you or, to your knowledge, had |
| 20 | Q Ms. Witul, good afternoon. | 20 | anybody at EPA conducted a review of 40 CFR 112.20, |
| 21 | A Good afternoon. | 21 | the section that applies when EPA is making a |
| 22 | Q Ms. Witul, you testified earlier, if you | 22 | determination of FRP applicability? |
| 23 | recall, about the inspection that you participated in | 23 | A I don't understand |
| 24 | on November 27, 2012 at VSS? | 24 | Q Okay, let me |
| 25 | A Yes. | 25 | A the question. |
| | | | Page 212 |
| 1 | Q And you I believe you had indicated that | 1 | Q Let me direct your attention would you be |
| 2 | your SPCC violation formal documentation was completed | 2 | able to turn to RX-85, Ms. Witul? |
| 3 | in on September 23rd of the following year, roughly | 3 | A Okay. |
| 4 | 10 months later, is that correct? | 4 | Q And when you have it in front of you, would |
| 5 | A Yes. | 5 | you please turn to page 3 of 11? |
| 6 | Q Okay. However, I believe you also said that | 6 | A Yes. |
| 7 | you had taken some notes during your facility walk- | 7 | Q You have that? |
| 8 | through, is that correct? | 8 | A I do. |
| 9 | A Yes. | 9 | Q Okay. And would you please look at section |
| 10 | Q Okay. And have those notes are they part | 10 | (b)(1)? Are you familiar with that section? |
| 11 | of your file in your file cabinet that you described | 11 | A Yes, I see that. |
| 12 | earlier? | 12 | MS. SUGERMAN: Objection, Your Honor. This |
| 13 | A Yes. | 13 | is not part of the regulations at issue. It's |
| 14 | Q Okay. Were are those notes still | 14 | irrelevant. |
| 15 | available? | 15 | JUDGE BIRO: Overruled. |
| 16 | A They should be, yes. | 16 | Go ahead. |
| 17 | Q Okay. Do you know whether you provided | 17 | BY MR. McNEIL: |
| 18 | those notes of your inspection that day to your | 18 | Q Do you have an have you ever have you |
| 19 | attorneys? | 19 | ever read this subsection before? |
| 20 | A Which day? | 20 | A Yes. |
| 21 | Q November 27, 2012. | 21 | Q Okay. Have you ever used it as part of your |
| 22 | A No, I wouldn't have provided them to the | 22 | review of an FRP of a facility or any inspection or |
| 23 | attorneys. | 23 | enforcement actions that you've taken in connection |
| 24 | Q Okay. Do you have any reason to believe | 24 | therewith? |
| 25 | that during that 10-month elapse of time you may have | 25 | A I'm not the regional administrator, so I |
| _ | | | |

Page 213 Page 215 1 don't have authority for this. 1 I'm going to -- I'm going to read to you from my copy 2 Q Oh, okay. Is that your understanding of 2 of your declaration that I will representing to you 3 3 this section? was filed in this -- in this action, and we'll get a 4 4 A It does say that the regional administrator copy -- it's not an RX or CX number. I think we have 5 5 of EPA, understood, may at any time require the owner a stipulation on it. But there's a -- in paragraph 18 6 or operator of a non-transportation-related onshore 6 -- oh, yeah. 7 7 MR. LUDWIG: Your Honor, may I approach the facility to prepare and submit a Facility Response 8 Plan under this section after considering the factors 8 witness? 9 9 in paragraph (f)(2) of this section. And I am not the JUDGE BIRO: You may. MR. McNEIL: We have a copy for you. 10 10 regional administrator. 11 Q Okay. And if you turn to section (f)(2), 11 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 12 which is at page 5. Do you see that? About two-12 JUDGE BIRO: Please proceed. I'm sorry. thirds of the way down? 13 13 I'm just trying to find my own copy, actually, but --14 A Yes, I do. 14 MR. LUDWIG: We have an extra copy if you --15 15 JUDGE BIRO: That would be great. Q Okay. And do you see that there are --16 16 within section (2)(i), there are subsections (A) MR. LUDWIG: May I approach? 17 through (G). Do you see those? 17 JUDGE BIRO: Yes, please. Thank you for the 18 A Right. This is more or less a restatement 18 courtesy. Okay. 19 19 of the substantial harm criteria. Thank you, Mr. McNeil. 20 20 MR. McNEIL: No, thank everybody for their Q And my question to you is, have you yourself 21 21 gone through these factors that are identified in (A) indulgence. My apologies. 22 through (G) and performed any analysis respecting the 22 BY MR. McNEIL: 23 23 Q Ms. Witul, do you -- do you have now in VSS facility? 2.4 A Not in accordance with (f)(2). Again, I'm 24 front of you a copy --25 not the regional administrator. However, the 25 A I do. Page 214 Page 216 1 substantial harm criteria I have gone through. 1 -- of the declaration? 2 2 Q Okay, and -- all right, that's fine. And Α Yes. 3 when you -- when you say that you have gone through 3 Q Does that look familiar to you? Does that 4 4 the substantial harm criteria, just to clarify, what appear to be the same document that you prepared and 5 5 are you referring to there? signed in August of last year? Do you recall that? 6 6 A Yes, it does. A The criteria that if a facility that is 7 regulated under the Spill Prevention, Control, and 7 Q Okay. Does that appear on page -- of the 8 8 Countermeasure regulations has certain factors, being declaration itself, on page 14 -- does that appear to 9 9 transferring oil over water to and from a vessel over be a true and correct copy of your signature? 10 42,000 gallons; if they have over a million gallons 10 A Yes, it does. 11 and have inadequate secondary containment; if they're 11 Q Okay. And now would you mind going back to 12 located such that a discharge could affect fish, 12 paragraph 18 and just walking through a couple of 13 wildlife, or sensitive environment; if they've had --13 things? 14 14 if a discharge could affect drinking water intake; and A I'm sorry, I don't have the -- can you 15 then if they've had a discharge I believe over 10,000 15 remind me what I'm looking at? 16 16 O That same document. gallons. 17 Q Okay. And just to follow up on that, if you 17 A Oh, okay. 18 Q It's paragraph 18, which is -recall -- or do you recall that you signed a 18 19 declaration in this case last August, August 3rd of 19 A Okay. 20 2018? It's Exhibit RX-104. Would you mind taking a 20 -- on page 8. 21 look at that, RX-104? And I'm going to direct you to 21 JUDGE BIRO: Do you want to identify this by 22 22 page 8 of 16 when you've got it. number as an exhibit number? 23 23 MR. McNEIL: Yes. I think we had -- if A Did you say 104? My volume 505 goes to 101. 24 Q Oh, you know what? I think this is 24 there's no objection --25 mismarked. I apologize. I'm going to -- Ms. Witul, 25 JUDGE BIRO: Well, we're just going to mark

Page 217 Page 219 1 it for identification as --1 far as the FRP applicability? 2 2 Q Yeah. Yes. Other factors, other MR. McNEIL: Yeah, just --3 3 JUDGE BIRO: -- Respondent's Exhibit -- what information, other details that would have -- just all 4 4 of the -did you say, 104? 5 5 MR. McNEIL: Yes, 104. A I still -- in my mind, there was a question 6 (The document referred to was 6 about the secondary containment, but I was not --7 7 there had been information provided by the facility marked for identification as 8 8 Respondent's Exhibit No. that indicated their -- the secondary containment 9 104.) 9 concern I had was incorrect or that their -- actually, 10 BY MR. McNEIL: 10 that their earlier plan was incorrect. So I did not 11 Q So if you can let me know when you're --11 consider lack of secondary containment in my FRP 12 A Yes, I see that. 12 determination -- in my FRP determination judgment, I 13 Q Okay. So if you -- if you read -- I'll kind 13 suppose. 14 14 of just read that. In paragraph 18, it says -- you Q Okay. That's a fair point, and actually, if 15 15 you don't mind, I'll ask you about that. But first, say, "Based on my November 2012 inspection and 16 16 subsequent review of respondent's documentation, I let me just be sure. Besides that, which we'll -- I 17 determined that respondent's facility is subject to 17 promise you, we'll get to in just one second -- was 18 FRP requirements because it meets the substantial harm 18 there anything other than as set forth in paragraphs 19 19 criteria in 40 CFR section 112.20(f)(1) (i.e., it has 14 and 18 that you considered in 2014 in making your 20 20 FRP recommendation to management? over a million gallons of storage capacity and is 21 21 located at a distance such that a discharge from the A Not that I recall. 22 facility could cause injury to fish and wildlife and 22 Q Okay, and I believe that what you were 23 sensitive environments)." And does that summarize 23 referring to a second ago -- and we can -- we can look 2.4 what you were saying a moment ago in terms of the 24 at the report, but there was some review of the 25 level of review that you had conducted in 2014 in 25 secondary containment that had been done and had been Page 218 Page 220 1 connection with the show cause letter? 1 submitted to EPA that indicated that Tank 865 was --2 2 A Yes. which you had mentioned earlier, there was a typo 3 Q Okay. And just so we're all on the same 3 where --4 4 page, I want to make sure that you also see that you A Yes. 5 5 -- you also discussed the same issue in paragraph 14, Q -- it was 8, not 865, that same tank, right? 6 which is on page 6. And I'll ask you to look at it 6 A I believe so. 7 and read -- you can just read to yourself -- paragraph 7 Same tank number. 8 8 Same tank number. I'm not sure if that was 9 9 A Okay. 10 Q Okay. And it -- is that likewise the 10 Q Okay. 11 11 determination or the factors that you considered and A -- the reason, but --12 reviewed in making the determination that the facility 12 O And are you -- are you aware that VSS's 13 was subject to the FRP requirements as you presented 13 consultant, WHF, had subsequently advised EPA that 14 it to management? 14 there had been a transpositional error and the tank 15 15 A Yes. was actually empty and not full, and that that affected the secondary containment calculations? Did 16 Q Okay. And so my question for you is, is 16 17 there anything else in -- let me clarify, please. I'm 17 you ever hear that or see that? 18 18 still asking you about the 2014 time frame, because we A I believe that's what I was thinking of, 19 know there's a report from 2016 from Mr. Michaud, so 19 yes. 20 20 I'm not asking you about that yet. I will, but as for Q That -- I was going to ask you, was that the 21 right now I want to ask you about the May 22nd show 21 -- okay, so --22 22 cause letter. Was there anything else that you A Mm-hmm. 23 considered other than these -- the statements that are 23 Q So what you were saying earlier was pending 24 set forth here in your paragraphs 14 and 18 in 2014? 24 the resolution of that, you had not formally included 25 A Is your question anything I considered as 25 that in your 2014 analysis, correct?

Page 223 Page 221 1 A Correct. 1 the tank by a -- you know, a pipe or a hose or some 2 Q Okay. Now, on that point, do you, as you 2 other conduit. You didn't see anything like that, did 3 sit here today, have any views or opinions about 3 you? 4 4 whether that correction by WHF's -- or by VSS's A No. And again, those are generally sealed 5 5 consultant is accurate or inaccurate, or contradicted operations, but I did not observe that. 6 by anything? Do you have any views one way or the 6 Q Okay. So you -- other than what you were 7 7 told, all you know is you saw piping and insulation other on that? 8 A I would hesitate to say, because there have 8 and a tank. 9 9 been subsequent changes at the facility, so I don't A That would hold true for all of the tanks. 10 know the storage capacity and the secondary 10 Yes. 11 containment capacity now. 11 MR. McNEIL: I just request indulgence for a 12 12 second. Ms. Sugerman was quite detailed in her Q Okay. You mean now, as in since the action 13 was --13 direct, so I just want to make sure I don't miss 14 A Present day. 14 anything. 15 15 Q Oh, today, okay. So is it -- is it fair to BY MR. McNEIL: 16 say your answer is you don't have an opinion one way 16 Q You -- I believe we looked at a Google Earth 17 17 photograph from May of 2012, or at least it's -- it 18 A I suppose that's fair to say. 18 states that it was imaged May of 2012. Do you 19 19 Q Okay. remember that? A I do. 20 20 A I don't want to speculate. 21 Q Going back to your November 27, 2012 21 O We talked about that a little bit earlier. 22 inspection -- and I'd like you to keep your 22 Α 23 23 declaration close by there, because I'm going to ask Okay. And that depiction -- would you have 24 you about it -- something on page -- find it here. 24 any understanding whether that was a satellite image 25 25 or some other sort of aerial image? What would you This is on page 6, paragraph 13. Do you recall Page 222 Page 224 1 earlier that you testified about the -- in general, 1 say? 2 A I don't know. I'm not that familiar with the question of whether Tank 2001 was, in fact, in 2 3 service on the date of your inspection in 2012? 3 the Google images. 4 A Yes. 4 Q And you would have to admit, I think, right, 5 5 Q Okay. And here in paragraph 13 of your that the legend on the bottom could be -- just could 6 declaration, you state, "During my inspection, I 6 be a mistake. It might not have been that date, 7 observed that one approximately 2,500,000-gallon AST 7 right? 8 was in service (Tank Number 2001)." Do you see that? 8 A I will admit that. 9 A I do. 9 And the railcars, I think you counted 9 when 10 Q Okay. And just so I'm -- just so I'm clear 10 we looked at the photograph. I believe you said you 11 on what you observed and what your testimony is, I 11 did not see any railcars when you were there in 12 believe what you had told Ms. Sugerman was you had 12 November of '12, right? 13 observed piping and insulation, and then also had been 13 A I don't remember if I saw any, correct. I 14 14 told that the tank was active, is that correct? didn't -- I didn't record that. 15 15 Q Are you aware that the railroad tracks -- or A Right. 16 Q Okay. So when you say in your declaration, 16 would it be fair, in your view, to call it a railroad 17 "I observed that it was in service," what you really 17 spur --18 mean is you observed piping and insulation in the 18 A Spurs, yeah. 19 tank. But tell me if I'm wrong, you didn't -- you 19 Railroad spurs, that s the word. 20 didn't actually see indicia that the tank was being 20 A Mm-hmm. 21 used. Or did you see something more than that? 21 Q Okay. That the railroad spur are within a

22

23

24

25

other?

22

23

24

25

A It's not possible to see the inside of the

Q Okay. And likewise, you didn't see any, you

know, operations where asphalt was being loaded into

tank. So, no, I didn't see that it was in service.

-- themselves within a depression, below grade, that

they're -- they exist in a below-grade state? Are you

-- or do you -- are you aware of that one way or the

| | Page 225 | | Page 227 |
|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | A I don't I don't know that | 1 | Q When it was finalized. And am I to |
| 2 | Q Okay. If you | 2 | understand that it was prepared by you? |
| 3 | A one way or the other. | 3 | A That's correct. |
| 4 | Q Okay, that's fine. If you did know that, | 4 | Q And if I look in the top box, very top under |
| 5 | could that possibly impact your conclusion that there | 5 | the heading, where it says, "Activity Information," |
| 6 | was not adequate secondary containment? | 6 | EPA inspector is J. Witul. That's you, correct? |
| 7 | A It could. | 7 | A Yes. |
| 8 | Q Ms. Witul, did you are you aware of any | 8 | Q Okay. And above that, the line above that, |
| 9 | correspondence between VSS and EPA let's just say | 9 | "Activity Date," this indicates that this document, |
| 10 | within the last 12 months, since this action has | 10 | CX-24, documents or memorializes your review of the |
| 11 | commenced, where VSS has provided a copy of the | 11 | FRP plan of VSS dated May 1 of 2017, correct? |
| 12 | executed OSRO contract and where VSS has provided some | 12 | A That's correct. |
| 13 | of the drill and training exercise information that | 13 | Q Okay. And I was looking at this document to |
| 14 | you alluded to earlier? Have you seen anything like | 14 | and perhaps I missed it, but I was trying to |
| 15 | that in the last | 15 | ascertain whether it had been in or about September |
| 16 | A I've I have I know I've seen some | 16 | of 2017, whether it had been forwarded to VSS by you |
| 17 | drill and training information. | 17 | or by your attorneys. Do you know that, whether it |
| 18 | Q Do you recall when that was, approximately? | 18 | was? |
| 19 | A I don't recall. | 19 | A I don't know that. |
| 20 | Q Within the last year? | 20 | Q Okay. Do you see anything on the document |
| 21 | A Yes. | 21 | that I'm missing in terms of some kind of transmittal? |
| 22 | Q Two years? | 22 | I don't see one, but |
| 23 | A Yes. | 23 | A No, there there's not a section for |
| 24 | Q More like one, more like two? | 24 | indicating that it's been transmitted. |
| 25 | A Within the last year. | 25 | Q Okay, thank you. Okay. If we may, I'd like |
| | Dama 226 | | D 000 |
| | Page 226 | | Page 228 |
| 1 | _ | 1 | _ |
| 1 2 | Q Okay, very good. And we looked earlier at | 1 2 | to ask you to look again at the do you recall the |
| | Q Okay, very good. And we looked earlier at an FRP checklist that you prepared in September of | | _ |
| 2 | Q Okay, very good. And we looked earlier at an FRP checklist that you prepared in September of 2017. Do you recall that? It was I believe it was | 2 | to ask you to look again at the do you recall the a penalty calculation that you performed? |
| 2 | Q Okay, very good. And we looked earlier at an FRP checklist that you prepared in September of | 2 | to ask you to look again at the do you recall the a penalty calculation that you performed? A Yes. |
| 2 3 4 | Q Okay, very good. And we looked earlier at an FRP checklist that you prepared in September of 2017. Do you recall that? It was I believe it was CX-24, but we can I'll pull that up for you. | 2 3 4 | to ask you to look again at the do you recall the a penalty calculation that you performed? A Yes. Q Yeah. I just have to find it. |
| 2 3 4 5 | Q Okay, very good. And we looked earlier at an FRP checklist that you prepared in September of 2017. Do you recall that? It was I believe it was CX-24, but we can I'll pull that up for you. A Okay, I have that. | 2 3 4 5 | to ask you to look again at the do you recall the a penalty calculation that you performed? A Yes. Q Yeah. I just have to find it. JUDGE BIRO: That was Complainant's Exhibit |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | Q Okay, very good. And we looked earlier at an FRP checklist that you prepared in September of 2017. Do you recall that? It was I believe it was CX-24, but we can I'll pull that up for you. A Okay, I have that. MS. SUGERMAN: Sorry, counsel, can you | 2 3 4 5 6 | to ask you to look again at the do you recall the a penalty calculation that you performed? A Yes. Q Yeah. I just have to find it. JUDGE BIRO: That was Complainant's Exhibit 48. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Q Okay, very good. And we looked earlier at an FRP checklist that you prepared in September of 2017. Do you recall that? It was I believe it was CX-24, but we can I'll pull that up for you. A Okay, I have that. MS. SUGERMAN: Sorry, counsel, can you repeat what exhibit we're looking at? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | to ask you to look again at the do you recall the a penalty calculation that you performed? A Yes. Q Yeah. I just have to find it. JUDGE BIRO: That was Complainant's Exhibit 48. MR. McNEIL: Forty-eight, okay. Thank you. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | Q Okay, very good. And we looked earlier at an FRP checklist that you prepared in September of 2017. Do you recall that? It was I believe it was CX-24, but we can I'll pull that up for you. A Okay, I have that. MS. SUGERMAN: Sorry, counsel, can you repeat what exhibit we're looking at? JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 24. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | to ask you to look again at the do you recall the a penalty calculation that you performed? A Yes. Q Yeah. I just have to find it. JUDGE BIRO: That was Complainant's Exhibit 48. MR. McNEIL: Forty-eight, okay. Thank you. Okay. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | Q Okay, very good. And we looked earlier at an FRP checklist that you prepared in September of 2017. Do you recall that? It was I believe it was CX-24, but we can I'll pull that up for you. A Okay, I have that. MS. SUGERMAN: Sorry, counsel, can you repeat what exhibit we're looking at? JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 24. MS. SUGERMAN: Twenty-four, okay. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | to ask you to look again at the do you recall the a penalty calculation that you performed? A Yes. Q Yeah. I just have to find it. JUDGE BIRO: That was Complainant's Exhibit 48. MR. McNEIL: Forty-eight, okay. Thank you. Okay. BY MR. McNEIL: |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Q Okay, very good. And we looked earlier at an FRP checklist that you prepared in September of 2017. Do you recall that? It was I believe it was CX-24, but we can I'll pull that up for you. A Okay, I have that. MS. SUGERMAN: Sorry, counsel, can you repeat what exhibit we're looking at? JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 24. MS. SUGERMAN: Twenty-four, okay. BY MR. McNEIL: | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | to ask you to look again at the do you recall the a penalty calculation that you performed? A Yes. Q Yeah. I just have to find it. JUDGE BIRO: That was Complainant's Exhibit 48. MR. McNEIL: Forty-eight, okay. Thank you. Okay. BY MR. McNEIL: Q And then beginning, as I think had been |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | Q Okay, very good. And we looked earlier at an FRP checklist that you prepared in September of 2017. Do you recall that? It was I believe it was CX-24, but we can I'll pull that up for you. A Okay, I have that. MS. SUGERMAN: Sorry, counsel, can you repeat what exhibit we're looking at? JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 24. MS. SUGERMAN: Twenty-four, okay. BY MR. McNEIL: Q Do you have that, CX-24? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | to ask you to look again at the do you recall the a penalty calculation that you performed? A Yes. Q Yeah. I just have to find it. JUDGE BIRO: That was Complainant's Exhibit 48. MR. McNEIL: Forty-eight, okay. Thank you. Okay. BY MR. McNEIL: Q And then beginning, as I think had been stipulated, at page 10, if you don't mind, maybe we'll |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | Q Okay, very good. And we looked earlier at an FRP checklist that you prepared in September of 2017. Do you recall that? It was I believe it was CX-24, but we can I'll pull that up for you. A Okay, I have that. MS. SUGERMAN: Sorry, counsel, can you repeat what exhibit we're looking at? JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 24. MS. SUGERMAN: Twenty-four, okay. BY MR. McNEIL: Q Do you have that, CX-24? A I do. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | to ask you to look again at the do you recall the a penalty calculation that you performed? A Yes. Q Yeah. I just have to find it. JUDGE BIRO: That was Complainant's Exhibit 48. MR. McNEIL: Forty-eight, okay. Thank you. Okay. BY MR. McNEIL: Q And then beginning, as I think had been stipulated, at page 10, if you don't mind, maybe we'll just run through some of these. So did you actually |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Q Okay, very good. And we looked earlier at an FRP checklist that you prepared in September of 2017. Do you recall that? It was I believe it was CX-24, but we can I'll pull that up for you. A Okay, I have that. MS. SUGERMAN: Sorry, counsel, can you repeat what exhibit we're looking at? JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 24. MS. SUGERMAN: Twenty-four, okay. BY MR. McNEIL: Q Do you have that, CX-24? A I do. Q Okay. I wanted to ask you a couple of | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | to ask you to look again at the do you recall the a penalty calculation that you performed? A Yes. Q Yeah. I just have to find it. JUDGE BIRO: That was Complainant's Exhibit 48. MR. McNEIL: Forty-eight, okay. Thank you. Okay. BY MR. McNEIL: Q And then beginning, as I think had been stipulated, at page 10, if you don't mind, maybe we'll just run through some of these. So did you actually draft did you actually draft this? Do you see |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Q Okay, very good. And we looked earlier at an FRP checklist that you prepared in September of 2017. Do you recall that? It was I believe it was CX-24, but we can I'll pull that up for you. A Okay, I have that. MS. SUGERMAN: Sorry, counsel, can you repeat what exhibit we're looking at? JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 24. MS. SUGERMAN: Twenty-four, okay. BY MR. McNEIL: Q Do you have that, CX-24? A I do. Q Okay. I wanted to ask you a couple of questions. On the first page so this is, again, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | to ask you to look again at the do you recall the a penalty calculation that you performed? A Yes. Q Yeah. I just have to find it. JUDGE BIRO: That was Complainant's Exhibit 48. MR. McNEIL: Forty-eight, okay. Thank you. Okay. BY MR. McNEIL: Q And then beginning, as I think had been stipulated, at page 10, if you don't mind, maybe we'll just run through some of these. So did you actually draft did you actually draft this? Do you see where it says, "Complainant's Explanation of the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Q Okay, very good. And we looked earlier at an FRP checklist that you prepared in September of 2017. Do you recall that? It was I believe it was CX-24, but we can I'll pull that up for you. A Okay, I have that. MS. SUGERMAN: Sorry, counsel, can you repeat what exhibit we're looking at? JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 24. MS. SUGERMAN: Twenty-four, okay. BY MR. McNEIL: Q Do you have that, CX-24? A I do. Q Okay. I wanted to ask you a couple of questions. On the first page so this is, again, CX-24, page 1 of 24. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | to ask you to look again at the do you recall the a penalty calculation that you performed? A Yes. Q Yeah. I just have to find it. JUDGE BIRO: That was Complainant's Exhibit 48. MR. McNEIL: Forty-eight, okay. Thank you. Okay. BY MR. McNEIL: Q And then beginning, as I think had been stipulated, at page 10, if you don't mind, maybe we'll just run through some of these. So did you actually draft did you actually draft this? Do you see where it says, "Complainant's Explanation of the Proposed Penalty Assessment"? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Q Okay, very good. And we looked earlier at an FRP checklist that you prepared in September of 2017. Do you recall that? It was I believe it was CX-24, but we can I'll pull that up for you. A Okay, I have that. MS. SUGERMAN: Sorry, counsel, can you repeat what exhibit we're looking at? JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 24. MS. SUGERMAN: Twenty-four, okay. BY MR. McNEIL: Q Do you have that, CX-24? A I do. Q Okay. I wanted to ask you a couple of questions. On the first page so this is, again, CX-24, page 1 of 24. A Okay. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | to ask you to look again at the do you recall the a penalty calculation that you performed? A Yes. Q Yeah. I just have to find it. JUDGE BIRO: That was Complainant's Exhibit 48. MR. McNEIL: Forty-eight, okay. Thank you. Okay. BY MR. McNEIL: Q And then beginning, as I think had been stipulated, at page 10, if you don't mind, maybe we'll just run through some of these. So did you actually draft did you actually draft this? Do you see where it says, "Complainant's Explanation of the Proposed Penalty Assessment"? A Yes, I see that. Q Okay, yeah. Do you know how this document was created? In other words, did you do a first draft |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Q Okay, very good. And we looked earlier at an FRP checklist that you prepared in September of 2017. Do you recall that? It was I believe it was CX-24, but we can I'll pull that up for you. A Okay, I have that. MS. SUGERMAN: Sorry, counsel, can you repeat what exhibit we're looking at? JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 24. MS. SUGERMAN: Twenty-four, okay. BY MR. McNEIL: Q Do you have that, CX-24? A I do. Q Okay. I wanted to ask you a couple of questions. On the first page so this is, again, CX-24, page 1 of 24. A Okay. Q Okay. So in the bottom right-hand corner, there's a date. Do you see that? A Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | to ask you to look again at the do you recall the a penalty calculation that you performed? A Yes. Q Yeah. I just have to find it. JUDGE BIRO: That was Complainant's Exhibit 48. MR. McNEIL: Forty-eight, okay. Thank you. Okay. BY MR. McNEIL: Q And then beginning, as I think had been stipulated, at page 10, if you don't mind, maybe we'll just run through some of these. So did you actually draft did you actually draft this? Do you see where it says, "Complainant's Explanation of the Proposed Penalty Assessment"? A Yes, I see that. Q Okay, yeah. Do you know how this document was created? In other words, did you do a first draft and then submit it to management or to counsel or |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Q Okay, very good. And we looked earlier at an FRP checklist that you prepared in September of 2017. Do you recall that? It was I believe it was CX-24, but we can I'll pull that up for you. A Okay, I have that. MS. SUGERMAN: Sorry, counsel, can you repeat what exhibit we're looking at? JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 24. MS. SUGERMAN: Twenty-four, okay. BY MR. McNEIL: Q Do you have that, CX-24? A I do. Q Okay. I wanted to ask you a couple of questions. On the first page so this is, again, CX-24, page 1 of 24. A Okay. Q Okay. So in the bottom right-hand corner, there's a date. Do you see that? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | to ask you to look again at the do you recall the a penalty calculation that you performed? A Yes. Q Yeah. I just have to find it. JUDGE BIRO: That was Complainant's Exhibit 48. MR. McNEIL: Forty-eight, okay. Thank you. Okay. BY MR. McNEIL: Q And then beginning, as I think had been stipulated, at page 10, if you don't mind, maybe we'll just run through some of these. So did you actually draft did you actually draft this? Do you see where it says, "Complainant's Explanation of the Proposed Penalty Assessment"? A Yes, I see that. Q Okay, yeah. Do you know how this document was created? In other words, did you do a first draft |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Q Okay, very good. And we looked earlier at an FRP checklist that you prepared in September of 2017. Do you recall that? It was I believe it was CX-24, but we can I'll pull that up for you. A Okay, I have that. MS. SUGERMAN: Sorry, counsel, can you repeat what exhibit we're looking at? JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 24. MS. SUGERMAN: Twenty-four, okay. BY MR. McNEIL: Q Do you have that, CX-24? A I do. Q Okay. I wanted to ask you a couple of questions. On the first page so this is, again, CX-24, page 1 of 24. A Okay. Q Okay. So in the bottom right-hand corner, there's a date. Do you see that? A Yes. Q And what's the date? A 9/18/2017. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | to ask you to look again at the do you recall the a penalty calculation that you performed? A Yes. Q Yeah. I just have to find it. JUDGE BIRO: That was Complainant's Exhibit 48. MR. McNEIL: Forty-eight, okay. Thank you. Okay. BY MR. McNEIL: Q And then beginning, as I think had been stipulated, at page 10, if you don't mind, maybe we'll just run through some of these. So did you actually draft did you actually draft this? Do you see where it says, "Complainant's Explanation of the Proposed Penalty Assessment"? A Yes, I see that. Q Okay, yeah. Do you know how this document was created? In other words, did you do a first draft and then submit it to management or to counsel or something? In other words, who actually if you know, who actually prepared it in a draft for further |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Q Okay, very good. And we looked earlier at an FRP checklist that you prepared in September of 2017. Do you recall that? It was I believe it was CX-24, but we can I'll pull that up for you. A Okay, I have that. MS. SUGERMAN: Sorry, counsel, can you repeat what exhibit we're looking at? JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 24. MS. SUGERMAN: Twenty-four, okay. BY MR. McNEIL: Q Do you have that, CX-24? A I do. Q Okay. I wanted to ask you a couple of questions. On the first page so this is, again, CX-24, page 1 of 24. A Okay. Q Okay. So in the bottom right-hand corner, there's a date. Do you see that? A Yes. Q And what's the date? A 9/18/2017. Q Okay. Does that does that correspond or | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | to ask you to look again at the do you recall the a penalty calculation that you performed? A Yes. Q Yeah. I just have to find it. JUDGE BIRO: That was Complainant's Exhibit 48. MR. McNEIL: Forty-eight, okay. Thank you. Okay. BY MR. McNEIL: Q And then beginning, as I think had been stipulated, at page 10, if you don't mind, maybe we'll just run through some of these. So did you actually draft did you actually draft this? Do you see where it says, "Complainant's Explanation of the Proposed Penalty Assessment"? A Yes, I see that. Q Okay, yeah. Do you know how this document was created? In other words, did you do a first draft and then submit it to management or to counsel or something? In other words, who actually if you know, who actually prepared it in a draft for further review? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Q Okay, very good. And we looked earlier at an FRP checklist that you prepared in September of 2017. Do you recall that? It was I believe it was CX-24, but we can I'll pull that up for you. A Okay, I have that. MS. SUGERMAN: Sorry, counsel, can you repeat what exhibit we're looking at? JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 24. MS. SUGERMAN: Twenty-four, okay. BY MR. McNEIL: Q Do you have that, CX-24? A I do. Q Okay. I wanted to ask you a couple of questions. On the first page so this is, again, CX-24, page 1 of 24. A Okay. Q Okay. So in the bottom right-hand corner, there's a date. Do you see that? A Yes. Q And what's the date? A 9/18/2017. Q Okay. Does that does that correspond or roughly correspond to the date that this document was | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | to ask you to look again at the do you recall the a penalty calculation that you performed? A Yes. Q Yeah. I just have to find it. JUDGE BIRO: That was Complainant's Exhibit 48. MR. McNEIL: Forty-eight, okay. Thank you. Okay. BY MR. McNEIL: Q And then beginning, as I think had been stipulated, at page 10, if you don't mind, maybe we'll just run through some of these. So did you actually draft did you actually draft this? Do you see where it says, "Complainant's Explanation of the Proposed Penalty Assessment"? A Yes, I see that. Q Okay, yeah. Do you know how this document was created? In other words, did you do a first draft and then submit it to management or to counsel or something? In other words, who actually if you know, who actually prepared it in a draft for further review? A I would say this was a joint effort by ORC |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Q Okay, very good. And we looked earlier at an FRP checklist that you prepared in September of 2017. Do you recall that? It was I believe it was CX-24, but we can I'll pull that up for you. A Okay, I have that. MS. SUGERMAN: Sorry, counsel, can you repeat what exhibit we're looking at? JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 24. MS. SUGERMAN: Twenty-four, okay. BY MR. McNEIL: Q Do you have that, CX-24? A I do. Q Okay. I wanted to ask you a couple of questions. On the first page so this is, again, CX-24, page 1 of 24. A Okay. Q Okay. So in the bottom right-hand corner, there's a date. Do you see that? A Yes. Q And what's the date? A 9/18/2017. Q Okay. Does that does that correspond or roughly correspond to the date that this document was prepared? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | to ask you to look again at the do you recall the a penalty calculation that you performed? A Yes. Q Yeah. I just have to find it. JUDGE BIRO: That was Complainant's Exhibit 48. MR. McNEIL: Forty-eight, okay. Thank you. Okay. BY MR. McNEIL: Q And then beginning, as I think had been stipulated, at page 10, if you don't mind, maybe we'll just run through some of these. So did you actually draft did you actually draft this? Do you see where it says, "Complainant's Explanation of the Proposed Penalty Assessment"? A Yes, I see that. Q Okay, yeah. Do you know how this document was created? In other words, did you do a first draft and then submit it to management or to counsel or something? In other words, who actually if you know, who actually prepared it in a draft for further review? A I would say this was a joint effort by ORC and myself. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Q Okay, very good. And we looked earlier at an FRP checklist that you prepared in September of 2017. Do you recall that? It was I believe it was CX-24, but we can I'll pull that up for you. A Okay, I have that. MS. SUGERMAN: Sorry, counsel, can you repeat what exhibit we're looking at? JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit 24. MS. SUGERMAN: Twenty-four, okay. BY MR. McNEIL: Q Do you have that, CX-24? A I do. Q Okay. I wanted to ask you a couple of questions. On the first page so this is, again, CX-24, page 1 of 24. A Okay. Q Okay. So in the bottom right-hand corner, there's a date. Do you see that? A Yes. Q And what's the date? A 9/18/2017. Q Okay. Does that does that correspond or roughly correspond to the date that this document was | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | to ask you to look again at the do you recall the a penalty calculation that you performed? A Yes. Q Yeah. I just have to find it. JUDGE BIRO: That was Complainant's Exhibit 48. MR. McNEIL: Forty-eight, okay. Thank you. Okay. BY MR. McNEIL: Q And then beginning, as I think had been stipulated, at page 10, if you don't mind, maybe we'll just run through some of these. So did you actually draft did you actually draft this? Do you see where it says, "Complainant's Explanation of the Proposed Penalty Assessment"? A Yes, I see that. Q Okay, yeah. Do you know how this document was created? In other words, did you do a first draft and then submit it to management or to counsel or something? In other words, who actually if you know, who actually prepared it in a draft for further review? A I would say this was a joint effort by ORC |

| | Page 229 | | Page 231 |
|--|--|--|---|
| 1 | text in here, you may know you may have some | 1 | BY MR. McNEIL: |
| 2 | information about how certain things were arrived at | 2 | Q Okay, we're are you in Exhibit 39? |
| 3 | or decided. | 3 | A I'm actually looking at Exhibit 40. |
| 4 | A Yes. | 4 | Q Oh, Exhibit 40. |
| 5 | Q Okay. So let's sorry, mine is two-sided. | 5 | A On page 9 of 21. |
| 6 | Okay, let's look at page 14 of 23, which is under the | 6 | Q Okay, so you're looking at the moderate |
| 7 | heading, "Step One" or at the subheading, "Step | 7 | noncompliance and more than a million gallons storage |
| 8 | One: Seriousness." | 8 | in the grid? |
| 9 | A Yes. | 9 | A That's correct. |
| 10 | Q And I'd like you to just, you know, kind of | 10 | Q Okay. And then the next the next |
| 11 | refresh your recollection of what's in here under | 11 | paragraph refers to an upward adjustment of 30 percent |
| 12 | subsection A, and just let me know once you've had a | 12 | for duration. How was that arrived at, just in |
| 13 | minute to re-familiarize yourself. | 13 | general terms? |
| 14 | A Okay. | 14 | A The there's a maximum on the extent or |
| 15 | Q Oh, okay. So under subparagraph A, the | 15 | the time. So this would have gone over the statute of |
| 16 | second full paragraph if I if I understand the | 16 | limitations, and you can only so the maximum there |
| 17 | penalty proposal here in CX-48, it's being proposed | 17 | is 30 percent. |
| 18 | that there be a base cumulative penalty of \$45,000 for | 18 | Q Okay, so that's the maximum, the 30 percent. |
| 19 | the combined alleged SPCC violations. Is that is | 19 | A Yes. |
| 20 | that how | 20 | Q Okay. And is the same true for the next |
| 21 | A Yes. | 21 | paragraph on the penalty increase for negligence as is |
| 22 | Q Am I reading that correctly? Is that right? | 22 | described in the last sentence in the next paragraph? |
| 23 | A Yes. | 23 | This is on page 15 of 23, right above where it says, |
| 24 | Q Okay. And is now, is that they Ms. | 24 | "Step Two." |
| 25 | Sugerman had asked you about your experience, and the | 25 | A As noted on page 12 of 21, the culpability |
| | | | |
| | Page 230 | | Page 232 |
| 1 | | 1 | - |
| 1 2 | Court qualified you, so may I ask you how that \$45,000 | 1 2 | can be increased. The amount from step one, the |
| | Court qualified you, so may I ask you how that \$45,000 number was arrived at? Was it arrived at looking at, | | can be increased. The amount from step one, the \$45,000, can be increased by as much as 75 percent. |
| 2 | Court qualified you, so may I ask you how that \$45,000 number was arrived at? Was it arrived at looking at, for example, other settlements or other cases, ALJ | 2 | can be increased. The amount from step one, the \$45,000, can be increased by as much as 75 percent. Q Okay. So this is in your view, this is |
| 2 | Court qualified you, so may I ask you how that \$45,000 number was arrived at? Was it arrived at looking at, | 2 3 | can be increased. The amount from step one, the \$45,000, can be increased by as much as 75 percent. |
| 2 3 4 | Court qualified you, so may I ask you how that \$45,000 number was arrived at? Was it arrived at looking at, for example, other settlements or other cases, ALJ cases, EAB cases, where a penalty had been assessed | 2 3 4 | can be increased. The amount from step one, the \$45,000, can be increased by as much as 75 percent. Q Okay. So this is in your view, this is reflective of the level of culpability being at, as |
| 2 3 4 5 | Court qualified you, so may I ask you how that \$45,000 number was arrived at? Was it arrived at looking at, for example, other settlements or other cases, ALJ cases, EAB cases, where a penalty had been assessed and sort of, by analogy, saying, "Well, in this case, | 2 3 4 5 | can be increased. The amount from step one, the \$45,000, can be increased by as much as 75 percent. Q Okay. So this is in your view, this is reflective of the level of culpability being at, as stated here, essentially negligence? Is that right? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | Court qualified you, so may I ask you how that \$45,000 number was arrived at? Was it arrived at looking at, for example, other settlements or other cases, ALJ cases, EAB cases, where a penalty had been assessed and sort of, by analogy, saying, "Well, in this case, ABC happened, and they got \$30,000 per penalty, in | 2 3 4 5 6 | can be increased. The amount from step one, the \$45,000, can be increased by as much as 75 percent. Q Okay. So this is in your view, this is reflective of the level of culpability being at, as stated here, essentially negligence? Is that right? A Would you rephrase the question? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Court qualified you, so may I ask you how that \$45,000 number was arrived at? Was it arrived at looking at, for example, other settlements or other cases, ALJ cases, EAB cases, where a penalty had been assessed and sort of, by analogy, saying, "Well, in this case, ABC happened, and they got \$30,000 per penalty, in this case, we have ABCD, so it should be \$45-?" I | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | can be increased. The amount from step one, the \$45,000, can be increased by as much as 75 percent. Q Okay. So this is in your view, this is reflective of the level of culpability being at, as stated here, essentially negligence? Is that right? A Would you rephrase the question? Q Yes. Have you I'm looking at the last |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | Court qualified you, so may I ask you how that \$45,000 number was arrived at? Was it arrived at looking at, for example, other settlements or other cases, ALJ cases, EAB cases, where a penalty had been assessed and sort of, by analogy, saying, "Well, in this case, ABC happened, and they got \$30,000 per penalty, in this case, we have ABCD, so it should be \$45-?" I mean, can you can you give us a window at all into | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | can be increased. The amount from step one, the \$45,000, can be increased by as much as 75 percent. Q Okay. So this is in your view, this is reflective of the level of culpability being at, as stated here, essentially negligence? Is that right? A Would you rephrase the question? Q Yes. Have you I'm looking at the last sentence where it says, "Complainant proposes a |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | Court qualified you, so may I ask you how that \$45,000 number was arrived at? Was it arrived at looking at, for example, other settlements or other cases, ALJ cases, EAB cases, where a penalty had been assessed and sort of, by analogy, saying, "Well, in this case, ABC happened, and they got \$30,000 per penalty, in this case, we have ABCD, so it should be \$45-?" I mean, can you can you give us a window at all into how that \$45,000 number was arrived at? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | can be increased. The amount from step one, the \$45,000, can be increased by as much as 75 percent. Q Okay. So this is in your view, this is reflective of the level of culpability being at, as stated here, essentially negligence? Is that right? A Would you rephrase the question? Q Yes. Have you I'm looking at the last sentence where it says, "Complainant proposes a penalty increase of 30 percent to reflect the degree |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Court qualified you, so may I ask you how that \$45,000 number was arrived at? Was it arrived at looking at, for example, other settlements or other cases, ALJ cases, EAB cases, where a penalty had been assessed and sort of, by analogy, saying, "Well, in this case, ABC happened, and they got \$30,000 per penalty, in this case, we have ABCD, so it should be \$45-?" I mean, can you can you give us a window at all into how that \$45,000 number was arrived at? A That number is within a range that's | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | can be increased. The amount from step one, the \$45,000, can be increased by as much as 75 percent. Q Okay. So this is in your view, this is reflective of the level of culpability being at, as stated here, essentially negligence? Is that right? A Would you rephrase the question? Q Yes. Have you I'm looking at the last sentence where it says, "Complainant proposes a penalty increase of 30 percent to reflect the degree of negligent culpability, not rising toward higher |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | Court qualified you, so may I ask you how that \$45,000 number was arrived at? Was it arrived at looking at, for example, other settlements or other cases, ALJ cases, EAB cases, where a penalty had been assessed and sort of, by analogy, saying, "Well, in this case, ABC happened, and they got \$30,000 per penalty, in this case, we have ABCD, so it should be \$45-?" I mean, can you can you give us a window at all into how that \$45,000 number was arrived at? A That number is within a range that's determined by size of the facility. If I don't | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | can be increased. The amount from step one, the \$45,000, can be increased by as much as 75 percent. Q Okay. So this is in your view, this is reflective of the level of culpability being at, as stated here, essentially negligence? Is that right? A Would you rephrase the question? Q Yes. Have you I'm looking at the last sentence where it says, "Complainant proposes a penalty increase of 30 percent to reflect the degree of negligent culpability, not rising toward higher levels of culpability, as may be reflected by grossly |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | Court qualified you, so may I ask you how that \$45,000 number was arrived at? Was it arrived at looking at, for example, other settlements or other cases, ALJ cases, EAB cases, where a penalty had been assessed and sort of, by analogy, saying, "Well, in this case, ABC happened, and they got \$30,000 per penalty, in this case, we have ABCD, so it should be \$45-?" I mean, can you can you give us a window at all into how that \$45,000 number was arrived at? A That number is within a range that's determined by size of the facility. If I don't know if you want to turn to a general penalty policy | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | can be increased. The amount from step one, the \$45,000, can be increased by as much as 75 percent. Q Okay. So this is in your view, this is reflective of the level of culpability being at, as stated here, essentially negligence? Is that right? A Would you rephrase the question? Q Yes. Have you I'm looking at the last sentence where it says, "Complainant proposes a penalty increase of 30 percent to reflect the degree of negligent culpability, not rising toward higher levels of culpability, as may be reflected by grossly negligent or intentional" |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Court qualified you, so may I ask you how that \$45,000 number was arrived at? Was it arrived at looking at, for example, other settlements or other cases, ALJ cases, EAB cases, where a penalty had been assessed and sort of, by analogy, saying, "Well, in this case, ABC happened, and they got \$30,000 per penalty, in this case, we have ABCD, so it should be \$45-?" I mean, can you can you give us a window at all into how that \$45,000 number was arrived at? A That number is within a range that's determined by size of the facility. If I don't know if you want to turn to a general penalty policy to see that range. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | can be increased. The amount from step one, the \$45,000, can be increased by as much as 75 percent. Q Okay. So this is in your view, this is reflective of the level of culpability being at, as stated here, essentially negligence? Is that right? A Would you rephrase the question? Q Yes. Have you I'm looking at the last sentence where it says, "Complainant proposes a penalty increase of 30 percent to reflect the degree of negligent culpability, not rising toward higher levels of culpability, as may be reflected by grossly negligent or intentional" A Right. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Court qualified you, so may I ask you how that \$45,000 number was arrived at? Was it arrived at looking at, for example, other settlements or other cases, ALJ cases, EAB cases, where a penalty had been assessed and sort of, by analogy, saying, "Well, in this case, ABC happened, and they got \$30,000 per penalty, in this case, we have ABCD, so it should be \$45-?" I mean, can you can you give us a window at all into how that \$45,000 number was arrived at? A That number is within a range that's determined by size of the facility. If I don't know if you want to turn to a general penalty policy to see that range. Q Yeah, that would be helpful. That's in I | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | can be increased. The amount from step one, the \$45,000, can be increased by as much as 75 percent. Q Okay. So this is in your view, this is reflective of the level of culpability being at, as stated here, essentially negligence? Is that right? A Would you rephrase the question? Q Yes. Have you I'm looking at the last sentence where it says, "Complainant proposes a penalty increase of 30 percent to reflect the degree of negligent culpability, not rising toward higher levels of culpability, as may be reflected by grossly negligent or intentional" A Right. Q " noncompliance." |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Court qualified you, so may I ask you how that \$45,000 number was arrived at? Was it arrived at looking at, for example, other settlements or other cases, ALJ cases, EAB cases, where a penalty had been assessed and sort of, by analogy, saying, "Well, in this case, ABC happened, and they got \$30,000 per penalty, in this case, we have ABCD, so it should be \$45-?" I mean, can you can you give us a window at all into how that \$45,000 number was arrived at? A That number is within a range that's determined by size of the facility. If I don't know if you want to turn to a general penalty policy to see that range. Q Yeah, that would be helpful. That's in I think that's in a different you do you mean the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | can be increased. The amount from step one, the \$45,000, can be increased by as much as 75 percent. Q Okay. So this is in your view, this is reflective of the level of culpability being at, as stated here, essentially negligence? Is that right? A Would you rephrase the question? Q Yes. Have you I'm looking at the last sentence where it says, "Complainant proposes a penalty increase of 30 percent to reflect the degree of negligent culpability, not rising toward higher levels of culpability, as may be reflected by grossly negligent or intentional" A Right. Q " noncompliance." A Correct. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Court qualified you, so may I ask you how that \$45,000 number was arrived at? Was it arrived at looking at, for example, other settlements or other cases, ALJ cases, EAB cases, where a penalty had been assessed and sort of, by analogy, saying, "Well, in this case, ABC happened, and they got \$30,000 per penalty, in this case, we have ABCD, so it should be \$45-?" I mean, can you can you give us a window at all into how that \$45,000 number was arrived at? A That number is within a range that's determined by size of the facility. If I don't know if you want to turn to a general penalty policy to see that range. Q Yeah, that would be helpful. That's in I think that's in a different you do you mean the what which one do you because we have A I'm unable to locate it at this point. JUDGE BIRO: Why don't you look at Exhibit | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | can be increased. The amount from step one, the \$45,000, can be increased by as much as 75 percent. Q Okay. So this is in your view, this is reflective of the level of culpability being at, as stated here, essentially negligence? Is that right? A Would you rephrase the question? Q Yes. Have you I'm looking at the last sentence where it says, "Complainant proposes a penalty increase of 30 percent to reflect the degree of negligent culpability, not rising toward higher levels of culpability, as may be reflected by grossly negligent or intentional" A Right. Q " noncompliance." A Correct. Q Okay, and you still believe that's appropriate. A I do. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Court qualified you, so may I ask you how that \$45,000 number was arrived at? Was it arrived at looking at, for example, other settlements or other cases, ALJ cases, EAB cases, where a penalty had been assessed and sort of, by analogy, saying, "Well, in this case, ABC happened, and they got \$30,000 per penalty, in this case, we have ABCD, so it should be \$45-?" I mean, can you can you give us a window at all into how that \$45,000 number was arrived at? A That number is within a range that's determined by size of the facility. If I don't know if you want to turn to a general penalty policy to see that range. Q Yeah, that would be helpful. That's in I think that's in a different you do you mean the what which one do you because we have A I'm unable to locate it at this point. JUDGE BIRO: Why don't you look at Exhibit 39? Complainant's Exhibit 39? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | can be increased. The amount from step one, the \$45,000, can be increased by as much as 75 percent. Q Okay. So this is in your view, this is reflective of the level of culpability being at, as stated here, essentially negligence? Is that right? A Would you rephrase the question? Q Yes. Have you I'm looking at the last sentence where it says, "Complainant proposes a penalty increase of 30 percent to reflect the degree of negligent culpability, not rising toward higher levels of culpability, as may be reflected by grossly negligent or intentional" A Right. Q " noncompliance." A Correct. Q Okay, and you still believe that's appropriate. A I do. Q Okay. And this was proposed by I think |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Court qualified you, so may I ask you how that \$45,000 number was arrived at? Was it arrived at looking at, for example, other settlements or other cases, ALJ cases, EAB cases, where a penalty had been assessed and sort of, by analogy, saying, "Well, in this case, ABC happened, and they got \$30,000 per penalty, in this case, we have ABCD, so it should be \$45-?" I mean, can you can you give us a window at all into how that \$45,000 number was arrived at? A That number is within a range that's determined by size of the facility. If I don't know if you want to turn to a general penalty policy to see that range. Q Yeah, that would be helpful. That's in I think that's in a different you do you mean the what which one do you because we have A I'm unable to locate it at this point. JUDGE BIRO: Why don't you look at Exhibit 39? Complainant's Exhibit 39? MR. McNEIL: Thank you, Your Honor, CX-39. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | can be increased. The amount from step one, the \$45,000, can be increased by as much as 75 percent. Q Okay. So this is in your view, this is reflective of the level of culpability being at, as stated here, essentially negligence? Is that right? A Would you rephrase the question? Q Yes. Have you I'm looking at the last sentence where it says, "Complainant proposes a penalty increase of 30 percent to reflect the degree of negligent culpability, not rising toward higher levels of culpability, as may be reflected by grossly negligent or intentional" A Right. Q " noncompliance." A Correct. Q Okay, and you still believe that's appropriate. A I do. Q Okay. And this was proposed by I think it was a joint effort that was proposed and was |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Court qualified you, so may I ask you how that \$45,000 number was arrived at? Was it arrived at looking at, for example, other settlements or other cases, ALJ cases, EAB cases, where a penalty had been assessed and sort of, by analogy, saying, "Well, in this case, ABC happened, and they got \$30,000 per penalty, in this case, we have ABCD, so it should be \$45-?" I mean, can you can you give us a window at all into how that \$45,000 number was arrived at? A That number is within a range that's determined by size of the facility. If I don't know if you want to turn to a general penalty policy to see that range. Q Yeah, that would be helpful. That's in I think that's in a different you do you mean the what which one do you because we have A I'm unable to locate it at this point. JUDGE BIRO: Why don't you look at Exhibit 39? Complainant's Exhibit 39? MR. McNEIL: Thank you, Your Honor, CX-39. JUDGE BIRO: And then it follows a second | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | can be increased. The amount from step one, the \$45,000, can be increased by as much as 75 percent. Q Okay. So this is in your view, this is reflective of the level of culpability being at, as stated here, essentially negligence? Is that right? A Would you rephrase the question? Q Yes. Have you I'm looking at the last sentence where it says, "Complainant proposes a penalty increase of 30 percent to reflect the degree of negligent culpability, not rising toward higher levels of culpability, as may be reflected by grossly negligent or intentional" A Right. Q " noncompliance." A Correct. Q Okay, and you still believe that's appropriate. A I do. Q Okay. And this was proposed by I think it was a joint effort that was proposed and was approved by the necessary management |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Court qualified you, so may I ask you how that \$45,000 number was arrived at? Was it arrived at looking at, for example, other settlements or other cases, ALJ cases, EAB cases, where a penalty had been assessed and sort of, by analogy, saying, "Well, in this case, ABC happened, and they got \$30,000 per penalty, in this case, we have ABCD, so it should be \$45-?" I mean, can you can you give us a window at all into how that \$45,000 number was arrived at? A That number is within a range that's determined by size of the facility. If I don't know if you want to turn to a general penalty policy to see that range. Q Yeah, that would be helpful. That's in I think that's in a different you do you mean the what which one do you because we have A I'm unable to locate it at this point. JUDGE BIRO: Why don't you look at Exhibit 39? Complainant's Exhibit 39? MR. McNEIL: Thank you, Your Honor, CX-39. JUDGE BIRO: And then it follows a second there's a second penalty policy at 40. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | can be increased. The amount from step one, the \$45,000, can be increased by as much as 75 percent. Q Okay. So this is in your view, this is reflective of the level of culpability being at, as stated here, essentially negligence? Is that right? A Would you rephrase the question? Q Yes. Have you I'm looking at the last sentence where it says, "Complainant proposes a penalty increase of 30 percent to reflect the degree of negligent culpability, not rising toward higher levels of culpability, as may be reflected by grossly negligent or intentional" A Right. Q " noncompliance." A Correct. Q Okay, and you still believe that's appropriate. A I do. Q Okay. And this was proposed by I think it was a joint effort that was proposed and was approved by the necessary management A Yes. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Court qualified you, so may I ask you how that \$45,000 number was arrived at? Was it arrived at looking at, for example, other settlements or other cases, ALJ cases, EAB cases, where a penalty had been assessed and sort of, by analogy, saying, "Well, in this case, ABC happened, and they got \$30,000 per penalty, in this case, we have ABCD, so it should be \$45-?" I mean, can you can you give us a window at all into how that \$45,000 number was arrived at? A That number is within a range that's determined by size of the facility. If I don't know if you want to turn to a general penalty policy to see that range. Q Yeah, that would be helpful. That's in I think that's in a different you do you mean the what which one do you because we have A I'm unable to locate it at this point. JUDGE BIRO: Why don't you look at Exhibit 39? Complainant's Exhibit 39? MR. McNEIL: Thank you, Your Honor, CX-39. JUDGE BIRO: And then it follows a second there's a second penalty policy at 40. (Pause.) | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | can be increased. The amount from step one, the \$45,000, can be increased by as much as 75 percent. Q Okay. So this is in your view, this is reflective of the level of culpability being at, as stated here, essentially negligence? Is that right? A Would you rephrase the question? Q Yes. Have you I'm looking at the last sentence where it says, "Complainant proposes a penalty increase of 30 percent to reflect the degree of negligent culpability, not rising toward higher levels of culpability, as may be reflected by grossly negligent or intentional" A Right. Q " noncompliance." A Correct. Q Okay, and you still believe that's appropriate. A I do. Q Okay. And this was proposed by I think it was a joint effort that was proposed and was approved by the necessary management A Yes. Q within EPA. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Court qualified you, so may I ask you how that \$45,000 number was arrived at? Was it arrived at looking at, for example, other settlements or other cases, ALJ cases, EAB cases, where a penalty had been assessed and sort of, by analogy, saying, "Well, in this case, ABC happened, and they got \$30,000 per penalty, in this case, we have ABCD, so it should be \$45-?" I mean, can you can you give us a window at all into how that \$45,000 number was arrived at? A That number is within a range that's determined by size of the facility. If I don't know if you want to turn to a general penalty policy to see that range. Q Yeah, that would be helpful. That's in I think that's in a different you do you mean the what which one do you because we have A I'm unable to locate it at this point. JUDGE BIRO: Why don't you look at Exhibit 39? Complainant's Exhibit 39? MR. McNEIL: Thank you, Your Honor, CX-39. JUDGE BIRO: And then it follows a second there's a second penalty policy at 40. (Pause.) THE WITNESS: It was \$45,000, and it was in | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | can be increased. The amount from step one, the \$45,000, can be increased by as much as 75 percent. Q Okay. So this is in your view, this is reflective of the level of culpability being at, as stated here, essentially negligence? Is that right? A Would you rephrase the question? Q Yes. Have you I'm looking at the last sentence where it says, "Complainant proposes a penalty increase of 30 percent to reflect the degree of negligent culpability, not rising toward higher levels of culpability, as may be reflected by grossly negligent or intentional" A Right. Q " noncompliance." A Correct. Q Okay, and you still believe that's appropriate. A I do. Q Okay. And this was proposed by I think it was a joint effort that was proposed and was approved by the necessary management A Yes. Q within EPA. A That's correct. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Court qualified you, so may I ask you how that \$45,000 number was arrived at? Was it arrived at looking at, for example, other settlements or other cases, ALJ cases, EAB cases, where a penalty had been assessed and sort of, by analogy, saying, "Well, in this case, ABC happened, and they got \$30,000 per penalty, in this case, we have ABCD, so it should be \$45-?" I mean, can you can you give us a window at all into how that \$45,000 number was arrived at? A That number is within a range that's determined by size of the facility. If I don't know if you want to turn to a general penalty policy to see that range. Q Yeah, that would be helpful. That's in I think that's in a different you do you mean the what which one do you because we have A I'm unable to locate it at this point. JUDGE BIRO: Why don't you look at Exhibit 39? Complainant's Exhibit 39? MR. McNEIL: Thank you, Your Honor, CX-39. JUDGE BIRO: And then it follows a second there's a second penalty policy at 40. (Pause.) | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | can be increased. The amount from step one, the \$45,000, can be increased by as much as 75 percent. Q Okay. So this is in your view, this is reflective of the level of culpability being at, as stated here, essentially negligence? Is that right? A Would you rephrase the question? Q Yes. Have you I'm looking at the last sentence where it says, "Complainant proposes a penalty increase of 30 percent to reflect the degree of negligent culpability, not rising toward higher levels of culpability, as may be reflected by grossly negligent or intentional" A Right. Q " noncompliance." A Correct. Q Okay, and you still believe that's appropriate. A I do. Q Okay. And this was proposed by I think it was a joint effort that was proposed and was approved by the necessary management A Yes. Q within EPA. |

Page 233 Page 235

- 1 Two: Adjustments Based on Other Statutory Factors,"
- and I believe this is where the section 311 general
- 3 factors come in, so there's no -- based on this,
- 4 there's no evidence, that EPA's aware of, of, "Prior
- 5 violations; the nature, extent, and degree of
- 6 successive efforts of the violator to minimize or
- 7 mitigate the effects of the discharge; the economic
- 8 impact of the penalty on the violator; and any other
- 9 matters as justice may require," is that right?
 - A That's correct.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q And the -- your approach to developing the penalty proposal along with your colleagues in -- for the Facility Response Plan alleged violation was essentially the -- the two are the same -- walking through the same steps, is that correct?
 - A Yes, basically the same.
- Q Okay. And then there is -- there is one other adjustment that was proposed for economic benefit, is that right?
 - A That's correct.
- Q Okay. And I -- there's a -- I think there's a worksheet that's attached here. Did -- when you prepared -- or you -- are you -- did you participate in preparing the -- let me find it -- the economic
- benefit adjustment of \$28,159?

concept?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A Right.
- Q Okay. And for item C?
- A So the voided and reoccurring costs have to do with -- because this is specifically for FRP, those would be the costs of having an -- the OSRO contract in place for X number of years, not having exercises and drills for X number of years, and not having ongoing training for X number of years.
 - Q Okay. So on that, let me just ask you, would you -- in performing this calculation for this purpose, if you had information, let's say, for the OSRO contract, that we -- you mentioned two things. One was the -- having the on-scene response contract in place, and the other was doing the drills and exercises. If you were to find out that once the contract was fully executed, there were -- there were no costs that were -- that arose simply from the contract being in place, that's one thing. And then if you knew that the employees that were doing the drills and exercises were being compensated through their normal salary or hourly wage, would that have any impact on your calculation of item C?
 - $\label{eq:A} A \quad I \mbox{ understand the question, but } I \mbox{ guess } I \mbox{ don't understand the concept.}$

Page 236

Page 234

- A That's noted on page 18, CX-48, page 18 at the bottom. I was the analyst.
 - Q Right.
 - A Yes.
- Q Okay. So just to look at a couple of items there, the subcomponents are shown in A, B, C, and D, is that right?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Okay. So the first one, the 10,878 figure, on-time capital and one-time costs. What does that refer to in your understanding?
 - A So those are costs that may have been expended on things like equipment or training or even exercises.
 - Q Okay. And then for item B, the delay capital and one-time costs? What are those?
 - A So those are things that I -- just a moment, please. I believe those are the -- I believe that's based on the amount of time that the capital costs weren't in place.
 - Q Expended?
 - A Expended, yeah.
- Q Mm-hmm, okay. So in other words, it's a --24 if I may use the expression, and you can correct me if
- 25 I'm wrong, kind of the time value of money sort of a

- Q Can you -- please.
- 2 A Well, I don't understand how training would
- 3 be free. It would be free to the employee, but the
- 4 employer would pay for training from someone. And an
- 5 OSRO contract means paying an Oil Spill Response
- 6 Organization to be on call, so there is a cost
- 7 associated with that.
- Q Okay. That's your understanding of how
 those contracts work.
 - A Yes.
- Q Okay. And the last category, initial economic benefit, the \$19,001, what's your understanding of what that --
 - A I'm sorry, which figure?
 - Q This -- well, we're still in items A through E here on the worksheet, but this is paragraph D, where it says initial -- excuse me, initial economic benefit of A, B, and C, it's -- I think --
 - JUDGE BIRO: It's just a totaling.
 - MR. McNEIL: Yeah, and see, I was going to say it seems to be additive, except I -- yeah, no, I -- that's what I thought as well, but my question is if I add up A, B, and C, I get a different number, so I'm trying to understand.
 - BY MR. McNEIL:

Page 239 Page 237 1 Q Your -- the number here in D is \$19,001. Do 1 costs, or had -- could demonstrate recurring annual --2 2 annual costs that exceed the 16,000 figure in C. you see that? 3 A I do. 3 Would that be taken into account by you in the 4 4 Q Okay. So is D, as seemingly from the economic benefit calculation, or would it be 5 5 description -- is D supposed to reflect the sum of A disregarded? 6 and B and C? 6 A It would be taken into consideration if they 7 7 A By the description, it would seem that it provided figures. 8 would. 8 Q Okay. 9 9 Q Okay. So that number would be something MR. McNEIL: Your Honor, may I have a 10 like 34,000 or --10 moment? 11 MS. SUGERMAN: Objection, Your Honor. It's 11 JUDGE BIRO: Of course. 12 essentially misreading the text. It's A minus B plus 12 BY MR. McNEIL: 13 C. 13 Q With ongoing research into VSS's, you know, 14 14 MR. McNEIL: Ah, okay. Thank you. Okay, financial condition or financial resources, can you 15 15 share with us and the Court what -- sort of what that and -- thank you, counsel. 16 BY MR. McNEIL: 16 -- how that informed your decision about the being a 17 Q And then last question on this for you, Ms. 17 sophisticated company or a large-sized company? 18 Witul, is would there -- would there be any place in A I will share that. I looked at the company 18 19 19 these calculations for the preparation of the various website. I also looked at information, publicly 20 plans that you -- I think you are aware that VSS did 20 available information, through Reference USA that 21 over the course of this matter? That would include 21 shows particulars for VSS and also Basic Resources. 22 substantial harm criteria analyses, but it would also 22 All those seemed healthy, viable companies. 23 23 include Facility Response Plans and drafts of those Q Okay. Did you have any -- did you -- were 2.4 plans that were submitted to EPA. Does that -- does 24 you able to ascertain any number in terms of net 25 that factor into these costs --25 worth, or gross sales, or anything like that, or --Page 238 Page 240 1 A I can't remember off the top of my head. A Yes. 1 2 2 Q -- would you consider --There may be information in an exhibit. 3 A That would be a capital cost, yes. 3 Q Okay. 4 Q That would -- okay. So it would -- so it 4 MR. McNEIL: I have nothing further at this 5 5 though -- to the extent that you had had documentation time, Your Honor. 6 of those costs, would you have considered that as an 6 JUDGE BIRO: Okay, thank you. 7 7 MS. SUGERMAN: I need a moment to collect offset for the capital costs? 8 8 A It would be part of it, not an offset. binders and get a little organized here, I think. I 9 Q Part. I don't quite understand. Part of it 9 lost my -- Okay. 10 meaning --10 How are you doing, by the way? You're okay? THE WITNESS: Yes. 11 A Part of -- part of the actual costs, rather 11 12 than the offset. 12 MS. SUGERMAN: Almost over. I'll go 13 13 Q Oh, okay. So are we -- are we talking about backwards in time here. And so CX-35 and CX-36 in the 14 the A, the one-time costs? Is that what you're 14 record are the Reference USA and Dunn & Bradstreet 15 referring to? 15 reports that were pulled, and if we want to go ahead 16 A Right, it is considered a one-time cost. 16 and enter those into the record as evidence, then 17 It's also considered an annually recurring cost, 17 counsel will know what we relied on. 18 18 JUDGE BIRO: Is there any objection, Mr. because there might be updates required. 19 Q Right. Let me -- I'm going to try to make 19 McNeil? 20 20 MR. McNEIL: No objection, Your Honor. my question simpler. So in other words, here we have JUDGE BIRO: CX-35 and CX-36 are admitted 21 -- just in rough figures, we've got 10,000 for A, 21 22 22 almost 11,000, and we've got, for example, just shy of into the record. 23 23 // 16,000 for C. So let's say VSS had documentation that 24 it had provided to EPA that showed that it spent in 24 // 25 excess of 11,000 for on-time capital and one-time 25 //

Page 243 Page 241 1 (The documents referred to, 1 photo and what the red circles are? 2 2 previously identified as A The red circles indicate Tank 865. 3 Complainant's Exhibits No. 35 3 Maybe describe its location. 4 4 and 36, were received in A And so CX-52, page 1, there's a circle --5 5 evidence.) freeform circle around the tank that's positioned in 6 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 6 an area that is to the right of a vertical line that 7 BY MS. SUGERMAN: 7 is a secondary containment wall. So it indicate --8 8 Q And then in terms of the economic benefit it's showing that the tank is outside of containment. 9 9 analysis, we discussed this a little bit, but we Q And this is the tank that you testified 10 10 didn't -- since we didn't receive figures -- since you earlier to -- there was no indication that it was 11 didn't receive figures from the facility, what did you 11 permanently closed, correct? 12 use to determine costs for that analysis? 12 A Yes, it didn't meet the regulatory 13 13 A I used the information collection requests definition of permanently closed. 14 14 that were prepared -- sent -- the collection requests Q And then what's the concern with something 15 sent out by the EPA and then the information that's 15 not being officially permanently closed? 16 16 gathered in those concerning FRP facilities, such as A I think -- if there's no evidence that it's 17 CX-46, I believe. 17 -- or there's no quality evidence that it's empty, 18 Q Okay. And one of counsel's questions 18 that it will be put back into use and either of those 19 19 regarding your declarations, it seemed to me it was could cause discharge. 20 asking about what you have relied on in making your 20 Q Okay. So yes, if you could go through the 21 determination regarding whether the facility was 21 -- keep going through the files there. 22 subject to FRP. And in your initial testimony I think 22 A The first image was May 2012. Page 2, CX-23 you testified that you also contacted headquarters, is 23 52, page 2 of 4, is an image dated 10/6/12. Again, 2.4 that correct? You got some feedback from headquarters 24 the freeform circle around the tank kind of in the 25 as to whether it was applicable at this facility in 25 middle of the picture, and the tank is again shown in Page 242 Page 244 terms of --1 1 the area outside of any secondary containment. And 2 2 A Yes. then page 3 of 4, the tank now is more to the right in 3 Q And then do you know if headquarters 3 the picture. There's a freeform circle drawn around 4 4 contacted anybody else involved, any experts in this it, and the tank again is outside of secondary 5 5 field, to determine applicability? containment. And in the final -- that image was dated 6 A Yes. In order to substantiate information, 6 2016, October 2016. In the final image that shows an 7 headquarters contacted one of their contractors for 7 imagery date of February 1, 2018, the tank indicated 8 8 that purpose, yes. by the red circle is now inside the secondary 9 9 Q Okay. So it sounds like you used your own containment walls and -- that include the two large 10 judgment and experience. You actually consulted 10 tanks. 11 11 headquarters, and headquarters may have also contacted Q Okay. And in your experience and your 12 an outside contractor. 12 activities as an inspector, do you need to know the 13 13 exact quantity of oil in any given tank at any time, A Yes. 14 14 Q I'd like to look quickly -- about the -- and or is the relevant number related to whether it's 15 15 just some of the Tank 865 issues. Is it -- I think available to store oil? 16 it's CX-55. 16 A It's whether it's available to store oil. 17 MS. SUGERMAN: Can I just use these? Are 17 It's based on actual storage capacity of the tank. 18 18 these --Q So for example, Tank 2001, if you observed 19 19 (Asides.) that it was insulated and it was piped, to make your 20 MS. SUGERMAN: Okay, CX-52, please. 20 determination of whether it was available to store 21 BY MS. SUGERMAN: 21 oil, did you need to know the contents of the tank at 22 22 Q And you had mentioned earlier that you did that time? 23 23 modify that first page with a red circle, and that A No. 24 that's not original to the photo. Can you flip 24 Q I realize I may have failed to admit Exhibit 25 through those and just explain to me what's in each 25 CX-13. If you could return to CX-13 for a moment.

Page 245 Page 247 1 You've got it there. And so in CX-13, we discussed 1 that was the exact same as 20? 2 this was the email where EPA was requesting records. 2 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: CX-20 was already --3 3 It's your -- we had this conversation earlier, but to MS. SUGERMAN: Oh, all right. Sorry. 4 4 clarify, it's your understanding that EPA received (Asides.) 5 5 MS. SUGERMAN: Then it's covered. All each and every one of these records? 6 A I don't -- none of the training records were 6 right. Let me just do a quick consult. Okay. We are 7 received at the time. I don't believe an executed 7 done. We would like to keep -- we don't want to 8 8 OSRO contract was received. release Janice, though. We want her available in case 9 9 we need her. Q To the extent we may have receive -- you may 10 10 JUDGE BIRO: They get -- okay, but they have received some of these records after the fact, 11 would that constitute complete compliance? 11 still get to do recross. 12 12 MS. SUGERMAN: Oh, yes. That's fine. A No. 13 13 MR. McNEIL: Your Honor, no recross. Q Okay. 14 14 JUDGE BIRO: Oh, okay. MS. SUGERMAN: And I would like to move to 15 15 MR. McNEIL: Thank you, Judge. admit CX-13 into evidence. 16 JUDGE BIRO: All right. Thank you, Mr. --16 JUDGE BIRO: Is there any objection? 17 MR. McNEIL: No objection. 17 MR. HELMLINGER: Your Honor, if we could ask JUDGE BIRO: CX-13 is admitted into the 18 the counsel, you had intended to call her as a 18 19 19 witness. Have you gotten the facts from her in this record. 20 (The document referred to, 20 that you otherwise might get in your direct? 21 MR. McNEIL: We probably need a little bit 21 previously identified as 22 Complainant's Exhibit No. 13, 22 of time to answer your question --23 23 MR. HELMLINGER: You bet, sure. was received in evidence.) 24 2.4 (Asides.) MR. McNEIL: -- but we will. 25 // 25 MR. HELMLINGER: Sure, okay. Page 248 Page 246 1 JUDGE BIRO: Okay. It's 4:00. Are you 1 BY MS. SUGERMAN: 2 2 Q While they're digging, I have one other prepared to call your next witness? 3 question. So regarding the economic benefit 3 MR. HELMLINGER: We could, but it might take 4 4 calculations, do you feel like you looked at each and a full hour. I'm not sure what they would have for 5 5 every one of the violations at issue here and the cross. I might suggest if we could take a couple 6 possible costs associated with each of those 6 minutes, we could talk about stipulations and maybe 7 7 violations, and you input them into your calculation? have some progress on that and speed things up for 8 Or was this just a subset of what you thought might 8 9 9 JUDGE BIRO: That would be great. Let me go have had associated costs? 10 A This was more a subset. 10 over with you while we're on the record all the 11 Q A subset. Did it include any of the tank 11 exhibits that I believe we have admitted into the 12 integrity testing costs that may have been done? 12 record today. And when I'm done, correct me if I'm 13 A No, those were more SPCC violations, and 13 wrong. I've tried to keep an accurate record. 14 this is just -- this was just based on the FRP. 14 Complainant's Exhibits 1; 2; 4; 5; 6; 7; 8; 9; 10; 12; 15 Q So even though the penalty was for both the 15 13; 16; 17; 18; 19; 20; 22; 24; 25; 26; 33; 34; 35; 16 SPCC and the FRP violations, this particular economic 16 36; 45; 46; 47; and 48, only pages 10 through 23. Oh, 17 benefit calculation really only captures the delay 17 52 and 53. Did I not say that? 18 costs associated with Facility Response Plan data --18 MR. HELMLINGER: And then we had RX Exhibit 19 A Yes. 19 83. 20 Q Okay. 20 JUDGE BIRO: Right, so now we have the -- of 21 (Asides.) 21 the respondent's exhibits, I have a record that we've 22 MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. So this is just an 22 admitted Respondent's Exhibit 2; 6; 23; 50; and 83, 23 admission into evidence request. CX-21 was one of the 23 pages 1 and 2; and 87. Is everybody in agreement? Do 24 FRP plans that we talked about, but I failed to --24 we -- do you want me to read it again? How are we? 25 JUDGE BIRO: Isn't that -- didn't you say 25 MS. REYNOLDS: I wouldn't mind if you read

| | Page 249 | | Page 251 |
|--|---|--|---|
| 1 | it once more. The I lost some of the very end. | 1 | JUDGE BIRO: Yeah. Okay. Are we good? |
| 2 | UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Of the CXs. | 2 | Yeah? Okay. Okay. So now, you know, you can any |
| 3 | MS. REYNOLDS: Of the CXs. I lost you kind | 3 | witnesses, you know, that are released, it's fine. If |
| 4 | of after 26. | 4 | you can agree on the exhibits for tomorrow and |
| 5 | JUDGE BIRO: After 26? Okay. So there was | 5 | stipulate, hopefully, to admissibility of as many as |
| 6 | 25 and 26; 33; 34; 35; 36; 45; 46; 47; 48, but pages | 6 | you can |
| 7 | only 10 through 23; 50; 52; 53. That's it. | 7 | MR. HELMLINGER: Yeah. |
| 8 | How am I doing, Andrea? | 8 | JUDGE BIRO: that would be really great. |
| 9 | UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You're right. | 9 | MR. HELMLINGER: Sure. I have a proposal to |
| 10 | JUDGE BIRO: Right, okay. | 10 | discuss with counsel. We might be able to get back to |
| 11 | We're good? | 11 | you in 10 minutes and do that. |
| 12 | MS. SUGERMAN: I'm sorry, did you say CX-47? | 12 | JUDGE BIRO: Oh, if you want to settle, that |
| 13 | Was that on your list? | 13 | would be good, too, but |
| 14 | MR. HELMLINGER: Yes. | 14 | MR. HELMLINGER: No, not that proposal, on |
| 15 | MS. SUGERMAN: Okay. | 15 | just on just on exhibits. Just on exhibits. |
| 16 | MR. HELMLINGER: Yeah. | 16 | - |
| 17 | MS. SUGERMAN: Then we're | 17 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. All right. Well, so why don't we stand in recess for today? If you want, we |
| 18 | MR. HELMLINGER: We're good. | 18 | can start up with your next witness first thing |
| 19 | JUDGE BIRO: We're good? | 19 | tomorrow morning. |
| 20 | MR. HELMLINGER: Yes. | 20 | |
| 21 | JUDGE BIRO: How about Mr. McNeil? Are we | 21 | MR. HELMLINGER: Sure, sounds great. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. All right. Thank you |
| | | 22 | |
| 22 | good? | 23 | very much. Ms. Witul, there's a possibility you'll be |
| | MR. LUDWIG: I think so. I'm just going | | |
| 24 | through my list, which was sort of chronological, as | 24 25 | recalled to your testimony, so you really shouldn't |
| 25 | opposed to | 25 | discuss your testimony any more with anybody, |
| | Page 250 | | Page 252 |
| | | | 1490 202 |
| 1 | JUDGE BIRO: I have it chronological, too, | 1 | including your own attorney, okay? |
| 1 2 | JUDGE BIRO: I have it chronological, too, if you'd like. Would you like me to read that? | 1 2 | |
| | | 1 | including your own attorney, okay? |
| 2 | if you'd like. Would you like me to read that? | 2 | including your own attorney, okay? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Off the record. |
| 2 | if you'd like. Would you like me to read that? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. | 2 3 | including your own attorney, okay? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Off the record. JUDGE BIRO: Yeah. |
| 2 3 4 | if you'd like. Would you like me to read that? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Thirty-three | 2 3 4 | including your own attorney, okay? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Off the record. JUDGE BIRO: Yeah. (Whereupon, at 4:00 p.m., the hearing in the |
| 2 3 4 5 | if you'd like. Would you like me to read that? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Thirty-three Complainant's Exhibit 33; Respondent's Exhibit 83, the first two pages; Complainant's Exhibit 2; Complainant's Exhibit 1; Complainant's Exhibit 53; | 2 3 4 5 | including your own attorney, okay? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Off the record. JUDGE BIRO: Yeah. (Whereupon, at 4:00 p.m., the hearing in the above-entitled matter adjourned, to reconvene at 9:00 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | if you'd like. Would you like me to read that? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Thirty-three Complainant's Exhibit 33; Respondent's Exhibit 83, the first two pages; Complainant's Exhibit 2; | 2 3 4 5 6 | including your own attorney, okay? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Off the record. JUDGE BIRO: Yeah. (Whereupon, at 4:00 p.m., the hearing in the above-entitled matter adjourned, to reconvene at 9:00 a.m., on May 17, 2019, the following day.) |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | if you'd like. Would you like me to read that? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Thirty-three Complainant's Exhibit 33; Respondent's Exhibit 83, the first two pages; Complainant's Exhibit 2; Complainant's Exhibit 1; Complainant's Exhibit 53; Complainant's Exhibit 34, Respondent's Exhibit 87; Complainant's Exhibit 25; Complainant's Exhibit 26; | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | including your own attorney, okay? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Off the record. JUDGE BIRO: Yeah. (Whereupon, at 4:00 p.m., the hearing in the above-entitled matter adjourned, to reconvene at 9:00 a.m., on May 17, 2019, the following day.) // // // |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | if you'd like. Would you like me to read that? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Thirty-three Complainant's Exhibit 33; Respondent's Exhibit 83, the first two pages; Complainant's Exhibit 2; Complainant's Exhibit 1; Complainant's Exhibit 53; Complainant's Exhibit 34, Respondent's Exhibit 87; Complainant's Exhibit 25; Complainant's Exhibit 26; Complainant's Exhibit 22; Complainant's Exhibit 46; | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | including your own attorney, okay? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Off the record. JUDGE BIRO: Yeah. (Whereupon, at 4:00 p.m., the hearing in the above-entitled matter adjourned, to reconvene at 9:00 a.m., on May 17, 2019, the following day.) // // // // // |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | if you'd like. Would you like me to read that? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Thirty-three Complainant's Exhibit 33; Respondent's Exhibit 83, the first two pages; Complainant's Exhibit 2; Complainant's Exhibit 1; Complainant's Exhibit 53; Complainant's Exhibit 34, Respondent's Exhibit 87; Complainant's Exhibit 25; Complainant's Exhibit 26; Complainant's Exhibit 22; Complainant's Exhibit 46; Complainant's Exhibit 47; Complainant's Exhibit 50; | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | including your own attorney, okay? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Off the record. JUDGE BIRO: Yeah. (Whereupon, at 4:00 p.m., the hearing in the above-entitled matter adjourned, to reconvene at 9:00 a.m., on May 17, 2019, the following day.) // // // |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | if you'd like. Would you like me to read that? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Thirty-three Complainant's Exhibit 33; Respondent's Exhibit 83, the first two pages; Complainant's Exhibit 2; Complainant's Exhibit 1; Complainant's Exhibit 53; Complainant's Exhibit 34, Respondent's Exhibit 87; Complainant's Exhibit 25; Complainant's Exhibit 26; Complainant's Exhibit 22; Complainant's Exhibit 46; Complainant's Exhibit 47; Complainant's Exhibit 50; Respondent's Exhibit 50; Complainant's Exhibit 16; | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | including your own attorney, okay? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Off the record. JUDGE BIRO: Yeah. (Whereupon, at 4:00 p.m., the hearing in the above-entitled matter adjourned, to reconvene at 9:00 a.m., on May 17, 2019, the following day.) // // // // // // // // // |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | if you'd like. Would you like me to read that? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Thirty-three Complainant's Exhibit 33; Respondent's Exhibit 83, the first two pages; Complainant's Exhibit 2; Complainant's Exhibit 1; Complainant's Exhibit 53; Complainant's Exhibit 34, Respondent's Exhibit 87; Complainant's Exhibit 25; Complainant's Exhibit 26; Complainant's Exhibit 22; Complainant's Exhibit 46; Complainant's Exhibit 47; Complainant's Exhibit 50; Respondent's Exhibit 50; Complainant's Exhibit 16; Complainant's Exhibit 5; Complainant's Exhibit 10; | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | including your own attorney, okay? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Off the record. JUDGE BIRO: Yeah. (Whereupon, at 4:00 p.m., the hearing in the above-entitled matter adjourned, to reconvene at 9:00 a.m., on May 17, 2019, the following day.) // // // // // // // // // |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | if you'd like. Would you like me to read that? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Thirty-three Complainant's Exhibit 33; Respondent's Exhibit 83, the first two pages; Complainant's Exhibit 2; Complainant's Exhibit 1; Complainant's Exhibit 53; Complainant's Exhibit 34, Respondent's Exhibit 87; Complainant's Exhibit 25; Complainant's Exhibit 26; Complainant's Exhibit 22; Complainant's Exhibit 46; Complainant's Exhibit 47; Complainant's Exhibit 50; Respondent's Exhibit 50; Complainant's Exhibit 16; Complainant's Exhibit 5; Complainant's Exhibit 10; Respondent's Exhibit 2; Complainant's Exhibit 4; | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | including your own attorney, okay? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Off the record. JUDGE BIRO: Yeah. (Whereupon, at 4:00 p.m., the hearing in the above-entitled matter adjourned, to reconvene at 9:00 a.m., on May 17, 2019, the following day.) // // // // // // // // // |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | if you'd like. Would you like me to read that? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Thirty-three Complainant's Exhibit 33; Respondent's Exhibit 83, the first two pages; Complainant's Exhibit 2; Complainant's Exhibit 1; Complainant's Exhibit 53; Complainant's Exhibit 34, Respondent's Exhibit 87; Complainant's Exhibit 25; Complainant's Exhibit 26; Complainant's Exhibit 22; Complainant's Exhibit 46; Complainant's Exhibit 47; Complainant's Exhibit 50; Respondent's Exhibit 50; Complainant's Exhibit 16; Complainant's Exhibit 5; Complainant's Exhibit 10; Respondent's Exhibit 2; Complainant's Exhibit 4; Complainant's Exhibit 52; Respondent's Exhibit 6; | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | including your own attorney, okay? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Off the record. JUDGE BIRO: Yeah. (Whereupon, at 4:00 p.m., the hearing in the above-entitled matter adjourned, to reconvene at 9:00 a.m., on May 17, 2019, the following day.) // // // // // // // // // |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | if you'd like. Would you like me to read that? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Thirty-three Complainant's Exhibit 33; Respondent's Exhibit 83, the first two pages; Complainant's Exhibit 2; Complainant's Exhibit 1; Complainant's Exhibit 53; Complainant's Exhibit 34, Respondent's Exhibit 87; Complainant's Exhibit 25; Complainant's Exhibit 26; Complainant's Exhibit 22; Complainant's Exhibit 46; Complainant's Exhibit 47; Complainant's Exhibit 50; Respondent's Exhibit 50; Complainant's Exhibit 16; Complainant's Exhibit 5; Complainant's Exhibit 10; Respondent's Exhibit 2; Complainant's Exhibit 4; Complainant's Exhibit 52; Respondent's Exhibit 6; Complainant's Exhibit 17; Complainant's Exhibit 18; | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | including your own attorney, okay? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Off the record. JUDGE BIRO: Yeah. (Whereupon, at 4:00 p.m., the hearing in the above-entitled matter adjourned, to reconvene at 9:00 a.m., on May 17, 2019, the following day.) // // // // // // // // // |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | if you'd like. Would you like me to read that? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Thirty-three Complainant's Exhibit 33; Respondent's Exhibit 83, the first two pages; Complainant's Exhibit 2; Complainant's Exhibit 1; Complainant's Exhibit 53; Complainant's Exhibit 34, Respondent's Exhibit 87; Complainant's Exhibit 25; Complainant's Exhibit 26; Complainant's Exhibit 22; Complainant's Exhibit 46; Complainant's Exhibit 47; Complainant's Exhibit 50; Respondent's Exhibit 50; Complainant's Exhibit 16; Complainant's Exhibit 5; Complainant's Exhibit 10; Respondent's Exhibit 2; Complainant's Exhibit 4; Complainant's Exhibit 52; Respondent's Exhibit 6; Complainant's Exhibit 17; Complainant's Exhibit 18; Complainant's Exhibit 9; Complainant's Exhibit 6; | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | including your own attorney, okay? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Off the record. JUDGE BIRO: Yeah. (Whereupon, at 4:00 p.m., the hearing in the above-entitled matter adjourned, to reconvene at 9:00 a.m., on May 17, 2019, the following day.) // // // // // // // // // |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | if you'd like. Would you like me to read that? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Thirty-three Complainant's Exhibit 33; Respondent's Exhibit 83, the first two pages; Complainant's Exhibit 2; Complainant's Exhibit 1; Complainant's Exhibit 53; Complainant's Exhibit 34, Respondent's Exhibit 87; Complainant's Exhibit 25; Complainant's Exhibit 26; Complainant's Exhibit 22; Complainant's Exhibit 46; Complainant's Exhibit 47; Complainant's Exhibit 50; Respondent's Exhibit 50; Complainant's Exhibit 16; Complainant's Exhibit 5; Complainant's Exhibit 10; Respondent's Exhibit 2; Complainant's Exhibit 4; Complainant's Exhibit 52; Respondent's Exhibit 6; Complainant's Exhibit 17; Complainant's Exhibit 18; Complainant's Exhibit 9; Complainant's Exhibit 8; | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | including your own attorney, okay? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Off the record. JUDGE BIRO: Yeah. (Whereupon, at 4:00 p.m., the hearing in the above-entitled matter adjourned, to reconvene at 9:00 a.m., on May 17, 2019, the following day.) // // // // // // // // // |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | if you'd like. Would you like me to read that? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Thirty-three Complainant's Exhibit 33; Respondent's Exhibit 83, the first two pages; Complainant's Exhibit 2; Complainant's Exhibit 1; Complainant's Exhibit 53; Complainant's Exhibit 34, Respondent's Exhibit 87; Complainant's Exhibit 25; Complainant's Exhibit 26; Complainant's Exhibit 22; Complainant's Exhibit 46; Complainant's Exhibit 47; Complainant's Exhibit 50; Respondent's Exhibit 50; Complainant's Exhibit 16; Complainant's Exhibit 5; Complainant's Exhibit 10; Respondent's Exhibit 2; Complainant's Exhibit 4; Complainant's Exhibit 52; Respondent's Exhibit 6; Complainant's Exhibit 17; Complainant's Exhibit 18; Complainant's Exhibit 9; Complainant's Exhibit 6; | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | including your own attorney, okay? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Off the record. JUDGE BIRO: Yeah. (Whereupon, at 4:00 p.m., the hearing in the above-entitled matter adjourned, to reconvene at 9:00 a.m., on May 17, 2019, the following day.) // // // // // // // // // |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | if you'd like. Would you like me to read that? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Thirty-three Complainant's Exhibit 33; Respondent's Exhibit 83, the first two pages; Complainant's Exhibit 2; Complainant's Exhibit 1; Complainant's Exhibit 53; Complainant's Exhibit 34, Respondent's Exhibit 87; Complainant's Exhibit 25; Complainant's Exhibit 26; Complainant's Exhibit 22; Complainant's Exhibit 46; Complainant's Exhibit 47; Complainant's Exhibit 50; Respondent's Exhibit 50; Complainant's Exhibit 16; Complainant's Exhibit 5; Complainant's Exhibit 10; Respondent's Exhibit 2; Complainant's Exhibit 4; Complainant's Exhibit 52; Respondent's Exhibit 6; Complainant's Exhibit 17; Complainant's Exhibit 18; Complainant's Exhibit 7; Complainant's Exhibit 8; Complainant's Exhibit 19; Complainant's Exhibit 12; Respondent's Exhibit 23; Complainant's Exhibit 45; | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | including your own attorney, okay? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Off the record. JUDGE BIRO: Yeah. (Whereupon, at 4:00 p.m., the hearing in the above-entitled matter adjourned, to reconvene at 9:00 a.m., on May 17, 2019, the following day.) // // // // // // // // // |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | if you'd like. Would you like me to read that? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Thirty-three Complainant's Exhibit 33; Respondent's Exhibit 83, the first two pages; Complainant's Exhibit 2; Complainant's Exhibit 1; Complainant's Exhibit 53; Complainant's Exhibit 34, Respondent's Exhibit 87; Complainant's Exhibit 25; Complainant's Exhibit 26; Complainant's Exhibit 22; Complainant's Exhibit 46; Complainant's Exhibit 47; Complainant's Exhibit 50; Respondent's Exhibit 50; Complainant's Exhibit 16; Complainant's Exhibit 5; Complainant's Exhibit 10; Respondent's Exhibit 5; Complainant's Exhibit 4; Complainant's Exhibit 52; Respondent's Exhibit 6; Complainant's Exhibit 17; Complainant's Exhibit 18; Complainant's Exhibit 7; Complainant's Exhibit 8; Complainant's Exhibit 19; Complainant's Exhibit 12; | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | including your own attorney, okay? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Off the record. JUDGE BIRO: Yeah. (Whereupon, at 4:00 p.m., the hearing in the above-entitled matter adjourned, to reconvene at 9:00 a.m., on May 17, 2019, the following day.) // // // // // // // // // |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | if you'd like. Would you like me to read that? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Thirty-three Complainant's Exhibit 33; Respondent's Exhibit 83, the first two pages; Complainant's Exhibit 2; Complainant's Exhibit 1; Complainant's Exhibit 53; Complainant's Exhibit 34, Respondent's Exhibit 87; Complainant's Exhibit 25; Complainant's Exhibit 26; Complainant's Exhibit 22; Complainant's Exhibit 46; Complainant's Exhibit 47; Complainant's Exhibit 50; Respondent's Exhibit 50; Complainant's Exhibit 16; Complainant's Exhibit 5; Complainant's Exhibit 10; Respondent's Exhibit 2; Complainant's Exhibit 4; Complainant's Exhibit 52; Respondent's Exhibit 6; Complainant's Exhibit 17; Complainant's Exhibit 18; Complainant's Exhibit 7; Complainant's Exhibit 8; Complainant's Exhibit 19; Complainant's Exhibit 12; Respondent's Exhibit 23; Complainant's Exhibit 45; | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | including your own attorney, okay? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Off the record. JUDGE BIRO: Yeah. (Whereupon, at 4:00 p.m., the hearing in the above-entitled matter adjourned, to reconvene at 9:00 a.m., on May 17, 2019, the following day.) // // // // // // // // // |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | if you'd like. Would you like me to read that? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Thirty-three Complainant's Exhibit 33; Respondent's Exhibit 83, the first two pages; Complainant's Exhibit 2; Complainant's Exhibit 1; Complainant's Exhibit 53; Complainant's Exhibit 34, Respondent's Exhibit 87; Complainant's Exhibit 25; Complainant's Exhibit 26; Complainant's Exhibit 22; Complainant's Exhibit 46; Complainant's Exhibit 47; Complainant's Exhibit 50; Respondent's Exhibit 50; Complainant's Exhibit 16; Complainant's Exhibit 5; Complainant's Exhibit 10; Respondent's Exhibit 2; Complainant's Exhibit 4; Complainant's Exhibit 52; Respondent's Exhibit 6; Complainant's Exhibit 17; Complainant's Exhibit 18; Complainant's Exhibit 9; Complainant's Exhibit 8; Complainant's Exhibit 19; Complainant's Exhibit 12; Respondent's Exhibit 23; Complainant's Exhibit 24; | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | including your own attorney, okay? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Off the record. JUDGE BIRO: Yeah. (Whereupon, at 4:00 p.m., the hearing in the above-entitled matter adjourned, to reconvene at 9:00 a.m., on May 17, 2019, the following day.) // // // // // // // // // |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | if you'd like. Would you like me to read that? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Thirty-three Complainant's Exhibit 33; Respondent's Exhibit 83, the first two pages; Complainant's Exhibit 2; Complainant's Exhibit 1; Complainant's Exhibit 53; Complainant's Exhibit 34, Respondent's Exhibit 87; Complainant's Exhibit 25; Complainant's Exhibit 26; Complainant's Exhibit 22; Complainant's Exhibit 46; Complainant's Exhibit 47; Complainant's Exhibit 50; Respondent's Exhibit 50; Complainant's Exhibit 16; Complainant's Exhibit 5; Complainant's Exhibit 10; Respondent's Exhibit 2; Complainant's Exhibit 4; Complainant's Exhibit 52; Respondent's Exhibit 6; Complainant's Exhibit 17; Complainant's Exhibit 18; Complainant's Exhibit 9; Complainant's Exhibit 6; Complainant's Exhibit 19; Complainant's Exhibit 12; Respondent's Exhibit 23; Complainant's Exhibit 45; Complainant's Exhibit 20; Complainant's Exhibit 24; Complainant's Exhibit 48, pages 10 through 23; | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | including your own attorney, okay? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Off the record. JUDGE BIRO: Yeah. (Whereupon, at 4:00 p.m., the hearing in the above-entitled matter adjourned, to reconvene at 9:00 a.m., on May 17, 2019, the following day.) // // // // // // // // // |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | if you'd like. Would you like me to read that? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Thirty-three Complainant's Exhibit 33; Respondent's Exhibit 83, the first two pages; Complainant's Exhibit 2; Complainant's Exhibit 1; Complainant's Exhibit 53; Complainant's Exhibit 34, Respondent's Exhibit 87; Complainant's Exhibit 25; Complainant's Exhibit 26; Complainant's Exhibit 22; Complainant's Exhibit 46; Complainant's Exhibit 47; Complainant's Exhibit 50; Respondent's Exhibit 50; Complainant's Exhibit 16; Complainant's Exhibit 5; Complainant's Exhibit 10; Respondent's Exhibit 5; Complainant's Exhibit 4; Complainant's Exhibit 52; Respondent's Exhibit 6; Complainant's Exhibit 17; Complainant's Exhibit 18; Complainant's Exhibit 7; Complainant's Exhibit 8; Complainant's Exhibit 19; Complainant's Exhibit 12; Respondent's Exhibit 23; Complainant's Exhibit 45; Complainant's Exhibit 20; Complainant's Exhibit 24; Complainant's Exhibit 48, pages 10 through 23; Complainant's Exhibit 35; Complainant's Exhibit 36; | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | including your own attorney, okay? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Off the record. JUDGE BIRO: Yeah. (Whereupon, at 4:00 p.m., the hearing in the above-entitled matter adjourned, to reconvene at 9:00 a.m., on May 17, 2019, the following day.) // // // // // // // // // |

| | Page 253 | |
|----------|--|--|
| 1 | REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | DOCKET NO.: OPA-09-2018-00002 | |
| 4 | CASE TITLE: Environmental Protection Agency v. VSS | |
| 5 | International, Inc. | |
| 6 | HEARING DATE: May 16, 2019 | |
| 7 | LOCATION: San Francisco, CA | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | I hereby certify that the proceedings and | |
| 10 | evidence are contained fully and accurately on the | |
| 11 | tapes and notes reported by me at the hearing in the | |
| 12 | above case before the U.S. Environmental Protection | |
| 13 | Agency. | |
| 14 15 | | |
| 16 | Date: May 16, 2019 | |
| 17 | Date. 1914y 10, 2017 | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | Gigi Lastra | |
| 20 | Official Reporter | |
| 21 | Heritage Reporting Corporation | |
| 22 | Suite 206 | |
| 23 | 1220 L Street, N.W. | |
| 24 | Washington, D.C. 20005-4018 | |
| 25 | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| | · | | • | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|------------------|
| A | accurate 73:23 | Addendum 81:7 | 106:23 117:17 | advanced |
| a.m 1:15 6:2 | 75:15 135:20 | adding 13:12 | 159:15 212:25 | 125:14 |
| 89:16,18 | 141:24 148:3 | 76:25 95:10 | 213:4,10,25 | adverse 68:17 |
| 119:15 252:6 | 152:17 153:21 | addition 66:17 | admissibility | advice 98:25 |
| abbreviate | 157:8 170:8 | 77:15,24 78:5 | 251:5 | advised 220:13 |
| 16:20 | 178:12 181:8 | 78:17 81:11 | admission | aerial 33:24 |
| abbreviation | 182:19 183:23 | 104:18 128:23 | 167:15 190:1 | 56:13 67:3 |
| 20:8 | 186:9 190:20 | 133:12 143:20 | 246:23 | 91:21 140:4,4 |
| ABC 230:6 | 221:5 248:13 | 143:21 158:24 | admit 23:19 | 223:25 |
| ABCD 230:7 | accurately | 162:17 | 26:8 56:15,21 | affect 37:3,18 |
| ability 71:24 | 165:10 253:10 | additional 78:8 | 59:14 74:1 | 78:9,16 131:25 |
| 117:19 | ACP 18:21 19:3 | 95:9 104:24 | 75:18 81:13 | 214:12,14 |
| able 28:11 29:8 | 19:5,7,10 22:6 | 158:22,24 | 83:11 88:13 | affirmation |
| 105:8 130:6 | 30:11 38:24 | 171:22 | 163:17 165:9 | 168:15 |
| 132:21 161:2 | 40:8,19 41:12 | additions 181:7 | 168:12,15,20 | afternoon |
| 212:2 239:24 | 62:7 104:12 | additive 236:21 | 189:25 191:4 | 209:20,21 |
| 251:10 | 155:22 | address 29:1 | 191:25 224:4,8 | agencies 18:19 |
| above-entitled | ACPs 18:24,24 | 61:15 145:1 | 244:24 245:15 | 39:13 50:20,24 |
| 1:14 89:17 | 19:1,13 39:25 | 150:20 172:2 | admitted 21:2 | 129:8 131:7,10 |
| | 40:24 | 196:22,24 | 24:1 26:12 | 131:13 132:8 |
| 119:16 185:20 252:5 | acres 36:17 | 199:20 | 56:24 57:7 | 133:20 134:1 |
| | acronym 129:18 | addressed 160:2 | 59:19 74:6 | 140:6 142:15 |
| aboveground | 134:2 | 160:20 161:5,7 | 75:22 81:15 | agency 1:1,4,22 |
| 58:11 82:25 | Act 11:17,21 | 171:24 172:1 | 83:14 88:17 | 6:7,9 14:24 |
| 135:7 138:11 | 13:23 30:12 | addressing | 122:3 126:23 | 15:25 48:14 |
| 139:4 143:9,9 | 42:2 85:14 | 11:20 | 136:2 142:5 | 105:25 142:23 |
| 143:11,20 | 122:23,25,25 | adds 76:19 | 146:25 148:9 | 143:16 253:4 |
| 144:4,16,20 | 143:10,21 | adequacy 12:19 | 153:1 154:3 | 253:13 |
| absolutely 17:11 19:13 41:16 | 144:4,16,20 | adequate 11:20 | 157:15 170:16 | agent 187:14 |
| 72:19 | 202:15 204:23 | 58:10 78:5 | 170:22,25 | aggregate |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | action 13:24 | 93:23 187:19 | 177:10 178:19 | 110:14 |
| accelerated 12:23 | 215:3 221:12 | 195:25 208:12 | 181:14 183:2 | ago 167:10 |
| | 225:10 | 208:13 225:6 | 184:4 190:4 | 217:24 219:23 |
| accept 47:19 75:15 178:7 | actions 17:6 | adjacent 44:23 | 192:17 195:12 | agree 9:14 47:15 |
| | 129:11 131:21 | adjoining 12:7 | 196:15 198:7 | 173:12 209:4 |
| acceptable 178:8 | 204:2,24 | adjourned | 199:10 207:1 | 251:4 |
| | 212:23 | 89:17 119:16 | 240:21 245:18 | agreement |
| accepted 71:5 | active 222:14 | 185:20 252:5 | 248:11,22 | 248:23 |
| 203:24 204:3 | activities 244:12 | adjudged | admitting 56:17 | Ah 237:14 |
| access 19:1,2 | Activity 227:5,9 | 127:15 | adopt 166:1,8 | ahead 27:11 |
| 36:12 | actual 64:19 | adjustment | 166:10,21 | 32:25 34:15 |
| accessing 164:9 | 100:1 115:11 | 231:11 233:18 | 167:5 194:18 | 56:15 69:16 |
| accompanied | 238:11 244:17 | 233:25 | 200:16 | 70:9 205:12 |
| 171:20 196:6 | Adams 179:8 | Adjustments | adopting 166:25 | 209:10 212:16 |
| accompanying | 180:16 | 233:1 | adopting 168:3 | 240:15 |
| 211:16 | add 77:13 | administrative | adoption 100.5 adopts 166:24 | Air 122:25 |
| account 14:3 | 236:23 | 1:1,5,17 6:6 | 167:16 | Airports 49:1,2 |
| 117:5 206:8 | added 11:10 | 7:7 13:24 14:7 | advance 37:11 | Alaska 11:16 |
| 207:10 239:3 | 77:5 202:22 | 204:24 | 139:11,24 | align 18:17 |
| accumulates | 203:9 | administrator | 174:22 175:9 | ALJ 230:3 |
| 34:17 35:11 | | | 1, | 1120 20 0.0 |
| | | | | |

| | | | | Tage 2 |
|---------------------|----------------------|------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| allegation | 105:21,23 | 82:18 170:24 | 92:17 102:3,4 | 30:22 38:19,21 |
| 167:25 | 109:15 112:24 | 214:25 | 117:2 125:18 | 108:17 138:18 |
| allegations | 113:4 115:16 | appear 44:13,16 | 154:20 | 150:16,19 |
| 166:13 | 213:22 220:25 | 73:25 135:20 | appreciate | 151:18 158:19 |
| allege 14:12,17 | 241:9,12 | 141:24 146:18 | 111:17 118:2 | 161:20 196:9 |
| alleged 12:17 | analyst 234:2 | 148:2 152:17 | 185:9 | 211:11,17 |
| 13:8 166:14 | analytical | 153:21 157:8 | approach 82:5 | argument |
| 229:19 233:13 | 117:15 | 164:22 170:8 | 215:7,16 | 172:10,20 |
| allergy 120:10 | analyzing 62:18 | 178:11 181:8 | 233:11 | arm 161:24 |
| allow 27:4 53:12 | and/or 61:2 | 182:19 183:23 | approached | arose 235:18 |
| 167:14 | 139:18 183:14 | 186:9 190:20 | 56:10 | arrangements |
| allowed 7:13 | 202:2 | 216:4,7,8 | appropriate | 175:3 185:2 |
| 14:7 166:7 | Andrea 150:3 | appearance | 9:14 17:5 50:1 | arrive 106:22 |
| allows 51:3 | 249:8 | 149:5 | 78:14 84:10 | 140:14 |
| alluded 225:14 | Andrew 1:20 | APPEARAN | 136:18 232:17 | arrived 175:24 |
| alongs 51:4 | 6:16 126:22 | 1:18 2:1 | approval 27:12 | 229:2 230:2,2 |
| alongside 91:17 | 200:20 | appears 21:9 | approve 27:2 | 230:9 231:12 |
| alteration 79:23 | Angeles 2:7 | 29:16 32:10 | approved 27:5 | art 102:23 |
| alternative 53:9 | animal 144:17 | 44:21 59:11 | 187:8 232:21 | artificial 36:13 |
| 53:13 106:1,5 | annual 128:13 | 81:25 121:22 | approves 27:3 | artificially |
| 106:21 115:18 | 239:1,2 | 153:16 164:20 | approves 27.5 | 36:11 |
| 115:21,22 | annually 238:17 | 175:16 178:13 | 16:6 65:18 | ascertain 227:15 |
| 117:9,16,18,20 | answer 43:20 | appendix 53:15 | 222:7 225:18 | 239:24 |
| ambient 143:19 | 52:4 57:20 | 54:24 59:8 | April 151:4 | aside 111:23 |
| amend 13:15 | 109:7 110:15 | 66:15 67:22 | APSA 143:21,22 | 139:23 |
| 76:21 | 111:2,3,5,11 | 78:15 106:3,15 | 143:25 144:9 | Asides 242:19 |
| amended 11:17 | 112:8 221:16 | 117:10,14 | 144:11,15,24 | 245:24 246:21 |
| 160:9 | 247:22 | applicability | 144:25 | 247:4 |
| amendment | answering 97:22 | 53:11,15 62:13 | area 18:7,10,14 | asked 28:10 |
| 78:2 159:14 | 98:3 | 63:13 66:11 | 19:4 20:4,7,20 | 31:11 33:4 |
| amendments | anticipate 37:12 | 70:5,14 71:2,6 | 22:5,6,6,15 | 56:11 91:6 |
| 49:16 76:10 | 69:11 | 71:10 92:4,5,6 | 25:3,8,15 | 175:5 180:22 |
| 91:9,10 | anybody 72:10 | 92:22 96:14,16 | 28:13,17 30:22 | 211:7,9 229:25 |
| American 52:19 | 89:13 164:2 | 100:10 104:18 | 31:23 38:13 | asking 29:14 |
| 52:23 137:8,20 | 173:18 211:20 | 105:18 110:3 | 39:4,10,12,14 | 40:18 64:14 |
| amount 68:16 | 242:4 251:25 | 114:18 173:19 | 39:16,17,22 | 72:21 73:4 |
| 95:3,21 131:23 | anyway 202:8 | 211:22 219:1 | 40:5,25 41:2 | 97:7 109:6 |
| 131:24 132:24 | apart 111:21 | 242:5 | 49:3 58:12 | 111:18 113:1 |
| 232:1 234:19 | API 79:5,8,13 | applicable 57:20 | 61:15,17 62:9 | 139:17,23 |
| amphibians | 79:22 80:9,13 | 62:1,6 73:2 | 62:18 63:4 | 151:25 152:1 |
| 25:17 | 83:20,22 84:1 | 158:19 204:24 | 66:7 69:3 | 200:22 201:1,9 |
| Anadromous | 84:24 126:5 | 205:24 241:25 | 71:17 142:10 | 218:18,20 |
| 25:14 | 134:21 137:8 | Applications | 142:14 149:16 | 241:20 |
| analogous 41:12 | 137:12,24 | 122:11 | 149:17 155:9 | aspects 84:17 |
| analogy 230:5 | API's 81:9 | applies 67:6 | 155:19,23 | 203:7 |
| analyses 114:17 | API-certified | 92:21 95:1 | 158:17,22 | asphalt 53:17,24 |
| 237:22 | 84:7 | 211:21 | 161:23 243:6 | 53:25 54:2,3,4 |
| analysis 53:9 | apologies 90:22 | apply 37:8 61:9 | 244:1 | 54:6,11,13,16 |
| 70:17 91:16 | 142:4 215:21 | 63:24 66:12 | areas 20:5 21:10 | 54:25,25 55:15 |
| 92:22 98:2,3 | apologize 10:14 | 72:9,23 73:12 | 25:24 26:1,6 | 55:19,22 67:2 |
| | I | I | l | I |

| | | | | 1490 5 |
|---------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| 87:22 101:4,10 | attached 233:22 | 97:1 | 154:21 167:19 | 174:14 179:17 |
| 145:2 151:10 | attached 255.22 | availability | 172:12 180:7 | 180:3 197:13 |
| 162:3 222:25 | 66:15 67:22 | 130:5,16 | 186:14,16,25 | 200:2 204:22 |
| asphaltic 53:24 | 69:7 93:1 | available 7:24 | 186:25 203:1,3 | 208:15 210:1,6 |
| 77:8 79:1 | 94:24 95:17 | 112:16 139:19 | 205:1,9 217:15 | 210:24 211:4 |
| 143:14 151:9 | 96:17 105:3 | 139:20 163:7 | 233:1,3 234:19 | 214:15 219:22 |
| 154:23 172:13 | 106:3,6,12,15 | 166:24 208:11 | 244:17 246:14 | 220:6,18 |
| 174:12 | 106:16,22 | 210:15 239:20 | basic 125:13,23 | 222:12 223:16 |
| assess 37:16 | 107:20 109:17 | 244:15,16,20 | 127:10 128:8 | 224:10 226:3 |
| assessed 230:4 | 110:1,2,7 | 247:8 | 239:21 | 232:16 233:2 |
| assessing 202:17 | 110.1,2,7 | Ave 1:11 | basically 57:14 | 234:18,18 |
| 204:18 205:4 | 156:14 175:4 | average 69:18 | 96:18 100:2 | 241:17 245:7 |
| | attachments | 132:24 138:25 | 130:6 233:16 | 248:11 |
| assessment | 158:1 | | | |
| 13:24 228:15 | | averages 87:17 avoid 43:11 | basis 13:25 | believed 154:16 |
| assign 41:19 | attend 51:3,4 | | 49:25 50:5 | believes 43:15 |
| 42:19 | 133:13,22 | aware 109:11 | 72:9 83:8 | 73:1 169:23 |
| assigned 6:8 41:22 | attended 39:22 | 111:20 115:13 | 115:24 139:1 | below-grade |
| | attending 133:17 | 220:12 224:15 | 172:20 | 224:23 |
| assistance 75:2 | | 224:24 225:8 | Bay 11:5 20:5 | beneficial |
| 91:18 127:6 | attention 36:5 | 233:4 237:20 | bear 87:13 | 207:23 |
| 133:11 | 212:1 | B | beavers 25:17 | benefit 202:22 |
| assistant 16:3 | attest 187:5,11 | b 4:1 5:1 212:10 | becoming | 203:11,12 |
| 152:11 | attestation | 234:6,15 | 128:16 | 207:9,11,14,21 |
| Assisting 52:25 | 186:23 | | began 64:24 | 207:24 233:19 |
| associated 12:15 | attested 187:20 | 236:18,23 | 145:18 | 233:25 236:12 |
| 84:17 87:6 | attorney 252:1 | 237:6,12 back 11:9 22:18 | beginning | 236:18 239:4 |
| 91:14 127:12 | attorneys | | 113:17 206:11 | 241:8 246:3,17 |
| 149:9,10 150:8 | 202:20 210:19 | 26:20 40:21 | 228:10 | best 13:21 34:24 |
| 236:7 246:6,9 | 210:23 227:17 | 82:13 89:24 90:11 109:14 | begun 8:14 | 87:11 179:25 |
| 246:18 | August 214:19 | | behalf 1:19 2:2 | bet 247:23 |
| assume 8:3 64:6 | 214:19 216:5 | 119:10 167:13 | 129:1 | better 191:16 |
| 89:21 99:1 | author 73:21 | 174:19 189:10 | believe 8:17 | beyond 93:7 |
| 103:5,20 | 79:12 | 216:11 221:21 | 27:1 28:9,16 | big 191:19 |
| assumed 68:2 | authored 85:18 | 243:18 251:10 | 28:20 29:1 | binder 24:18,20 |
| 95:3 98:15,17 | 86:16 91:7,12 | background | 34:24 38:15,15 | 58:23 65:11 |
| 102:8 103:25 | authoring 39:8 | 48:21,23 149:1 | 39:24 42:1,14 | 74:14 82:3,16 |
| 104:8 113:21 | authoritative | backwards | 43:13 56:5 | 126:13 |
| 116:13 | 40:16,19 | 178:5 240:13 | 68:19 71:18 | binders 19:20 |
| assumes 98:18 | authorities | bad 169:12 | 73:11 95:25 | 44:5 121:13 |
| assuming 68:12 | 100:1 | barrier 93:14 | 96:1 100:14,20 | 126:19 135:9 |
| 68:20 69:19 | authority 18:25 | barriers 115:3 | 106:9 126:21 | 169:4 240:8 |
| 103:2,19 | 41:2 49:1 | base 229:18 | 130:20 138:17 | birds 25:15 40:5 |
| 118:12 | 81:25 143:23 | based 14:13 | 141:12,21 | Biro 1:6,16 6:3,6 |
| assumption | 144:3,5,5 | 29:21 34:19 | 145:24 149:3 | 6:15,18,20 7:1 |
| 68:21 94:20 | 145:5 151:16 | 64:15 70:23 | 150:10,11 | 7:3,15,19 8:6,8 |
| 95:5 101:1 | 213:1 | 73:5 87:10,16 | 153:10 154:12 | 8:24 9:2,7,15 |
| AST 138:10 | authorizes | 109:12 126:6 | 154:25 156:14 | 9:19 10:1,3,5,8 |
| 222:7 | 13:23 84:2,3 | 130:15 131:2 | 161:23 166:11 | 10:13,19 14:9 |
| ASTs 138:4 | automatically | 136:21 154:10 | 166:12 172:2 | 14:12,18,22,24 |
| attach 117:13 | 67:20 96:1,9 | 154:14,15,19 | 172:15,16 | 15:3 19:23 |
| | l | l | l | l |

| 20.22.21.1.10 | 177-21 177-27 | 47.0 126.10 | D1405-24 | 156.2.5.202.20 |
|----------------|-----------------------|------------------------|---|------------------|
| 20:23 21:1,18 | 166:21 167:3,7 | 47:8 126:19 | Budget 85:24 | 156:2,5 203:20 |
| 23:21,25 26:10 | 167:14 168:8 | 135:9 141:13 | building 1:10 | calculated 43:8 |
| 26:12 27:10,18 | 168:20,24 | 169:4 | 119:8 | 156:6,10 |
| 27:22 31:18 | 169:8,11,14 | blank 80:20 | built 162:1 | 202:13 207:11 |
| 35:22 38:4,7 | 170:13,15,22 | 99:15 | bulk 135:15,15 | 207:22 |
| 41:7,9,14,18 | 170:25 171:4,7 | Bless 109:23 | 160:24 | calculating 14:1 |
| 41:22 42:3,11 | 176:22 177:2,4 | board 207:8 | bull's- 32:5 | 69:4 115:20 |
| 42:16,18 43:5 | 177:7,10 | body 54:20 66:2 | bull's-eye 32:6,8 | 202:9 204:4 |
| 43:15,23 44:2 | 178:18 181:14 | 102:20,25 | 32:11 | calculation |
| 44:5,7,10,12 | 182:24 183:1 | book 57:15 | burden 78:10 | 67:11,21 69:17 |
| 44:20,23 45:2 | 184:4 185:10 | 176:23 | 86:24 87:1,2,3 | 95:23 96:13 |
| 45:4,6,8,12,15 | 185:15,18,22 | boom 25:25 | 87:3,6,9,10,14 | 97:4,13 101:23 |
| 45:19,22,25 | 189:18,22,25 | 34:18 37:21 | 87:16 88:12 | 104:13,15,17 |
| 46:3,7 47:3,10 | 190:3,25 191:2 | 131:22,23 | burdens 88:2 | 105:12 108:13 |
| 47:13,15,21,24 | 191:13,15,18 | booming 37:21 | Burton 1:9 | 108:16 112:25 |
| 48:2 56:24 | 191:22,25 | border 31:1,3 | business 176:7 | 114:12 156:18 |
| 57:7 59:15,19 | 192:2,4,11,14 | bordering 63:21 | buy-off 208:25 | 202:1 206:2,5 |
| 60:3 64:16,20 | 192:17,23 | 63:22 | $\overline{\mathbf{C}}$ | 207:10 228:2 |
| 69:15 71:8,11 | 193:1 194:25 | borders 29:22 | C 6:1 53:15 59:8 | 235:11,23 |
| 72:5,16,20,22 | 195:3,12 | bottom 44:14,25 | | 239:4 246:7,17 |
| 72:25 73:5 | 196:14 197:22 | 59:10 65:10 | 66:15 67:22 | calculations |
| 74:3,6 75:20 | 197:25 198:6 | 66:6 159:23 | 94:24 106:3,15 | 66:16 101:24 |
| 75:22 81:4,15 | 199:10 204:20 | 176:10 224:5 | 156:14 168:11 | 103:19 220:16 |
| 82:4,6,8,12,14 | 205:6 206:20 | 226:17 234:2 | 234:6 235:3,23 | 237:19 246:4 |
| 82:17 83:13 | 206:23,25 | bound 95:18,19 | 236:18,23 | calibration |
| 88:16,25 89:3 | 208:22 209:6,9 | boundaries | 237:6,13 | 65:13 |
| 89:6,10,15,19 | 209:12,15,17 | 32:13 | 238:23 239:2 | California 1:12 |
| 89:25 90:5,8 | 212:15 215:9 | boundary 29:13 | C-1 59:7,12 98:8 | 1:23 2:4,7 |
| 90:20,23 99:7 | 215:12,15,17 | 29:16,19 65:17 | 109:17 110:2,7 | 18:12,13 56:8 |
| 102:12,14,17 | 216:21,25 | box 29:6,7 30:4 | 116:7,11 | 132:17 133:18 |
| 102:21 103:1 | 217:3 226:8 | 159:20,23 | C-2 105:3 106:6 | 133:19 |
| 103:17 104:2,5 | 228:5 230:18 | 160:13 186:18 | 106:12,16,22 | California's |
| 104:10 105:10 | 230:21 236:19 | 187:9 193:10 | 112:8,9 C-3 59:8 66:15 | 143:9 |
| 105:22 106:7 | 239:11 240:6 | 227:4 | | call 7:23 8:18 |
| 106:11,25 | 240:18,21 | boxes 158:25 | 67:22 69:7 | 14:24 30:2 |
| 107:5,8,16 | 245:16,18 | 159:1 193:24 | 93:1 95:18 | 51:4 52:3 |
| 110:19,22 | 246:25 247:10 | Bradstreet | 96:17 106:3,15 | 120:3 130:20 |
| 118:16,18,21 | 247:14,16 | 240:14 | 107:21 110:1 117:22 | 133:6,11 |
| 119:4,12 120:3 | 248:1,9,20 | branch 16:10 | | 135:13 143:21 |
| 120:9 122:1,3 | 249:5,10,19,21 | 40:11 | CA 253:7 | 169:22 224:16 |
| 126:24 136:1 | 250:1,4 251:1 | break 89:7,13 | cabinet 210:11 | 236:6 247:18 |
| 138:5,9,15,24 | 251:8,12,16,21 | 119:6 149:25 | cabinets 175:21 | 248:2 |
| 142:5 146:24 | 252:3 | 185:7,9 191:9 | Cache 36:11 | called 7:23 |
| 148:8 149:22 | bit 9:11 23:17 | Brian 175:5,7 | cafeteria 119:8 | 15:10 33:11 |
| 149:24 150:2,6 | 26:20 50:14 | 177:25 | calculate 68:3 | 46:14 53:9 |
| 152:25 154:3 | 78:24 93:13 | briefing 183:21 | 68:11 70:10,21 | 70:17 120:18 |
| 157:14 164:6 | 124:12 140:22 | briefly 83:21 | 71:1 96:21 | calling 131:5 |
| 164:13,16,23 | 223:21 241:9 | bringing 194:23 | 97:11 102:7 | 201:22 |
| 164:25 165:2,4 | 247:21 | broader 117:5 | 112:12 114:21 | calls 15:2 50:6 |
| 165:8 166:6,16 | black 21:18 34:4 | broken 20:5 | 117:4 118:11 | 52:3 129:11 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | l ———————————————————————————————————— | l |

| 204:14 | 63:15 76:18 | 133:25 136:11 | 108:12 109:25 | 11:8,19 13:5 |
|------------------|------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| camera 147:24 | 77:9 97:16 | 142:23 | 111:4 112:7 | 50:8 93:16 |
| 180:24 | 111:8 136:16 | certify 253:9 | check 105:2,9 | 99:13 108:16 |
| cameras 181:1 | 155:9 169:22 | cetera 25:17 | 106:16,18 | 108:23 109:4 |
| camp-out 90:18 | 171:23 172:8 | 43:9 | checklist 157:1 | 110:18 156:15 |
| capabilities | 195:4,9,20 | CFR 18:1 | 157:3,6,9,21 | 156:16 166:23 |
| 12:15 | 196:23 211:8 | 117:11 124:8 | 158:1,7,14 | 222:10 |
| capacity 11:10 | 217:22 218:1 | 135:3 144:1 | 159:3 166:1,19 | clearly 149:13 |
| 78:12 203:4 | 218:22 243:19 | 158:21 159:24 | 167:5 171:21 | Clears 160:18 |
| 217:20 221:10 | CC'd 200:21 | 171:14 176:16 | 183:10 185:6 | client 122:15,17 |
| 221:11 244:17 | cement 53:24 | 211:20 217:19 | 186:5,10,14 | clock 185:15 |
| capital 234:10 | 54:3 55:1,19 | challenge 172:7 | 187:22 189:12 | close 114:22 |
| 234:16,19 | 55:22 67:2 | chance 193:19 | 189:14 193:4 | 188:19 221:23 |
| 238:3,7,25 | 77:8 79:2 | change 13:13,16 | 194:17,18 | closed 188:14,22 |
| captain 18:15,23 | 87:22 101:4,10 | 27:13 37:14 | 196:7 198:22 | 188:25 189:2,4 |
| 39:11 | 101:11 143:15 | 76:11 77:15,22 | 198:25 199:2 | 189:8 243:11 |
| caption 22:9 | 145:2 151:9 | 77:23,25 78:25 | 199:17 200:13 | 243:13,15 |
| 25:10 59:9 | 154:24 172:13 | 160:7 | 226:2 | closer 70:12 |
| 85:6 | center 51:20,21 | changes 134:16 | checkmarked | 94:15 |
| captions 181:6 | 131:6 132:15 | 134:16 221:9 | 158:25 | closest 155:12 |
| capture 163:5 | 132:16 | changing 203:25 | chemical 16:13 | closing 10:17 |
| captures 246:17 | central 57:11 | channel 11:2 | 17:1 48:18,24 | 150:14,17 |
| car 161:5 | CERCLA | 22:22 23:15 | 132:20 176:8 | 184:16 |
| care 209:4 | 122:23 | 24:23 25:1,18 | Chevron 72:23 | Cluster 49:14 |
| carrying 87:13 | certain 12:10 | 25:22 29:1 | chief 1:17 6:6 | co-located 78:6 |
| cars 165:2,6 | 13:18 37:6,22 | 32:9 33:25 | choose 9:11 | coast 18:2,11,13 |
| case 8:10,15,19 | 38:18 42:23 | 36:12 45:5,9 | chronological | 18:16,25 30:19 |
| 9:10,18,24 | 43:7 112:23 | 45:11,12 61:7 | 249:24 250:1 | 31:6 39:23 |
| 10:18,25 52:5 | 124:25 131:23 | 64:4 65:19,24 | circle 32:14 | 40:18,25 50:18 |
| 61:5,9 64:13 | 131:24 143:13 | 66:1,3,14,21 | 242:23 243:4,5 | 90:13 129:3 |
| 66:19,23 98:16 | 162:18 214:8 | 66:23 67:3 | 243:24 244:3,8 | 130:24 |
| 101:10 132:7,8 | 229:2 | 68:14 69:1,3,9 | circles 243:1,2 | coastal 19:8 |
| 142:21 161:3 | certainly 8:21 | 73:10 95:16 | circumstances | 30:14,18 |
| 181:25 182:5 | 9:5 14:11 | 98:13 100:15 | 76:17 | cocktail 57:13 |
| 184:19 187:17 | 18:23 42:8 | 100:18,21 | citation 158:11 | code 23:7 24:25 |
| 202:25 205:7 | 45:10 51:17 | 101:15,20 | 158:21 | coefficient 69:21 |
| 205:25 206:2,5 | 62:20 71:2 | 113:12,20 | City 29:10 | coefficients |
| 214:19 230:5,7 | 72:8 76:24 | 114:4 116:3,8 | civil 123:23 | 101:21 |
| 247:8 253:4,12 | 88:11 98:5,9 | 155:14,15 | 124:5 | coincide 28:18 |
| cases 53:5 68:7 | 100:12 116:21 | 156:2 172:18 | claim 208:5 | coincides 32:4 |
| 124:13 142:18 | 131:18 138:19 | channels 116:6 | clarify 160:4 | Cold 90:5 |
| 202:2,10 | 138:25 150:12 | chapter 79:11 | 161:11 164:13 | colleague 87:12 |
| 204:15,19 | 185:12 | 79:12 | 211:5 214:4 | 130:8 |
| 230:3,4,4 | certificate | characteristics | 218:17 245:4 | colleagues 204:6 |
| catastrophic | 128:10 253:1 | 67:14 172:13 | Clean 11:17 | 208:20 233:12 |
| 101:9 103:7 | certification | characterize | 13:23 30:12 | collect 240:7 |
| catastrophically | 13:10 80:20 | 55:12 | 42:2 122:25 | collection 85:6 |
| 103:3 | 83:22 84:1,25 | characterized | 202:15 204:22 | 85:12 241:13 |
| category 236:11 | 186:22 | 54:6 | cleaning 84:21 | 241:14 |
| cause 58:13 61:2 | certified 133:19 | chart 94:16 | clear 7:11 9:9 | coloration 55:8 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| | 1 | 1 | 1 | ı |
|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| column 54:22 | companies | 250:13,14,15 | 103:13 | conditions 34:20 |
| 55:8,21,23 | 239:22 | 250:16,16,17 | components | 37:12,13,17 |
| columns 158:16 | companies' | 250:17,18,18 | 55:21 | 68:18 117:7 |
| combination | 17:17,17 | 250:19,19,20 | composition | 163:22,24 |
| 178:25 | company 10:25 | 250:21,21,22 | 87:18 | 164:3,12,22 |
| combined | 17:14 131:16 | 250:23,23,24 | comprehensive | 211:2 |
| 229:19 | 201:22 203:3 | complaint 12:17 | 84:19 122:23 | Condor 146:17 |
| come 7:9 15:3 | 208:9,10,15 | 13:8 | 171:17 196:3 | 155:3 |
| 20:19 25:6 | 239:17,17,18 | complement | computer-based | conducive |
| 40:21 47:2 | comparable | 18:16 | 202:19 | 114:23 |
| 90:12 119:3,10 | 53:9 114:13 | complementary | computers | conduct 27:19 |
| 132:15 133:4 | 116:1 117:7,10 | 63:2 | 89:24 | 96:12 97:9 |
| 157:22 175:22 | 118:7 | complete 8:8 | conceding 89:21 | 147:7 171:12 |
| 187:22 203:23 | compared 23:13 | 11:12 38:24 | concentrate | 196:1 |
| 233:3 | 197:3 | 67:10,21 | 25:18 134:12 | conducted |
| coming 48:21,23 | compensated | 114:11 115:8 | concentrated | 128:18,21 |
| 203:12 | 235:21 | 148:24 157:6 | 124:6 | 164:18 211:20 |
| command 131:9 | Compensation | 159:3 180:7,25 | concept 55:3 | 217:25 |
| commander | 122:24 | 206:1,4 245:11 | 83:22 235:1,25 | conducting |
| 35:13 | competent | completed 38:24 | concepts 16:23 | 25:21 123:13 |
| commenced | 127:15 | 157:4 166:19 | 65:1,20 66:9 | conduit 223:2 |
| 225:11 | complainant 1:5 | 168:16,25 | concern 25:11 | confer 208:20 |
| comment 159:1 | 1:19 3:3 44:8 | 184:19 198:22 | 33:4 159:22 | conference |
| 159:20,23 | 166:13 232:8 | 198:25 210:2 | 160:22 186:20 | 140:13,15,18 |
| 160:13,16 | complainant's | completes 9:3 | 188:7 193:10 | 145:20 150:14 |
| 164:5 188:5 | 4:2 5:2 21:1,5 | completing | 194:5 219:9 | 150:18 184:16 |
| 193:10,24,25 | 26:16 43:25 | 158:25 | 243:14 | conferences |
| comments 64:14 | 44:2,4 47:10 | completion | concerned | 133:13,22,23 |
| 158:15,19,22 | 57:3 59:23 | 185:4 | 211:19 | 137:14 |
| 160:13 186:18 | 74:10 81:19 | complexity 88:6 | concerning | configuration |
| 187:9 188:6 | 83:13,17 88:16 | compliance 11:8 | 135:14 187:18 | 77:16 78:1 |
| 193:9 | 88:20 103:2 | 11:12 75:2 | 241:16 | 98:24 100:4 |
| committee | 122:7 146:24 | 87:2 124:12 | concerns 171:18 | confirm 126:9 |
| 18:14 39:12,15 | 147:3 148:8,12 | 133:10 138:3,6 | 171:25 172:3 | 126:11 127:2 |
| 39:19,22 40:25 | 152:25 153:4 | 138:10,14 | 193:3,7 197:2 | 147:15 154:18 |
| 50:23 78:23 | 157:14,18 | 139:3 144:11 | 199:17,18,20 | 155:11 |
| 79:16 83:4 | 165:14 177:14 | 144:12,20,21 | concluded 202:2 | confused 47:4 |
| common 55:11 | 178:18,22 | 160:3 196:6 | conclusion 67:5 | confusing |
| 126:4 135:5 | 181:18 183:1,5 | 200:23 201:10 | 225:5 | 159:13 |
| commonly | 184:8 190:1,3 | 201:13 203:8 | conclusions | confusion 171:8 |
| 134:8 | 190:7 192:7,21 | 203:13 204:13 | 166:2,20 | congeal 54:22 |
| communicate | 196:14,18 | 245:11 | 173:12 189:15 | Congress 11:16 |
| 173:25 | 198:7,11 | compliant 13:20 | conclusive | congruent 98:13 |
| communicated | 199:14 207:5 | 144:23,25 | 196:25 | Conjoined |
| 150:17,19 | 226:8 228:5,14 | complicated | concrete 68:14 | 36:16 |
| communicatio | 230:19 241:3 | 71:23 | 68:14 95:16 | connection |
| 133:13 208:3 | 245:22 248:14 | complied 201:14 | 114:4 116:3,6 | 212:23 218:1 |
| community 49:8 | 250:5,6,7,7,8,9 | comply 11:6 | 116:8 | Connor 179:8 |
| 87:2 122:22 | 250:9,10,10,11 | component | condition | 180:15,21 |
| 135:19 | 250:11,12,13 | 70:16 103:11 | 136:14 239:14 | 182:13 |
| | l | l | l | l |

| | | | | Tage 7 |
|-----------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------------|------------------|
| Connor's 179:9 | 13:13 | 161:2,8,12,12 | 17:17 173:19 | 122:12 253:21 |
| consensus 79:16 | constructed | 172:15 214:11 | 242:7 | correct 7:14 |
| 83:4 | 36:12 | 219:6,8,11,25 | contracts 236:9 | 25:2,5 28:14 |
| conservative | construction | 220:16 221:11 | contradicted | 29:23 31:14 |
| 87:25 88:1,10 | 76:11 151:1 | 225:6 243:7,8 | 221:5 | 32:9 40:1 |
| consider 40:15 | consult 35:12 | 244:1,5,9 | control 12:1 | 55:14 64:9 |
| 41:1,10 53:13 | 40:13 62:6,23 | containment's | 16:15 85:22 | 66:8 72:7 79:5 |
| 62:4 66:18 | 139:25 173:18 | 78:4 | 141:6,7 176:10 | 79:7 85:25 |
| 67:13,15 93:6 | 247:6 | contains 176:9 | 178:3 214:7 | 86:24 87:15 |
| 93:17 101:16 | consultant | 176:11,15 | conversation | 91:10,23,24 |
| 109:2 112:13 | 125:9 220:13 | contemplating | 245:3 | 95:8 96:10,14 |
| 114:25 115:2 | 221:5 | 60:8 | cool 54:21 | 96:24 97:24 |
| 219:11 238:2 | Consultants | content 12:2 | coordinate | 100:8,19 |
| considerable | 187:4 | 13:9 158:7 | 50:22,23,24 | 105:14 107:21 |
| 8:16 | consulted | contents 21:11 | 51:23,25 52:2 | 108:21 118:5 |
| consideration | 242:10 | 22:14 80:16 | 52:4 | 118:14 121:20 |
| 60:15 67:8 | consulting 48:25 | 161:2,8 244:21 | coordinating | 129:25 134:23 |
| 98:6 115:1 | 204:7 | contest 172:9 | 51:2 | 145:3 161:14 |
| 135:4 239:6 | Cont'd 2:1 5:1 | context 53:10 | coordination | 161:15 164:15 |
| considerations | contact 139:11 | 68:1 92:18 | 49:7 50:2,9 | 164:24 184:22 |
| 102:3 112:14 | 140:6 142:19 | contingency | 52:7,9,25 | 201:11 210:4,8 |
| considered 54:8 | 174:22 | 17:22 18:7,10 | 78:22 | 216:9 220:25 |
| 60:18 78:3 | contacted | 20:20 25:3,8 | Coordination's | 221:1 222:14 |
| 93:11,14,22 | 142:21 173:22 | 38:13 39:4,10 | 50:10 | 224:13 227:3,6 |
| 94:8 100:24 | 241:23 242:4,7 | 39:17 40:6 | coordinator | 227:11,12 |
| 104:2,16 | 242:11 | 41:2 61:15,17 | 18:15 40:12 | 231:9 232:15 |
| 113:24 127:16 | contain 174:10 | 62:9,18 63:4 | 43:21 129:4 | 232:24 233:10 |
| 188:14 205:23 | 176:14 | 69:3 155:19,23 | 130:23 | 233:15,20 |
| 218:11,23,25 | contained 95:6 | 176:9 | coordinators | 234:24 241:24 |
| 219:19 238:6 | 109:18 117:10 | continue 111:7 | 40:11 | 243:11 248:12 |
| 238:16,17 | 117:14 154:23 | 209:1 | copies 47:16 | corrected 185:1 |
| considering | 253:10 | continued | 175:21 | correction 182:7 |
| 60:8 68:24 | container 91:13 | 136:15 | copy 53:6 75:15 | 182:8,9 221:4 |
| 77:7 92:24 | 161:3 | continuing | 82:9,10 109:18 | corrections |
| 115:19 213:8 | containers | 14:12,16 | 110:12,18 | 181:7 |
| consistency | 135:15,16 | 189:23 | 121:21 135:21 | correctly 32:19 |
| 50:11,24 51:8 | 160:24 | contours 104:6 | 148:3 152:18 | 91:7 154:18 |
| 204:1,16 | containment | 114:2 | 153:22 157:9 | 229:22 |
| consistent 41:24 | 14:14 58:11 | contract 199:25 | 159:6 170:9 | correspond |
| 168:10 204:11 | 60:16,20 67:15 | 200:25 201:16 | 175:14,24 | 226:22,23 |
| consistently | 70:23 78:4,7 | 201:21 225:12 | 178:12 181:9 | correspondence |
| 50:12 | 80:4 92:2,16 | 235:6,13,14,17 | 182:20 183:24 | 225:9 |
| consolidated | 92:21 93:5,7 | 235:19 236:5 | 186:10 190:21 | corroborate |
| 175:6 176:2,6 | 93:17,19,20,23 | 245:8 | 203:15 215:1,4 | 167:25 |
| 176:13 177:18 | 93:25 94:4,8 | contracted | 215:10,13,14 | cost 87:1 236:6 |
| 178:2,6,7 | 94:11,12 101:2 | 131:16 | 215:24 216:9 | 238:3,16,17 |
| 186:16 | 101:5,17 | contractor | 225:11 | costs 77:19 87:8 |
| constitute | 108:11 111:5 | 194:9 201:16 | corner 66:3 | 207:13 234:10 |
| 245:11 | 113:15 155:5,8 | 242:12 | 226:17 | 234:12,16,19 |
| constitutes | 160:21,25 | contractors | Corporation | 235:4,6,18 |
| | l | l | l | l |

| 237:25 238:6,7 | 52:5 61:6 | 37:25,25 | CX-16 146:12 | 241:17 |
|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| 238:11,14 | 65:17 71:19 | cross 3:2 7:24 | 146:22 | CX-47 249:12 |
| 239:1,2 241:12 | 72:13,14,22 | 8:3,4,10,21 9:4 | CX-17 175:11 | CX-48 206:10 |
| 246:6,9,12,18 | 119:2 120:14 | 27:19,23 89:4 | 176:21,22 | 206:18,25 |
| counsel 6:9,22 | 120:20,25 | 91:1 166:25 | 177:10,19,21 | 229:17 234:1 |
| 64:13 82:19 | 230:1 239:15 | 168:14 209:13 | CX-18 177:21 | CX-5 147:11 |
| 89:15 191:9 | Court's 204:22 | 209:18 248:5 | 178:12,16 | 148:2,6 |
| 203:21 226:6 | courtesy 215:18 | cross-hatched | CX-19 190:11 | CX-50 121:12 |
| 228:19 237:15 | Courthouse | 34:3 | 190:24 191:21 | 121:12,25 |
| 240:17 247:18 | 1:10 | crossed 85:4 | 191:23,25 | 122:3 |
| 251:10 | courtroom 1:11 | Crowell 2:3,6 | CX-2 19:20,21 | CX-52 162:7 |
| counsel's 47:19 | 7:13,17 57:18 | 6:24 | 24:9,11,12,14 | 163:17 242:20 |
| 241:18 | 61:13 | crude 11:15 | 24:15,22 26:8 | 243:4 |
| Count 13:1 | cover 8:2,20 | culpability | 26:12 32:22 | CX-53 47:14 |
| 72:10 | 22:19 80:18,23 | 231:25 232:4 | 33:9,23 36:4 | 58:22 59:5,14 |
| counted 224:9 | 117:13 125:25 | 232:10,11 | 44:1,2 80:21 | 59:19 64:8 |
| Countermeas | covered 19:5 | cultural 22:16 | CX-20 197:22 | 65:1 68:24 |
| 16:15 141:8 | 26:23 30:6,8 | culvert 102:25 | 198:4 247:2 | 94:15 109:19 |
| 176:11 178:3 | 30:10,11,12 | cumulative | CX-21 197:22 | CX-54 205:16 |
| 214:8 | 42:4 158:17 | 229:18 | 246:23 | CX-55 242:16 |
| Countermeas | 160:1 247:5 | CUPA 134:2,2 | CX-22 85:3 | CX-6 181:21 |
| 12:1 141:6 | create 42:21 | current 15:23 | CX-24 199:1,8 | 182:23 |
| counting 92:12 | 55:16 | 51:6,6 86:22 | 199:10 226:4 | CX-7 183:8,24 |
| country 88:3 | created 167:10 | 121:9 124:10 | 226:11,15 | 184:2,4,11 |
| counts 206:14 | 176:15 228:18 | 128:3 | 227:10 | CX-8 186:2 |
| County 142:22 | creating 23:17 | cut 65:11 | CX-25 79:19 | 188:12 190:2 |
| couple 7:5 17:4 | 49:11 | cuts 29:20 | 80:15 81:13,15 | CX-9 180:10 |
| 28:5 38:6 | creation 18:20 | CV 121:19 | 83:20 137:24 | 181:12,14 |
| 41:15 53:3 | credential | CX 59:9 64:15 | CX-26 81:22,23 | CXs 249:2,3 |
| 94:18 111:18 | 124:22,23 | 156:24 159:12 | 83:11 137:24 | |
| 133:5 155:18 | 125:8 127:9 | 174:19 203:16 | CX-33 19:21 | D |
| 186:12 216:12 | credentialed | 215:4 | 20:1,3,4,22 | d 3:1 6:1 7:23 |
| 226:13 234:5 | 124:24 125:2,5 | CX- 197:20 | 21:8 28:10 | 78:15 234:6 |
| 248:5 | 125:7,12 | 243:22 | 29:3,10 | 236:16 237:1,4 |
| course 14:3 | credentials | CX-1 47:8,14 | CX-34 73:14 | 237:5 |
| 31:18 38:7 | 128:2 134:20 | 56:4,17,21,24 | 74:1,6 126:9 | D- 65:1 67:10 |
| 52:10 62:14 | 140:16 145:7 | 57:7 64:5,25 | 126:15 | D-1 60:13,22 |
| 89:6 101:24 | criteria 12:10 | 65:10,20,22 | CX-35 240:13 | 63:10 65:1,20 |
| 125:13,14,15 | 42:23 43:2 | 66:1 68:25 | 240:21 | 66:9 68:2,9 |
| 127:12 128:3 | 57:22 58:1,3,8 | 80:6,7 141:11 | CX-36 240:13 | 103:12,18 |
| 132:23 140:12 | 58:15 62:2 | 141:12 142:4 | 240:21 | 114:22 |
| 208:22 237:21 | 106:17 108:11 | CX-10 151:20 | CX-39 230:20 | D-2 60:22 63:11 |
| 239:11 | 108:24 111:14 | 152:22 | CX-4 156:19 | 65:20 66:9 |
| courses 52:12,20 | 112:6 117:12 | CX-12 192:10 | 157:12 158:10 | 103:19 104:11 |
| 52:20,22 | 213:19 214:1,4 | 192:11,17 | 159:16 160:11 | D-3 60:24,25 |
| 125:11,25 | 214:6 217:19 | 194:4 | 166:18 171:21 | 63:11 65:2,21 |
| 128:6,15 | 237:22 | CX-13 200:17 | CX-42 88:13 | 66:10,20 67:21 |
| court 6:12 15:4 | criterion 62:3 | 244:25,25 | CX-45 196:11 | 67:24 68:11 |
| 15:6,12,18 | 117:11 | 245:1,15,18 | 196:21 | 69:5,11 70:10 |
| 46:9,16,21 | critical 19:14 | CX-15 173:4 | CX-46 86:1 | 70:21 96:12,22 |
| | l | l | | l |

| | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| 97:4,12,13,15 | 99:24 102:17 | 243:13 | 37:6 | 171:22 219:3 |
| 97:18 98:2 | 102:21 | degree 72:1 | deploying 34:16 | determination |
| 102:7 104:13 | daylighting | 155:24 196:24 | 129:13 | 14:1 42:12,20 |
| 104:15,17,25 | 102:24 | 232:9 233:5 | deployment | 57:24 78:3 |
| 105:11 109:14 | days 185:1 | delay 234:15 | 34:18 193:13 | 106:22 151:12 |
| 112:12,24 | deal 46:23 | 246:17 | 193:14,17,18 | 151:17 155:23 |
| 114:12 115:9 | 194:14 | delegated 144:2 | depression 94:3 | 156:10 183:16 |
| D-4 63:11,13 | debate 42:25 | delegation 81:25 | 94:7 224:22 | 211:22 218:11 |
| 68:1 101:23 | 43:10 | deletes 76:20 | depressions | 218:12 219:12 |
| D-A-N-I-E-L | December 73:19 | delivery 161:25 | 67:16,16 93:6 | 219:12 241:21 |
| 15:15 | decided 229:3 | Delta 20:5 22:11 | 100:23,24 | 244:20 |
| D.C 48:14 49:3 | deciding 31:7 | demarcates | 101:18,22 | determinations |
| 90:11 253:24 | decision 9:5 | 71:24 | 113:14 | 92:6 |
| daily 49:25 50:5 | 12:23 17:20 | demarcation | describe 8:2 | determinative |
| 51:19 132:14 | 239:16 | 30:17 31:2,4 | 16:7 17:6,13 | 62:17 67:24 |
| damage 37:7 | decision-maki | 67:19 96:18 | 18:1 19:13 | determine 32:16 |
| 132:9 | 62:7 | 111:19 112:10 | 29:4 54:15 | 58:1 60:12 |
| Dan 15:2 | decisions 37:3 | 115:4 | 55:5 76:16 | 62:12,13 72:8 |
| Daniel 3:4 15:9 | declaration | demonstrate | 77:12,19 83:25 | 75:3 78:21 |
| 15:15 | 214:19 215:2 | 239:1 | 88:1 121:17 | 84:14 87:5,8 |
| dark 47:8 | 216:1,8 221:23 | demonstrated | 124:9 130:21 | 97:14 104:21 |
| data 246:18 | 222:6,16 | 11:12 | 135:12 151:22 | 105:8,23 106:5 |
| database 142:12 | declarations | demonstrates | 156:21 162:13 | 112:4 155:15 |
| date 13:21 81:6 | 241:19 | 117:15 | 169:20 181:23 | 207:13 208:9 |
| 86:24 133:6 | deep 11:2 22:21 | demonstration | 200:19 243:3 | 241:12 242:5 |
| 139:16 157:3 | 24:23 25:1,22 | 164:9 | described 20:21 | determined |
| 162:16 163:23 | 32:9 36:12 | demonstrative | 26:3,6 34:14 | 34:19 70:8 |
| 188:14,25 | 61:6 64:4 | 58:22 203:14 | 77:23 161:22 | 136:25 151:15 |
| 201:7,12 222:3 | 65:18,23 66:1 | demonstratives | 207:19 210:11 | 155:25 194:10 |
| 224:6 226:18 | 66:13 69:1,2,8 | 46:23 | 231:22 | 203:11 217:17 |
| 226:20,23 | 73:9 100:17 | denser 54:21 | describes 38:14 | 230:11 |
| 227:9 244:7 | 155:13 156:1 | 55:21 | description 36:6 | determines |
| 253:6,16 | 172:17 | Department | 36:9,20 61:17 | 57:19 |
| dated 73:19 | default 69:20 | 50:18 | 138:21 237:5,7 | determining |
| 79:23 83:1 | 116:4 | depending 35:1 | design 26:5 | 41:23 70:5 |
| 151:4 199:4 | deference 72:17 | 50:1 63:2 | 76:11 | 87:4 105:11,13 |
| 201:8 227:11 | deficiencies | 127:14 130:5 | designated | 105:15 112:11 |
| 243:23 244:5 | 141:2 151:19 | 131:6 140:19 | 31:23 | 205:7,23 |
| dates 194:7 | 182:2,6,8,9 | 168:5 203:7 | designation | 207:14 |
| daughter's | 183:11,13 | depends 53:4 | 71:14,16 | develop 27:15 |
| 90:17 | 184:12,23,25 | depict 116:11 | 138:23 | 39:16 42:5,10 |
| day 65:24 89:18 | 211:11 | depicted 29:11 | designed 11:6 | 43:13 202:25 |
| 119:17 132:24 | deficient 13:2 | 29:18 32:5 | 12:12 37:4 | developed 17:3 |
| 140:16 145:17 | defined 30:22 | 66:2 67:2 98:8 | 117:1 | 18:11,13 37:11 |
| 165:6,10 169:8 | defining 45:11 | 116:5,8 | desire 9:12 | 40:24 41:24,24 |
| 185:21 210:18 | definitely 53:18 | depiction | desk 175:20 | 49:20 50:18 |
| 210:20 221:14 | 98:7 | 223:23 | despite 11:8 | 187:2,3 |
| 252:6 | definition | depicts 28:11 | detail 88:6 95:22 | developers |
| daylight 98:20 | 102:22 188:15 | 33:25 165:10 | detailed 223:12 | 38:15 |
| daylighted | 188:20 189:3 | deploy 35:11 | details 12:3 | developing 39:4 |
| | 1 | l | l | I |

| | | | | Page 10 |
|-------------------|------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| 39:8,14 41:19 | 121:2 172:25 | 251:10,25 | 48:16 121:11 | 207:2 216:4,16 |
| 70:20 233:11 | 209:2,11 212:1 | discussed 64:2,6 | 123:9,12,23 | 217:6 226:23 |
| development | 214:21 223:13 | 95:17 100:22 | 123.9,12,23 | 227:9,13,20 |
| 41:12 49:13,16 | 247:20 | 101:1 184:19 | 152:10 170:6 | 228:17 245:20 |
| 52:6 62:14 | direction 18:14 | 186:12 204:15 | 203:22 | documentary |
| 70:16,18 71:3 | 39:11 40:25 | 218:5 241:9 | docket 6:4 253:3 | 13:6 14:5 |
| 79:11,17 83:5 | 103:6 | 245:1 | document 13:17 | 189:5 |
| 87:7 91:16 | directions | discussing | 21:3 22:4,5,13 | documentation |
| 104:18 105:19 | 103:11 | 107:13 128:1 | 22:14 24:2 | 117:13 148:1 |
| 105:20 | directly 63:22 | discussion 42:25 | 25:6,20 26:14 | 172:19 197:8 |
| developments | 67:3 68:25 | 110:9 | 49:21,21,22 | 199:25 200:5,8 |
| 128:4 | 204:24 | discussions | 57:1 59:21 | 201:9 208:5 |
| develops 26:24 | director 16:3 | 170:2 185:2 | 74:8 75:6,9,10 | 210:2 217:16 |
| 39:12 | 152:11,11 | disregarded | 76:1,7 79:20 | 238:5,23 |
| deviates 106:2 | disaster 11:19 | 239:5 | 81:6,17,24 | documented |
| deviation | discharge 17:7 | distance 43:7 | 82:23,24 83:3 | 147:25 |
| 117:21 | 17:15 19:15 | 58:14,16 59:18 | 83:5,6,15 85:5 | documents |
| diagonally 45:1 | 38:17 51:14 | 60:6,8,13,13 | 85:5,9,10,16 | 24:21 85:2 |
| dictated 35:16 | 58:12,15,18 | 60:22,24,25 | 85:18 86:5,11 | 86:15,16,19 |
| diesel 174:13,15 | 60:14 63:19,19 | 62:4 66:20 | 121:18 122:5 | 87:9 88:18 |
| difference 53:23 | 67:12,18 76:13 | 67:10,21 68:3 | 126:11,13 | 140:24 145:23 |
| 134:14 143:5 | 77:11 92:13 | 68:9,10 69:5 | 135:12,17,21 | 146:1 155:18 |
| different 7:10 | 93:8 94:10,21 | 69:11,22,23 | 136:3 137:3 | 169:9 179:14 |
| 21:9 36:24 | 96:20 97:14 | 70:2,4,12,14 | 141:1 146:15 | 182:3 183:20 |
| 51:22 59:10 | 98:16 99:2,16 | 70:2,4,12,14 | 147:1,12 | 191:3 196:5 |
| 74:14 82:2 | 99:18,20 | 73:8 94:16 | 148:10 151:23 | 227:10 241:1 |
| 117:8 123:22 | 101:13 103:9 | 96:22 97:12,13 | 153:2,15 154:5 | doing 22:23 |
| 133:5 155:18 | 103:14,16,24 | 97:15,18,21,21 | 155:20 156:21 | 25:25 84:21 |
| 186:13 230:15 | 113:11,19 | 102:7 104:25 | 157:16 164:11 | 90:21 97:21 |
| 236:23 | 114:10 115:7 | 105:8 107:14 | 165:12 166:9 | 98:1 106:8,11 |
| differentiate | 132:7,9,10 | 108:13 109:3 | 166:11,12,16 | 114:16 115:14 |
| 87:19 | 136:16 160:9 | 111:10 112:12 | 167:9,19 168:7 | 132:23,25 |
| differentiated | 189:7 194:3 | 112:25 114:11 | 168:16,21 | 151:3 167:5 |
| 93:12 | 201:19 205:25 | 114:16,22 | 169:18,20 | 185:8 235:15 |
| differentiating | 214:12,14,15 | 115:9,15,20 | 170:17 172:25 | 235:20 240:10 |
| 54:24 | 217:21 233:7 | 118:12 156:3,5 | 176:4 177:12 | 249:8 |
| difficulty 211:1 | 243:19 | 156:7,18 | 177:23,24 | dot 23:10 50:19 |
| digging 246:2 | discharged | 217:21 | 178:1,12,20 | download 164:2 |
| digital 147:24 | 113:19 161:9 | distances 60:2 | 179:16 180:12 | downloaded |
| 162:9,11,13 | discharges | 62:11 65:2 | 181:16,23 | 163:21 181:2 |
| 181:1 | 16:12 17:5 | 117:4,8 | 182:4,11,17 | downstream |
| DigitalGlobe | 18:5,19 51:20 | distinction 54:1 | 183:3,9,12,13 | 58:14 104:21 |
| 163:15 | 189:9 | 115:5 | 184:6 186:4,7 | 105:5,7 |
| dike 60:16 93:20 | disconnected | distinguish | 190:5,12,15,18 | dozen 52:12,22 |
| DIRE 3:2 | 188:22 | 19:10 | 192:5,19 193:3 | 53:3 130:4 |
| direct 3:2 8:8,20 | discretion 37:19 | distribution | 195:14 196:11 | 202:4,6,7 |
| 9:3 15:19 | discuss 7:7,22 | 115:3 | 196:16 197:15 | draft 228:13,13 |
| 16:10 18:22 | 57:10 64:25 | District 40:14 | 198:9,15 | 228:18,21 |
| 36:5 37:23 | 65:20 89:12 | districts 18:12 | 199:12,17 | drafts 237:23 |
| 48:7 67:1 | 139:8 167:2 | division 16:4,10 | 204:23 206:21 | drain 60:22,23 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |

| | | · | | <u> </u> |
|---|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 66:24 68:14 | 106:18 109:4 | 55:8 | Emultech 56:7 | entire 36:15 |
| 95:3,15 98:10 | 112:23 116:18 | effort 43:12 | enables 57:23 | 166:10 |
| 98:16,19,23 | 116:21,24 | 228:23 232:20 | encounter 53:20 | entry 93:14 |
| 99:2,14,14 | 129:18 163:11 | efforts 39:23 | 94:2 | environment |
| 100:6,15,17,20 | 203:13 207:19 | 233:6 | encounters | 61:16 62:19 |
| 102:20,24 | 209:22 210:12 | eight-hour | 54:20 55:20 | 63:3 69:10 |
| 103:12,19 | 211:7 219:10 | 128:11 | end-all 62:21 | 70:3,12 104:12 |
| 113:20,21 | 220:2,23 222:1 | Eighty-seven | ends 55:19 | 104:17 105:2 |
| 116:4 | 223:21 225:14 | 74:15,16 | enforce 144:6 | 155:10,17 |
| drainage 114:1 | 226:1 242:22 | either 19:4 51:1 | enforcement | 156:17 172:18 |
| 115:2 | 243:10 245:3 | 54:11 96:2 | 51:22,24 52:1 | 214:13 |
| drains 66:25 | Earth 65:4,14 | 102:25 113:25 | 52:4 53:5 | environmental |
| 68:3 100:14 | 140:8 141:23 | 129:5 130:24 | 121:11 122:21 | 1:1,4,22 6:7 |
| 114:24 116:7 | 162:15 163:4,7 | 133:14 136:10 | 123:9 124:5,7 | 11:18 15:24 |
| drawn 244:3 | 163:11,14 | 163:14 183:14 | 124:11,13 | 22:7 48:13,24 |
| drill 129:8,12 | 164:1,10 | 203:25 243:18 | 133:10 151:15 | 48:25 62:22 |
| 131:1 225:13 | 167:21 223:16 | elapse 210:25 | 152:10 170:6 | 117:25 122:24 |
| 225:17 | easily 23:14 | electronic 169:9 | 182:10 202:2 | 142:22 253:4 |
| drills 129:14 | east 44:15,25 | 175:23 | 202:21,25 | 253:12 |
| 200:6 235:8,15 | 90:13 100:3 | electronically | 203:22 204:2 | environmenta |
| 235:21 | easy 126:12 | 175:22 | 212:23 | 32:18 |
| drink 149:23 | ecologically | elements 176:19 | engage 131:14 | environments |
| drinking 58:17 | 22:16 23:4 | elicited 166:14 | 170:1 | 58:14 61:4,21 |
| 61:2,22 63:16 | economic | eligible 113:1 | engineer 48:18 | 62:1,6 63:20 |
| 97:16 104:23 | 202:22 203:10 | email 139:15,17 | 48:24,25 91:18 | 97:17 105:17 |
| 105:4,6,18 | 203:11 207:9 | 200:19,20 | 136:25 | 108:14 111:9 |
| 108:15 214:14 | 207:11,14,21 | 201:7,8 245:2 | engineer's 13:10 | 155:21 217:23 |
| Drive 29:2 | 207:24 233:7 | emails 133:4 | engineered | envisioned 98:7 |
| dry 120:11,13 | 233:18,24 | emergency | 93:20 | EOC 51:19 |
| Dulles 49:2 | 236:12,17 | 16:11,11 48:15 | engineering | EPA 11:21 |
| duly 15:10 46:14 | 239:4 241:8 | 51:18,19 78:23 | 117:6 187:15 | 14:12 15:1 |
| 120:18 | 246:3,16 | 122:22 128:10 | 187:16 | 18:20 19:1,3 |
| Dunn 240:14 | economist 91:17 | 132:17 133:8 | engineers | 25:20 26:22,25 |
| duration 203:5 | edge 45:5,8,11 | 188:23 | 102:24 | 27:2,3,5 30:19 |
| 231:12 | edit 147:21 | emergent 36:14 | ensure 12:12 | 31:6 39:25 |
| duties 138:21 | edition 73:21,22 | 36:14 | 17:3 50:24 | 40:19 41:18 |
| 205:3 | 83:1 | employed 48:12 | 51:7 187:7 | 42:25 43:17 |
| duty 201:21 | edits 181:7 | 48:13 122:11 | 204:16 | 48:17,19,20,22 |
| | education 139:2 | 124:11 | ensuring 204:10 | 48:23 49:5,12 |
| $\frac{\mathbf{E}}{\mathbf{E}^{2} + \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{E}^{2}}$ | Edward 15:16 | employee 236:3 | enter 20:22 | 49:14,19,24 |
| E 3:1 4:1 5:1 6:1 | 15:16 | employees | 113:20 121:24 | 50:13,18 52:15 |
| 6:1 15:16,16 | effect 43:17 | 235:20 | 142:4 189:13 | 57:12 75:12 |
| 54:24 120:1,1 | 76:13,17,18 | employer 15:23 | 206:18,20 | 117:8 121:5,7 |
| 236:16 EAR 220:4 | 77:9 94:20 | 236:4 | 240:16 | 121:8,9 122:10 |
| EAB 230:4 | 148:1 | empties 102:20 | entered 126:10 | 122:17,19 |
| eager 60:1 | effective 38:16 | empty 188:21 | 126:22 137:23 | 123:7,9,10 |
| earlier 28:6 | effectively 194:3 | 189:6,6 220:15 | 141:14 142:3 | 124:17,19,22 |
| 31:12,22 39:24 | effects 17:18 | 243:17 | 152:22 153:25 | 125:7,8,9 |
| 60:6 61:13 | 38:16 233:7 | emulsions 54:4 | entering 84:21 | 128:6 129:2,4 |
| 91:25 94:19 | effervescence | 174:12 | 168:19 208:25 | 130:7,24 |
| | I | I | I | I |

| 132:15 133:6 | establishes | 163:18 165:15 | excess 238:25 | 154:7 157:14 |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| 133:13,14,15 | 30:19 182:6 | 167:13 170:12 | exchange 8:17 | 157:18 162:13 |
| | estimate 87:4 | 170:20 176:21 | 46:24 | 165:9,14 169:3 |
| 142:12 143:3,7 | 88:5 128:17,20 | 177:15 178:16 | exclude 60:16 | 170:16,19 |
| 145:7 147:23 | 130:2,12,13 | 178:23 181:12 | 60:19 92:16 | 171:1 177:14 |
| 151:25 169:21 | 130:2,12,13 | 181:19 182:23 | 93:5 109:7 | 178:18,22 |
| 169:23 178:7,7 | 203:20 204:3 | 183:6 184:2,9 | excluding 67:14 | 181:18 183:1,5 |
| · / | estimates 86:24 | 189:5 190:8,24 | 92:2 101:2,4 | 184:8 188:5 |
| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | · · | |
| 182:12 185:1 200:21 202:23 | 87:10,15,16 | 191:4,24 192:2 | 113:15 | 190:1,3,7 |
| | 88:8 | 192:8,22 | exclusion 92:21 | 192:7,15,21 |
| | et 25:17 43:9 | 194:24 195:17 | 143:17 | 194:22 195:2 |
| | evaluate 113:7 | 196:19 197:17 | exclusions 36:19 | 195:16 196:14 |
| 220:1,13 225:9 | 113:10 117:11 | 197:20 198:12 | 36:21,22 | 196:18 198:7 |
| | evaluating | 199:8,15 | 143:13,14 | 198:11 199:14 |
| 237:24 238:24 | 53:21 | 201:12 206:19 | exclusive 62:24 | 203:14,15 |
| , | evaluation (2.14) | 207:6 209:1 | excuse 20:12 | 205:16,17 |
| EPA's 12:22 | 60:18,19 63:14 | 233:4 240:16 | 134:18 135:11 | 207:5 214:20 |
| 18:1 19:6,9,12 | 63:14,17,18 | 241:5 243:16 | 160:18 236:17 | 216:22 217:3,8 |
| 27:6,12 37:1 | 66:22 78:14 | 243:17 245:15 | executed 200:3 | 226:7,8 228:5 |
| 40:3 42:1,3 | 84:19 92:4,15 | 245:23 246:23 | 200:25 225:12 | 230:18,19 |
| 52:25 71:6,8 | 96:16 97:11,12 | 253:10 | 235:17 245:7 | 231:2,3,4 |
| 71:21,24 72:17 | 99:19,25 101:5 | exact 43:3 47:16 | exempt 54:2 | 240:2 244:24 |
| 117:1 144:2 | 101:16 106:2,6 | 207:17 211:1,2 | exemption | 245:22 248:18 |
| 233:4 | 110:4 111:7,11 | 244:13 247:1 | 91:13,14,15 | 248:22 250:5,5 |
| equipment 12:5 | 112:3,14 | exactly 153:10 | exercise 50:17 | 250:6,7,7,8,8,9 |
| 91:13 129:13 | 113:24 115:23 | 166:17,22 | 50:25 51:8 | 250:9,10,10,11 |
| | evaluations | 176:5 204:14 | 129:2,10,14,24 | 250:11,12,12 |
| 193:20,21,25 | 53:15 70:14 | exam 166:25 | 130:22 225:13 | 250:13,13,14 |
| 194:1,2 201:17 | 99:3 104:19 | examination | exercises 51:5,5 | 250:14,15,15 |
| | event 101:13 | 7:25 8:3,9,10 | 128:25 129:5,5 | 250:16,16,17 |
| equivalent | 113:23 117:9 | 9:4 15:19 | 130:3,10,19 | 250:17,18,18 |
| | events 211:1 | 27:20,23 36:1 | 131:12 132:4 | 250:19,19,20 |
| 1 - | everybody | 38:9 48:7 91:1 | 200:6 234:14 | 250:20,21,21 |
| 117:25 | 47:23 58:24 | 99:9 107:9 | 235:7,16,21 | 250:22,23,23 |
| erected 80:8 | 215:20 248:23 | 121:2 168:14 | exhibit 21:1,5 | 250:24 |
| | evidence 11:7 | 209:13,18 | 21:17 22:8 | exhibited 56:13 |
| 198:2 220:14 | 13:6 14:5 21:6 | 241:6 | 23:20 24:1,5 | exhibits 4:3 5:3 |
| especially 126:4 | 24:6 26:17 | examined 15:11 | 26:16 28:11 | 5:14 23:18 |
| Esquire 1:20,21 | 57:4 59:24 | 46:15 120:19 | 29:3 32:21 | 28:5 47:5,11 |
| 1:21 2:3,6 | 74:11 76:4 | 187:14 | 33:23 34:4 | 47:16,25 88:16 |
| essentially 55:20 | 81:20 83:18 | example 37:20 | 43:25 44:3,4 | 88:20 156:23 |
| 64:3 68:12,16 | 88:22 121:25 | 38:20 43:2 | 57:3 59:7,23 | 177:5 208:24 |
| 115:4 149:19 | 122:8 126:10 | 51:10 66:13 | 74:10,14 76:3 | 209:3 241:3 |
| 232:5 233:14 | 126:22 135:24 | 78:10 92:23 | 80:5,6 81:19 | 248:11,14,21 |
| 237:12 | 136:6 137:24 | 95:16 106:15 | 83:13,17 103:2 | 251:4,15,15 |
| establish 167:19 | 141:14 146:22 | 108:22 114:4 | 107:12 109:20 | exist 35:17 |
| established | 147:4 148:6,13 | 189:6 230:3 | 110:4,20 122:7 | 224:23 |
| 12:23,25 30:19 | 149:7 152:23 | 238:22 244:18 | 136:1,5 146:24 | existing 49:21 |
| 186:24 187:6 | 153:5 154:1,8 | examples 93:18 | 147:3 148:8,12 | exit 68:16 |
| 203:7 | 157:12,19 | exceed 239:2 | 152:25 153:4 | expect 9:18 |
| | | | | |

| | | | | 1 490 13 |
|----------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| ovnostation | 188:6 193:10 | 142.16.24 | 120.10 11 24 | 78:1 108:19 |
| expectation 92:13,15 | 242:25 | 142:16,24 207:19 241:16 | 139:10,11,24 140:3,4,5,7,9 | 116:23 144:11 |
| expectations | explained 63:10 | facility 11:10 | 140:3,4,5,7,9 | facility-initiated |
| 9:10 27:7 | explaining | 12:6,11,22 | 140:14,19,22 | 129:8 |
| expected 101:14 | 172:19 | 14:15 16:17 | 141:19,25 | facility-led |
| 114:3 134:16 | explanation | 18:17 19:17 | 142:9,11 | 129:5,14 130:3 |
| 184:22 | 178:6 228:14 | 20:14,16 22:20 | 144:23,25 | 132:4 |
| expended | exposed 38:1 | 26:20,23,24 | 145:10 149:7 | facility-specific |
| 234:13,21,22 | exposed 38.1 | 27:2,3,5,15 | 150:13 151:10 | 152:7 |
| experience | 234:24 | 28:19,22 29:5 | 151:11 154:12 | facing 61:22,24 |
| 29:21 32:12 | extends 36:10 | 29:21 30:5,24 | 154:17,25 | fact 7:16 8:15 |
| 40:17 51:1,9 | extension 185:3 | 41:21 42:4,9 | 155:6,12,12,25 | 43:1 63:15 |
| 54:7,16 55:15 | 185:3,4 | 42:20,23,25 | 156:4,6,13 | 100:16 109:13 |
| 59:11 62:9,17 | extensions | 43:15 45:17 | 159:24 160:8 | 111:25 118:4,8 |
| 65:3 66:12 | 153:11 | 49:7 53:1,21 | 161:9,20 | 135:13 150:19 |
| 69:12,22 72:11 | extent 64:13 | 55:25 56:7 | 162:16,20 | 150:22 156:12 |
| 73:5 76:16 | 70:10 209:3 | 57:23 58:2,3,5 | 163:12 164:19 | 165:20,21,23 |
| 77:1,7,21 | 231:14 233:5 | 58:10,12,15,18 | 170:1 171:12 | 172:13 187:4 |
| 78:25 80:1,8 | 238:5 245:9 | 60:12 62:8,13 | 171:14,16,23 | 203:9 222:2 |
| 84:10 94:1 | external 80:11 | 63:17,18,25 | 173:15,20 | 245:10 |
| 98:21 119:1 | 84:5,12,16,19 | 64:6,18,19,25 | 174:1,2,16,20 | factor 104:24 |
| 124:18 139:2 | 197:11 | 65:17,21 66:10 | 174:1,2,10,20 | 105:9 237:25 |
| 202:9 205:9 | extra 215:14 | 66:12,25 67:6 | 176:12,14,17 | factors 13:25 |
| 229:25 242:10 | Exxon 11:14 | 67:9,11,13,19 | 177:17 178:1,4 | 14:3 58:7,9 |
| 244:11 | eye 32:6 55:10 | 70:6,8 73:9,12 | 179:4,20 180:8 | 67:15 114:23 |
| experienced | eyes 51:6,10,12 | 75:4 76:19,20 | 180:20 182:5 | 155:1 203:24 |
| 58:18 79:4 | 51:16 54:11 | 78:19,24 79:10 | 184:22 187:14 | 205:2,17,22 |
| experiences | 56:12 | 80:1 83:7,10 | 187:20 188:18 | 206:8 207:8 |
| 7:10 77:12 | | 88:3,7,8,11,12 | 190:13,16 | 213:8,21 214:8 |
| 79:8 83:2 | F | 91:22,23 92:10 | 193:19 194:14 | 218:11 219:2 |
| expert 9:11 71:5 | f 117:14 120:1 | 93:9,11,12,13 | 194:15 195:25 | 233:1,3 |
| 71:8,13,18 | 213:9,11,24 | 93:15 96:19,25 | 197:18 198:18 | facts 13:4,6 14:5 |
| 72:2,6 138:2,9 | F-1 106:17 | 97:8,10,14 | 199:24 200:3,5 | 61:9 63:24 |
| 138:18,23 | face 37:17 | 98:1,21 99:19 | 200:8,10 201:4 | 247:19 |
| 139:5 173:23 | facilities 11:24 | 99:20 100:3,10 | 201:5,17 203:4 | factual 205:10 |
| 204:18 205:4 | 11:25 12:8,13 | 100:11,15 | 203:8,8,12 | fail 131:24 |
| 205:10 | 17:3 30:20 | 101:20 102:1 | 204:2 207:12 | 136:15 |
| expertise 119:1 | 36:16 43:11 | 105:6,22 109:8 | 210:7 211:13 | failed 11:5 13:11 |
| 203:18 | 44:16 45:16 | 109:11,12 | 211:18 212:22 | 13:14,19 103:3 |
| experts 7:12 | 53:17,19,22 | 110:13,25 | 213:7,7,23 | 244:24 246:24 |
| 9:12 242:4 | 85:21 87:17,20 | 111:4,22 | 214:6 217:17 | failure 12:21 |
| explain 50:15 | 87:20,21,21,22 | 112:11 113:7 | 217:22 218:12 | 101:3,9 195:25 |
| 57:13 60:7 | 87:23 88:6 | 114:9,13,19 | 219:7 221:9 | 196:1 |
| 63:10,11 73:16 | 91:15 96:2,4 | 115:6,10 117:3 | 230:11 233:13 | fair 9:8,11 35:2 |
| 79:8,21 85:10 | 107:13 108:10 | 126:20 127:6 | 237:23 241:11 | 55:11 61:11 |
| 86:5,10 87:17 | 112:23 117:3 | 129:6 130:25 | 241:21,25 | 64:6 219:14 |
| 92:8 134:24 | 124:14 127:5 | 130:25 131:2,5 | 246:18 | 221:15,18 |
| 158:7 159:8,21 | 128:24,25 | 131:25 132:5 | facility's 27:14 | 224:16 |
| 160:14 176:3 | 129:15 132:3 | 136:13,17,19 | 31:1 42:7,11 | fairly 141:18 |
| 184:13 186:19 | 137:2 142:12 | 136:20,22 | 68:7 77:16 | 151:25 165:10 |
| | l | | l | I |

| | | | | Page 14 |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------------|
| fall 30:20,21,25 | field 34:25 | 214:2 225:4 | Fletcher 187:4 | 114:13 115:18 |
| 50:13 115:17 | 156:25 158:15 | 247:12 251:3 | flip 137:23 | 115:21,22 |
| 174:19 | 158:18 183:14 | fingers 85:4 | 242:24 | 116:1 117:9,16 |
| familiar 16:14 | 242:5 | finish 41:9 | float 55:20 | 117:18 118:7 |
| 16:17 17:21 | field- 80:7 | finished 125:10 | floating 55:7 | formulas 67:22 |
| 18:6,23 19:16 | field-erected | fire 78:20 | Floor 1:11 2:4,7 | 106:3,14,21 |
| 41:11 53:8,16 | 80:3 | firefighting | flow 54:19 67:17 | 116:2 117:1,5 |
| 53:18 55:3,25 | Fifteen 173:8 | 78:21 | 68:13,15 94:9 | 117:7,8 |
| 73:20 75:6,10 | fifth 83:1 | firm 176:5 | 94:16 98:10,11 | forth 13:25 |
| 110:22 126:2 | Fifty-four | first 14:25 15:10 | 98:12,13 | 62:16 63:22 |
| 132:6 141:15 | 203:16 | 15:14 23:23,25 | 100:24,25 | 67:17 84:18 |
| 142:8 168:17 | Fifty-three | 24:4 28:9 36:6 | 101:24 103:15 | 87:23 115:3 |
| 187:12 193:20 | 64:16 | 40:10 46:14,18 | 108:12 109:25 | 218:24 219:18 |
| 205:15 212:10 | figure 59:7,12 | 46:25 56:12 | 111:3 112:7 | fortunately 7:6 |
| 216:3 224:2 | 98:8 115:17 | 58:3 60:13 | 113:12,12,22 | Forty-eight |
| familiarity | 116:7,10 234:9 | 71:14,19 73:21 | 114:1,2,5,24 | 228:7 |
| 20:13 | 236:14 239:2 | 80:19 111:14 | 116:3 | forward 87:14 |
| familiarize 59:4 | figures 207:16 | 112:2 120:18 | Flower 2:7 | forwarded |
| 73:15 74:22 | 238:21 239:7 | 120:22 130:1 | flows 11:3,4 | 227:16 |
| 79:20 81:23 | 241:10,11 | 138:13 145:9 | follow 36:3 85:4 | found 65:8,9,17 |
| 82:22 85:9 | file 175:20 | 158:9 163:25 | 114:1 145:13 | 157:23 |
| 86:4 | 210:11,11 | 180:5,6 192:25 | 214:17 | foundation |
| far 11:9 104:11 | filed 215:3 | 219:15 226:14 | following 91:5 | 56:16 69:14 |
| 104:11 146:4 | files 175:17,19 | 228:18 234:9 | 103:12 110:24 | 163:20 164:3 |
| 175:1 219:1 | 243:21 | 242:23 243:22 | 111:3,13 | four 12:19 13:3 |
| farms 174:10 | fill 158:22 | 250:6 251:18 | 127:19 152:2 | 50:23 52:20 |
| fast 151:22 | 182:11 183:17 | fish 25:14 26:4 | 210:3 252:6 | 58:7,9 60:17 |
| faster 191:4 | filled 182:12 | 58:13 61:3,20 | follows 15:11 | 64:7 66:16 |
| fats 144:17 | 189:9 | 61:25 62:5,18 | 46:15 120:19 | 134:5 |
| feature 164:10 | filling 131:8,17 | 63:20 69:9 | 230:21 | four- 145:1 |
| 164:11 | final 43:13,14 | 70:2,10 97:17 | foremost 71:14 | four-day 134:5 |
| February | 244:5,6 | 105:16 108:14 | forgetting 43:3 | four-hour |
| 123:19 124:2 | finalize 202:3 | 111:8 155:10 | forgive 23:12 | 125:21 128:6 |
| 244:7 | finalized 157:5 | 155:16 156:16 | form 64:5,7 83:8 | 128:12,14 |
| federal 1:9 11:9 | 226:25 227:1 | 214:12 217:22 | 87:3 93:19 | frame 95:23 |
| 18:14,18 40:10 | finally 9:9 | fit 61:19 | 106:11,12 | 106:8,10 |
| 40:12 72:22 | 203:10 | fitness 136:14 | 115:22 158:3 | 184:22 185:14 |
| 125:3,6,17 | financial 239:14 | fits 61:20 | 183:18 193:13 | 194:12 218:18 |
| 130:23 133:21 | 239:14 | five 12:17 27:1 | formal 80:11 | Francisco 1:12 |
| 134:12,13,14 | find 23:8 58:23 | 58:20 70:24 | 83:23 84:5,5,9 | 1:23 11:5 20:4 |
| 134:15,19 | 126:12 215:13 | 89:20 121:14 | 84:22 136:10 | 40:13 121:6 |
| 143:23 144:6 | 221:24 228:4 | 121:15 134:6 | 151:12 210:2 | 253:7 |
| 144:10,13,21 | 233:24 235:16 | 135:10,11 | formally 220:24 | free 236:3,3 |
| 145:5,6 | finding 13:7 | 173:6 186:22 | format 139:9 | freeboard 93:21 |
| federally 124:24 | findings 167:2 | 187:10,23 | 176:18 | freeform 243:5 |
| feedback 241:24 | 172:7 195:22 | 197:11 206:14 | forms 131:9,18 | 243:24 244:3 |
| feel 246:4 | fine 9:2,19 10:19 | five-gallon | 141:1 183:22 | fresh 99:11 |
| feet 11:1 65:18 | 28:4 30:23 | 76:25 | 184:18,25 | front 19:20 22:1 |
| 65:23 156:1 | 40:21 46:1 | five-year 160:2 | formula 53:14 | 23:8 24:11 |
| felt 154:19 | 125:2 171:5 | fixed 198:3 | 69:6 106:2,5 | 32:25 74:20 |
| 1010 157.17 | 123.2 1/1.3 | 11ACU 170.J | 07.0 100.2,3 | 32.23 / 7.20 |
| | - | - | - | - |

| | | | • | |
|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| 86:2 113:16 | 241:22 246:14 | generally 16:8 | 87:8 99:15 | 249:11,18,19 |
| 139:14 212:4 | 246:16,24 | 19:18 29:5 | 101:6,7 103:10 | 249:22 251:1 |
| 215:24 | FRP-subject | 32:17 54:15 | 104:21 109:13 | 251:13 |
| FRP 12:12,12 | 112:5 | 55:5 57:12 | 129:7 131:5 | Google 65:4,14 |
| 13:20 14:13 | FRPs 17:9 85:21 | 65:15 66:5 | 132:3 140:20 | 140:8 141:23 |
| 16:20 17:3 | full 33:18 56:16 | 70:19 83:25 | 161:9 178:5 | 162:15 163:4,7 |
| 26:21 42:18,21 | 70:10 108:8 | 130:24 131:4 | 184:21 191:4 | 163:11,14 |
| 43:13,16 50:2 | 220:15 229:16 | 132:13,22 | 193:6 205:12 | 164:1,10 |
| 50:7,11 51:15 | 248:4 | 137:1,6,8 | 208:24 212:16 | 167:21 223:16 |
| 52:1,11,20,22 | fully 80:19 | 140:21 163:13 | 240:12,15 | 224:3 |
| 53:1,6,11 54:3 | 200:24 201:14 | 185:4 193:24 | 243:20 248:9 | gotten 247:19 |
| 54:24 57:11,13 | 235:17 253:10 | 202:12 203:18 | goes 60:21 86:19 | Government- |
| 57:20,25 58:2 | funny 80:17 | 203:23 208:9 | 114:6,19 126:3 | 130:18 131:11 |
| 60:12 68:22 | further 10:7 | 223:4 | 152:9 158:10 | Government-i |
| 70:5,7,9,20 | 35:19 38:3 | generating | 158:15 207:18 | 51:5 129:2,10 |
| 71:6,9,25 72:8 | 41:6 62:7 89:1 | 49:18 | 214:23 | governmental |
| 73:11 75:4 | 99:5 119:3 | geographic | going 6:3 26:19 | 78:16 |
| 77:14 78:11,13 | 140:25 228:21 | 18:11 20:5,7 | 30:1 44:25 | governments |
| 78:15 85:13 | 240:4 | 21:9 22:6,15 | 48:4 56:17 | 18:18 |
| 87:5,13 92:6 | | geographical | 61:1,9 64:13 | GR 20:15 |
| 92:17,22 93:10 | G | 38:19 | 64:14 73:14 | GRA 20:8,18 |
| 96:14 97:1,9 | G 6:1 213:17,22 | getting 67:5 | 80:20 104:22 | GRA- 30:7 |
| 97:22 98:4,21 | gained 203:12 | 140:5 | 115:21 118:24 | GRA-8 21:12,14 |
| 100:10 103:4 | gallon 58:7 62:3 | Gigi 253:19 | 134:1 142:14 | 22:11 28:11,16 |
| 105:11,21,23 | 77:8 78:18 | GIUEs 130:20 | 158:20 162:12 | 29:4,6,16,23 |
| 111:25 114:18 | gallons 11:1,11 | 131:14 132:4 | 165:9,11,24 | 30:4 |
| 115:15 116:23 | 11:15 12:9 | give 9:4 33:17 | 167:25 168:9 | grade 224:22 |
| 124:14 127:2 | 13:12 42:9 | 33:18 43:20 | 168:15,20 | graded 131:20 |
| 128:20,23,25 | 58:4,6,19 | 47:17 72:14,17 | 174:17 175:1 | gravity 207:21 |
| 129:15 138:2 | 70:25 77:3,6 | 72:25 89:20 | 178:5 185:5 | great 7:1 141:11 |
| 138:13 139:3 | 92:11,12 | 108:23 131:1 | 189:25 191:25 | 185:17 191:15 |
| 139:18 141:10 | 101:10 110:14 | 134:15 162:8 | 204:15 214:21 | 215:15 248:9 |
| 154:13 155:1 | 111:1,2 155:7 | 169:6 174:3 | 214:25 215:1,1 | 251:8,20 |
| 156:11 172:9 | 214:10,10,16 | 193:18 230:8 | 216:11,25 | greater 67:11,12 |
| 172:11 173:16 | 217:20 231:7 | given 20:13,17 | 220:20 221:21 | 68:8 110:15 |
| 173:19,22 | Gate 1:11 | 22:19 37:17 | 221:23 236:20 | 112:12 114:20 |
| 178:8 179:1,2 | gather 139:25 | 58:11 65:19,21 | 238:19 243:21 | 132:10 |
| 181:25 182:12 | 140:9 | 73:8 102:8 | 249:23 | grid 231:8 |
| 190:21 193:4 | gathered 241:16 | 104:3 125:22 | Golden 1:11 | gross 239:25 |
| 194:20 196:7 | general 7:8 16:9 | 128:6 133:23 | good 6:5,15,17 | grossly 232:11 |
| 198:16,19,23 | 16:16,19 20:13 | 137:13 153:11 | 6:18,20,23 7:1 | ground 101:19 |
| 199:18,22 | 22:19 26:21 | 164:8 181:25 | 7:2 10:21 | 101:21 |
| 200:14 202:2 | 91:15,17 123:4 | 244:13 | 15:21,22 27:25 | group 54:25 |
| 202:10 207:18 | 125:11 139:8 | gives 170:1 | 28:1,16 34:8 | 91:19 |
| 207:23,23 | 164:22 202:14 | go 6:3 27:11 | 35:18 38:2 | Guard 18:11,16 |
| 211:22 212:22 | 204:3 211:11 | 32:25 34:15 | 48:9,10 83:25 | 18:25 30:19 |
| 217:18 218:13 | 222:1 230:12 | 40:9,10,11 | 91:3,4 150:1 | 31:7 40:18,25 |
| 219:1,11,12,20 | 231:13 233:2 | 48:4 56:15 | 185:25 187:15 | 50:18 129:3 |
| 226:2 227:11 | generalized | 69:4,16 70:9 | 187:16 209:20 | 130:24 |
| 235:5 241:16 | 37:10 | 80:18 82:2 | 209:21 226:1 | Guard's 18:2 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | <u> </u> |

Page 16

| Guard-driven | 120:15 132:11 | 73:10 91:7 | 120:3,6,10 | 73:4 74:5 |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 39:23 | handling 12:4 | 154:18 | 200:20 247:17 | 75:21 81:2,14 |
| guards 149:12 | hang 119:2 | hearing 1:14 6:8 | 247:23,25 | 82:5 83:12 |
| guess 23:1,2 | happen 132:9 | 7:4,14 14:4 | 248:3,18 | 88:15,23 89:2 |
| 29:20 127:15 | 193:15 | 89:16 119:15 | 249:14,16,18 | 89:14 99:6,8 |
| 135:13 167:17 | happened | 167:16 185:19 | 249:20 250:25 | 102:13,16 |
| 235:24 | 188:25 230:6 | 191:18 252:4 | 251:7,9,14,20 | 107:7 118:17 |
| guidance 49:19 | happens 106:13 | 253:6,11 | Helmlinger's | 119:11,14 |
| 49:21 50:14,15 | 180:24 194:13 | heated 54:19 | 91:6 | 122:2 138:16 |
| 54:1,5 72:12 | happy 7:11 | 101:11 143:15 | help 50:8 75:2 | 146:23 163:19 |
| 73:18,20,24 | 191:9 | 144:15 172:14 | 77:17 131:17 | 166:5,9 167:9 |
| 75:16,20,24 | hard 72:10 | 174:6,10,13 | 142:17 170:3 | 170:23 176:25 |
| 79:12 125:19 | 110:12,17 | held 125:8 | 179:12 201:20 | 184:3 189:20 |
| 126:8,16 127:3 | 175:21 | 133:18 151:9 | helped 73:21 | 189:24 191:1,8 |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | 79:12 202:3 | 192:13 194:23 |
| 127:24 157:25 | harm 43:5 58:7 | Helmlinger 1:20 | | |
| 157:25 173:22 | 58:9 63:17 | 6:16,16 7:5,16 | 211:5 | 195:11 196:13 |
| 205:2 | 93:11,13,15 | 7:20 8:7 9:6,8 | helpful 72:13,14 | 204:21 208:19 |
| guide 57:16 75:2 | 104:24 105:9 | 9:17,25 10:2,4 | 109:16 113:5 | 208:23 209:14 |
| 95:22 126:20 | 105:13,14,16 | 10:9,11 15:1 | 230:14 | 212:12 215:7 |
| guidebook | 108:11,24 | 15:20 19:24,25 | helping 77:21 | 230:20 237:11 |
| 57:15 60:11 | 193:16 194:1 | 20:22 21:7,25 | helps 110:3,8 | 239:9 240:5,20 |
| guidelines 50:4 | 213:19 214:1,4 | 23:19,22 24:7 | 131:16,18 | 247:13,17 |
| 50:17 129:16 | 217:18 237:22 | 26:8,18 27:17 | 172:25 | Honor's 167:23 |
| guiding 113:16 | harmonized | 28:6 31:11,23 | Heritage 253:21 | HONORABLE |
| guise 115:18 | 19:9 | 32:21 33:3 | hesitate 221:8 | 1:16 |
| <u> </u> | Hawthorne 1:23 | 35:23 36:2 | high 66:6 95:14 | hope 23:9 |
| H 4:1 5:1 | hazardous 128:9 | 38:2 41:7 | higher 232:10 | hopefully 251:5 |
| habitat 19:14 | 176:6 | 43:19 45:24 | highest 26:3 | hose 161:24 |
| | HAZWOPER | 46:5,22 47:6 | highly 26:7 | 223:1 |
| 25:16 37:24,25 | 128:9 | 47:12,14,17,22 | 113:25 | hot 53:25 54:2 |
| 70:11 | head 185:5 | 48:1,3,6,8 | hinder 67:17 | 54:13 151:9 |
| half 67:19 68:4 | 240:1 | 56:14,20,23 | 93:8 | hour 119:9,10 |
| 68:8,10 96:3,4 | heading 33:5,11 | 57:9 58:24 | historical 163:4 | 248:4 |
| 96:19,25 97:8 | 36:9 44:14 | 59:1,14,17,25 | 164:10 | hourly 235:22 |
| 97:10,20 | 227:5 229:7 | 60:5 64:22,23 | history 108:12 | hours 52:21 |
| 100:25 102:1,5 | headquarters | 69:25 71:4,9 | hit 172:15 | hypothetical |
| 103:18,23 | 43:21 51:25 | 72:4,6,19,21 | hold 123:25 | 27:9 70:1 |
| 104:6 109:3,13 | 52:1 128:7 | 72:24 73:3,7 | 161:2 223:9 | |
| 111:19,24 | 133:7 173:18 | 74:1,12 75:18 | Honor 6:12,23 | <u> </u> |
| 112:10,13,17 | 173:23 202:23 | 76:5 81:2,5,13 | 7:2 8:11 9:8 | i.e 217:19 |
| 113:2 114:10 | 204:7,13 | 81:21 82:5,10 | 10:7,14,21 | ICR 85:12,17,19 |
| 114:20 115:7 | 207:17 241:23 | 82:13,15,18,21 | 14:21 15:1 | 86:8,13,13,18 |
| 116:13 118:4,8 | 241:24 242:3,7 | 83:11,19 88:13 | 19:24 20:25 | 86:25 |
| 118:10 119:8 | 242:11,11 | 88:23 89:1,23 | 23:24 26:11 | idea 100:22,23 |
| 119:10 | Health 142:22 | 90:1,2,7,12,17 | 27:8,21 31:16 | 140:5 |
| half-mile 96:18 | healthy 239:22 | 92:1 96:8 99:8 | 35:20 38:6 | ideal 89:9 |
| 114:23 | hear 170:24 | 99:10 102:11 | 43:19 46:22 | identical 197:14 |
| halfway 23:4 | 202:5 220:17 | 107:3,19 110:5 | 56:22 57:19 | 197:24 |
| hand 15:7 46:10 | heard 57:19 | 110:17 118:20 | 59:25 64:10,22 | identification |
| 46:11 96:3 | 61:14,14 69:1 | 118:25 119:14 | 71:4,12 72:4 | 61:25 217:1,7 |
| | 1 | | 1 | |
| | | | | |

| | • | ī | • | |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| identified 4:3 | 163:11 181:1,7 | 221:5 | 227:24 | initiated 130:19 |
| 5:3,14 21:4,14 | 224:3 | inaction 182:10 | indication | 131:12 |
| 23:14 24:3 | imagine 72:10 | inadequate | 243:10 | initiation 35:13 |
| 26:7,15 31:23 | 88:4 103:10 | 14:14 155:7 | indicia 222:20 | injury 58:13 |
| 38:19,21 45:16 | immediate | 214:11 | indirectly 40:1,2 | 61:3 63:15 |
| 57:2 59:22 | 182:7,7 | incident 35:12 | indulgence | 97:16 105:16 |
| 63:4 74:9 76:2 | immediately | 37:6 78:20 | 215:21 223:11 | 111:8 155:9 |
| 81:18 83:16 | 105:5 140:21 | 131:9 | industry 13:17 | 217:22 |
| 88:19 122:6 | 171:24 | include 12:2 | 126:1,2,4 | inland 19:6 |
| 136:4 147:2 | impact 27:6 | 49:6 79:13 | 133:14 135:1,4 | 30:13,14,18 |
| 148:11 153:3 | 58:12 61:2,17 | 176:19 179:1 | 135:6 137:17 | 41:13 |
| 154:6 157:17 | 70:4 77:1,19 | 237:21,23 | 137:17,19 | inlet 68:10 |
| 165:13 170:18 | 91:16 97:16 | 244:9 246:11 | 171:13 186:25 | 113:22 |
| 171:11,18,25 | 104:23 225:5 | included 110:4 | 196:2 | inlets 98:22 |
| 177:13 178:21 | 233:8 235:23 | 150:24 151:2 | industry's 18:3 | 99:22,23 |
| 181:17 183:4 | impacted 61:23 | 171:21 175:7 | inform 17:19 | input 246:7 |
| 184:7 190:6 | 104:22 108:17 | 187:3 194:16 | 37:5 62:7 | inside 94:11,12 |
| 192:6,20 | impacting 63:20 | 196:8 201:4 | 86:23 95:19 | 222:22 244:8 |
| 195:15,23 | impacts 17:8 | 220:24 | 105:20 106:4 | insofar 211:18 |
| 196:17,23 | impervious | includes 178:2 | 106:23 110:9 | inspect 145:9 |
| 198:10 199:13 | 93:22 | 186:23 | 111:11 | 179:4 193:21 |
| 199:21 207:3 | implement | including 12:3 | informally | inspected 80:9 |
| 211:12 213:21 | 11:25 12:11,21 | 25:16 98:6 | 169:22 | 142:13 |
| 241:2 245:21 | 13:20 27:14,16 | 252:1 | information | inspecting |
| identifies 26:2 | 143:23 | incorporate | 63:2,7 85:6,12 | 123:10 |
| identify 6:9 20:3 | implementation | 200:12 | 136:21,24 | inspection 56:11 |
| 20:15 22:4,12 | 48:15 62:15 | incorporated | 139:14,19 | 78:8 79:14,15 |
| 22:21 24:14 | 85:13 | 186:17 | 140:1,9 146:3 | 79:18,22 80:3 |
| 56:5 59:5 | implemented | incorrect 219:9 | 152:1,1,16,18 | 80:9,10,11,12 |
| 184:11 197:14 | 50:12 158:17 | 219:10 | 153:8,17,22 | 80:12 81:10 |
| 198:14 216:21 | 171:15 | increase 231:21 | 154:11 158:23 | 82:25 83:9,20 |
| identifying 22:7 | implementing | 232:9 | 159:1 163:8 | 83:23 84:9,11 |
| 62:5 | 139:19,19 | increased 12:15 | 169:24,25 | 84:13,16,22,24 |
| illustration 56:4 | implies 130:22 | 232:1,2 | 172:2 173:21 | 123:3 127:23 |
| 60:7,10 61:10 | 143:10 | independently | 180:8 186:24 | 137:1 139:9,10 |
| 61:18 63:12 | importance | 8:18 | 187:6 194:15 | 139:16,21 |
| 64:1,3,5,8 66:1 | 201:15 | index 21:9,12 | 196:25 207:15 | 141:1,2,9 |
| image 64:25 | important 17:9 | 23:3 25:3 | 207:18 211:4 | 142:18 145:6 |
| 141:12,15,18 | 50:9,10 52:8 | 62:22 | 219:3,7 225:13 | 145:14,17 |
| 162:14,15,17 | 62:20 70:16 | India 120:24 | 225:17 227:5 | 146:10,19 |
| 164:16 180:22 | 115:5 132:3 | indicate 243:2,7 | 229:2 235:12 | 147:14,19 |
| 223:24,25 | 136:13 194:11 | indicated 8:17 | 239:19,20 | 148:15,22 |
| 243:22,23 | importantly | 158:18 162:5 | 240:2 241:13 | 149:4 151:3,13 |
| 244:5,6 | 71:20 165:22 | 162:18 177:17 | 241:15 242:6 | 152:2,4 154:11 |
| imaged 223:18 | impossible | 193:12 210:1 | informed 35:16 | 154:15 156:25 |
| imagery 162:16 | 100:6 | 211:10,15,17 | 40:5 239:16 | 157:2,9 159:4 |
| 244:7 | impounding | 219:8 220:1 | informs 165:17 | 159:5 163:6 |
| images 140:4 | 93:24 | 244:7 | inherited 87:12 | 164:18 165:25 |
| 147:14 162:9 | in-color 80:19 | indicates 227:9 | initial 236:11,17 | 166:3,19,20 |
| 162:11,14 | inaccurate | indicating | 236:17 241:22 | 168:4 169:24 |
| | I | l | I | l |

| 171:18,21 | 98:25 124:4 | 84:5,20 133:12 | 183:16 201:13 | 38:4,7 41:7,9 |
|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--|----------------|
| 174:18,23 | 130:7 136:11 | International | 242:15 | 41:14,18,22 |
| 175:4,10 179:7 | instance 100:5 | 1:7 6:4 10:24 | it'll 54:21 | 42:3,11,16,18 |
| 179:10,13,15 | 101:3 142:19 | 122:12 253:5 | item 234:15 | 43:5,15,23 |
| 179:15,18,20 | Institute 52:18 | interpret 116:17 | 235:3,23 | 44:2,5,7,10,12 |
| 179:23 180:6 | 52:19,23,24 | interpretation | items 200:22 | 44:20,23 45:2 |
| 180:25 181:24 | 79:17 137:9,20 | 40:8,16 41:2 | 234:5 236:15 | 45:4,6,8,12,15 |
| 182:1,1,4,5,13 | 137:21 | 42:2 50:3,4 | 234.3 230.13 | 45:19,22,25 |
| | Institute's 79:14 | 71:6,9,17,22 | J | 46:3,7 47:3,10 |
| 182:13,20 | | , , , | $\overline{\mathbf{J}}$ 1:20 2:3 227:6 | , , |
| 183:15,18,19 | 82:25 137:10 | 72:2,17 95:10 | J-A-N-I-C-E | 47:13,15,21,24 |
| 183:21 184:17 | 137:15 | interpreting 32:19 | 120:23 | 48:2 56:24 |
| 184:24,25 | institutes 137:20 | | J-O-S-E-P-H | 57:7 59:15,19 |
| 185:6 186:6,24 | instructed 52:12 | interrupt 10:15 | 46:20 | 60:3 64:16,20 |
| 187:18 189:15 | insufficient | intervals 13:18 | Janice 3:6 7:21 | 69:15 71:8,11 |
| 189:16 195:21 | 155:4 | interview | | 72:5,16,20,22 |
| 196:7 197:10 | insulated 84:11 | 140:23 | 120:5,17,23 | 72:25 73:5 |
| 197:11 209:23 | 148:25 149:6 | intimidating | 121:1 138:2 | 74:3,6 75:20 |
| 210:18 211:13 | 162:21 165:22 | 89:25 | 195:19 204:18 | 75:22 81:4,15 |
| 212:22 217:15 | 180:5,7 244:19 | inventory 176:8 | 207:8 247:8 | 82:4,6,8,12,14 |
| 221:22 222:3,6 | insulation 84:14 | investigation | Janice's 209:2 | 82:17 83:13 |
| inspections | 222:13,18 | 171:19 | January 175:9 | 88:16,25 89:3 |
| 53:20 84:4,5,6 | 223:7 | investigations | 186:16 190:21 | 89:6,10,15,19 |
| 84:8 122:20 | intake 58:17 | 137:2 163:13 | 193:4 199:21 | 89:25 90:5,8 |
| 123:5,14 124:6 | 61:2 63:16 | investigator | jargon 50:13 | 90:20,23 99:7 |
| 124:13,25 | 97:16 104:23 | 123:24 124:6 | job 37:15 123:14 | 102:12,14,17 |
| 127:18,19,20 | 105:4,7,18 | invite 129:8 | 128:9 132:12 | 102:21 103:1 |
| 128:18,21,23 | 108:15 214:14 | 142:17 | 132:23 138:19 | 103:17 104:2,5 |
| 135:3,6,15 | intakes 61:23 | invited 131:13 | 138:20,21,21 | 104:10 105:10 |
| 136:12 137:15 | integrity 13:18 | involve 69:18 | 202:20 | 105:22 106:7 |
| 138:3,5,10,12 | 79:13 136:8,10 | involved 8:15 | joining 49:15 | 106:11,25 |
| 138:14 139:2 | 136:18 171:12 | 39:4,7 49:13 | 52:13 | 107:5,8,16 |
| 140:12 142:10 | 172:5,6 196:1 | 131:22 205:1 | joint 141:8 | 110:19,22 |
| 145:13 146:4 | 197:6,9 211:12 | 205:12 242:4 | 177:5 228:23 | 118:16,18,21 |
| 150:21 158:4 | 246:12 | involvement | 232:20 | 119:4,12 120:3 |
| 184:20 187:5 | intend 7:23 | 75:5 85:15 | Jordan 2:6 6:24 | 120:9 122:1,3 |
| inspector 84:7 | 47:24 157:11 | 86:14 | Joseph 3:5 | 126:24 136:1 |
| 121:10 123:8 | intended 113:20 | involves 9:11 | 46:13,19 | 136:13 138:5,8 |
| 123:24 124:16 | 247:18 | ironed 139:16 | judge 1:5,17 6:3 | 138:9,15,24 |
| 124:17,22 | intentional | irrelevant 104:7 | 6:6,15,18,20 | 142:5 146:24 |
| 125:3,6,12,13 | 232:12 | 212:14 | 7:1,3,10,15,19 | 148:8 149:22 |
| 125:14,19,23 | interact 49:23 | Irvine 2:4 | 8:6,8,24 9:2,7 | 149:24 150:2,6 |
| 126:8,16 | 49:25 | Island 44:21 | 9:15,19 10:1,3 | 152:25 154:3 |
| 127:10,14,18 | interacting | issue 9:16,23 | 10:5,8,13,19 | 157:14 164:6 |
| 127:21 128:8 | 131:9 | 10:6 68:24 | 14:9,12,18,22 | 164:13,16,23 |
| 128:17 138:18 | interacts 54:16 | 107:13 160:6 | 14:24 15:3 | 164:25 165:2,4 |
| 142:20 157:24 | 55:16 | 212:13 218:5 | 19:23 20:23 | 165:8 166:6,16 |
| 157:25 227:6 | interests 40:3 | 246:5 | 21:1,18 23:21 | 166:21 167:3,7 |
| 244:12 | interior 50:19 | issues 53:5 | 23:25 26:10,12 | 167:14 168:8 |
| inspectors 52:9 | 84:22 | 120:11,13 | 27:10,18,22 | 168:20,24 |
| 52:11 73:19 | internal 80:12 | 133:2 171:22 | 31:18 35:22 | 169:8,11,14 |
| 32.11 /3.17 | | 155.2 171.22 | | 100.0,11,11 |
| | | | | |

| | I | İ | I | Ī |
|---------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| 170:13,15,22 | justice 233:9 | 214:24 217:11 | 208:10 244:9 | 88:8 133:21 |
| 170:25 171:4,7 | juxtaposed | 218:19 221:10 | large-sized | 176:7,8 217:25 |
| 176:22 177:2,4 | 109:3 | 222:25 223:1,7 | 239:17 | 232:4 |
| 177:7,10 | | 224:2 225:1,4 | largely 13:4 | levels 232:11 |
| 178:18 181:14 | K | 225:16 227:17 | larger 12:13,16 | liability 13:7 |
| 182:24 183:1 | keep 221:22 | 227:19 228:17 | 67:2 88:11 | 122:24 167:19 |
| 184:4 185:10 | 243:21 247:7 | 228:21 229:1 | largest 161:3 | liable 13:1 |
| 185:15,18,22 | 248:13 | 229:10,12 | Lastra 253:19 | lieu 167:15 |
| 189:18,22,25 | keeping 125:19 | 230:12 239:13 | latitude 9:4 | 168:12 |
| 190:3,25 191:2 | kind 32:5 34:4 | 240:17 242:3 | law 1:1,5,17 6:6 | light 44:15 |
| 191:13,15,18 | 50:5 60:16 | 244:12,21 | 12:24 13:5,9 | lighter 55:19 |
| 191:22,25 | 64:1 112:7 | 251:2,3 | 41:25 | lightheaded |
| 192:2,4,11,14 | 127:21 131:20 | knowledge | laws 11:6 | 250:24 |
| 192:17,23 | 167:21 179:19 | 13:21 138:3 | lawyer 42:17 | likelihood 43:8 |
| 193:1 194:25 | 191:16 217:13 | 179:25 205:8 | lay 60:9 | 113:10 |
| 195:3,12 | 227:21 229:10 | 211:19 | layman 138:25 | likewise 218:10 |
| 196:14 197:22 | 234:25 243:24 | known 16:14 | lead 49:6 87:13 | 222:24 |
| 197:25 198:6 | 249:3 | 17:21 18:6 | 127:13,17 | Lima 120:24 |
| 199:10 204:20 | kinds 26:4 37:13 | knows 138:25 | 129:3 | limitations |
| 205:6 206:20 | knew 235:20 | | leading 103:9 | 231:16 |
| 206:23,25 | know 8:13,14,22 | L | 127:22 | line 23:13 29:13 |
| 208:22 209:6,9 | 9:20 16:21 | L 1:6,16 253:23 | learned 134:20 | 29:16,19,22 |
| 209:12,15,17 | 28:24 32:16 | labeled 24:23 | leave 161:9 | 30:6,9,18,24 |
| 212:15 215:9 | 34:11 39:7,9,9 | 80:21 188:24 | led 129:3 180:3 | 31:2,4 44:15 |
| 215:12,15,17 | 39:10 42:7 | labor 86:22 | left 23:11 44:15 | 44:25 60:17 |
| 216:21,25 | 45:14,15,18 | lack 69:14 70:23 | 44:24 89:23 | 64:12 66:5 |
| 217:3 226:8 | 50:11,13 51:7 | 111:4 163:20 | 104:11 162:21 | 104:12 227:8 |
| 228:5 230:18 | 51:18 56:9 | 164:3 219:11 | 162:22 187:17 | 243:6 |
| 230:21 236:19 | 62:6 63:25 | lacked 11:19 | legal 152:16 | lines 44:13 |
| 239:11 240:6 | 76:19 77:15,24 | laid 17:25 | legend 163:23 | 98:13 149:11 |
| 240:18,21 | 78:2,3,4 81:8 | Lake 36:17 | 224:5 | 149:14,15,18 |
| 245:16,18 | 88:9 90:5 | 38:20 | length 25:13 | 151:1 162:22 |
| 246:25 247:10 | 91:18 94:7 | land 92:24 98:7 | 36:15 52:21 | liquid 143:18 |
| 247:14,15,16 | 95:14,24 97:11 | 98:11 104:6 | let's 19:21 70:1 | list 7:21 171:17 |
| 248:1,9,20 | 98:20 99:2,3 | 113:12,19 | 101:3 174:18 | 196:3 249:13 |
| 249:5,10,19,21 | 101:6,8,12 | 114:2 133:8 | 225:9 229:5,6 | 249:24 |
| 250:1,4 251:1 | 102:6 105:1,4 | 201:18 | 235:12 238:23 | listed 8:23 |
| 251:8,12,16,21 | 106:17 109:5 | language 94:23 | 250:25 | 108:12 114:5 |
| 252:3 | 110:11 112:11 | 95:11,13 96:16 | letter 151:24,25 | listing 23:4 |
| JUDGES 1:1 | 117:20 118:1 | 97:3,3 113:6 | 152:6,8,9,13 | literally 129:6 |
| Judging 149:5 | 118:25 122:23 | 113:16 115:11 | 169:21,22 | little 22:23 |
| judgment 87:11 | 129:18 130:13 | 116:15,18,21 | 170:3,5,9 | 23:17 26:20 |
| 219:12 242:10 | 136:17 137:22 | 116:21 118:11 | 171:11,20,24 | 50:14 110:12 |
| June 201:7,8 | 145:17 164:1 | 152:12,14,15 | 172:8 195:5,9 | 140:25 178:6 |
| jurisdiction | 167:10,11,18 | 152:16 187:21 | 195:20 211:8 | 191:4 223:21 |
| 18:25 19:7 | 167:18,22 | 187:22,24 | 211:15 218:1 | 240:8 241:9 |
| 30:14,20 143:8 | 171:24 172:1 | large 25:15 80:4 | 218:22 | 247:21 |
| 143:17 | 174:13 175:14 | 143:1 148:20 | letters 34:4 | live 167:20 |
| jurisdictions | 186:13 194:11 | 151:9 155:24 | letting 10:11 | LLP 2:3,6 |
| 30:21 | 204:25 210:17 | 162:20 191:11 | level 51:14 88:5 | loaded 222:25 |
| | l | l | l | l |

| loading 161:18 | 137:2 140:3,9 | lunch 119:6,7 | 147:25 181:2 | 252:5 |
|------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| 161:19,21 | 140:22 158:6 | | manner 72:12 | matters 7:4 |
| 162:2 | 159:21 160:11 | M | 201:24 | 19:11 166:12 |
| local 18:18 | 160:12 162:12 | M 15:15 | mantle 87:13 | 233:9 |
| 78:16,21,23 | 175:13 181:6 | Madam 46:7 | manufacturer | maximum 14:6 |
| 100:1 117:6 | 186:19 193:23 | main 60:25 | 136:22 | 114:3,6 208:2 |
| 131:7,12 140:6 | 193:24 205:15 | 122:13,15,17 | map 20:4,19 | 231:14,16,18 |
| 142:15,20 | 212:9 214:21 | 122:18,19 | 22:5,6,22 23:8 | McNEIL 2:3 |
| 143:16 176:7 | 216:3 218:6 | 158:6 | 28:13 34:21 | 6:23,24 7:18 |
| locate 28:11 | 219:23 227:4 | maintain 12:11 | 43:25 44:12,14 | 8:11,25 10:5,7 |
| 230:17 | 228:1 229:6 | 12:21 13:20 | 45:16 64:18 | 10:14,20 14:19 |
| located 20:18 | 230:18 232:25 | 27:14,15 39:16 | 104:11 | 14:21,23 20:24 |
| 28:25 29:5 | 234:5 242:14 | 106:20 124:21 | mapping 65:4 | 20:25 23:24 |
| 34:12,21 67:19 | looked 83:21 | 128:2 175:19 | maps 26:7 62:22 | 26:11 27:8,19 |
| 68:7 108:19 | 208:8,11 | 175:20,23 | March 195:8 | 27:21,24 31:20 |
| 114:10,20 | 223:16 224:10 | maintained | margin 36:13 | 31:21 35:19 |
| 131:7 214:12 | 226:1 239:18 | 175:21 | margins 25:12 | 38:5,6,8,10 |
| 217:21 | 239:19 246:4 | maintaining | marine 18:12 | 41:6 45:25 |
| locates 32:17 | looking 37:6 | 85:17 128:9 | mark 47:4 | 46:1 47:19,21 |
| location 20:14 | 64:4 65:22 | maintenance | 216:25 | 56:19,22 59:16 |
| 20:15 22:20 | 66:19,24 68:24 | 76:12 | marked 19:20 | 64:10,17 69:14 |
| 28:18 34:25 | 72:13 77:17 | majority 191:11 | 23:10 46:24 | 71:11,12 74:4 |
| 35:15 37:24 | 82:4 84:16 | making 17:20 | 56:3 58:21 | 74:5 75:20,21 |
| 94:4 102:8 | 109:20 112:8 | 42:19 87:14 | 103:2 169:4 | 81:14 83:12 |
| 243:3 253:7 | 141:10 142:11 | 129:11 155:22 | 217:7 | 88:15 89:5,8 |
| lodge 64:17 | 155:18 156:23 | 175:3 205:1 | marsh 36:14,14 | 89:20 90:22 |
| log 147:13,18,22 | 163:11 175:2 | 211:21 218:12 | 36:18 | 91:2 99:5 |
| 148:3 181:4,5 | 176:25 184:11 | 219:19 241:20 | marshes 40:4 | 100:22 101:1 |
| 181:9 193:12 | 188:12 216:15 | man-made | marshy 25:12 | 102:13 107:6,7 |
| logic 110:25 | 226:7 227:13 | 67:16 93:6,6 | match 130:1 | 107:10,16,18 |
| 111:13 | 230:2 231:3,6 | 94:3,7 100:23 | material 13:13 | 107:22,24 |
| long 9:15 16:5 | 232:7 | 100:23 101:17 | 53:24 54:18 | 109:24 110:10 |
| 48:19 49:9 | looks 22:15 | 101:18,22 | 76:12,17,18 | 111:16 118:17 |
| 90:18 119:7 | 45:10 82:15 | 113:14 115:2 | 77:1,5,9,15,18 | 119:9,11 122:2 |
| 121:7 123:16 | 86:11 162:23 | manage 16:10 | 77:18,22,23,25 | 135:25 138:16 |
| 123:25 125:5 | Los 2:7 | management | 95:2,4,15,16 | 146:23 148:7 |
| 178:9 | lost 240:9 249:1 | 48:15 51:19 | 101:11 161:25 | 152:24 154:2 |
| longer 124:5 | 249:3 | 52:18 85:23 | 172:14 176:6 | 157:13 163:19 |
| look 19:7 23:3 | lot 7:6 24:21 | 122:25 133:8 | materially 160:8 | 166:5,7 167:8 |
| 25:9 28:10 | 55:13 124:18 | 151:15 152:9 | materials 63:5 | 167:17 170:14 |
| 31:10,12 32:21 | 132:10 152:15 | 203:17,22 | 143:17,18 | 170:21,23 |
| 33:9,22 34:13 | 201:21 202:19 | 218:14 219:20 | matter 1:3,14 | 171:2,5,8 |
| 34:15 37:14 | loud 108:6 | 228:19 232:21 | 6:4,8 12:23 | 177:8,9 178:17 |
| 43:24 44:12 | louder 21:23 | manager 170:7 | 13:4 65:16 | 181:13 182:25 |
| 47:18 55:6 | 138:7 | managers | 71:16 72:1 | 184:3 189:19 |
| 56:4 63:5 | low 95:14 | 133:19 | 76:22 89:17 | 189:20,24 |
| 65:10 66:17 | lower 66:2 95:18 | manages 49:2 | 104:5 105:12 | 191:1,8,14 |
| 103:1 107:12 | Ludwig 2:6 6:24 | manipulate | 105:12 119:16 | 192:11,13 |
| 108:2 113:5 | 7:2 215:7,14 | 162:19 | 173:23 185:20 | 194:25 195:2,6 |
| 126:9 132:14 | 215:16 249:23 | manipulation | 189:1 237:21 | 195:10 196:13 |
| | l | l | l | l |

Page 21

| | | | | rage 21 |
|-----------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|
| 198:5 199:9 | 36:3 38:11 | migratory 25:18 | 89:20 208:20 | 149:8 160:10 |
| 200:21 204:20 | 41:14 45:23,24 | 25:19 | 248:6 251:11 | 164:1 210:4 |
| 204:21 206:24 | 46:4 51:13 | mile 67:9,12,13 | mismarked | 225:10 |
| 209:12,14,16 | 61:14,24 62:16 | 67:19 68:4,8 | 214:25 | Moring 2:3,6 |
| 209:19 212:17 | 92:11 | 68:10 96:3,4 | misreading | 6:24 |
| 215:10,19,20 | Meer's 69:2 | 96:19,25 97:8 | 237:12 | morning 6:5,15 |
| 215:10,19,20 | meet 12:10 | 97:10,20 | missed 52:14 | 6:17,18,21,23 |
| 217:2,5,10 | 13:11,14 | 100:25 102:2,6 | 227:14 | 7:1,2 10:21 |
| 223:11,15 | 108:10 176:6 | 100.23 102.2,0 | missing 146:6 | 15:21,22 27:25 |
| 226:10 228:7,9 | 178:9 243:12 | 103.16,23 | 227:21 | 28:1 48:9,10 |
| 230:20 231:1 | | 104.7 107.14 | * | 55:13 77:24 |
| | meetings 39:23 133:14 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Mississippi 98:23 99:21,25 | 91:3,4 251:19 |
| 236:20,25 | meets 39:15 | 111:19,24 | | motion 12:22 |
| 237:14,16 | | 112:10,13,17 | 100:3,7 | |
| 239:9,12 240:4 | 58:6 217:18 | 113:3 114:10 | mistake 224:6 | motions 131:5 |
| 240:19,20 | member 39:18 | 114:20 115:8 | mistaken 42:9 | mouth 36:11 |
| 245:17 247:13 | members | 116:14 118:4,9 | misunderstood | move 20:22 |
| 247:15,21,24 | 135:18 | 118:10 | 96:11 | 23:19 26:8 |
| 249:21 | memo 207:20 | miles 69:24 70:2 | mitigate 17:14 | 58:25 59:14 |
| mean 30:16,17 | memorializes | million 11:11,15 | 233:7 | 71:4 74:1 |
| 40:20 64:24 | 227:10 | 12:9 13:12 | mitigating 38:16 | 75:18 81:13 |
| 68:20 98:17 | mention 52:15 | 58:6,7 62:3 | mix 53:25 54:2 | 83:11 88:13 |
| 102:18 109:25 | 130:20 | 77:8 101:9 | 54:13 151:9 | 89:21 124:3 |
| 124:23 144:12 | mentioned | 111:1,2 155:7 | Mm-hmm 167:3 | 135:23 142:4 |
| 150:10 160:22 | 59:18 60:6 | 214:10 217:20 | 220:22 224:20 | 146:21 148:5 |
| 164:2,2 167:20 | 91:25 92:11 | 231:7 | 234:23 | 152:22 153:25 |
| 168:7 191:7 | 95:25 126:8 | million-gallon | moderate 231:6 | 157:11 169:8 |
| 221:12 222:18 | 127:25 128:12 | 77:25 150:23 | modification | 170:11 174:17 |
| 230:8,15 | 130:18 135:5 | million-gallon | 206:24 | 176:20 178:15 |
| meaning 13:14 | 141:4 143:5 | 145:2 | modify 47:25 | 181:11 182:22 |
| 54:19 80:6 | 163:10 177:17 | millions 10:25 | 242:23 | 184:1 190:23 |
| 238:10 | 178:25 220:2 | mind 99:11 | module 128:8 | 191:23 197:16 |
| meaningful 75:6 | 235:13 242:22 | 214:20 216:11 | modules 125:23 | 197:20 199:7 |
| means 38:16 | met 42:23 | 219:5,15 | 202:19 | 204:17 209:5,9 |
| 102:19 124:24 | 108:24 111:13 | 228:11 248:25 | moment 20:1 | 245:14 |
| 143:7 176:3 | 189:2 | mine 33:24 | 56:4 59:4 | moving 69:6 |
| 208:12,13 | Metropolitan | 80:17 229:5 | 73:15 74:22 | 123:7 160:11 |
| 236:5 | 49:1 | minimize 37:7 | 79:20 81:22 | multiple 103:11 |
| meant 40:3 | mic 6:13 10:22 | 233:6 | 85:8 86:4,9 | 103:15 |
| 80:17 | 10:23 21:20,23 | minimized | 89:5 121:17 | muskrat 25:17 |
| measurements | 57:6 | 17:19 | 162:13 169:6 | N |
| 67:4 | Michael 15:16 | minimum 114:3 | 174:3 184:15 | |
| mechanical | 142:24 143:22 | 114:6 127:20 | 188:2 217:24 | N 3:1 6:1 120:1 |
| 161:23,24 | 145:5 | Minnesota | 234:17 239:10 | 120:1,1 |
| mechanisms | Michaud 218:19 | 98:22 99:12 | 240:7 244:25 | N.W 253:23 |
| 11:20 17:5 | middle 66:6 | minus 237:12 | money 234:25 | N/A 158:14 |
| media 175:24 | 243:25 | minute 22:3 | monthly 50:6 | name 15:14 |
| Meer 3:4 15:2,3 | Middletown | 24:13 143:4 | 52:2 80:10 | 46:18,19 |
| 15:9,15,21 | 122:14,15 | 149:21 175:13 | 133:7,11 | 120:22 |
| 24:8 26:19 | migrating 26:1 | 176:2 229:13 | 204:13 | narrow 36:13 |
| 27:25 35:21 | migration 25:14 | minutes 89:11 | months 13:16 | nation 50:12 |
| | l | | l | l |

| national 17:22 | 104:19 144:12 | 59:10 | 11:8 | 135:25 138:15 |
|-----------------|------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| 49:2,6 50:2,10 | 165:24 201:23 | non-allergy | notified 185:1 | 138:20 146:23 |
| 50:16,17 51:21 | necessary 35:1 | 120:12 | notify 42:4 | 148:7 152:24 |
| 52:18 88:5,8 | 124:13 131:8 | non-approval | 43:16 105:24 | 154:2 157:13 |
| 129:20 131:6 | 156:18 232:21 | 27:13 | 117:16 | 165:11 166:5 |
| 132:16 133:2,6 | necessitate | non-EPA 52:16 | November | 168:9,10 |
| 203:25 204:16 | 203:25 | non-petroleum | 145:11 151:3 | 170:13,14,15 |
| nationally 52:4 | need 8:7 23:2 | 144:17 | 209:24 210:21 | 177:8,9 178:17 |
| 117:2 158:4 | 42:5 53:21 | non-public | 211:2 217:15 | 181:13 182:24 |
| natural 67:16 | 63:4 71:2 | 61:22 | 221:21 224:12 | 182:25 184:3 |
| 94:7 113:14 | 104:14,17,20 | non-review | NRC 129:12 | 189:18,21,23 |
| 114:2 | 104:25 105:7 | 27:13 | nuclear 91:14 | 190:25 191:1,3 |
| nature 55:18 | 105:11 106:4 | non-transport | number 6:4 | 192:4,12 195:1 |
| 233:5 | 106:23 110:16 | 213:6 | 20:18 21:14 | 195:6,10 |
| navigable 11:2 | 111:6,10,10,12 | Non-verbal | 23:7 24:16,17 | 196:13 198:5 |
| 12:7 43:6,9 | 116:1 119:7 | 180:11 | 24:19 29:2 | 199:9 206:23 |
| 57:17 58:19 | 127:5 128:2 | non-worst-case | 33:17 34:8,10 | 212:12 216:24 |
| 60:23 61:1,7 | 137:22 149:21 | 131:4 | 34:11 44:16 | 237:11 240:18 |
| 61:10 62:2 | 166:14,21 | noncompliance | 85:22 173:5 | 240:20 245:16 |
| 63:15,21,23 | 168:2,18 185:7 | 150:17 151:19 | 188:13,16,17 | 245:17 |
| 64:2 66:22 | 188:18,22 | 171:22 183:16 | 195:2 205:24 | objections 7:17 |
| 67:10,18,20 | 206:22 240:7 | 196:9 211:17 | 215:4 216:22 | objects 138:17 |
| 68:8,15,23,25 | 244:12,21 | 231:7 232:14 | 216:22 220:7,8 | obligated 42:10 |
| 69:7,9 92:13 | 247:9,21 | normal 235:22 | 222:8 230:2,9 | observable 55:9 |
| 92:25 93:9 | needed 50:1 | normally 146:1 | 230:10 235:7,8 | observations |
| 94:21 95:4 | 53:3 111:15 | north 22:11 | 235:9 236:23 | 147:9 154:10 |
| 96:3,5,19,20 | 113:23 200:23 | 44:14 100:2 | 237:1,9 239:24 | 154:19 166:2 |
| 97:1 98:2,12 | needs 54:19 | north- 32:16 | 244:14 | 166:20 189:15 |
| 98:20 99:2,15 | 97:10 140:20 | north-northeast | Numbered | 194:19 198:24 |
| 99:16 102:2,6 | 160:9 167:13 | 45:3 | 20:11 | 200:14 |
| 102:9 103:6,15 | 188:13,20,21 | northerly 32:12 | numbers 86:13 | observe 54:10 |
| 103:21,23 | 188:21,23 | notation 65:12 | 203:23 | 148:15 149:11 |
| 104:9,15 105:1 | negligence | 65:13 | nut 144:17 | 150:8 223:5 |
| 107:14 111:24 | 231:21 232:5 | noted 20:10 | | observed 55:2 |
| 113:9,11,13,21 | negligent 232:10 | 141:3 151:8 | 0 | 55:15 163:24 |
| 114:2,11,12,21 | 232:12 | 176:10 183:14 | O 6:1 120:1,1,1 | 179:22 196:4 |
| 114:25 115:8,9 | negligible 68:13 | 184:24 200:22 | o'clock 145:19 | 211:2 222:7,11 |
| 116:12,15 | 68:16 94:21 | 231:25 234:1 | object 56:19 | 222:13,17,18 |
| 143:12 161:10 | 95:3,21 | notes 140:23 | 71:13 163:19 | 244:18 |
| NCP 17:22,25 | net 239:24 | 159:6 168:6 | 166:7,9 167:7 | observing 51:2 |
| near 114:12 | new 9:16 11:21 | 210:7,10,14,18 | 167:8 204:21 | obtain 124:21 |
| 115:10 | 149:10 162:2 | 253:11 | objection 7:18 | 141:20 162:25 |
| nearest 60:14 | newer 157:23 | notice 1:15 | 20:23,25 23:24 | obtained 163:2 |
| 67:12 96:20 | 158:2 | 141:2 181:24 | 26:10,11 27:8 | 163:3 |
| 98:9 114:9 | nice 177:6 | 181:25 182:1 | 56:15,17,22 | obviously 8:12 |
| 115:7 | nine 86:23 | 183:19 184:20 | 59:15,16 64:10 | 43:10 72:7 |
| nearly 169:11 | 162:23 | 184:24 | 64:18 69:14 | 111:10 117:2 |
| necessarily | NOAA 62:21 | notices 125:17 | 74:3,5 75:21 | 134:13 155:6 |
| 30:15 62:21 | 155:20 | 182:20 | 81:14 83:12 | occasional 25:13 |
| 70:25 99:1 | nomination | notifications | 88:15 122:1,2 | 36:15 |
| | | | | l |

| | | | | Tage 25 |
|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|
| occasionally | 37:7,9,23 | 24:13,22 26:12 | 151:20,21 | 228:17,25 |
| 53:19 127:5 | 49:15,16 51:10 | 28:3,9,21,24 | 152:21 153:24 | 229:5,6,14,15 |
| occur 17:7 19:15 | 51:20 52:9,11 | 29:3,9,13 30:5 | 156:19,20,21 | 229:24 231:2,6 |
| 84:24 105:17 | 52:13 54:6,8,8 | 30:23 31:10,19 | 159:18 161:17 | 231:10,18,20 |
| 105:18 | 55:2,3,6 57:14 | 31:20 32:8,11 | 162:7,12 | 232:3,16,19 |
| occurs 60:21 | 57:16 63:14,18 | 33:2,3,8,13,22 | 163:16 164:16 | 233:17,21 |
| 77:20 | 66:18,21,22,24 | 34:3,8,11,22 | 165:8,24 168:9 | 234:5,9,15,23 |
| Ocean 11:5 | 67:8 68:17 | 35:14,18 38:4 | 169:1,14,15 | 235:3,10 236:8 |
| October 123:19 | 69:19 78:24 | 38:18 39:3,7 | 171:7 173:2,10 | 236:11 237:4,9 |
| 124:1 244:6 | 79:1 85:13 | 39:18,24 40:7 | 174:9,17 | 237:14,14 |
| offer 192:15 | 92:11,24 97:14 | 41:5,9 42:16 | 175:11,12,17 | 238:4,13 239:8 |
| offering 190:1 | 98:6,11 101:6 | 43:23 44:12 | 176:1 177:4,4 | 239:23 240:3,6 |
| Offhand 174:8 | 101:7,10,25 | 45:8,15,19 | 177:7,10,22 | 240:9,10 |
| offhandedly | 101:7,10,23 | 46:3 47:15,21 | 177:7,10,22 | 241:18 242:9 |
| 137:16 | 102.8 103.3,3 | 48:2,5,6 56:24 | 181:22 183:1 | 242:20 243:20 |
| office 1:1 48:14 | 103:10 104:8 | 58:24 59:2 | 185:5,10,18 | 244:11 245:13 |
| 51:18 85:23 | 112:13 113:8 | 66:9 74:6,17 | 186:2,3,18 | 246:20,22 |
| 106:4 132:17 | 113:18,19,22 | 74:19,24 76:8 | 188:1 189:12 | 247:6,10,14,25 |
| 133:8,10 | 113:16,17,22 | 77:4 79:22 | 189:22 190:3,9 | 248:1 249:5,10 |
| 203:21 204:12 | 115:1,22 | 80:22 81:15 | 191:19 192:1 | 249:15 250:4 |
| officer 14:2 | 116:14 121:10 | 82:12,17,20 | 192:14 193:1,8 | 251:1,2,2,16 |
| offices 52:3 53:7 | 121:10 123:8 | 89:10,15 91:11 | 194:17 196:10 | 251:1,2,2,10 |
| official 182:4 | 123:24 124:4,7 | 91:25 92:17,20 | 196:21 198:6 | OMB 85:22,23 |
| 253:20 | 123:24 124:4,7 | 93:4 94:14,18 | 190:21 198.0 | 86:18 |
| officially 243:15 | 125:14,18,22 | 95:5,8 96:7 | 200:18 201:25 | on- 18:14 127:12 |
| offset 238:7,8,12 | 126:1 128:5,7 | 97:25 98:14 | 200:16 201:23 | on-scene 40:11 |
| oftentimes 19:5 | 128:16 131:15 | 99:4 103:1,17 | 205:6,13,21 | 40:12 129:4 |
| oh 21:24 30:23 | 132:9,13,14,19 | 104:2,10 105:2 | 206:10,12,25 | 130:23 235:14 |
| 41:16 44:2,6 | 133:2,11,20,23 | 104.2,10 103.2 | 208:18 209:8 | on-the- 202:19 |
| 82:10,12 | 134:11,25 | 105.10,22 | 208.18 209.8 | on-the-job |
| 109:25 112:15 | 135:2 143:18 | 107:23 107:3 | 210:6,10,14,17 | 204:7 |
| 120:8 135:11 | 143:23 144:1 | 107.23 108.2,4 | 210:0,10,14,17 | on-time 234:10 |
| 147:23 159:10 | 145:7 161:25 | 110:6,6,8,10 | 211:24 212:3,9 | 238:25 |
| 171:2,5 176:24 | 174:6,11 | 110:0,0,8,10 | 211:24 212:3,9 | once 8:3 54:20 |
| 177:2,3 176:24 | 179:11 194:11 | 110.25,24 | 212:21 213:2 | 55:20 57:17 |
| 195:6,10 213:2 | 200:3,23,25 | 115:19,24 | 213.11,13 | 61:1 70:8 87:5 |
| 214:24 215:6 | 200:3,23,23 | 113.19,24 | 215:18 216:7 | 95:2 98:19 |
| 216:17 221:15 | 201:19 202:14 | 118.13,18,21 | 216:11,17,19 | 99:22 101:12 |
| 229:15 231:4 | 214:9 236:5 | 121:12,16,23 | 217:13 218:3,9 | 110:25 113:22 |
| 238:13 247:3 | 244:13,15,16 | 123:16 124:9 | 217:13 218:3,9 | 116:4 139:15 |
| 247:12,14 | 244:13,13,10 | 125:16 124.9 | 219:14,22 | 203:19 229:12 |
| 248:16 251:12 | oils 54:25 | 120:13,23 | 220:10,21 | 235:16 249:1 |
| oil 11:1,11,12,15 | 144:15,17,17 | 128:12,16 | 221:2,12,15,19 | one's 158:2 |
| 11:18,20,21,22 | 144:18 | 129:14 130:18 | 222:5,10,16,24 | one-half 67:9,11 |
| 11:24 12:4,6 | oilseed 87:22 | 136:1 137:22 | 223:6,23 | 67:13 107:14 |
| 12:10,13,14,18 | okay 6:20 7:1,19 | 138:24 140:12 | 224:21 225:2,4 | one-time 234:10 |
| 12:24 13:13 | 8:24 9:7 10:1,8 | 142:2 143:4 | 226:1,5,9,13 | 234:16 238:14 |
| 16:12 17:1 | 10:13,19,20 | 145:4,9,16 | 226:16,17,22 | 238:16,25 |
| 25:25 34:16 | 14:18,19,22 | 146:14 148:2 | 227:8,13,20,25 | ones 162:20 |
| 35:8,11,17 | 20:2 23:25 | 150:2,6,14 | 227:25 228:7,8 | 187:17,18 |
| 33.0,11,1/ | 20.2 23.23 | 150.2,0,17 | 221.23 220.1,0 | 107.17,10 |
| | | | | |

| | | | | rage 21 |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| ongoing 125:20 | 75:8 98:9 | 67:1,9,14 | 160:12 162:12 | 97:12,21 98:3 |
| 185:2 235:9 | 114:9 115:7 | 68:13 98:2 | 165:25 167:1,1 | 98:5 112:3 |
| 239:13 | 131:1 170:1 | 101:25 103:14 | 186:15,18 | 113:3 114:17 |
| online 127:10 | 182:7 208:24 | 112:13,19,24 | 188:4,8,9,10 | 115:15 117:14 |
| 157:24 | opposed 168:3 | 113:2,8 114:16 | 188:11,12 | 125:25 132:12 |
| onshore 87:20 | 249:25 | 114:24 115:1 | 193:7,12,25 | 133:1,10 |
| 213:6 | opposite 100:16 | 115:14,23,25 | 194:4 206:11 | 134:19 137:1 |
| OPA 30:12 42:2 | oral 167:15 | 116:10,11,14 | 206:15,16,21 | 138:13 147:22 |
| OPA-6:4 | 168:12 | overlapping | 206:22 212:5 | 152:5 160:3 |
| OPA-09-2018 | ORC 151:15 | 144:19 | 213:12 214:22 | 163:12 168:14 |
| 1:4 253:3 | 203:21,22 | overlay 95:9 | 216:7,8,20 | 202:21 205:3 |
| open 19:2 68:14 | 228:23 | overrule 165:11 | 218:4,6 221:24 | 209:2 210:10 |
| 95:16 98:12 | ORC-3 1:22 | 168:9 189:22 | 221:25 226:14 | 212:13,21 |
| 113:12,20 | order 7:23 12:22 | overruled 27:10 | 226:15 228:11 | 238:8,9,9,11 |
| 114:4 116:3,6 | 12:25 54:19 | 69:15 73:6 | 229:6 231:5,23 | 238:11 |
| 116:8 191:6 | 66:21 85:20 | 138:24 212:15 | 231:25 234:1,1 | partially 154:21 |
| opening 10:10 | 112:8 123:2 | overview 110:3 | 242:23 243:4 | 172:12 |
| 10:15,17 14:20 | 124:19 161:1 | owner 53:13 | 243:22,23 | participate |
| 140:13,17,14.20 | 161:24 176:16 | 57:16,23 62:12 | 244:2 | 39:14 128:24 |
| 145:20 | 242:6 | 66:16 75:3 | pages 5:9,20 | 129:1,4,9 |
| operate 132:7 | orders 86:23 | 80:10 85:20 | 21:8 23:23,25 | 130:3,6,8,9 |
| operation 76:11 | organization | 95:22 96:21 | 24:4 193:23 | 131:13 179:6 |
| 149:2 165:19 | 131:15 194:12 | 106:14 112:4 | 206:25 207:4 | 233:23 |
| operations 12:4 | 200:1,4 201:1 | 113:7,9 114:9 | 248:16,23 | participated |
| 51:20 222:25 | 201:20 236:6 | 115:14 117:12 | 249:6 250:6,22 | 79:15 91:15 |
| 223:5 | organized 158:8 | 117:19 213:5 | Paper 49:14 | 209:23 |
| operator 53:13 | 240:8 | owners 11:23 | paragraph 36:8 | particular 19:10 |
| 57:16,23 62:13 | original 242:24 | 117:3 | 108:8 110:8 | 20:15 32:18 |
| 66:17 80:10 | Orr 175:5 | | 171:11 195:24 | 53:5 98:10 |
| 95:22 96:21 | 177:25 | P | 213:9 215:5 | 134:13 136:18 |
| 106:14 112:4 | OSRO 131:16 | P 6:1 | 216:12,18 | 139:10 246:16 |
| 113:10 114:9 | 200:25 201:15 | p.m 119:17 | 217:14 218:5,7 | particularly |
| 117:12,20 | 225:12 235:6 | 120:2 145:19 | 221:25 222:5 | 50:22 51:12 |
| 213:6 | 235:13 236:5 | 185:19,21 | 229:16 231:11 | 78:6,19 104:22 |
| operators 11:23 | 245:8 | 252:4 | 231:21,22 | particulars |
| 75:3 85:20 | out- 183:20 | Pacific 11:5 | 236:16 | 239:21 |
| 113:7 117:4 | out-briefing | 15:25 | paragraphs | parties 52:17 |
| opinion 40:22 | 140:25 | page 22:8,9,18 | 218:24 219:18 | party 57:13 |
| 40:23 42:8 | outflow 104:20 | 22:19 23:3,5,8 | parallel 66:5 | path 94:9 98:10 |
| 71:22 73:1,17 | outlets 100:2 | 23:11 25:10 | Pardon 170:21 | 98:11 100:24 |
| 75:1 173:25 | outlined 80:13 | 33:3,5,9,9,22 | parenthetical | paths 103:16 |
| 174:1 205:11 | 84:4 | 33:23 34:5,9 | 108:18 | pathway 103:12 |
| 221:16 | outreach 124:12 | 34:10 36:6,23 | Park 2:4 | pattern 100:25 |
| opinions 221:3 | outside 30:9 | 80:14,20,21,23 | parking 55:13 | patterns 115:2 |
| opportunities | 52:17 94:8 | 81:3 107:12,17 | parlance 55:12 | Pause 82:7 |
| 54:10 | 101:17 133:15 | 107:18,19 | part 18:1 26:24 | 90:19 230:23 |
| opportunity | 139:25 242:12 | 108:7 110:5 | 49:20 51:17 | pay 208:6,13,15 |
| 51:10 53:2 | 243:8 244:1,4 | 146:13 158:9 | 52:7,8 63:13 | 236:4 |
| 55:24 60:14 | overall 112:14 | 158:10,10 | 70:17 83:4 | paying 236:5 |
| | _ | 150 7 12 15 16 | | |
| 65:3 67:12 | overland 66:18 | 159:7,13,15,16 | 84:15 87:23 | PE 186:21 187:4 |

| | • | | • | |
|------------------|--------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|
| 187:7,11,15 | 188:14,19,25 | 147:21,25 | 71:3 75:4 | planning 16:12 |
| peer 181:6 204:6 | 189:2,4 243:11 | 148:18 180:14 | 76:21 78:2 | 18:4 51:14 |
| penalties 13:24 | 243:13,15 | 180:24 | 86:23 87:6 | 58:14,16 59:18 |
| 202:10,12,17 | permitted 166:8 | phrase 40:20 | 104:18 105:19 | 60:1,6,8,13,22 |
| 203:3 204:5,11 | 166:10 | 50:14 68:19 | 106:20,20 | 60:24,25 62:4 |
| 204:18 205:4 | person 52:1 | physical 28:18 | 109:12 110:16 | 62:10 66:10,20 |
| penalty 14:1,1,3 | person's 52:2 | 29:17 | 111:6,13,14 | 67:6,10,21 |
| 14:6 168:1 | personally | physically 100:5 | 112:6 117:13 | 69:22,23 70:2 |
| 202:1,15,25 | 39:10 56:9 | pick 198:3 | 126:20 127:6 | 70:4,13,15,15 |
| 203:2,17,19,20 | 95:10 130:9 | picture 148:25 | 129:6 131:3 | 71:1 78:23 |
| 204:23 205:7 | personnel 12:5 | 243:25 244:3 | 132:1 136:24 | 94:16 96:13,21 |
| 205:23 206:1,2 | 52:16 84:3 | piece 84:13 | 140:21 141:5,6 | 97:11,13,15,18 |
| 206:4,5,14 | 136:13 140:24 | pieces 189:2 | 141:8,8,10 | 97:20,21 102:7 |
| 207:10,25 | 149:7 180:8 | pipe 114:5 223:1 | 142:10,11 | 103:4 104:25 |
| 208:6,13,16 | 193:19 194:7 | piped 244:19 | 144:7,9,10,11 | 105:7 108:13 |
| 228:2,15 | 200:10 201:18 | pipes 164:25 | 144:24 145:1 | 111:10 112:12 |
| 229:17,18 | 202:21,23 | piping 84:17 | 145:25 146:8,9 | 112:24 114:11 |
| 230:4,6,12,22 | perspective | 149:6,18,20 | 146:16,18 | 114:16,21 |
| 231:21 232:9 | 155:11 | 162:21 165:21 | 147:6 150:20 | 115:9,15,20 |
| 233:8,12 | pertain 166:13 | 180:7 188:21 | 150:24,24,25 | 117:4,8 118:12 |
| 246:15 | pertain 100.13 | 189:10 222:13 | 151:3,4,6,10 | 122:22 156:2,5 |
| pending 220:23 | 11:18 122:21 | 222:18 223:7 | 152:3 154:17 | 156:6,17 |
| people 39:9 | 128:4 132:19 | pitcher 120:6 | 155:2,2,3,8,19 | plans 12:20 |
| 40:14 133:9 | 160:19 | place 17:5 55:18 | 155:23 156:25 | 13:11 16:18 |
| percent 93:16 | pesticide 91:13 | 71:23 139:16 | 158:13,13,16 | 17:3 18:16 |
| 231:11,17,18 | petroleum 52:19 | 166:25 182:5 | 158:16 159:14 | 26:20 27:2,4 |
| 232:2,9 | 52:23 137:9,20 | 194:8 201:7 | 160:1,3,9 | 39:25 53:1 |
| percentages | 143:9,11,18,21 | 234:20 235:7 | 161:5 162:4 | 62:9 139:18,18 |
| 203:9 | 144:4,16,20 | 235:15,19 | 171:16 174:2 | 162:6 175:21 |
| perfectly 174:7 | Phillip 1:9 | 237:18 | 175:6,6,8,9,15 | 178:7,7 186:13 |
| perform 7:12 | phonetic 68:16 | places 37:22 | 176:2,6,7,9,9 | 237:20,23,24 |
| 84:3 123:3 | 87:21 122:14 | 150:13 | 176:11,12,13 | 246:24 |
| 124:25 131:21 | photo 141:20,25 | placing 25:25 | 176:14,17 | platform 65:4 |
| performance | 147:13 148:3 | plan 12:2,2,11 | 177:18 178:2,3 | play 57:15 |
| 138:21 | 148:20 162:25 | 12:22 13:2,10 | 178:4,8,8,25 | 112:10 |
| performed | 163:2,3 165:17 | 13:15 16:15 | 179:1,2 183:14 | Plaza 2:4 |
| 135:4 136:11 | 181:4,5,9 | 17:19,22 18:7 | 186:13,17,23 | please 14:24 |
| 136:12 200:6,9 | 242:24 243:1 | 18:10,10,17 | 187:1,2,3,8,15 | 15:3,6,12,13 |
| 213:22 228:2 | photograph | 20:20 25:4,8 | 187:19 190:13 | 19:22 25:11 |
| performing | 33:24 149:13 | 26:1,25 27:6 | 193:14 194:16 | 27:22 31:10 |
| 108:16 127:18 | 150:11 164:14 | 27:15 30:22 | 194:20 196:1,7 | 34:15 41:9 |
| 128:23 129:11 | 164:17 223:17 | 38:13,15 39:4 | 196:12,22 | 46:8,10,17 |
| 235:11 | 224:10 | 39:10,17,17 | 197:19 198:18 | 89:12,19 90:23 |
| perimeter 30:7 | photographs | 40:6 41:3,20 | 198:19,23 | 92:7 96:10 |
| period 8:16 | 51:16,17 91:22 | 41:23 42:5,10 | 199:3,4 200:14 | 107:8,11 119:5 |
| periodic 136:12 | 140:4,23 | 42:13 49:7 | 201:4,5 207:12 | 120:5,14,20,21 |
| periodically | 147:16 179:13 | 53:22 57:15 | 211:14,19 | 121:12 126:18 |
| 39:15 | 180:18,19 | 61:15,17 62:14 | 213:8 219:10 | 135:8 146:12 |
| periods 25:19 | photos 54:11 | 62:15,18 63:4 | 227:11 233:13 | 147:11 151:20 |
| permanently | 140:10 147:13 | 69:4 70:18,20 | 246:18 | 153:13 156:19 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| | | | | 1490 20 |
|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 162:7 169:3,17 | 91:12 93:8 | 85:21 97:1,3,9 | 5:20,21,22,23 | 233:4 |
| 173:4 174:3 | 94:9 101:6,8 | 109:12 139:9 | prevent 11:24 | prioritize 25:24 |
| 175:11 180:10 | 101:13 103:9 | 139:24,24 | 12:6 17:5 | prioritized |
| 181:21 183:8 | 103:13,24 | 147:18 152:5 | 25:25 | 19:14 |
| 185:22 186:2 | 113:10 | 152:12,14 | prevented | priority 26:3 |
| 188:2 190:11 | posed 92:1 | 170:3 173:16 | 113:13 | pristine 11:15 |
| 192:10,16 | position 16:5,8 | 186:7 213:7 | preventing 17:2 | private 18:3 |
| 196:21 197:13 | 121:9 124:10 | prepared | prevention prevention | probable 113:25 |
| 198:4 199:1 | 164:21 | 135:14 145:14 | 11:13,22 12:1 | probably 34:18 |
| 200:17 205:16 | positioned 243:5 | 146:16 150:25 | 12:4,14,18,25 | 37:22 40:13 |
| 206:10 209:17 | possibilities | 152:7,13 | 16:15 54:7,9 | 130:4 136:24 |
| 212:5,9 215:12 | 17:2 | 168:13 171:15 | 124:8 128:17 | 247:21 |
| 215:17 218:17 | possibility 164:7 | 176:4,5 187:15 | 133:3 134:25 | problem 146:5 |
| 234:18 236:1 | 251:23 | 190:13 216:4 | 135:2 141:5,7 | problems 23:12 |
| 242:20 | possible 94:2 | 226:2,24 227:2 | 143:24 144:1 | procedure |
| plus 207:21 | 194:3 222:22 | 228:21 233:23 | 176:10 178:3 | 140:15 |
| 237:12 | 246:6 | 241:14 248:2 | 200:24 204:19 | procedures 51:6 |
| point 35:7,8 | possibly 225:5 | preparedness | 214:7 | 80:11,13 83:23 |
| 71:5 96:20 | potential 76:13 | 16:12 18:4 | prevents 115:14 | 84:9 187:18 |
| 104:11 128:22 | 77:11 78:9 | 50:16,25 54:9 | previous 126:3 | proceed 27:22 |
| 150:22 151:18 | 113:8 150:16 | 129:22 | 189:12 197:3 | 90:23 107:8 |
| 164:9 167:21 | 160:8 | preparing 17:2 | previously 21:4 | 209:13,16,17 |
| 172:16 173:15 | potentially | 86:19 147:22 | 24:3 26:15 | 215:12 |
| 175:7 219:14 | 88:11 94:9 | 174:25 233:24 | 57:2 59:22 | proceeding 14:7 |
| 221:2 230:17 | 116:12 | presence 92:16 | 74:9 76:2 | proceedings |
| points 186:22 | practice 19:12 | present 49:5 | 81:18 83:16 | 8:13 72:16 |
| 187:10,23 | 127:4 175:18 | 130:7 134:4,5 | 88:19 122:6 | 253:9 |
| policy 50:2 | 187:16,17 | 134:8 140:16 | 134:18,18 | process 26:21 |
| 147:24 202:15 | practices 12:5 | 164:23,25 | 136:4 147:2 | 41:11 87:24 |
| 203:2 230:12 | precisely 28:24 | 182:16 221:14 | 148:11 153:3 | 106:14 117:21 |
| 230:22 | 38:12 | presentation | 154:6 157:17 | 145:12,13 |
| pollution 11:18 | precludes | 48:4 | 165:13 170:18 | 168:18 202:24 |
| 11:21,22 12:18 | 114:15 | presented | 177:13 178:21 | processors |
| 12:24 54:7,9 | prefer 43:12 | 183:20 205:16 | 181:17 183:4 | 87:22 |
| 85:14 124:7 | 46:23 140:21 | 218:13 | 184:7 190:6 | production |
| 128:16 133:3 | preference 8:12 | presenter 134:2 | 192:6,20 | 87:20 |
| 134:25 135:2 | 8:22 | presenting | 195:15 196:17 | products 143:11 |
| 143:23 144:1 | preferences 7:9 | 133:17 | 198:10 199:13 | professional |
| 200:23 204:19 | preferentially | preside 6:8 | 207:3 241:2 | 13:10 65:3 |
| port 18:15,23 | 26:5 | presiding 14:2 | 245:21 | 87:11 136:25 |
| 36:10,12,16 | prehearing 8:17 | Pretend 109:8 | primary 25:10 | program 16:24 |
| 39:11 | 46:24 | pretty 29:19 | 33:4 63:6,14 | 16:25 43:22 |
| portion 29:17 | preliminary 7:3 | Prev 4:4,5,6,7,8 | 84:14 | 49:15,16,19 |
| 33:25 91:8 | 183:15 | 4:9,10,11,12 | Prince 11:16 | 50:17 52:13 |
| 105:21 163:4 | PREP 50:4,14 | 4:13,14,15,16 | principles 117:6 | 53:23 71:25 |
| 175:7 178:4 | 50:15,23 | 4:17,18,19,20 | print 175:23 | 121:11 123:1,8 |
| 182:12,14 | 129:16,18,19 | 4:21,22,23,24 | printed 80:17 | 123:18,24 |
| 207:12 | preparation | 4:25 5:4,5,6,7 | prior 34:5 35:13 | 124:4,7,20,25 |
| portions 63:19 | 237:19 | 5:9,10,11,12 | 48:24 49:15,22 | 125:9,10,15,18 |
| 67:17 84:14 | prepare 11:25 | 5:15,16,17,18 | 86:21 87:12 | 125:22 128:5,7 |
| | I | ı | ı | I |

| | | | | rage 27 |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| 120.24 122.2 | 225.12.229.24 | 111.22 101.2 | 110.10.110.2 | |
| 129:24 133:3 | 225:12 238:24 239:7 | 111:23 181:3 | 118:19 119:3 | raising 9:15 |
| 133:11,19 | | 0 | 152:2,5,7,13 | Randy 182:14 182:16 |
| 134:1,9,11 | provides 95:21 | qualifications | 192:25 202:1 | |
| 142:23 143:24 | providing 72:11 168:11 | 205:9 | 211:5 226:14 | range 95:14,14 |
| 144:10 145:6,7 | | qualified 130:7 | 241:18 | 123:17 230:10 |
| 179:11 202:14 | province 71:18 | 230:1 | quick 247:6 | 230:13,25 |
| 204:13,19 | 72:8 204:22 | qualify 139:4 | quickly 242:14 | ranges 114:5 |
| program's | 205:5,8 | 205:11 | quiet 44:18 | 203:2,6 |
| 17:10 | provision 53:12 | quality 243:17 | quite 78:24 | rates 86:22 |
| program-relat | provisions 11:17 | quantities 12:13 | 99:13 124:18 | re-familiarize |
| 123:5 | 158:11,21 | 12:16 34:17 | 184:13 223:12 | 229:13 |
| programs 50:25 | proximity 65:21 | 35:12,17 | 238:9 | re-use 70:15 |
| 123:3,11 204:1 | 66:12 108:13 | - | quote 76:12 | reach 63:15 |
| progress 248:7 | 114:22 140:5 | quantity 174:5 244:13 | quotes 143:25 | 86:22 94:21 |
| promise 219:17 | public 61:24 | | R | 95:4 99:16,20 |
| promulgated | 108:15 | quarter 33:5,10 | - | 100:6,7 102:9 |
| 11:22 | public-facing | question 14:10 | R 6:1 15:16 | 103:24 104:1,9 |
| proper 176:18 | 75:14 | 27:9 29:25 | 120:1 156:23 | 113:11 142:15 |
| 194:1,2 | publicly 163:7 | 30:13 31:6 | r,sum 121:20,21 | reached 99:22 |
| properly 193:22 | 208:11 239:19 | 40:8 57:20 | RA 117:16 | 101:12 191:16 |
| proposal 229:17 | published | 64:21 69:23 | rack 159:25 | reaches 57:17 |
| 233:12 251:9 | 137:19 155:20 | 92:9 96:13 | 160:19 161:4,6 | 61:1 95:2 |
| 251:14 | publishes | 97:6,22,25 | 161:18,21 | 98:19 103:21 |
| propose 138:1 | 137:17 | 98:4,14 99:21 | racks 150:8,12 | 113:22 |
| proposed 14:6 | pull 47:1 85:3 | 109:9 111:19 | 150:12 151:2 | reaching 12:7 |
| 71:13,15 208:6 | 226:4 | 112:20 113:4 | 160:14,25 | 43:8 66:22,24 |
| 208:16 228:15 | pulled 240:15 | 114:14,18 | 161:19 165:6 | 67:18 93:8 |
| 229:17 232:19 | Pulp 49:14 | 115:12 118:6 | radial 101:12 | 113:13 |
| 232:20 233:18 | purports 163:22 | 171:3 206:3 | rail 149:11,11 | read 25:11 34:6 |
| proposes 232:8 | purpose 41:11 | 211:25 213:20 | 149:14 151:1 | 36:8 108:5,5,6 |
| proposing 208:1 | 72:6 85:19 | 218:16,25 | 161:4 162:22 | 110:12,17 |
| protect 11:7 | 86:17 105:10 | 219:5 222:2 | railcar 161:8 | 116:24 188:6 |
| 25:24 26:6 | 105:13 116:15 | 232:6 235:24 | 162:2 | 212:19 215:1 |
| 37:24 | 136:8 146:9 | 236:22 237:17 | railcars 151:2 | 217:13,14 |
| protection 1:1,4 | 182:3 183:12 | 238:20 246:3 | 160:19 161:6 | 218:7,7 248:24 |
| 1:22 6:7 15:24 | 235:12 242:8 | 247:22 | 161:21 162:23 | 248:25 250:2 |
| 19:15 25:24 | purposes 8:9 | question's 64:11 | 165:23 224:9 | reading 72:11 |
| 26:3 40:3,4,4 | 71:2 103:4 | questioning | 224:11 | 229:22 |
| 48:14 253:4,12 | 105:19,19 | 64:12 172:17 | railroad 149:14 | real 17:20 |
| protocol 84:11 | pursuant 1:15 | questions 27:17 | 161:4 165:2,6 | realize 244:24 |
| provide 55:8 | 11:21 13:17 | 28:5 35:19 | 224:15,16,19 | really 18:24 |
| 60:11 88:5 | purview 14:2 | 36:3 38:3 | 224:21 | 67:24 72:10 |
| 110:3 117:20 | 18:22 | 41:15 43:21 | rain 90:3 | 80:23 104:5 |
| 146:8 164:8 | put 7:20 46:25 | 52:5 56:11 | rainbow 55:12 | 111:19 119:9 |
| 199:24 200:5,8 | 47:3 106:19 | 89:1 91:5,6 | rainy 55:13 90:3 | 176:24 205:10 |
| provided 91:18 | 124:4 136:23 | 92:1 94:18 | 90:6 | 205:11 222:17 |
| 114:4 145:22 | 159:1,24 | 99:5 102:11,15 | raise 10:6 15:6 | 246:17 251:8 |
| 145:24 177:25 | 189:10 203:15 | 105:3 106:16 | 46:10,11 107:1 | 251:24 |
| 210:17,22 | 243:18 | 106:19 107:1,1 | 120:14 | reappear 9:12 |
| 219:7 225:11 | putting 111:21 | 112:9 118:16 | raised 9:16,23 | reason 54:23 |
| 217.7223.11 | Parting 111.21 | | | 100011 5 1.25 |
| | • | • | • | • |

| | | | | raye 20 |
|------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 98:5 189:8 | 201:12 204:5 | 170:16,22 | referenced | 117:12 126:1 |
| 208:15 210:24 | 207:6 241:4 | 171:1 177:11 | 28:10 109:17 | 132:13 138:2 |
| 220:11 | 245:4,7,8,10 | 178:19 181:15 | 110:2 175:15 | 140:7 159:24 |
| reasonable | 245:23 | 183:2,17 184:5 | referred 21:3 | 166:2 194:19 |
| 92:13,15 | receiving 113:23 | 190:4 192:18 | 24:2 26:14 | 197:5,9 200:13 |
| Rebecca 1:21,21 | recess 89:10 | 195:13 196:15 | 57:1 59:21 | 241:19,21 |
| 6:11,14,19,20 | 119:13 185:11 | 197:15 198:8 | 74:8 76:1 | 246:3 |
| rebuttal 9:13,22 | 185:16 251:17 | 199:11 203:16 | 81:17 83:15 | region 15:25 |
| 9:23 | recognize 73:23 | 207:1 224:14 | 88:18 106:18 | 82:1 121:5 |
| recall 29:2 31:22 | 146:15 147:12 | 240:14,16,22 | 110:7 122:5 | 130:2 132:13 |
| 32:22 33:6 | 153:15 169:17 | 245:19 248:10 | 135:18 136:3 | 206:1,4 |
| 45:23 91:11 | 177:23 180:12 | 248:12,13,21 | 147:1 148:10 | region's 12:17 |
| 92:3,9 94:22 | 183:9 186:4 | 252:2 | 153:2 154:5 | 206:13 |
| 96:5 125:11 | 190:12 205:17 | recorded 198:24 | 157:16 165:12 | regional 40:14 |
| 141:13 145:22 | 205:18 | records 132:13 | 170:17 176:1 | 51:4 53:6 |
| 150:16 153:7 | recognized | 136:22 139:20 | 177:12 178:20 | 73:18 106:4,23 |
| 153:18 173:10 | 204:18 | 139:21 140:22 | 181:16 183:3 | 117:17 159:14 |
| 174:25 176:13 | recollection | 146:5 175:19 | 184:6 190:5 | 203:21 212:25 |
| 179:22 190:15 | 172:24 229:11 | 179:12,17,18 | 192:5,19 | 213:4,10,25 |
| 209:23 211:8 | recommendat | 201:2,3,6 | 195:14 196:16 | regionals 52:3 |
| 211:10 214:18 | 203:17 206:5,7 | 208:11 245:2,5 | 198:9 199:12 | regions 49:7,24 |
| 214:18 216:5 | 206:14 219:20 | 245:6,10 | 207:2 217:6 | 50:1,6 51:23 |
| 219:21 221:25 | recommendat | recoverable | 241:1 245:20 | 52:25 53:19 |
| 225:18,19 | 205:1 | 37:23 | referring 28:13 | 204:15 |
| 226:3 228:1 | recommended | recovery 35:8 | 53:14 80:16 | regions' 204:11 |
| recalled 251:24 | 203:19 | recross 3:2 38:5 | 92:8 95:11 | Register 125:17 |
| receive 134:19 | recommending | 38:9 102:12 | 96:2,12,15 | regularly |
| 153:9,11 | 206:2 | 107:9 247:11 | 107:20 126:11 | 163:12 |
| 175:17 179:1 | reconstruction | 247:13 | 166:17 214:5 | regulate 71:24 |
| 241:10,11 | 79:23 | rectangular | 219:23 238:15 | regulated 49:8 |
| 245:9 | reconvene 89:17 | 29:6 30:2,3,4 | refers 231:11 | 87:2 124:14 |
| received 4:3 5:3 | 119:16 185:20 | 64:5,7 | refine 96:23 | 135:19 143:2,2 |
| 5:14 21:6 24:6 | 252:5 | recurring | refinery 88:7 | 143:6,7,15,19 |
| 26:17 57:4 | record 6:3,10 | 238:17 239:1 | reflect 12:15 | 144:15 214:7 |
| 59:24 74:11 | 15:13 21:2 | red 23:10 29:22 | 114:5 163:22 | regulation 26:24 |
| 76:4 81:20 | 23:17 24:1 | 30:1,6,9,24 | 164:17 232:9 | 42:22 50:3,7 |
| 83:18 88:21 | 25:11 26:9,13 | 32:6,8,11 34:4 | 237:5 | 57:22 59:13 |
| 122:8 136:6 | 46:17 48:11 | 162:18 242:23 | reflected 232:11 | 67:23 68:22 |
| 147:4 148:13 | 55:6 56:25 | 243:1,2 244:8 | reflective 232:4 | 69:21 78:15 |
| 153:5,7 154:8 | 57:8 59:20 | red-lined 29:6 | refresh 172:24 | 85:13 93:1 |
| 157:19 165:15 | 73:16 74:2,7 | redirect 3:2 | 173:1,1 229:11 | 94:20,23 95:20 |
| 170:20 171:23 | 74:25 75:19,23 | 35:22,23 36:1 | refresher 128:6 | 98:18 102:5 |
| 177:15 178:12 | 81:16 83:14 | 99:7,9 241:6 | 128:11,13 | 103:8,25 |
| 178:23 181:19 | 88:17 120:21 | refer 46:25 | refreshers | 106:10 115:5 |
| 183:6 184:9 | 122:4 129:25 | 60:25 75:13 | 125:21 | 134:16,17 |
| 190:8,15,21 | 130:19 136:2 | 109:16 112:7 | regard 16:23 | 173:22 187:13 |
| 192:8,22 | 146:25 147:9 | 127:3 234:11 | 62:8 72:23 | 187:24 |
| 195:17 196:19 | 148:9 153:1 | reference 92:2 | 76:23 78:25 | regulations 11:6 |
| 197:8,12 | 154:4 157:15 | 208:11 239:20 | 84:6 | 11:13,22 12:3 |
| 198:12 199:15 | 168:12,21 | 240:14 | regarding 12:4 | 12:19,25 13:14 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | _ | l |

| | | | | rage 23 |
|------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| 41:19 42:19,24 | released 46:4 | 112:20 173:5 | 246:23 | 144:9,10 |
| 48:15 49:12 | 103:4,5 119:5 | 206:3 226:7 | requested 52:17 | 147:24 160:7 |
| 50:3 54:7,9 | 251:3 | rephrase 97:5 | 52:19 53:6 | requiring 112:5 |
| 57:11 58:2 | releases 16:13 | 232:6 | 145:23 201:6 | requisite 84:4 |
| 59:11 60:9 | 17:1,6,18 | replied 169:25 | requesting | research 55:17 |
| 66:11 67:7 | 54:11,16 | 175:8 | 139:15 245:2 | 239:13 |
| 68:20 70:5 | 132:20 | report 127:23 | requests 130:2 | reserve 10:17 |
| 71:7 72:12 | relevant 19:11 | 157:1 165:25 | 241:13,14 | 14:20 |
| 73:11 76:9 | 67:4 68:4 | 168:4 173:2,10 | require 11:23 | resisted 11:8 |
| 91:8 94:2 95:6 | 71:22 77:21 | 173:13 175:2,4 | 37:13 76:10 | resolution |
| 95:12 98:15 | 79:9 80:1 81:9 | 186:6 196:7 | 85:20 131:4 | 220:24 |
| 103:20,22 | 100:9,12,25 | 211:16 218:19 | 135:3 186:22 | resolutions |
| 108:22 109:5 | 101:22 139:18 | 219:24 | 213:5 233:9 | 191:18 |
| 109:10 111:20 | 140:3 244:14 | reported 51:20 | required 13:17 | resolve 8:4 |
| 119:1 124:8,15 | reliability | 253:11 | 13:20 14:13 | resources 11:20 |
| 125:17,18,20 | 117:15 | reportedly | 42:20 43:16 | 12:5 19:10 |
| 127:7 128:4 | reliable 65:8,9 | 151:5 | 68:11 71:1 | 22:17 25:10 |
| 133:21 134:11 | 65:14 | reporter 6:12 | 76:20 92:5 | 27:4 33:4 |
| 134:12 135:1,2 | relied 149:7 | 15:4,6,12,18 | 97:20,23 | 62:22,24,25 |
| 144:2,2,6,8,22 | 156:13 240:17 | 46:7,9,16,21 | 108:15 118:3,7 | 78:10,14,17,22 |
| 154:20 161:22 | 241:20 | 120:14,20,25 | 124:18 125:24 | 239:14,21 |
| 200:24 212:13 | rely 136:24 | 253:20 | 137:3 142:12 | respect 167:23 |
| 214:8 | 155:22 201:19 | REPORTER'S | 151:11 156:11 | respectfully |
| regulators 11:9 | 203:18 | 253:1 | 171:14 174:2 | 71:13 138:17 |
| regulatory | remain 9:21 | reporting | 176:19 194:6 | 166:9 |
| 17:25 43:10 | 119:5 | 132:15 253:21 | 197:10,11 | respecting |
| 49:13 71:16,23 | remaining 13:3 | reports 126:6 | 200:6,9 201:18 | 213:22 |
| 72:1 91:16 | 13:7 | 132:13,15,22 | 238:18 | respective 52:10 |
| 97:2 115:11 | remember | 137:3,7 139:22 | requirement | respond 18:19 |
| 125:16 154:15 | 123:16 129:19 | 140:2 175:2 | 13:11 27:14 | 72:4 131:8 |
| 158:11 178:9 | 165:5 198:22 | 211:16 240:15 | 96:12 129:15 | 194:3 201:18 |
| 188:15,20 | 207:17 211:9 | represent 43:2 | 129:17 131:14 | 201:23,23 |
| 243:12 | 223:19 224:13 | 47:18 88:2 | 160:5,24 | respondent 1:8 |
| reinspect 174:20 | 240:1 | 148:19 164:3 | 161:13 172:9 | 2:2 6:22,25 |
| related 12:19,20 | remembering | 206:13 | requirements | 7:25 8:1 9:13 |
| 50:4 51:14 | 211:1,6 | representation | 12:9,12 13:15 | 10:24 12:24 |
| 134:8 149:10 | remind 216:15 | 47:20 65:14 | 17:25 42:13 | 13:1 14:13 |
| 244:14 | remnant 36:17 | representative | 51:8 52:11 | 31:13 46:1 |
| relates 138:4,12 | remote 93:24 | 88:10 182:15 | 54:23,24 72:9 | 138:16 208:4,4 |
| relating 160:14 | removal 84:13 | represented | 78:8 124:20,21 | respondent's |
| relationship | render 70:6 | 61:16 88:2 | 125:16,20 | 5:13 9:24 |
| 134:25 | renew 189:20 | representing | 138:4 139:3 | 12:20,21 19:16 |
| relative 83:6 | renewal 85:6,12 | 215:2 | 143:25 154:13 | 20:14 21:17 |
| relatively 36:13 | 85:19 86:7,8 | request 10:16,18 | 154:16 155:5 | 23:20 24:1,5 |
| 71:23 | 86:12,18 | 85:6,12 139:17 | 158:13 176:7 | 45:17 55:25 |
| release 8:6 9:20 | renewals 85:17 | 146:2 152:1,16 | 176:16,17 | 74:14 76:3 |
| 45:24 60:21 | reoccurring | 152:18 153:8 | 178:10 187:13 | 136:1,5 154:7 |
| 99:12,13 | 235:4 | 153:17 154:11 | 217:18 218:13 | 170:15,19,25 |
| 101:11 118:24 | repair 79:23 | 169:25 207:18 | requires 13:9 | 195:16 217:3,8 |
| 247:8 | repeat 57:5 | 211:5 223:11 | 111:25 138:22 | 217:16,17 |
| | l | l | I | I |

Page 30

| 248:21,22 | 196:1 197:19 | 146:8,19 147:6 | 211:18 213:18 | 202:4,6 210:3 |
|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 250:5,8,12,14 | 198:18 199:25 | 153:18 155:3 | 214:2 218:21 | 226:23 |
| 250:15,20 | 200:1,4 201:1 | 176:17 178:1 | 220:5 222:15 | roughness |
| respondents | 201:4,5,16,19 | 187:8 218:12 | 224:4,7,12 | 101:19 |
| 7:20 191:14 | 201:20,21 | reviewing 72:11 | 229:22 231:23 | route 116:11,13 |
| responder 26:7 | 207:12 211:14 | 126:6 152:3 | 232:5,13 233:9 | routine 50:6 |
| responder's | 211:18 213:7 | 169:24 173:10 | 232:3,13 233.7 | routinely 65:6 |
| 37:19 | 233:13 235:14 | 173:21 197:2 | 235:2 238:16 | rule 49:15,17 |
| responders | 236:5 237:23 | reviews 27:3 | 238:19 243:6 | 50:11 51:15 |
| 17:15,16,17 | 246:18 | 62:10 203:23 | 244:2 247:3,6 | 54:3,3,25 |
| 25:23 37:5,16 | responses 17:10 | revised 73:22 | 247:16 248:20 | 57:24,25 70:7 |
| responding 96:8 | 50:21 51:2 | revisions 79:17 | 249:9,10 | 70:9,23 77:2 |
| 132:8 | responsibilities | Reynolds 1:21 | 251:16,21 | 77:14 78:12,13 |
| response 12:11 | 16:8,9 18:2,2,3 | 6:19,19,20 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 87:5 92:14 |
| | | 248:25 249:3 | right-hand 66:3 226:17 | |
| 12:14,22 16:11 | 39:16 49:4,6 | Richard 2:3 | | 93:10 118:11 |
| 16:17 18:5,17 | responsibility | | Right-to- 122:22 | 168:10 |
| 19:11 20:5,7 | 41:19,23 42:4 | 15:17 200:21 | ring 93:22 | ruled 61:6 |
| 21:10 22:6,15 | 42:7,12,19 | Rick 6:23 | rising 232:10 | rules 75:4 |
| 25:21 26:5,20 | responsible | right 8:18 10:3 | risk 22:17 | 167:14 |
| 26:25 27:2,3,5 | 16:11 17:1 | 10:17 14:20 | 122:25 | ruling 167:24 |
| 27:15 35:1 | responsive | 15:6 22:25 | risks 12:15 | run 228:12 |
| 37:1,2,3,4,8,14 | 164:4 | 24:10,18 25:4 | river 11:2,4 | running 66:5 |
| 37:17,18 40:14 | rest 152:12,14 | 28:7 30:6,10 | 22:21 23:13 | 149:15 |
| 49:7 50:16 | restate 64:20 | 30:15,16,24 | 24:23 25:1,22 | RX 215:4 |
| 51:13,21,23 | 118:6 | 31:16 32:6,20 | 32:9 51:11 | 248:18 |
| 53:1,22 57:15 | restatement | 35:4,5 41:1 | 61:6 64:4 | RX- 22:8 31:10 |
| 62:15 66:10 | 213:18 | 44:7 45:22 | 65:18,23 66:1 | RX-104 214:20 |
| 67:6 75:4 | restrain 93:7 | 46:10,11 56:20 | 66:13 69:1,2,8 | 214:21 |
| 77:20 78:10,10 | result 58:17 | 61:10 63:3 | 73:9 98:23 | RX-17 177:1 |
| 78:14,17 | 117:7 | 64:2,18 66:4,6 | 99:21,25 100:3 | RX-2 153:13,25 |
| 109:12 110:16 | retain 45:22 | 72:24 77:6 | 100:7,17 | 154:3 |
| 111:6,12,14 | return 244:25 | 79:6 81:1 82:3 | 155:13 156:1 | RX-23 194:23 |
| 112:6 117:13 | review 20:1 22:3 | 82:20 87:25 | 172:17 | 195:1,12,19 |
| 122:24 126:20 | 24:13 27:12 | 90:2,7,14,14 | Road 45:13 | RX-50 135:8,24 |
| 127:6 128:10 | 53:2 85:8 86:9 | 90:18,22,22 | robust 12:14 | RX-6 169:4,17 |
| 128:24 129:6,7 | 87:24 137:3 | 91:20 92:7 | role 18:20,22 | 170:12 |
| 129:23,24 | 139:20 140:2 | 93:3,3 94:25 | 49:9,11,18,23 | RX-83 24:9 |
| 131:3,6,15,17 | 140:15,21,24 | 95:6,7 96:23 | 50:2,5,20 53:1 | 31:13 |
| 131:19 132:1 | 141:5 146:9 | 97:19 100:16 | 53:16 57:12 | RX-85 212:2 |
| 132:16 141:9 | 154:11 156:25 | 101:23,24 | 60:10 112:10 | RX-86 107:12 |
| 142:11 151:10 | 160:2 179:12 | 102:1 104:4,9 | 123:25 179:9 | 107:18 110:5 |
| 153:7,9,12,16 | 179:14 181:6 | 104:11,20 | 180:17 181:3,5 | RX-87 74:13 |
| 153:18,22 | 185:6 190:18 | 105:5 108:18 | 203:19 204:10 | 75:18,22 |
| 154:12,14,17 | 194:19 196:7 | 109:21 110:19 | roles 16:7 18:3,4 | 126:18,22 |
| 154:21 171:16 | 198:19 199:2 | 118:21 119:12 | 48:24 | Ryer 44:21 |
| 174:2 176:9,12 | 204:6 211:20 | 120:7,14 | roof 84:18 | ~ |
| 176:14,17 | 212:22 217:16 | 129:21 134:22 | room 164:8 | S |
| 178:4 180:11 | 217:25 219:24 | 149:1 168:8,23 | rough 238:21 | s 2:7 4:1 5:1 6:1 |
| 190:13 194:7,8 | 227:10 228:22 | 186:4 187:12 | roughly 29:6 | 9:13 29:20 |
| 194:9,11,14,15 | reviewed 91:21 | 198:19 211:14 | 30:2,3 32:4 | 44:1,18 94:23 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| 120:1,1,1 | 108:19 109:6 | 92:2,16,21 | 213:12,15,17 | separate 54:23 |
|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| 146:5 224:19 | 109:11 111:21 | 93:5,17,19 | 217:12 218:4 | 179:1 |
| S-W-A-C-K | 113:6 114:8 | 94:4 101:2,5 | 220:17 222:8 | separately 187:2 |
| 46:20 | 115:6 116:22 | 108:11 111:5 | 222:20,21,22 | September |
| Sacramento | 117:1 118:11 | 113:15 155:4,8 | 222:23,24 | 79:24 81:7 |
| 11:1,4 19:17 | 150:22 176:11 | 161:12 172:15 | 223:2 224:11 | 83:1 157:5 |
| 20:17 22:21,21 | 176:15 185:16 | 214:11 219:6,8 | 226:18 227:20 | 174:18 179:5 |
| 24:23 25:1,22 | 186:14 187:10 | 219:11,25 | 227:22 228:13 | 186:6 195:21 |
| 29:10,17 32:9 | 188:13 194:9 | 220:16 221:10 | 228:16 230:13 | 210:3 226:2 |
| 36:10 56:1,8,8 | 217:14 227:5 | 225:6 243:7 | 236:20 237:2 | 227:15 |
| 61:6 64:4 | 228:14 231:23 | 244:1,4,8 | seed 144:18 | sequentially |
| 65:18,23,25 | 232:8 236:17 | seconds 88:24 | seeking 68:15 | 158:12 |
| 66:13,20,23 | scenario 17:16 | section 22:10,10 | 168:1 | series 56:11 |
| 68:25 69:2,8 | 103:7 131:2 | 22:11 54:4 | seemingly 237:4 | seriousness |
| 73:9 100:15,17 | scenarios 131:3 | 93:1 95:17 | seen 94:5 98:1 | 203:5 229:8 |
| 100:21 101:14 | scene 18:15 | 96:17 98:6 | 98:24 132:22 | serves 157:1 |
| 141:19 155:13 | schedule 130:5 | 107:22 108:3 | 208:14 225:14 | service 84:21 |
| 156:1 172:17 | 185:3 193:14 | 109:2,14 | 225:16 | 136:15,23 |
| sad 90:5 | 201:3 | 112:21 113:6 | select 142:13 | 148:22,24 |
| SAIC 122:11,16 | scheme 71:23 | 113:17 114:8 | selecting 180:17 | 149:2,4,8 |
| 123:11 | school 90:18 | 114:15 116:2,9 | self-identificat | 150:23 151:5 |
| salary 235:22 | Science 122:11 | 116:25 122:23 | 57:21 | 154:22,22,23 |
| sales 239:25 | scientific 117:6 | 123:22 160:4 | self-study | 160:1 180:1,4 |
| salmon 25:17 | scope 8:2 105:13 | 168:11 176:15 | 125:16 | 180:9 188:13 |
| 40:4 | script 47:8 | 188:5 211:21 | semiaquatic | 189:10 222:3,8 |
| San 1:12,23 11:4 | se 55:9 57:16 | 212:9,10 213:3 | 25:16 | 222:17,23 |
| 20:4 40:12 | 95:24 105:2 | 213:8,9,11,16 | send 139:15,17 | Services 132:17 |
| 121:5 253:7 | 117:21 | 217:19 227:23 | sensitive 19:13 | set 12:3 33:23 |
| satellite 91:21 | sealed 223:4 | 233:2 | 22:7,16 23:4 | 37:21 50:17 |
| 140:10 223:24 | search 140:2 | Sections 122:21 | 26:2 32:18 | 79:16 218:24 |
| satisfactorily | Sears 142:24 | Sector 40:12 | 37:24,25 58:13 | 219:18 |
| 131:22 | 143:22 145:5 | see 9:1 21:12 | 61:3,15,21 | sets 13:25 42:22 |
| satisfied 23:22 | season 90:4 | 22:9 23:14 | 62:1,5,19 63:3 | 66:16 124:19 |
| satisfy 93:24 | seat 15:13 46:17 | 29:6,9,9,13,18 | 63:20 69:10 | 203:2 |
| 176:16 | 120:21 | 30:1 32:1,3,6 | 70:3,11,11 | settle 251:12 |
| save 167:4 | seated 89:19 | 33:11,13,19,20 | 97:17 104:12 | settlement 170:2 |
| saw 24:25 29:1 | 185:22 | 33:24,25 34:3 | 104:16 105:1 | settlements |
| 148:19 164:17 | second 36:23 | 34:8,10,13 | 105:16 108:14 | 230:3 |
| 165:10 167:11 | 58:5 162:8 | 44:20 55:13 | 111:9 155:10 | seven 168:4,5 |
| 168:2 223:7 | 171:11 178:5 | 58:24 59:2 | 155:16,21 | shadowing |
| 224:13 | 195:23 219:17 | 61:24 65:12 | 156:17 172:18 | 127:22 |
| saying 34:23 | 219:23 223:12 | 70:22 80:23 | 214:13 217:23 | share 239:15,18 |
| 97:7 110:13 | 229:16 230:21 | 81:6 108:19,20 | sensitivity 62:22 | sharp 51:7 |
| 113:1 115:19 | 230:22 | 108:24 110:6 | sent 151:24 | she'd 7:24 |
| 115:24 118:3 | secondarily | 117:24 132:12 | 152:18 170:6 | sheen 55:2,3,6 |
| 167:20 168:5 | 71:21 | 142:15 149:15 | 175:4,8,24 | 55:16 |
| 201:10 217:24 | secondary 14:14 | 150:10 159:20 | 195:20 241:14 | sheet 80:18 |
| 220:23 230:5 | 60:16,19 63:16 | 164:21 167:12 | 241:15 | 98:12 113:12 |
| says 36:6 44:20 | 67:15 70:23 | 174:18 205:11 | sentence 108:2,9 | 117:14 135:14 |
| 47:7,8 97:3 | 78:4,6 80:4 | 208:25 212:11 | 231:22 232:8 | ship 22:22 23:14 |
| | I | <u> </u> | l | l |

| 24:23 25:1,22 | shy 238:22 | size 76:22 88:7 | 45:1 47:23 | 144:7,7,9,10 |
|------------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| 61:7 64:4 | sic 20:11 43:25 | 203:3,4 208:9 | 55:11 65:2 | 144:11,23,25 |
| 65:19,23 66:1 | 88:13 156:2 | 230:11 | 66:4 69:10 | 145:1,24 146:8 |
| 66:3,13,21,23 | 177:19 | sized 160:20,25 | 87:18 95:18 | 146:9,16 147:6 |
| 67:3 69:1,3,8 | side 23:11 61:10 | 161:7 | 99:14 113:16 | 150:20 151:6 |
| 73:10 100:15 | 64:2 | skimmable | 123:2,16 | 156:25 157:1 |
| 100:18,21 | sign 152:8 170:5 | 34:17 35:12,16 | 137:16 145:6 | 157:25 158:1,4 |
| 101:14,20 | signature 216:9 | 37:23 | 168:18 204:7 | 159:14 175:2,6 |
| 155:13 172:18 | signed 152:10 | skimmer 34:16 | 223:25 230:5 | 175:7,9 176:9 |
| Shipment 11:2 | 182:14,16 | 35:15 | 234:25 239:15 | 178:8 182:1,13 |
| Shipping 32:9 | 184:19 199:25 | skimmers 35:3,5 | 249:24 | 183:11,15 |
| 156:2 | 200:25 214:18 | skimming 35:9 | sorts 17:19 | 186:5,17 187:2 |
| ships 36:12 | 216:5 | 35:11 | Sound 11:16 | 196:6,12,22 |
| shop-built 83:9 | significance | skip 82:16 | soundness | 202:2,10 |
| shorelines 12:7 | 38:12,22 | slough 25:14 | 117:15 | 207:23 210:2 |
| shoreside 35:9 | similar 86:11 | 36:11 | sounds 242:9 | 229:19 246:13 |
| short 52:19,20 | 88:7 117:24 | small 51:14 | 251:20 | 246:16 |
| 52:22 99:8 | simple 117:1 | smaller 88:12 | source 63:7 | SPCC-only |
| 185:3 | simpler 238:20 | smarter 47:22 | sources 19:6 | 63:18 |
| shorthand 57:14 | simply 114:14 | sneezes 109:22 | 139:25 | speak 6:13 |
| 161:12 | 115:12 118:3,8 | soil 115:3 | south 32:17 | 21:22 44:19 |
| show 11:7 13:6 | 235:18 | solely 109:12 | southerly 32:12 | 60:11 93:14,23 |
| 13:8,12,16,19 | sink 54:22 55:22 | somebody 164:9 | Southwest 15:25 | 101:19 138:7 |
| 14:4 56:3 | sir 28:21 39:18 | somewhat 129:9 | sown 194:9 | SPEAKER |
| 112:17 130:24 | sit 7:8,13 129:6 | sophisticated | soybean 87:21 | 10:22 21:19,20 |
| 141:17 169:22 | 191:9 221:3 | 10:25 117:5 | SP001 79:15,18 | 21:21,22,24 |
| 171:23 172:8 | site 24:22 25:12 | 239:17 | 126:5 134:21 | 44:1,18 48:5 |
| 194:7,13 195:4 | 32:18 33:11,13 | sorry 6:12 24:15 | 137:10,15,25 | 57:5 90:9,10 |
| 195:9,20 | 33:19 36:6,9 | 24:18 44:2,6 | spaces 158:14 | 90:14,15 |
| 196:23 211:8 | 36:10,20,24 | 52:14 60:1 | spacial 23:12 | 110:20 120:8 |
| 218:1,21 | 38:13,14,19 | 64:11 77:6 | SPCC 12:1,8,20 | 138:7 150:5 |
| showed 28:6 | 44:9 66:24 | 88:14 90:2 | 13:2,10,15 | 177:3 185:12 |
| 32:22 238:24 | 98:22 99:22,24 | 94:15 97:5 | 16:20 17:2,9 | 247:2 249:2,9 |
| showing 22:15 | 140:20 147:7 | 107:16 116:20 | 41:20,23 42:5 | 250:3 252:2 |
| 243:8 | 163:22,25 | 138:9,11 | 42:10,13 49:16 | speaking 97:2 |
| shown 162:22 | 164:3 211:2 | 156:23 159:10 | 49:20 50:6 | 115:10 |
| 194:10 201:3 | sites 22:7 23:4 | 159:10 166:18 | 52:1,11,20 | speaks 95:20 |
| 234:6 243:25 | 26:2 | 169:8 170:23 | 53:20 54:1,3,5 | 113:18 116:19 |
| shows 148:20 | sitting 7:17 | 171:8 172:6 | 56:10 57:24 | species 19:14 |
| 149:13 162:16 | situated 155:9 | 174:19 177:4 | 73:18,24 76:9 | 25:16,18 38:1 |
| 162:19 163:23 | situation 37:16 | 177:21 185:13 | 78:2 79:11 | 61:16 |
| 164:11 166:19 | 70:19 94:3 | 188:10 191:21 | 91:8 92:5,14 | specific 12:2 |
| 208:10,12 | 98:1 100:19,20 | 195:4 197:1,23 | 93:12 106:20 | 13:9 18:11 |
| 239:21 244:6 | 109:7 111:21 | 197:24 198:14 | 106:20 117:24 | 87:17 88:2 |
| shrub-scrub | 112:16 | 202:5 215:12 | 124:14 125:19 | 106:10 123:5 |
| 25:13 36:15 | situations 37:9 | 216:14 226:6 | 126:8,16 | 133:20 134:7 |
| shut 58:16 61:1 | 77:13 94:6 | 229:5 236:14 | 128:18 134:8,8 | 136:21 137:11 |
| 63:16 97:15 | six 13:15 134:6 | 247:3 249:12 | 136:24 138:2,4 | 155:1 161:21 |
| 104:23 | 160:9 163:25 | sort 7:22 8:3,5 | 138:5,10,12,13 | 201:2 202:16 |
| shutting 105:17 | 168:4,5 | 43:10 44:15,24 | 139:3,18 141:8 | 202:21 203:1 |
| Š | Í | ĺ | <u> </u> | |
| | | | | |

| | | | | - |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| specifically | 79:5,9,16 | 169:22 223:18 | storing 12:13,16 | 60:12 70:6,8 |
| 92:23 109:6 | 83:20 126:1,2 | stating 29:14 | storm 60:22,23 | 70:22 75:3 |
| 134:21 160:5 | 126:4,5,7 | 129:12 | 66:24,25 68:3 | 77:2,14 78:13 |
| 160:20 162:2 | 134:20 135:1,4 | statute 26:24 | 68:10,13 95:2 | 87:4,6 92:14 |
| 162:20 171:10 | 135:6 137:6,12 | 143:10 231:15 | 95:15 98:10,16 | 93:10 105:24 |
| 180:21 200:24 | 137:17,18,19 | statutory 13:25 | 98:19,22 99:1 | 154:12 155:1 |
| 235:5 | 171:13 186:25 | 14:3,6 72:2 | 99:13,14,22,23 | 168:14 172:11 |
| specified 153:10 | 196:2 | 204:23 205:2 | 100:2,6,14 | 173:23 217:17 |
| 1 - | | 204.23 203.2 | 100.2,0,14 | 218:13 241:22 |
| | standing 131:25 | 233:1 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| - | stands 129:19 | | 103:12,19 | submission |
| | start 54:20,21 | stay 51:6,7 | 113:20,23 | 112:5 |
| speed 248:7 | 55:22 63:24 | 133:2 | 114:24 116:4,7 | submit 85:21 |
| spell 15:14 | 85:3,5 142:11 | staying 128:3 | straight 23:13 | 110:16 111:6 |
| 46:18 120:22 | 251:18 | 133:6 | 66:5 97:2 | 111:12 172:19 |
| 1 - | started 123:10 | Steel 79:14,16 | strategies 17:13 | 213:7 228:19 |
| spent 238:24 | 125:8 | 82:24 137:9,15 | 19:8,9 26:5 | submits 26:25 |
| 1 - | starting 44:13 | 137:21 | 33:11,14,20 | submitted |
| 12:4,14 16:15 | 92:12 146:12 | step 45:19 | 34:5 36:24 | 172:22 208:5 |
| 17:16 25:21 | 158:6 206:15 | 118:22 229:7,7 | 37:3,4,6,8,18 | 220:1 237:24 |
| 34:20 35:1 | 206:16 | 231:24 232:1 | 51:13 62:15 | submitting |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | starts 10:16 | 232:25 | strategy 33:17 | 26:21 |
| 57:15,17 58:18 | 110:12 158:12 | steps 11:24 | 33:20,20,21 | subparagraph |
| 70:24 108:12 | 159:13 | 112:2 174:25 | 34:16,19 35:4 | 229:15 |
| | state 15:13,23 | 233:15 | 35:6,13 37:10 | subsection |
| 132:22 140:2 | 18:18 46:17 | STI 79:5 126:5 | 37:11,14,17 | 212:19 229:12 |
| 141:5,7 175:2 | 48:11 57:12 | 134:21 137:10 | 38:13,14,19 | subsections |
| 176:10 178:2 | 65:25 74:25 | stipulate 251:5 | streamline | 33:14 213:16 |
| 194:11 200:3 | 81:23 86:14 | stipulated 61:5 | 191:10 | subsequent |
| 201:1,19 214:7 | 91:7 103:22 | 65:16 228:11 | Street 1:23 2:7 | 99:25 162:6 |
| 236:5 | 120:21 129:12 | stipulation | 253:23 | 171:19 217:16 |
| spilled 11:14 | 131:7,12 | 215:5 | strengthen | 221:9 |
| spills 11:21,24 | 133:21 134:11 | stipulations | 11:17 | subsequently |
| 12:6 51:10 | 134:15 143:2,6 | 248:6 | strenuously | 220:13 |
| 132:20 133:23 | 143:8,16,19,22 | stop 104:19 | 167:8 | subset 12:8 |
| 140:3 174:14 | 144:3 150:24 | storage 52:18,22 | strong 40:23 | 246:8,10,11 |
| spur 224:17,21 | 176:7 182:8 | 53:17 58:11 | structure 78:7 | substance |
| spurs 224:18,19 | 222:6 224:23 | 83:1 135:7,15 | 80:5 93:20 | 143:15 |
| | stated 36:20 | 138:12 139:4 | structures 67:15 | substances |
| stand 15:4 31:17 | 70:19 134:19 | 143:10,11,21 | 93:7 101:18 | 143:13 |
| 46:10 89:10 | 232:5 | 144:4,16,20 | 113:15 114:1 | substantial 43:3 |
| | statement 10:10 | 160:24 203:4 | study 125:18 | 43:4 63:17 |
| 185:16 251:17 | 85:11 86:8,12 | 217:20 221:10 | subcomponents | 93:11,13,15 |
| standard 79:14 | 86:21,25 155:4 | 231:7 244:17 | 234:6 | 108:11,24 |
| 79:15,18,23,25 | 156:13 168:18 | store 12:9 92:11 | subheading | 158:9 213:19 |
| | statements | 244:15,16,20 | 229:7 | 214:1,4 217:18 |
| 82:25 84:6 | 218:23 | stored 78:24 | subject 12:8,24 | 237:22 |
| | states 1:10 11:3 | 174:6 | 26:19 42:7,10 | substantiate |
| 152:15 175:18 | 11:19 15:24 | stores 10:25 | 42:13,23 43:1 | 242:6 |
| standards 9:10 | 16:1 96:18 | 42:9 58:4,5 | 43:11,16 57:24 | substitute 79:1 |
| 13:17 71:10 | 113:9 159:23 | 110:25 | 57:25 58:2 | subtracted |
| | | | <u> </u> | l |

| | | | | Tage 34 |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 203:10 | 206:18,22 | 187:11 237:5 | 46:14 120:18 | 248:6 |
| successive 233:6 | 207:7 208:19 | sure 9:6,17,25 | system 131:9 | talked 61:25 |
| suffers 78:20 | 208:23 209:8 | 17:18 23:16 | system 131.9 | 62:16 111:18 |
| sufficient 63:8 | 209:11 212:12 | 25:12 28:8 | T | 116:9,9 142:23 |
| 93:21 | 222:12 223:12 | 29:24 30:1 | T 4:1 5:1 69:20 | 145:4 223:21 |
| sufficiently | 226:6,9 229:25 | 31:15 38:25 | 120:1 | 246:24 |
| 93:22 | 237:11 240:7 | 39:1 42:15 | tab 24:15,16,17 | talking 16:21 |
| Sugerman 1:21 | 240:12 241:7 | 43:18 47:9 | 82:3 | 37:20,21 39:5 |
| 6:11,11,14,14 | 242:17,20,21 | 50:8,11 52:17 | table 21:11 | 51:13 96:7 |
| 6:15 10:12,21 | 245:14 246:1 | 53:12 57:21 | 22:14 69:20 | 98:16 108:7 |
| 10:23 14:9,11 | 246:22 247:3,5 | 58:3 60:10 | 80:16 129:7 | 109:4 137:16 |
| 14:16 120:5,12 | 247:12 249:12 | 61:5 63:13 | tabletop 129:5 | 161:11 238:13 |
| 121:1,3,24 | 249:15,17 | 64:22 66:15 | tag- 51:3 | talks 96:17 |
| 122:9 126:21 | Sugerman's | 72:5,24 73:3 | tagging 127:21 | 111:22 113:18 |
| 126:25 127:1 | 10:15 | 77:23 87:14,16 | take 11:24 17:7 | 116:25 134:14 |
| 135:23 136:7 | suggest 248:5 | 89:6 92:10 | 20:1,8 22:3 | Tango 120:24 |
| 138:1,11 139:6 | suggested | 94:6 99:13,23 | 23:1 24:13 | tank 13:18 |
| 139:7 142:3,6 | 142:24 | 101:2,21 | 31:10,12 33:8 | 52:18,23 58:11 |
| 142:7 146:21 | suggestion | 111:17 112:22 | 34:15 37:16 | 60:14,17,21 |
| 147:5 148:5,14 | 191:6 | 114:14 115:12 | 43:14 55:18 | 76:19,22,25 |
| 149:23 150:7 | suggestions | 117:23 138:9 | 56:4 59:4 | 77:2,4,8,14,25 |
| 152:22 153:6 | 142:16 | 146:3 176:5 | 73:15 74:22 | 78:5,8,18 |
| 153:25 154:9 | suitable 25:16 | 193:22 198:24 | 79:19 81:22 | 79:12,14,16,18 |
| 157:11,20 | Suite 253:22 | 218:4 219:16 | 85:8 86:4,9 | 79:22 82:24 |
| 163:17 164:4,7 | sum 237:5 | 220:8 223:13 | 89:7 93:19 | 83:20 84:11,17 |
| 165:16 166:18 | summarize | 247:23,25 | 112:1 115:22 | 84:18,20,23 |
| 166:23 167:4 | 132:2 217:23 | 248:4 251:9,20 | 117:5 119:8,9 | 101:9 103:2,10 |
| 168:17,23 | summary 24:22 | surface 17:8 | 121:17 127:8 | 133:23 134:20 |
| 169:1,2,10,13 | 44:9 183:10 | 55:20 69:18 | 140:22 143:4 | 136:8,10,15,21 |
| 169:15,16 | sunny 90:10 | surrounding | 149:24 159:21 | 136:23 137:3,3 |
| 170:11 171:9 | Superfund 16:3 | 93:20 | 160:12 163:5 | 137:9,15,21 |
| 174:3,4 176:20 | 16:10 123:11 | Susan 1:6,16 6:5 | 164:14 175:13 | 139:21 143:1 |
| 176:24 177:5 | 123:23 | Sustained 64:20 | 176:2 180:14 | 148:24,25,25 |
| 177:16 178:15 | superseded | Swackhammer | 180:18,22 | 149:4,10,11 |
| 178:24 181:11 | 158:2 | 3:5 46:6,13,19 | 186:19 201:20 | 150:9,23 151:6 |
| 181:20 182:22 | supervise 16:24 | 46:20 47:25 | 206:7 207:10 208:20,24 | 151:8,9 154:22 |
| 183:7 184:1,10 | supervising | 48:9 57:11 | 208:20,24 209:4 248:3,5 | 159:25 162:18 |
| 185:7,13,17,23 | 16:25 | 59:2 64:24 | taken 137:11,13 | 162:21,22 |
| 185:24 188:2,3 | supervisor 37:1 | 71:5 73:8 76:6 | 137:14 178:4 | 165:18,21,21 |
| 190:2,9,10,23 | supplementary | 82:8 89:12 | 180:15,19,22 | 165:22 172:4,6 |
| 191:6,17,20,23 | 62:25 63:1,5 | 91:3 99:12 | 194:8 201:7 | 174:10 179:18 |
| 192:1,3,9,16 192:24 193:2 | support 13:7 14:6 86:18 | 102:14 107:11 118:20,22 | 202:19 210:7 | 179:22 180:5,6 188:13,16,17 |
| 194:22 195:4,8 | 208:5 | 133:9 173:24 | 212:23 239:3,6 | 188:19,20,23 |
| 194:22 195:4,8 | supporting | 207:19 | takes 87:3 | 188:24 189:1,4 |
| 196:20 197:16 | 85:11 86:7,8 | Swackhamme | 126:13 | 189:5,8 197:5 |
| 197:20,23 | 86:12,21,25 | 156:15 | talk 54:4 60:1 | 197:9,9 211:12 |
| 197.20,23 | suppose 219:13 | swear 15:4 46:8 | 111:4 114:7 | 220:1,5,7,8,14 |
| 199:16 204:17 | 221:18 | swear 13.4 40.8 switch 123:21 | 116:3 117:25 | 222:2,8,14,19 |
| 205:13,14 | supposed | switch 123.21 sworn 15:10 | 117:25 143:5 | 222:20,23 |
| 203.13,14 | supposcu | SWULH 13.10 | | ~~~.~U,~J |
| | • | | • | • |

| 223:1,8 242:15 | terms 18:17 | 171:13 172:2,3 | thing 43:24 | 80:14 |
|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| 243:2,5,8,9,24 | 35:3,4 40:16 | 172:4,5,6 | 160:12 204:14 | thirds 213:13 |
| 243:25 244:2,4 | 49:14 51:12 | 186:24 187:1,6 | 235:19 251:18 | Thirty-three |
| 244:7,13,17,18 | 53:4,14,20 | 187:8,19 | things 7:7 16:23 | 250:4 |
| 244:21 246:11 | 60:25 62:4,15 | 193:13,14,16 | 17:4 25:25 | thoroughly |
| tanks 60:17 64:7 | 67:5 78:11,23 | 196:2,25 197:6 | 37:22 40:5 | 41:11 |
| 67:2,2 76:20 | 80:10 95:15,19 | 197:9 246:12 | 41:12 56:12 | thought 47:6 |
| 80:3,4,8 83:1,9 | 95:23 217:24 | tests 127:8,11 | 73:10 119:3 | 167:4 236:22 |
| 91:14 93:21 | 227:21 231:13 | 146:4 | 141:4 165:20 | 246:8 |
| 101:4,4 126:1 | 239:24 241:8 | text 159:20,21 | 175:1 216:13 | thoughts 81:24 |
| 135:7 136:14 | 242:1 | 229:1 237:12 | 229:2 234:13 | 165:18 |
| 136:18 138:12 | test 127:11,16 | thank 10:2,8,20 | 234:17 235:13 | threat 43:4 |
| 139:4 145:2 | 197:12 | 14:8,18,23 | 248:7 | three 33:14 35:7 |
| 148:16,20,22 | testified 8:4 | 15:12,18 21:16 | think 7:5,6,8,9 | 35:8 85:2 |
| 149:17 150:21 | 15:11 28:17 | 23:7 27:18,21 | 7:22,23 8:11 | 127:20 130:16 |
| 150:25 151:1,5 | 39:24 46:15 | 28:4,16 35:21 | 8:25 9:2,9 | 202:4,6,7 |
| 162:3,19 | 71:25 73:11 | 38:8 39:18 | 22:23 29:15 | threshold 77:3 |
| 164:23 174:9 | 76:9 79:4 | 41:6 43:23 | 31:11 38:2 | throat 120:11,13 |
| 174:10,16 | 94:19 120:19 | 45:19,21 46:2 | 39:25 42:6 | 160:18 |
| 196:25 223:9 | 163:21,25 | 46:4,16,21 | 43:20 47:4 | Thursday 1:13 |
| 244:10 | 168:24 209:22 | 47:21 56:23 | 48:3 71:14,21 | tide 37:12 |
| tapes 253:11 | 222:1 241:23 | 59:25 72:3 | 74:18 80:17 | tied 143:12 |
| taught 52:21 | 243:9 | 76:6 85:8 | 82:23 88:1 | Tilford 182:14 |
| teach 52:10,21 | testify 9:22 31:8 | 88:23 89:3 | 89:23 94:15,19 | 182:16 |
| team 40:14 | 138:19 | 91:20 102:10 | 101:7 109:16 | till 119:13 |
| 49:20 51:18 | testifying 9:22 | 118:15,18,22 | 109:19 110:8 | time 8:16 11:10 |
| 194:15 | 138:20 | 119:14 120:20 | 113:5 115:19 | 13:18 17:20 |
| team's 37:3 | testimony 9:3,11 | 120:25 121:1 | 118:25 119:7 | 34:20 47:17 |
| teams 37:1 | 13:5 14:5 15:5 | 121:16 139:6 | 124:1 127:25 | 48:3 51:3 |
| technical 91:18 | 34:22 47:2 | 142:6 159:11 | 128:22 132:22 | 66:19 68:13,17 |
| technology | 61:14 69:2 | 169:1 173:7 | 134:21 138:24 | 69:20 72:7 |
| 164:8 | 71:15 72:2 | 185:17 189:21 | 142:22 146:7 | 94:21 95:4,14 |
| tell 9:20 10:23 | 89:13 96:10,11 | 189:24 192:3 | 150:1 163:10 | 95:20,21,23,24 |
| 29:15 32:12 | 96:24 106:18 | 205:5 215:11 | 165:9 167:14 | 106:7,10 123:7 |
| 82:23 121:4 | 112:15,22 | 215:17,19,20 | 168:10,20 | 123:17 131:24 |
| 130:25 131:2 | 138:18 156:15 | 227:25 228:7 | 175:14 184:15 | 132:23,25 |
| 143:7 161:17 | 166:2,11 | 230:20 237:14 | 186:14 191:11 | 139:16 142:25 |
| 163:2 172:22 | 167:10,15,16 | 237:15 240:6 | 191:20 192:4 | 145:18 149:3 |
| 173:15 195:22 | 168:3,11,12,19 | 247:15,16 | 205:8 214:24 | 150:25 151:4 |
| 207:20 222:19 | 189:14 194:18 | 251:21 | 215:4 216:23 | 153:10 163:6 |
| temperature | 200:13,13,16 | the-job 127:13 | 224:4,9 228:10 | 164:17,18 |
| 143:19 | 209:5,10 | therewith | 230:15 232:19 | 167:5,24 179:3 |
| template 157:21 | 222:11 241:22 | 212:24 | 233:21 236:18 | 180:1 184:21 |
| term 102:17,22 | 251:24,25 | thermoplastic | 237:20 240:8 | 184:21 185:13 |
| 102:23 103:9 | testing 13:18 | 54:18 | 241:22 242:15 | 191:19 194:9 |
| termed 53:24 | 79:13 83:9 | thermoplastics | 243:16 249:23 | 194:12 201:9 |
| termination | 135:3,6 136:9 | 143:14 | thinking 24:18 | 210:25 213:5 |
| 104:10 | 136:10,18 | they'd 142:16 | 24:20 220:18 | 218:18 231:15 |
| terminology | 137:4 139:21 | thick 29:19 | third 25:9 32:21 | 234:19,25 |
| 43:3 | 150:20,21 | thickness 84:15 | 58:15 66:6 | 240:5,13 |
| | I | l | l | l |

| 244:13,22 | 134:4,5,19 | tried 172:2 | 181:21 183:8 | 84:12 95:2 |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 245:7 247:22 | 137:11,13,15 | 248:13 | 186:2 188:4 | 102:4 106:13 |
| timeline 182:6 | 139:1,21 146:3 | trigger 78:1,7 | 190:11 192:10 | 139:10 140:17 |
| timely 201:23 | 179:11,17 | 78:13 | 193:7 195:19 | typo 220:2 |
| times 20:10 63:2 | 194:6,8 200:9 | triggering 78:11 | 196:21 197:13 | typographical |
| 69:20,21 | 201:2,2,5 | trip 90:2 | 199:1 200:17 | 188:16 |
| 111:18 116:5 | 202:16,20,22 | trouble 22:23 | 206:10 212:2,5 | |
| 125:22 193:21 | 204:6,8 225:13 | 211:6 | 213:11 230:12 | U |
| title 16:1 33:18 | 225:17 234:13 | Troy 46:6 133:8 | Twenty-four | U.S 1:1 6:7 |
| 48:17,18 | 235:9 236:2,4 | 173:23 | 226:9 | 48:13 50:18 |
| 143:10 207:17 | 245:6 | true 68:2 76:14 | Twenty-three | 121:5 122:19 |
| 253:4 | trainings 75:12 | 105:15 121:20 | 195:3 | 253:12 |
| titled 22:5 33:16 | 133:22,22,24 | 135:20 141:24 | twister 65:24 | ultimate 70:12 |
| 61:8 | 134:6,7 201:6 | 144:24 148:2 | two 11:11 21:8 | 96:13 97:22 |
| today 31:8 73:11 | transect 29:16 | 152:17 153:21 | 23:23,25 24:4 | 98:3 114:17 |
| 95:11 209:7 | transfer 110:13 | 157:8 170:8 | 37:22 44:13 | unable 208:6 |
| 221:3,15 | 150:8,11,12 | 178:11 181:8 | 62:2 64:8 77:8 | 230:17 |
| 248:12 251:17 | 151:2 155:6 | 182:19 183:23 | 80:4 101:9 | unannounced |
| told 222:12,14 | 159:25 160:14 | 186:9 190:20 | 117:12 130:6 | 51:4,5 129:2 |
| 223:7 | 160:25 161:4 | 216:9 223:9 | 135:5 148:16 | 129:10 130:19 |
| tomorrow 248:8 | 161:23 | 231:20 | 148:20 150:22 | 130:23 131:12 |
| 251:4,19 | transferring | try 19:21 88:9 | 162:20 171:10 | unanswered |
| tongue 65:24 | 214:9 | 130:15 191:10 | 195:22 196:5 | 152:3 |
| top 22:9 29:20 | transfers 58:4 | 191:17 209:9 | 211:11,15 | unclear 99:17 |
| 36:5 55:7 59:9 | transmittal | 238:19 | 225:22,24 | uncontested |
| 69:19 159:14 | 227:21 | trying 34:23 | 231:24 233:1 | 13:4 |
| 227:4,4 240:1 | transmitted | 37:12 88:4 | 233:14 235:13 | undergoes 26:25 |
| topography | 227:24 | 117:2 167:18 | 244:9 250:6 | underline 34:5 |
| 115:2 | transport 66:18 | 215:13 227:14 | two- 77:24 | understand |
| total 144:19 | 67:1,8,14 | 236:24 | 213:12 | 29:24 34:22 |
| 207:21,25 | 92:24 98:2,7 | tule-cattail | two-million- | 36:19,21 37:2 |
| totaling 236:19 | 98:11 101:25 | 36:18 | 78:17 | 37:2 38:25 |
| totally 144:21 | 112:13,19,24 | tunneling 87:21 | two-sided 229:5 | 39:1 62:25 |
| track 133:20 | 113:2 114:17 | turn 19:19 21:8 | two-thirds | 64:11 65:13,25 |
| tracks 133:17 | 115:1,15,23,25 | 21:16 22:8,18 | 23:11 | 66:25 71:15 |
| 134:10 149:14 | 116:10,11 | 23:3 24:8 | type 54:25 | 76:14 77:21 |
| 149:16 162:24 | transported | 26:19 36:4,23 | 114:12 115:9 | 83:21 112:18 |
| 224:15 | 113:8 | 41:17 58:21 | 136:17 204:14 | 115:19 118:2 163:20 167:23 |
| trade 82:13 | transpositional | 73:14 74:13 | types 87:19 | 168:8 172:10 |
| train 52:9 | 220:14 | 79:19 80:14 | typical 17:15 | 174:7 211:23 |
| trained 132:6 | trapped 113:14 | 81:22 85:2 | 69:22,23 70:4 | 227:2 229:16 |
| training 52:7,8 | travel 66:19,20 | 86:1,9 121:12 | 117:21 145:12 | 235:24,25 |
| 52:15,15 73:6 | 68:17 69:19 | 126:18 135:8 | 145:13 | 236:2,24 238:9 |
| 98:24 123:2,4 | 95:14,20,24 | 141:11 146:12 | typically 25:23 | understanding |
| 123:6 124:19 | 101:14 114:24 | 147:11 151:20 | 51:3 52:20 | 7:9 10:16 |
| 125:10,21,23 | 116:14 | 153:13 156:19 | 54:18 55:7 | 22:19 38:12,21 |
| 126:3 127:10 | traveling 103:14 | 159:7 162:7 | 60:24 61:24 | 61:18 65:22 |
| 127:13 128:1,8 128:13 133:1 | travels 113:19 treated 69:3 | 169:3 173:4 174:19 175:11 | 62:10 65:15 69:13,17 70:13 | 68:23 72:15 |
| 133:17,18 | tribunal 205:5 | 174:19 173:11 | 80:2,7 83:8 | 77:18 84:1 |
| 133.1/,10 | u ibunai 203.3 | 1 / / . 1 7 1 0 0 . 1 0 | 00.4,7 03.0 | 7 7 . 1 0 0 1 . 1 |
| | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

| | ı | | I | ı |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| 92:20 94:1,25 | upward 231:11 | verbal 23:17 | volumes 21:18 | 34:24 45:22 |
| 100:9 112:22 | USA 208:11 | verification | VSS 1:7 6:4 | 47:1,4 94:14 |
| 134:24 148:21 | 239:20 240:14 | 197:12 200:22 | 10:24 11:5,24 | 105:4 118:19 |
| 148:23 162:1,5 | use 18:24 19:3 | verified 100:1 | 13:14,16,19 | 125:1 142:25 |
| 213:2 223:24 | 25:14,15,20 | verify 99:1 | 20:17 22:20 | 149:24 167:12 |
| 234:11 236:8 | 65:3,6 69:6 | version 73:24 | 28:19,21 29:4 | 167:12 184:13 |
| 236:13 245:4 | 70:1,13 75:11 | 110:11 157:24 | 56:7 62:8 | 192:15 206:20 |
| understood | 102:24 103:8 | 158:3 | 63:25 64:15 | 208:23 209:1,4 |
| 91:21 96:9,11 | 106:14,21 | versions 186:13 | 73:9,12 79:9 | 209:12 216:21 |
| 97:6 112:25 | 108:23 114:13 | versus 30:14,19 | 80:1 83:7 88:8 | 218:4,21 |
| 213:5 | 115:21 117:2 | 54:3 55:21 | 100:10 141:18 | 221:20 223:13 |
| undertake 17:14 | 127:3 134:1 | 63:17 87:20 | 142:8,25 145:9 | 230:12 240:15 |
| uneven 66:4 | 140:8 141:1 | 88:7,12 93:12 | 145:22 146:17 | 247:7,8 248:24 |
| unfair 90:8 | 142:10 162:2 | vertical 243:6 | 151:24 152:19 | 251:12,17 |
| unfortunately | 163:14 167:12 | vessel 58:5 | 162:1,15 | wanted 7:11 |
| 78:20 | 202:14 207:13 | 214:9 | 164:19 169:21 | 8:14 14:19 |
| unheated | 234:24 241:12 | viable 239:22 | 169:25 174:6 | 40:7 91:11 |
| 174:16 | 242:17 243:18 | video 48:4 | 175:5 182:15 | 109:4 180:21 |
| UNIDENTIFI | useful 26:7 60:7 | view 28:17 | 190:14 197:19 | 226:13 |
| 10:22 21:19,20 | 119:2 | 34:24 40:22 | 199:20 200:21 | warrants 138:22 |
| 21:21,22,24 | uses 39:25 | 56:13 65:11 | 201:9 207:15 | Washington |
| 44:1,18 48:5 | usually 60:4 | 67:3 71:17 | 209:24 213:23 | 36:17 38:20 |
| 57:5 90:9,10 | 90:3,12 130:16 | 138:22 224:16 | 225:9,11,12 | 48:14 49:1,3 |
| 90:14,15 | 134:5 142:15 | 232:3 | 227:11,16 | 253:24 |
| 110:20 120:8 | 134.3 142.13 | viewpoint 205:4 | 237:20 238:23 | wasn't 99:13,18 |
| 138:7 150:5 | $\overline{\mathbf{V}}$ | views 221:3,6 | 239:21 253:4 | 158:17 161:5,6 |
| 177:3 185:12 | v 1:6 253:4 | violation 151:17 | VSS's 220:12 | 164:20 167:22 |
| 247:2 249:2,9 | vague 64:11 | 167:25 195:23 | 221:4 239:13 | waste 128:10 |
| 250:3 252:2 | Valdez 11:14 | 210:2 233:13 | | 191:19 |
| Unified 133:19 | valid 84:8 85:22 | | VSSI's 13:1,11 | watches 90:20 |
| 134:1 142:23 | value 234:25 | violations 12:18 | vulnerability 70:17 105:20 | |
| - | value 254:25 valves 84:16 | 13:3,8 151:13 | /0:1/ 103:20 | water 11:2,2,17 |
| Uniform 120:24 | variety 17:13 | 151:14,19 | \mathbf{W} | 12:7 13:23 |
| uninsulated | 25:15 39:13 | 169:23 171:10 | W-I-T-U-L | 22:22 24:23 |
| 148:23 174:16 | 87:23 117:3 | 196:4,22 203:1 | 120:24 | 25:1,15,22 |
| unique 98:23 | various 134:16 | 203:5,6 229:19 | wage 235:22 | 30:12 32:9 |
| United 1:10 11:3 | 158:20,21 | 233:5 246:5,7 | wait 9:1,3,5 | 40:4 42:2 43:6 |
| 11:19 15:24 | 161:20 203:7 | 246:13,16 | 59:19 89:19 | 43:9 54:17,20 |
| 16:1 113:9 | 204:15 237:19 | violator 207:9 | walk 140:20 | 54:21,22 55:7 |
| unseasonable | | 233:6,8 | 145:16 167:1 | 55:16,21,22 |
| 90:16 | vary 34:25 veers 44:15 | virtue 118:4,8 | 179:19 180:20 | 57:17 58:17,19 |
| unusual 100:4 | | visible 156:12 | 202:24 | 60:23 61:1,2,7 |
| update 86:21 | vegetable 144:17 | 162:23 188:24 | | 61:7,10,23 |
| 134:15 | | visit 99:24 | walk-210:7 | 62:2 63:15,16 |
| updated 125:19 | velocities 95:19 | 142:25 163:25 | walk-through | 63:21,23 64:2 |
| 151:7 | 114:3,6 116:5 | visited 187:13 | 147:7 | 64:4 65:19,23 |
| updates 51:19 | velocity 69:18 | 187:14 | walking 23:16 | 66:1,2,7,13,22 |
| 133:2 238:18 | vent 188:23 | voided 235:4 | 216:12 233:14 | 67:10,18,20 |
| updating 27:1 | vents 188:22 | VOIR 3:2 | wall 84:15 243:7 | 68:9,15,23,25 |
| upper 95:18 | 189:8 | volume 110:14 | walls 244:9 | 69:1,3,7,8,9,20 |
| upstream 25:14 | venues 133:5 | 214:23 | want 9:20 24:10 | 73:9 92:14,25 |
| | l | | l | l |

| 04.22.06.2.5 | 44.0.40.2 | 50 12 61 2 21 | | 02.0.04.10 |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| 94:22 96:3,5 | 44:8 48:3 | 58:13 61:3,21 | witness's 82:15 | 93:8 94:10 |
| 96:19,20 97:1 | 68:12 69:18 | 61:25 62:5,19 | witnesses 3:2 | 101:13 103:9 |
| 97:16 98:3,12 | 72:13,20,21 | 63:20 69:10 | 7:8,16,21 9:21 | 103:14,16,24 |
| 98:20 99:2,15 | 73:3 88:4 | 70:3,11 97:17 | 251:3 | 113:11 |
| 99:16 100:6,18 | 89:13 108:6,7 | 105:16 108:14 | Witul 3:6 7:22 | worth 239:25 |
| 102:2,6,9,20 | 115:20,21 | 111:9 155:10 | 8:9 56:10 | wouldn't 8:2 |
| 102:25 103:6 | 130:5 161:11 | 155:16 156:16 | 120:5,17,23 | 30:15 43:12 |
| 103:15,21,23 | 162:12 168:15 | 214:13 217:22 | 149:24 150:3 | 56:19 104:19 |
| 104:16,23 | 168:20 189:25 | William 11:16 | 204:25 209:20 | 105:12 143:16 |
| 105:1,4,6,18 | 191:25 216:25 | willing 166:1,4 | 209:22 212:2 | 154:14 201:23 |
| 107:15 108:15 | 218:3 226:7 | 189:13,17 | 214:25 215:23 | 210:22 248:25 |
| 110:13 111:24 | 231:2 236:15 | 194:18 200:12 | 225:8 227:6 | Wow 191:13 |
| 113:23 114:3 | 248:10 249:11 | wind 37:12 | 237:18 251:23 | write 75:9 168:6 |
| 114:11,12,21 | 249:17,18,19 | window 230:8 | Witul's 209:10 | writing 117:17 |
| 115:8,9 116:12 | we've 7:12 8:23 | witness 7:21,24 | wonder 165:25 | 127:23 |
| 116:15 120:6 | 55:17 64:1 | 8:15,18 9:13 | wondering 7:25 | written 92:25 |
| 140:6 143:12 | 107:13 111:18 | 13:5 14:4,25 | word 29:17 40:1 | 167:15 168:11 |
| 150:4 155:6,12 | 137:16 147:23 | 15:4,11,15 | 40:2 77:18 | wrong 95:9 |
| 155:13 156:1 | 186:12 238:21 | 27:12 31:16,19 | 79:1 96:1,9 | 96:25 222:19 |
| 156:12 161:10 | 238:22 248:21 | 41:8,10,16,21 | 224:19 | 234:25 248:13 |
| 172:16,17 | weather 68:17 | 42:1,6,14,17 | words 32:4 | X |
| 201:19 202:15 | website 75:14 | 42:22 43:6,18 | 38:22 95:8 | $\frac{\mathbf{X}}{\mathbf{X}3:1} 4:15:1$ |
| 204:23 214:9 | 208:8,10 | 43:20 44:4,6,8 | 97:19 161:1 | 235:7,8,9 |
| 214:14 | 239:19 | 44:11,22 45:1 | 228:18,20 | X-1 60:14 68:9 |
| waters 11:7,15 | week- 90:17 | 45:3,5,7,10,14 | 234:23 238:20 | 103:3,12,14,21 |
| 17:8 93:9 95:4 | week-long 134:4 | 45:18,21 46:5 | work 47:23 | Xs 64:8 |
| 104:9 113:9,11 | went 100:2 | 46:8,15,19 | 50:20 64:1 | 113 UT.0 |
| 113:13,21 | 123:22 145:12 | 56:16 60:4 | 76:10 87:12 | <u> </u> |
| 114:25 | 151:5 | 64:14 69:17 | 121:4,5 122:10 | yeah 9:18 21:12 |
| way 23:11 24:10 | weren't 38:20 | 71:25 89:14 | 122:19,19,21 | 21:21 23:12 |
| 25:9 33:5,10 | 99:23 161:6 | 102:16,19,23 | 123:13 126:3 | 29:19 30:3,8 |
| 47:25 75:6 | 183:21 234:20 | 103:8,22 104:4 | 127:21 131:19 | 57:14 82:14 |
| 80:19 101:7 | west 19:17 22:20 | 104:8,14 | 132:8 193:19 | 90:9,10,11 |
| 130:13 140:10 | 36:16 55:25 | 105:15 106:1,9 | 203:20 236:9 | 94:12,18 95:13 |
| 188:24 189:9 213:13 221:6 | 56:8 141:19 wet 116:22 | 106:12 107:20 | worked 122:10 123:23 | 96:15 108:1 |
| 213:13 221:6 | wet 116:22 wetland 63:22 | 107:23 109:23 | | 110:1 113:5 |
| 221:16 224:24 225:3 240:10 | 108:20 | 110:6,11,24 118:24 120:4 | working 17:16 19:4 127:13,17 | 116:25 120:8 |
| we'll 47:4,19 | wetlands 109:8 | 118:24 120:4 | 19:4 127:13,17 | 121:15 149:22 |
| 85:3,3 89:10 | 111:22 116:23 | 150:19,23 | 204:6 | 150:5 169:10 |
| 89:19,20,21,21 | WHF 220:13 | 164:15,20,24 | works 47:24 | 170:23 195:10 |
| 119:12 162:7 | WHF 220:13 WHF's 221:4 | 165:1,3,5 | 164:11 | 215:6 217:2 |
| 169:6,8 185:10 | Whiskey 120:24 | 166:10,14 | worksheet | 219:2 224:18 |
| 185:16 209:9 | white 44:5 | 167:16,20 | 233:22 236:16 | 228:4,17 |
| 215:3 219:16 | wholesale 166:8 | 168:13 176:25 | workshop | 230:14 234:22 |
| 219:17 228:11 | 168:3 | 185:9 197:18 | 133:18 | 236:20,21 |
| we're 6:3 7:11 | wide 9:4 | 215:8,11 | workshops | 249:16 251:1,2 |
| 8:12 23:16,17 | Wikipedia | 230:24 240:11 | 134:3 137:14 | 251:7 252:3 |
| 24:9 35:10 | 164:1 | 247:19 248:2 | worst-case | year 125:21,22 |
| 37:11 39:5 | wildlife 26:4 | 251:18 | 63:19 67:18 | 128:8 130:2,4 |
| 31.11 33.3 | WHUHIC 20.4 | 491.10 | 05.17 07.10 | _ |
| | • | | • | • |

| | | | | rage 55 |
|--|------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|
| 120.12.16 | 152.25 152.4 | 224:12 225:10 | 167.0 169.4 | 70.1 159.31 |
| 130:12,16 | 152:25 153:4 | 231:25 248:14 | 167:9 168:4 181 4:11 | 70:1 158:21 |
| 163:3,22 210:3 | 206:11,15,16 | 250:19 | 183 4:8 | 197:13,25 |
| 216:5 225:20 | 206:21,22,25 | | | 198:7,11 247:1 |
| 225:25 | 207:4 210:4 | 12:15 119:13 | 184 4:9 | 248:15 250:21 |
| year-round 11:3 | 228:11 248:14 | 12:15-ish 119:10 | 18th 1:11 | 20- 230:25 |
| 11:4 | 248:16 249:7 | 12:16 119:17 | 19 4:18 192:7 | 200 11:1 65:18 |
| years 27:1 58:20 | 250:13,22 | 120:2 | 248:15 250:19 | 65:23 156:1 |
| 70:24 133:16 | 251:11 | 121 3:6 | 19,001 236:12 | 2000 124:1 |
| 167:10 168:4 | 10-23 5:9 | 1220 253:23 | 237:1 | 20005-4018 |
| 197:11 204:4 | 10-minute 89:7 | 13 4:14 221:25 | 190 4:10 | 253:24 |
| 208:4 225:22 | 10-month | 222:5 245:22 | 192 4:13,18 | 2001 16:6 149:4 |
| 235:7,8,9 | 210:25 | 248:15 250:24 | 195 5:17 | 165:18 197:9 |
| yellow 44:15 | 10,000 58:19 | 132 5:18 | 196 5:5 | 222:2,8 244:18 |
| Yolo 142:21 | 70:25 214:15 | 1320 42:9 92:11 | 198 4:19 | 2002 179:22 |
| $\overline{\mathbf{z}}$ | 238:21 | 136 5:10 | 1989 11:16 | 2003 79:24 81:7 |
| - | 10,878 234:9 | 14 40:14 216:8 | 199 4:21 | 121:8 123:19 |
| zero 121:14,15 | 10/6/12 243:23 | 218:5,8,24 | 1997 48:20 | 125:8 |
| 135:10 | 10:48 89:16 | 219:19 229:6 | 2 | 2005 73:21 |
| zone 18:12 19:6 | 100 93:16 | 147 4:15 | | 2006 49:10 |
| 19:8 29:23 | 101 214:23 | 148 4:7 | 2 4:5 5:15 22:6,8 | 2007 160:3 |
| 30:14,14,18,18 | 103 122:23 | 15 1:11 3:4 | 24:16,17,19,20 | 2008 91:9 124:1 |
| 41:13 | 104 5:22 214:23 | 231:23 | 26:16 33:9 | 2009 91:9 |
| 0 | 217:4,5,9 | 153 4:12 | 34:7 44:3,4,8 | 123:20,21,22 |
| 09-2018-0002 | 107 3:5 | 154 5:15 | 54:5 65:2 68:2 | 125:10 128:17 |
| 6:5 | 11 11:14 212:5 | 157 4:6 | 154:7 158:10 | 2011 83:1 |
| 0.3 | 11,000 238:22 | 16 1:13 4:15 | 158:10 213:9 | 2012 13:2 81:10 |
| 1 | 238:25 | 73:19 86:13 | 213:11,16,24 | 81:12 143:1 |
| 1 4:4 13:1 20:11 | 11:02 89:18 | 89:18 119:17 | 243:22,23 | 145:11 146:19 |
| 20:11 22:18 | 11:41 119:6 | 146:24 147:3 | 248:14,22,23 | 148:15 163:24 |
| 33:3 34:7 | 11:42 119:15 | 185:21 214:22 | 250:6,14 | 164:12 166:3 |
| 47:13 57:3 | 112 122:25 | 248:15 250:12 | 2- 24:23 | 166:20 168:6 |
| 81:7 145:19,19 | 124:8 135:3 | 253:6,16 | 2-859 23:6 31:24 | 183:21 209:24 |
| 148:20 162:12 | 144:1 158:21 | 16,000 238:23 | 32:13 35:6 | 210:21 211:3 |
| 196:12 212:10 | 176:16 | 239:2 | 2-859-B 23:6 | 217:15 221:21 |
| 226:15 227:11 | 112.20 211:20 | 165 5:11 | 2,500,000-gall | 222:3 223:17 |
| 243:4 244:7 | 112.20(f)(1) | 17 4:16 107:18 | 222:7 | 223:18 243:22 |
| 248:14,23 | 57:22 117:11 | 159:10 177:14 | 2.1 33:20 | 2013 11:9 73:19 |
| 250:7 | 217:19 | 248:15 250:16 | 2.2 33:20 | 73:22,24 124:2 |
| 1-2 5:20 | 112.3(d) 187:10 | 252:6 | 2.3 33:21 | 124:3 157:5 |
| 1.0 107:22 | 187:25 | 170 5:16 | 2.38- 150:22 | 168:7 |
| 1.0 107.22 1.2 116:25 | 112.5 160:5,5 | 177 4:16 | 2.4 13:12 | 2014 175:3,14 |
| 1.2 110.23 1.3 108:3 109:17 | 112.5(a) 159:24 | 178 4:17 | 2.4-million-ga | 211:8 217:25 |
| | 160:7 | 18 4:17 159:12 | 148:16 162:3 | 218:18,24 |
| 110:2,8 116:22 116:24 | 112.7(c) 160:19 | 159:18 178:18 | 2.5 150:22 | 219:19 220:25 |
| 1:50 185:10,16 | 188:5,9 | 178:22 215:5 | 2.5-million-ga | 2016 174:18,20 |
| 1:50 185:10,16 1:51 185:19 | 112.8 188:8 | 216:12,18 | 159:25 | 175:9 179:5 |
| 10 4:12 20:11 | 112.8(c)(6) | 217:14 218:24 | 2.859 35:6 | 186:6,16 |
| | 171:14 | 219:19 234:1,1 | 2:00 185:11,16 | 189:16 195:21 |
| 49:23,25 88:23 | 114 80:21,21 | 248:15 250:16 | 185:20 | 218:19 244:6,6 |
| 89:11 132:24 | 12 4:13 192:21 | 18-page 166:11 | 20 4:19 69:24 | 2017 190:21 |
| | | l | | l |

| | | | | • |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| 193:4 194:19 | 186:18 248:15 | 3rd 214:19 | 248:14 250:13 | 7 |
| 195:8 196:12 | 249:4,5,6 | | 5-3 109:14 | 7 4:9 79:11 |
| 196:23 197:18 | 250:9 | 4 | 5.0 93:2 96:17 | 184:8 248:14 |
| 198:16 199:4 | 27 3:4 82:4 | 4 4:6 110:5 | 98:2,6 109:14 | 250:18 |
| 199:18,21 | 196:22 209:24 | 157:14,18 | 112:15,19,24 | 74 4:25 |
| 200:14 201:5,8 | 210:21 211:3 | 243:23 244:2 | 113:2,6 115:13 | 75 1:23 232:2 |
| 226:3 227:11 | 221:21 | 248:14 250:14 | 115:25 116:3 | 76 5:21 |
| 227:16 | 28,159 233:25 | 4:00 248:1 252:4 | 116:10 | 70 3.21 |
| 2018 214:20 | | 40 18:1 117:11 | 5.1 113:6 | 8 |
| 244:7 | 3 | 124:8 128:22 | 5.5 114:8 115:5 | 84:10 20:11,18 |
| 2019 1:13 89:18 | 3 2:4 33:9,23,23 | 135:3 144:1 | 115:6,20 | 22:6,15 30:8 |
| 119:17 185:21 | 34:8,10,11 | 159:24 171:14 | 116:16,17 | 160:12 188:4,8 |
| 252:6 253:6,16 | 35:4 43:25 | 176:16 211:20 | 5.6 115:6 | 188:10,11,12 |
| 203 5:9 | 67:11 69:20 | 217:19 230:22 | 5/19/2012 | 188:13 190:1,3 |
| 206 253:22 | 80:21 146:13 | 231:3,4 | 162:16 | 190:7 194:4 |
| 209 3:6 | 186:15,18 | 40-hour 52:10 | 50 5:10,18 77:3 | 214:22 216:20 |
| 20th 2:4 | 193:7,12 212:5 | 125:15 126:3 | 122:7 136:1,5 | 220:5 248:14 |
| 21 4:24 197:13 | 244:2 | 127:12 | 248:22 249:7 | 250:18 |
| 197:21,25 | 30 179:5 185:1 | 40th 2:7 | 250:11,12 | 81 4:22 |
| 231:5,25 | 202:8 231:11 | 42,000 58:4 | 50,000 77:3 | 83 4:23 5:19 |
| 217 5:22 | 231:17,18 | 110:14 214:10 | 230:25 | 21:17 23:20,21 |
| 22 4:20 88:14,16 | 232:9 | 45 5:5 196:14,18 | 505 214:23 | 24:1,5 31:11 |
| 88:21 168:11 | 30-day 185:4 | 248:16 249:6 | 515 2:7 | 248:19,22 |
| 195:7 211:7 | 30,000 132:25 | 250:20 | 52 5:11 165:14 | 250:5 |
| 248:15 250:10 | 230:6 | 45- 230:7 | 243:23 248:17 | 859-B 24:24 |
| 22- 168:10 | 30.13 86:13 | 45,000 229:18 | 249:7 250:15 | 86 109:20 |
| 22nd 218:21 | 300 18:1 | 230:1,9,24 | 53 5:12 47:7,11 | 865 188:17 |
| 23 5:17 195:16 | 300-foot 65:12 | 232:2 | 59:23 64:17 | 220:1,5 242:15 |
| 206:22,25 | 302 122:21 | 450 1:11 | 103:2 248:17 | 243:2 |
| 207:4 229:6 | 311 202:15 | 46 5:6 85:4 | 249:7 250:7 | 87 5:21 74:14 |
| 231:23 248:16 | 233:2 | 86:15,20 88:14 | 54 203:16 | 76:3 248:23 |
| 248:22 249:7 | 312 122:22 | 88:16,21 | 55 77:6 92:12 | 250:8 |
| 250:20,22 | 33 4:24 19:22,23 | 248:16 249:6 | 55-gallon 77:4 | 88 4:20 5:6,7 |
| 230,958 14:7 | 21:1,5 22:9 | 250:10 | 57 4:4 | |
| 208:2 | 24:9 248:15 | 47 5:7 85:4 86:9 | 59 5:12 | 9 |
| 23rd 210:3 | 249:6 250:5 | 86:15,20 88:14 | | 9 4:11 82:1 |
| 24 4:21 5:20 | 34 4:25 74:10 | 88:17,21 | 6 | 121:5 181:18 |
| 199:14 226:8 | 248:15 249:6 | 248:16 249:6 | 6 4:8 5:16 | 193:24 224:9 |
| 226:15 248:15 | 250:8 | 250:11 | 107:12,18,19 | 231:5 248:14 |
| 250:21 | 34,000 237:10 | 48 3:5 5:8 207:5 | 170:16,19 | 250:17 |
| 241 3:6 5:4,23 | 35 5:23 241:3 | 228:6 248:16 | 171:1 183:1,5 | 9/18/2017 |
| 245 4:14 | 248:15 249:6 | 249:6 250:22 | 193:25 205:24 | 226:21 |
| 25 4:22 81:19 | 250:23 | | 218:6 221:25 | 9:00 1:15 6:2 |
| 248:15 249:6 | 3500.1 124:19 | 5 | 248:14,22 | 252:5 |
| 250:9 | 36 3:4 5:4 241:4 | 5 4:7 54:25 | 250:15,17 | 91 3:5 |
| 250 128:19 | 248:16 249:6 | 72:10 93:1 | 653 79:13,22 | 921-page 126:12 |
| 257,154 207:24 | 250:23 | 114:15 148:8 | 80:9,13 83:24 | 9848 21:15 |
| 26 4:5,23 82:2 | 38 3:4 | 148:12 159:7 | 84:4 126:5 | 22:11 |
| 82:16,19 83:13 | 39 230:19,19 | 159:10,12,18 | 134:21 137:8,9 | 99 3:5 |
| 83:17 159:7 | 231:2 | 193:23 213:12 | 137:24 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |